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## Text S1 Sample Treatments and Analytical Procedures

An area ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) of each quartz filter containing atmospheric TSP or PM from typical sources was cut into small pieces in a glass flask and an internal standard solution including Pyr- $d_{10}$ and $1-\mathrm{NP}-d_{9}$ was added. PAHs, NPAHs and internal standards were extracted twice through ultrasonication with benzene/ethanol ( $3: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). The solution was then washed successively with diluted solutions of sodium hydroxide and sulfuric acid, and twice with ultrapure water. After $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to the organic solution, the mixture was concentrated using a rotary evaporator, and the residual solution was dissolved in ethanol. After filtering the solution with a membrane disk (HLC-DISK3, pore size $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Kanto Chemical Co., Tokyo, Japan), an aliquot of the solution was injected into the two high-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) systems (LC-10A series, Shimadzu Inc., Kyoto, Japan) for the separate detection of PAHs and NPAHs.

Nine PAHs, fluoranthene (FR), Pyr, benz[a]anthracene (BaA), chrysene (Chr), benzo[b]fluoranthene ( BbF ), benzo[k]fluoranthene ( BkF ), banzo[a]pyrene ( BaP ), benzo[ghi]perylene (BghiPe), indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene (IDP), were identified using HPLC equipped with a fluorescence detector according to the USEPA methods (Wise et al., 2016). The analytical column was a reversed-phase column (Inertsil ODS-P, 4.6 i.d. $\times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$, GL Sciences Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The mobile phase was a mixture of acetonitrile/water with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and was operated under a gradient concentration. The excitation (Ex) and emission (Em) wavelengths of the fluorescence detector were set at Ex 286 nm and Em 433 nm which are optimum for Pyr and Pyr- $d_{10}$ [1].

Six NPAHs, 9-nitroanthracene (9-NA), 1-nitropyrene (1-NP), 6-nitrocrysene (6-NC), 7-nitrobenz[a]anthracene (7-NBaA), 3-nitroperylene (3-NPer) and 6-nitrobenzo[a]pyrene (6-NBaP), were determined using an HPLC equipped with a chemiluminescence detector. The analytical columns were reversed-phase columns (Cosmosil 5C18-MS-II, 4.6 i.d. $\times$ (250 + 150) mm, Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan). The mobile phase was a mixture of an imidazole buffer and acetonitrile. The chemiluminescence reagent solution was an acetonitrile solution containing $b i s(2,4,6$-trichlorophenyl)oxalate and hydrogen peroxide. The flow rate of the chemiluminescence reagent solution was $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Pyr and 1-NP were quantified by using Pyr- $d_{10}$ and 1-NP- $d_{9}$ with other PAHs and NPAHs. The validity of this method has already been confirmed through our previous published reports showing recoveries that varied between 87 and $104 \%$, with limits of detection $(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}=3)$ that varied between 0.25 and 1.5 fmol , and limits of quantification $(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}=10)$ that varied between $10^{-}$ ${ }^{15}$ and $10^{-12}$ (over two orders) and showed good linearity $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}>0.899\right.$ ) [2-4].

## Text S2 Calculation of source contribution to combustion-derived particulate

Atmospheric particulate $(\mathrm{P})$ consists of combustion-derived particulate $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ and noncombustion derived particulate ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ). $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$ consists of particulate from natural sources such as soils, plants and road surface scraps. $P_{c}$ can be divided further into particulate from sources with high combustion temperatures $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ and particulate from sources with lowcombustion temperatures $\left(\mathrm{Pl}_{1}\right)$ and is described as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}  \tag{1}\\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}+\mathrm{P}_{1} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting the proportion of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ in $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}$ be $x(0<x<1)$ and the proportion of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in P be $y(0$ $<y<1$ ) in Equations (1) and (2), the following equations are obtained for atmospheric concentrations of $\mathrm{Ph}_{\mathrm{h}}\left(\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}\right]\right), \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{l}}\left(\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]\right), \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right]\right), \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}\left(\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]\right)$ and $\mathrm{P}([\mathrm{P}])$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right] x} & \text { and } & {\left[\mathrm{P}_{1}\right]=\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right](1-x)} \\
{\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right]=[\mathrm{P}] y} & \text { and } & {\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]=[\mathrm{P}](1-y)} \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, $x$ is able to provide an estimation on the extent to which high temperature combustion products $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ are contributing to combustion-derived particulate $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$, and $y$ is able to provide an estimate on the extent to which $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}$ contributes to the total particulate (P). From Equations (3) and (4), the concentration ratio of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{l}}\left(\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}\right]:\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{l}}\right]\right)$ in the atmosphere at the monitoring sites is given by $x:(1-x)$ and the concentration ratio of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}\left(\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right]:\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]\right)$ is given by $y:(1-y)$.

Hear [ $1-N P_{h}$ ], $\left[1-N P_{1}\right]$ and $\left[1-N P_{c}\right]$ are 1-NP concentrations in $P_{h}, P_{1}$ and $P_{c}$, respectively, and $\left[\mathrm{Pyr}_{h}\right],\left[\mathrm{Pyr}_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pyr}_{c}\right]$ are Pyr concentrations in $\mathrm{P}_{h}, \mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{c}$, respectively, assuming that both 1-NP and Pyr are not chemically changed in the urban atmosphere during the period between emission to sampling (Figure S1). Atmospheric concentrations of $1-\mathrm{NP}$ bound to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ at the monitoring sites are respectively given by $\left[1-\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{h}}\right]\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}\right] x$ and $\left[1-N P_{1}\right]\left[\mathrm{P}_{1}\right](1-x)$. The atmospheric concentrations of Pyr bound to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ at the monitoring sites are also respectively given by $\left[\mathrm{Pyr}_{\mathrm{h}}\right]\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}\right] x$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pyr}_{1}\right]\left[\mathrm{P}_{1}\right](1-x)$, and the following equations are obtained for atmospheric concentrations of $1-\mathrm{NP}([1-\mathrm{NP}])$ and Pyr ([Pyr]):

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[1-\mathrm{NP}]=\left[1-\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{h}}\right]\left[\mathrm{P}_{c}\right] x+\left[1-\mathrm{NP}_{1}\right]\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right](1-x)}  \tag{5}\\
{[\mathrm{Pyr}]=\left[\mathrm{Pyr}_{\mathrm{h}}\right]\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right] x+\left[\mathrm{Pyr}_{\mathrm{r}}\right]\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right](1-x)} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

The atmospheric [1-NP]/[Pyr] ratio at the monitoring site can therefore be expressed by the following equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[1-\mathrm{NP}] /[\mathrm{Pyr}]=\left\{\left[1-\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{h}}\right] x+\left[1-\mathrm{NP}_{1}\right](1-x)\right\} /\left\{\left[\mathrm{Pyr}_{\mathrm{h}}\right] x\right.} \\
\left.+\left[\mathrm{Pyr}_{1}\right](1-x)\right\} \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Replacing $\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right]$ in the right side of equation (5) with $[\mathrm{P}] y$ according to equation (6), the atmospheric $[1-\mathrm{NP}]$ at the monitoring site can be expressed by the following equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
[1-\mathrm{NP}]=\left\{\left[1-\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{h}}\right] x+\left[1-\mathrm{NP}_{1}\right](1-x)\right\}[\mathrm{P}] y \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (7) means that the contribution ratio of $\mathrm{Ph}_{\mathrm{h}}$ to $\mathrm{Pc}(=x)$ is a function of [1NP]/[Pyr].

Here, vehicles and coal combustion are used as standard sources for $\mathrm{Ph}_{\mathrm{h}}$ and P. . By introducing values of $\left[1-\mathrm{NPh}_{\mathrm{h}}\right]\left(=65.5 \mathrm{pmol} \mathrm{mg}^{-3}\right),\left[1-\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{l}}\right]\left(=4.6 \mathrm{pmol} \mathrm{mg}^{-3}\right),\left[\mathrm{Pyrh}_{\mathrm{h}}\right](=180$ pmol $\mathrm{mg}^{-3}$ ) and $\left[\mathrm{Pyrı}_{1}\right]\left(=3,400 \mathrm{pmol} \mathrm{mg}^{-3}\right.$ ) derived from Table 1 into equations (vii) and (viii), the following equations are obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
[1-\mathrm{NP}] /[\mathrm{Pyr}]=\{65.5 x+4.6(1-x)\} /\{180 x+3400(1-x)\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
[1-\mathrm{NP}]=\{65.5 x+4.6(1-x)\}[\mathrm{P}] y \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [1 - NP] and [Pyr] are atmospheric concentrations of $1-\mathrm{NP}$ and Pyr at the various monitoring sites. [1 $-\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{h}}$ ], [ $\mathrm{Pyr}_{\mathrm{h}}$ ], [ $\left.1-\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{l}}\right]$ and [ $\left.\mathrm{Pyr}_{1}\right]$ can be obtained by analyzing $1-$ NP and Pyr in $\mathrm{Ph}_{\mathrm{h}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ [5].


Figure 1. Map of sampling sites.
Table S1. Correlation coefficients between atmospheric compounds.

|  | PM ${ }_{2.5}$ | PM>2.5 | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\text {c }}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\text {h }}$ | P1 | ГPAH | INPAH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PM2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM>2.5 | 0.6921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 0.9999 | 0.6934 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pc}_{\text {c }}$ | 0.5585 | 0.4390 | 0.5456 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.1186 | 0.0150 | 0.6518 | 0.7038 |  |  |  |  |
| P 1 | 0.6626 | 0.5732 | 0.1075 | 0.9223 | 0.3800 |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma \mathrm{PAH}$ | 0.6811 | 0.5954 | 0.6716 | 0.8661 | 0.3116 | 0.9737 |  |  |
| $\Sigma \mathrm{NPAH}$ | 0.3850 | 0.3268 | 0.3582 | $\underline{0.8211}$ | 0.6689 | $\underline{0.7152}$ | $\underline{0.7520}$ |  |

TSP samples were collected in Kanazawa for 7 days in every season from spring, summer, autumn, 2017 to winter, 2018. $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$, particulate from non-combustion source; $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}$, particulate from combustion source; $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$, particulate from combustion source with higher temperature (vehicles); $\mathrm{P}_{1}$, particulate from combustion source with lower temperature (heating facilities/industries). Bold means correlation coefficient $\geq 0.9$; Underline indicates $0.9>$ correlation coefficient $\geq 0.7$.

Table 2. Toxic equivalency factors of PAHs (TEFPAH).

| Compound | Relative Potency Factor |
| :---: | :---: |
| BaP | 1 |
| BaA | 0.1 |


| BbF | 0.1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| BkF | 0.01 |
| Chr | 0.001 |
| IDP | 0.1 |

$\overline{\text { TEF, cited from the reference by USEPA (1993) [6]. }}$
Table 3. Toxic equivalency factors of NPAHs (TEF NPA ).

| Compound | Relative Potency Factor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1-NP | 1 |
| $6-\mathrm{NC}$ | 0.2 |
| $6-\mathrm{NBaP}$ | 0.1 |
| 3-NPer | 0.08 |

$\overline{\mathrm{TEF}}$, calculated from direct-acting mutagenic activities [6].
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