



## **Supplementary Materials:**

## M. Rebeca Quiñonez-Piñón<sup>1</sup> and Caterina Valeo<sup>2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Geomatics Engineering, Schulich School of Engineering, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB T2N 1N4, Canada; mrquinon@gmail.com
- <sup>2</sup> Mechanical Engineering, University of Victoria, PO Box 1700 STN CSC, Victoria, BC V8P 5C2, Canada; valeo@uvic.ca
- \* Correspondence: valeo@uvic.ca

## **Potential Evapotranspiration**

 $E_p$  estimates are shown in the following table. The obtained results were deemed to be unreasonably higher than any of the actual evapotranspiration or actual canopy transpiration values obtained in this study. Assuming  $r_c = 0$  creates very large evapotranspiration estimates—as expected—since it is supposed that there is no resistance from the canopy to transpire. These values could be representative of potential evapotranspiration in a forested area; however, they are not useful for comparisons with the obtained actual canopy transpiration values due to the large differences in magnitude.

Table S1. $E_{\rm p}$	estimates during the same	days that sap flow was m	easured at each site. Field campaign 2004
-----------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	---

Day of	Conifer-4	Day of	Deciduous-6
the Year	$E_p \frac{E_a}{a}$ (mm/d)	the Year	$E_p E_a$ (mm/d)
212	41.65	225	194.47
213	26.89	226	234.09
215	37.32	227	110.31
216	25.10	228	98.60
231	19.19		
232	15.74		
234	27.68		
235	59.96		
Ēp	31.69		159.36



© 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).