





SUN1 and SUN2), emerin, LAC, LBR and LB1 in control non-irradiated (A) MCF7 and (B) U2OS cells. The same localization of these proteins is also in control cells of non-irradiated MCF7-(LBR(-) and U2OS-(LBR(-) cells (not shown). Size bar indicates 5 μm.





Figure 2A-C - continued

Fig. S2A. Examples of emerin and LA/C mislocalization MCF7-LBR(-) and U2OS-(LBR(-)cells 24 h PI with 8 Gy of γ -rays. 1 – Control U2OS-LBR(-) nucleus, 2 – U2OS-LBR(-) with blisters and partially fractionated LA/C in the NE, MN and blisters; emerin is dispersed in cytoplasm. **3** – U2OS-LBR(-) with reinforced LA and emerin (long arrow) at a region of NE and very thin layer of both proteins at upper part of the nucleus (short arrow). Emerin is dispersed into cytoplasm and concentrated in the NM at its reinforced layer. **4** – Fragmented MCF7-LBR(-) nucleus. All MN are bordered by LA/C (green) and emerin (red) that is also inside MN and partially dispersed around this complex. **5** – 3 nuclei of MCF7-LBR(-) cell. The nucleus located in the center of the image has emerin dispersed to cytosol and a MN; a nucleus at the top of the picture is convoluted. The nucleus in the left corner has reinforced layer of LA/C. **6** –2 ultrafine anaphase bridges separating 2 pairs of daughter nuclei of MCF7-LBR(-) cell. All nuclei have several MN and 1MN has emerin polar caps; emerin forms also small dots around nuclei. **7**- Honeycombing nucleus of U2OS-LBR(-) cell is losing heterochromatin (arrow) and disperse emerin. Size bar indicates 5 μ m

Fig. S2B. Examples of SUN1 and nesprin 1 mislocalization in MCF7-LBR(-) and U2OS-LBR(-) 24 h PI with 8 Gy of y-rays. 1 – U2OS-LBR(-) control. 2 – MCF7-LBR(-) has SUN1 amplified at a region of NM, where this protein forms small clumps liberated to the cytoplasm. High density of nesprin 1 dots is concentrated in the space where SUN1 is liberated to the cytosol. 3 - MCF7-LBR(-) containing 3 MN attached to the nucleus (arrows) and SUN1 amplified in a region of the nucleus, where clumps of SUN1 are liberated to cytoplasm. A group of the small clumps is surrounded by a cloud of nesprin 1 dots. 4 – A giant nucleus of U2OS-LBR(-) containing SUN1, amplified in a region of the nucleus (arrow). At this side, the nucleus is surrounded by many dots of nesprin-1.5 - Convoluted nucleus of U2OS-LBR(-) contains several MN; Micronuclei containing HC are filled with amplified SUN1 and one MN contains also nesprin1 (arrow). 2 large nuclei are located close to each other and have a reinforced common layer of SUN1 at this sit. 6 – Convoluted and blistered nucleus of U2OS-LBR(-) lost completely the NE, it has dissipated SUN1 together with nesprins-1 in the cytoplasm. Sun 1 form a large cloud of tiny and larger dots combined with tiny dots of nesprin-1. This protein, forms large clumps at some regions of the nucleus (green clumps). Condensed chromatin has a convoluted form. Size bar indicates 5 µm.

Fig. S2C Examples of SUN2 and nesprin 1 as well as SUN2 and LA/C mislocalization in MCF-LBR(-) and U2OS-LBR(-) 24 h PI with the dose of 8 Gy of y-rays 1- a control U2OS-LBR(-) with visualized SUN2 and nesprin-1. 2 – A convoluted nuclei of U2OS-LBR(-) containing several MN with EU, bordered or filed with SUN2. SUN 2 is reinforced in the parts of nuclei that are partially disintegrated into small dots forming a layer around of the nuclei or even clouds of dense dots of SUN2 (arrow). Nesprin usually accompany these clouds of small points of SUN2. **3** – Convoluted nuclei of MCF7-LBR(-) have also reinforced layer of SUN2 forming a layer of tiny dots (arrow) around a nuclear membrane (arrow). 4 - A group of nuclei connected with anaphase bridges. Each nucleus has several MN attached to the nuclei. The MN contain HC and are filled with SUN2. SUN 2 is amplified in all MN and is also disintegrated into dense tiny dots (arrows). In the proximity of the anaphase bridge, several larger fragment of SUN2 can be seen (long arrow). Nesprin-1 accompanies these convoluted nuclei especially at the places where SUN2 forms tiny dots – 5 Large fragmented nucleus of an U2OS-LBR(-) cell with many MN containing HC presents a half of twins connected with an anaphase bridge. MN are bordered by LAC similarly as the nucleus. Several MN are filled with SUN2 and fragmented LAC; in some nuclei, both SUN2 and LA/C are fragmented (wide arrows). In addition, there are small dots of fragmented SUN 2 in the cytoplasm (arrows). 6 -Similar image as is the disintegrated nucleus of MCF7-LBR(-), are all nuclei and MN bordered by LA/C and a majority is filled with SUN2; some of them are filled also with amplified LA/C and SUN2. In addition, there are also 2 large clumps of SUN2 (small arrows). The nucleus has reinforced layer of SUN 2 at a part of NE (arrow) and in a MN. Size bar indicates 5μ m.

Figure S3 – Svobodova Kovarikova et al.



Fig. S3. Western blot analysis of LBR, SUN2 and nesprin-1 expression in control and LBRdeficient MCF7 and U2OS cells. Note, weak or missing signals in MCF7-LBR(-) and U2OS-(LBR(-) cells after the staining of blots with an antibody against LBR. Table S1A, B. Frequencies of nuclear morphology defects induced in MCF7, and U2OS cells before irradiation and in different time after irradiation with the dose of 8 Gy of γ -rays. (A) MCF7, (B) U2OS. Over 100 cells were counted in each group in 3 independent experiments and the mean number of cells/experiment ± SE are presented at each time PI. Number of cells containing a specific defect is expressed as a percentage of mean number of cells ± SE. P values were calculated using the student t-test relatively to control unirradiated cells. (*p<0,05). The values, which are presented graphically in Fig. 1.A,B are marked in yellow.

Cell characteristics			Defects in nuclear morphology									
MCF7 Cell line A	Cell number Mean	LBR/LB1 Reducti on	Cells without changes	Cells with MN	Blister	Convo- volute	Anaph bridge	Honey- combing	Gigant nuclei	Wrinkle	Fragm ents	
					Cell number %							
contro I	134± 1,471	<mark>0</mark>	94,2± 0,616	<mark>6,0</mark> 0,646		<mark></mark>		<mark></mark>				
24h PI	187 <u>+1,379</u>	<mark>55/45±</mark> 0,772/ 1,023	<mark>38,2±</mark> 0,496	<mark>33,5 ±</mark> <mark>1,21</mark>	<mark>17,9 ±</mark> 0,391	<mark>32,7±</mark> 1,755	<mark>4,5±</mark> 0,5			<mark></mark>	<mark>2,4±</mark> 1,95	
72h PI	158± 1,021	91/80± 0,697/ 0,404	1	83,7 <mark>2,513</mark>	26,5± 0,521	41,8± 1,128	10.9± <mark>0,923</mark>			14,1± <mark>0,965</mark>	16,2± 1,16	
7D PI	222± 1,157	99/90± 0,660/ 0,660	0	82± 1,191	57,6± 1,571	44,4± 1,192	7,1± 0,52			6,2± 0,55	18,8± 1,55	
U2OS Cell line B												
contro I	<mark>104±</mark> 0,678	<mark>0</mark>	<mark>89,0±</mark> 1,414	<mark>7,3</mark> 0,582				<mark>1,2±</mark> 0,091				
24h PI	<mark>112±</mark> 0,711	60/66± 1.174/ 0,637	<mark>40,1±</mark> 0,544	<mark>33,0±</mark> 1,411	<mark>22,5±</mark> 1,021	<mark>16,9±</mark> 0,331		<mark>10,0±</mark> 0,552	<mark>28±</mark> 1,412			
72h Pl	113 ±0,509	69/70± 0,374/ 0,326	0	65.9± 0,651	55,6± <mark>0,965</mark>	63± 2,016		11,0± 0,98	10,5± 1,042	10,6± 1,07	15± 1,037	
7D PI	76± 0,852	82/99± 1,055/ 0,346	0	71± 1,521	63,4± 2,482	71,0± 6,92		5,20± 0,17	39,1± 1,721	5,20± 0,05	47,0± 4,05	

Table S1C, D. Frequencies of nuclear morphology defects induced in MCF7-LBR(-), and U2OS-LBR(-) before irradiation and at different time after irradiation with the dose of 8 Gy of γ -rays. (A) MCF7-LBR(-), (B) U2OS-(LBR(-) cells. Over 100 cells were counted in each group in 3 independent experiments and the mean number of cells/experiment ± SE are presented in control and irradiated cells at 27h PI and 7 D PI. Number of cells containing specific defect is expressed as a percentage of mean no. of cells ± SE . P values were calculated using the student t-test relatively to control non-irradiated cells. (*p<0,05). The values, which are represented graphically in Fig. 1.A,B, are marked in yellow.

Cell char	Defects in nuclear morphology								
MCF7- LBR(-) C	Cell Number Mean	LBR/LB1 reduced	Cells With MN	Blisters	Convo luted cells	Anaph bridges	Honey combing cells	Giant cells	Frag mented cells
			Cell nu	ımber %					
Control	<mark>163±</mark> 1,732	80/74 ± 1,471/ 0,816	<mark>3,6 ±</mark> 0,186	<mark>0,6±</mark> 0,032					
8Gy/24h Pl	<mark>268±</mark> 2,445	<mark>86/82</mark> 1,08/ 2,828	<mark>56,8±</mark> 1,303	<mark>23,2±</mark> 0,257	<mark>49,9±</mark> 1,210	<mark>17,1±</mark> 0,876			1,4± 0,326
8Gy/7D Pl	126± 1,2715	97/95± 0,905/ 0,658	89,5± 1,458 5	61,9 ± 1 <mark>,210</mark>	94,5 ± 1,3025	17,5 ± 1,0085			13,57 <mark>0,4755</mark>
U2OS- LBR(-) D									
Control	<mark>135±</mark> 2,073	<mark>72/72</mark> ±0,408	1,8± 0,039 7	<mark>0,61±</mark> 0,0460			<mark>0,61±</mark> 0,460		
8Gy/24h Pl	154± 2,014	86/89± 1,914/ 1,796	<mark>40,1±</mark> 0,489	3 <mark>2,6±</mark> 0,489	<mark>41,2 ±</mark> 0,941	1 <mark>,2 ±</mark> 0,0288	<mark>2,8±</mark> 0,106	<mark>27,8±</mark> 0,432	1.8± 0,0244
8Gy/7D PI	95± 1,632	99/99± 1,914/ 1,542	74,2± 0.326	65,9 ± 0,535	82,4± 0,432	1,0 ± 0,080	1,2 ± 0.256	46,9 ± 0,660	40.2± 0.439

PROTEIN	LOCATION	Control	24 h PI	72 h Pl	7D PI		
			Cells with defect %				
Emerin							
	Clumps in cytosol	<mark>4,1±0,535</mark>					
SUN1							
	Clumps in cytosol	<mark>3,0±0,454</mark>					
SUN2							
	Clumps in cytosol	<mark>2,7±0,616</mark>					
Nesprin 1							
	Clumps in cytosol	<mark>2,5±0,244</mark>					
Emerin							
	Dispersion in cytosol		<mark>100±2,16</mark>				
	Clumps in cytosol		<mark>23±0,757</mark>	0	45,0±1,721		
	Presence in MN		22.9±0.143	37.0±.0697	0		
	Polar caps		<mark>0</mark>	0	13,6± <mark>0,408</mark>		
Emerin+ LAC							
	Clumps in cytosol		<mark>16±0,143</mark>	31,0± <mark>2,16</mark>	4.5±0,516		
	Presence in MN		14,5±0,112	24,9±0,648	40,5± <mark>0,946</mark>		
LAC							
	Minor clumps in cytosol		<mark>6,2±0,509</mark>	6,5± <mark>0,24</mark> 4	0		
	Presence in MN		<mark>2,5±0,712</mark>	2,5± <mark>0,694</mark>	0		
SUN1							
			<mark>22±1,368</mark>	7,1±0,469	0		
	Clumps in cytosol						
	Presence in MN		0	35,6±0,432	49,9±0,8426		
	Polar caps		<mark>5,5±0,230</mark>	0	0		
SUN1+Nesprin1				50.014.444			
	Presence in MN		0	50,0±1,414	40,8±0,969		
Nesprin 1				E 010 020			
	Ciumps in cytosol		16,5±0,509	5,9±0,828	23,8±0,535		
CUN2	Polar caps		11,0±0,883	0	U		
SUNZ			10 210 714	0			
			$10,2\pm0,714$		30,0±0,529		
SUND Noonsin 1	Presence in IVIN		35,0±0,535	28'821'88	U		
SUNZ+ Nesprin 1	Droconco in MAN			0	46.0+0.076		
	Presence in IVIN		2,5±0,569		46,0± <mark>0,97</mark> 6		

Table S2A Frequencies of mislocalization of LINC complex proteins in MCF7 cells before irradiation and at 24h, 72h and 7 D $\,$ PI with the dose of 8 Gy of γ -rays

More than 100 cells were counted to detect location of SUN1, SUN2, nesprin1, emerin, LA/C in each group of 3 independent experiments. The results are presented as a percentage from a mean no. of cells \pm SE. P values were calculated using the student t-test relatively to control unirradiated cells. (*p<0,05). The columns of values, which are represented graphically in Fig. 4. A are marked in yellow.

Table S2B

Frequencies of mislocalizations of LINC proteins before and after irradiation with the dose of 8 Gy of y-rays in LI2OS							
PROTEIN			24 h Pl	72 h Pl	7D PI		
T NOTEIN	LOCATION	CONTROL	241111	/21111	7011		
		Cells with defe	Cells with defect %				
Emerin		cens man den					
Lincini	Clumps in cytosol	2 8+0 346					
SUN1		2,020,010					
50111	Clumps in cytosol	4.0+0.560					
SUN2							
	Clumps in cytosol	2.0±0.580					
SUN2+ Nesprin							
1							
	Polar caps	2,7±0,355					
Nesprin 1							
· ·	Clumps in cytosol	<mark>3,0±0,216</mark>					
Emerin							
	Dispersion in		100±0,816				
	cytosol						
	Polar caps		7, 0±0,294	25±0,197	16,0±0,697		
	Clumps in cytosol		26,0±0,571	0	0		
	Presence in MN		0	4,0±0,331	38,0±0,968		
Emerin+ LA/C	Dispersion in		<mark>100±0,816</mark>				
	cytosol						
	Polar caps		0	8,3±0,424	12,9± <mark>0,565</mark>		
	Clumps in cytosol		0	12,9± <mark>0,374</mark>	0		
	Presence in MN		0	12,4± <mark>0,374</mark>	0		
SUN1							
	Clumps in cytosol		<mark>27,0±1.07</mark>	80.0±1,131	49,0± <mark>1,584</mark>		
	Presence in MN		<mark>4,0±0,28</mark>	0	0		
SUN1+ Nesprin 1							
	Clumps in cytosol		0	75,0±0,588	0		
Nesprin 1							
	Clumps in cytosol		10,5±0,326	10,5±0,577	13,8±0,571		
	Presence in MN		0	5,5±0,086	0		
SUN2							
	Clumps in cytosol		<mark>4,9±0,668</mark>	30,0±1,042	0		
	Presence in MN		0	11,0±0,424	56,0±0,294		
SUN2+Nesprin1							
	Polar caps		<mark>4,4±0,535</mark>	0	0		
	Clumps in cytosol						

More than 100 cells were counted to detect location of SUN1, SUN2, nesprin1, emerin, LA/C, in each group of 3 independent experiments. The results are presented as a percentage from a mean no. of cells \pm SE. P values were calculated using the student t-test relatively to control unirradiated cells. (*p<0, 05). The columns of values, which are represented graphically in Fig. 4.B are bordered in yellow.

Protein	Location	Control	24 h PI	7 D PI	
		Cells with defects %			
Emerin					
	Polar caps	<mark>2±0,268</mark>			
	Amplification at NM	2±0,268			
SUN2	cytosol	<mark>2,7±0,509</mark>			
Emerin					
	Dispersion in cytosol		<mark>100±3,295</mark>		
	Polar caps		12,0±0,571		
	MN		26,0±0,648	63,0±0,565	
	Anaph bridge amplific		1,0±0,577		
	Large clumps in cytosol		40,0±0,697	16,0±0,993	
Emerin + LAC					
	Clumps in cytosol		<mark>3,0±0,883</mark>	31,0± <mark>0,941</mark>	
	MN		<mark>17,0±0,697</mark>	90,0±1,02	
LA/C					
	MN		0	6,0± <mark>0,446</mark>	
	Anaphase bridge amplif		<mark>2,0±0,675</mark>		
SUN 1					
	Amplification at NE and		<mark>31,4±1,070</mark>	9,0±0,787	
	fragmentation in cytosol				
	MN		<mark>55,0±1,398</mark>	100,0±3,559	
	MN		<mark>17,4±0,166</mark>	18,0±0,355	
SUN2					
	Large clumps in cytosol		<mark>8,0±0,698</mark>	12,0± <mark>0,653</mark>	
	Polar caps		<mark>5,0±0,645</mark>	12,0±0,633	
	MN		<mark>29,3±0,496</mark>	99,0± <mark>0,976</mark>	
	Amplification at a part of		<mark>3,08±0,365</mark>	3,0±0,509	
	NE+ fragmentation				
	Larger clumps in cytosol		1.5±0,785	30,0±1,39	
	MN		<mark>6,6±0,509</mark>	30,0±0,785	
	Amplification of SUN2 at			3,0±0,454	
	a part of NE				
Nesprin 1					
	MN		8,5±0,286	12,0±0,637	
	Clumps in cytosol		11,8±1,251	12,0±0,816	
	NE location		<mark>5,7±0,326</mark>	27,0± <mark>0,637</mark>	

Table. S2C Frequencies of mislocalizations of LINC proteins before and after irradiation with 8 Gy of γ -rays in MCF7-LBR(-) cells

More than 100 cells were counted to detect location of SUN1, SUN2, nesprin1, emerin, LA/C, in each group of 3 independent experiments. The results are presented as a percentage from a mean no. of cells \pm SE. P values were calculated using the student t-test relatively to control unirradiated cells. (*p<0,05). The columns of values, which are represented graphically in Fig. 4 A are bordered in yellow.

Table S2D - Frequencies of mislocalizations of LINC proteins in U2OS-LBR(-) cells before and after irradiation with the dose of 8 Gy of y-rays							
		Cells with defects %					
PROTEIN	LOCATION	Control	24 hPl	7DPI			
Emerin							
	Clumps in cytosol	<mark>4,0±0,163</mark>					
SUN 1							
	Minor clumps in cytosol	<mark>6,2±0,216</mark>					
	Presence in MN	<mark>3,1±0,355</mark>					
SUN2	Minor clumps in cytosol	<mark>2,7±0,251</mark>					
SUN2+ nesprin 1	Polar caps	<mark>2,5±0,141</mark>					
LBR							
	Presence in MN		<mark>6,1±0,374</mark>	18,0± <mark>0,844</mark>			
LB1							
	Small clumps in cytosol and at NM		<mark>11,0±,0556</mark>	26,1± <mark>0,804</mark>			
LAC							
	Polar caps			3,0±0,668			
	Irregularly amplified at NM		<mark>15,0±0,294</mark>	0			
Emerin							
	Dispersion in cytosol		<mark>100±1,154</mark>				
	Presence in MN		<mark>29,6±0,576</mark>	21,1±0,730			
	Clumps in cytosol		<mark>27,0±0,294</mark>	15,3±0,642			
	Polar caps		<mark>13,5±0,496</mark>	0			
	Fragmented emerin at NM		<mark>2,7±0,293</mark>	0			
	Amplification on a part of NM or			9,2±0,355			
	MN						
Emerin+ LA/C							
	Amplification at NM		14,8±0,356	15,0±0,989			
	Clumps in cytosol		13,5±0,424				
	Polar caps		5,4±0,374	45.010.770			
CUNIA	Presence in MN			15,0±0,778			
SUN1			40.710.007	4.010.027			
	Amplif and disintegration at NIVI		18,7±0,697	4,0±0,637			
	Disintegration in cytosol		47,1±0,648	12,1±0,559			
	Amplification at NIVI		8,3±0,326				
CLIND			<mark>2,8±0,428</mark>	40,5±0,454			
50N2	Clumps in outocol		E2 4+0 40 8	22.0+0.607			
	Clumps in cytosol		<u>53,4±0,408</u>	32,0±0,097			
	Presence in MN		33 <u>4+1 821</u>	12 0+0 619			
	Amplif + desintegrationat NM		53 2+0 711	12,0:0,019			
Nesprin 1			<u>33,210,711</u>				
	Clumps in cytosol		24 0+0 637	48 0+0 683			
SUN2+nesnrins 1			24,020,037				
55112 (163prills 1	Clumps in cytosol			44.0+0 588			
	Polar caps		1	4.0+0.665			
	Presence in MN	·	-!	20.0+0.454			
			l	20,0±0,454			

More than 100 cells were counted to detect location of SUN1, SUN2, nesprin1, emerin, LA/C, in each group of 3 independent experiments. The results are presented as a percentage from a mean no. of cells \pm SE. P values were calculated using the student t-test relatively to control unirradiated cells. (*p<0,05). The columns of values, which are represented graphically in Fig. 4 B are bordered in yellow.