



1 Supplemental Information

- 2 Methane and Ethane Steam Reforming over
- 3 MgAl₂O₄-supported Rh and Ir Catalysts: Catalytic
- 4 Implications for Natural Gas Reforming Application

5 Johnny Saavedra Lopez, Vanessa Lebarbier Dagle, Chinmay A. Deshmane, Libor Kovarik,

6 Robert S. Wegeng, Robert A. Dagle*

- Institute for Integrated Catalysis, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 902 Battelle Blvd, Richland, WA
 99352 (USA)
- 9 *Corresponding author: Robert.Dagle@pnnl.gov

10 **1. TEM characterization**





Figure S1. (A) TEM analysis of 5Ir/MgAl₂O₄ (top) and 5Rh/MgAl₂O₄ (bottom) catalysts used in this study. (B) effect of ageing on Rh/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst. (950 °C) under H₂.

24



25 2. Hydrogen uptake over metal supported catalysts (Rh, Ir, Ni supported catalysts)



Figure S2. Hydrogen uptake at 600 and 800 °C. Evolution of hydrogen uptake is calculated as H coverage (per mole of metal surface) vs H₂ pulsed. Volumetric pulse hydrogen adsorption measurements were carried out at 600 °C and 800 °C. First, 50 mg of the sample was reduced at 850 °C for 16 h using H₂ (flow 10% in N₂, 100 mL/min) and purged for 4 in pure N₂. After ramping at the adsorption temperature, 5% Hydrogen/Ar is pulsed using a 100 µl loop with 1 minute intervals between injections.

33

34 3. Conversion of natural gas simulant components





Figure S3. Conversion of the individual components of a simulant natural gas mixture. Butane
conversion was complete. Reaction conditions: S/C:1.5, t = 4.5 ms, 1h TOS, Simulant gas feed (94.5%v
methane, 4% ethane, 1% propane, 0.5% butane) was supplied by Matheson.

40 4. Ethane conversion over Rh and Ir catalyst at 600 °C



41

42

Figure S4. Ethane reforming conversion vs time on stream at 600 °C. Reaction conditions: S/C = 2.75, 43 9 mg of catalyst. τ = 28.3 ms, 35 sccm ethane, 80 sccm N₂, 9 mg of catalyst.



45

46

47 Table S1. Deactivation check experiments for the Ir catalyst under ethane reforming experiments 48 shown in Figure S4. Fresh catalyst was tested for methane activity before ("initial") and after reaction 49 with ethane to check for deactivation ("final").

	Conversion		Time on Stream	Conditions
Initial	22.7%	methane	30 minutes	$\tau = 30.2 \text{ ms}, \text{ S/C} = 3$
	conversion			35 sccm Methane
Ethane reforming	8.1%	ethane	120 minutes	τ = 28.3 ms, S/C =
Experiment	conversion		(150 minutes total)	2.75
(Figure S4)				35 sccm Ethane,
Final	23.1%	methane	30 min	$\tau = 30.2 \text{ ms}, \text{ S/C} = 3$
	conversion		(180 minutes total)	35 sccm Methane

51 5. Product distribution vs contact time











55 Figure S5. Ethane reforming conversion and carbon selectivity vs contact time (ms) for the reforming 56 of ethane at 600 °C over MgAl2O4-supported Ir and Rh catalysts (A-top), ethylene selectivity (mol %) 57 vs contact time (ms) (B-middle), and linear correlation for ethane conversion vs contact time, . 58 Reaction conditions: S/C = 2.75, 9 mg of catalyst. Changes in contact time were achieved by changing 59 gas flow over the same mass of catalyst in a continuous experiment. Each point corresponds to a 60 steady state measurement after stabilizing for 1h. Ethane over iridium catalyst was changed from 35 61 to 3.5 sccm. For the case of Rh, ethane flow was varied from 35 to 100 sccm.

- 62
- 63
- 64

Catalysts 2019, 9, 801





Figure S6. Ethane reforming conversion over 5Ir/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst at 600 °C. (A) Ethane conversion
vs time on stream at increase carbon/steam ratio (10 for empty symbols) at two different concentration
of ethane in the gas (8 and 14 vol.%). (B) Ethane conversion vs time on stream comparing Ir activity
over two different supports, MgAl₂O₄ (red) and Al₂O₃ (blue).





77 7. Additional catalyst durability experiments



78

79Figure S8. Conversion vs time on stream for methane steam reforming over the Rh and Ir supported80catalysts at 850°C (S/C = 3 mol, $\tau = 12.4$ ms; Methane feed = 22.6 vol.%).

81 8. Steam reforming product over Rh/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst: H₂/CO ratio



Figure S9. Methane conversion (A) and H₂/CO ratio for methane steam reforming products (B) over benchmark 5% Rh/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst. CH₄, S/C = 3, 9 mg of catalyst. 5% Rh MgAl₂O₄ catalyst reduced in-situ at 850 °C for 16h under flowing 10% H₂ in N₂.

101

97 98

99

- 102
- 103
- 104

Catalysts 2019, 9, 801

105 MECHANISM SUMMARY 106 1- 1-. C–C bond is weaker than C–H bond. 107 2- Reforming reactions does not seem to have kinetic relevance. 108 3- C-C bond cleavage is sterically hampered. At least 2H must be removed before C-109 C bond interacts with the metal. 4- Oxidative dehydrogenation (secondary route to ethylene) is very unlikely. Higher 110 amounts of steam do not affect ethane conversion rates. 111 112 5- Dehydrogenation is the first step 113 $* + C_2 H_6 \rightarrow 2 * C H_3$ 6- Methyl radical can lead to methane formation. Note that Rh is 30% selective to 114 115 methane. Also, deactivation is accompanied by a loss in Methane selectivity. 116 7- If not both, at least one of the carbons will form a methyl radical, this methyl 117 subtracts H from ethane forming an ethyl group and methane. Ethyl radical is therefore the route to ethylene. 118 119 Initiation (weakest bond breaks crating active surface species) 120 Chain Transfer (one species creates another – less important) • 121 µ-propagation (monomolecular transformation) • 122 β -propagation (bi-molecular transformation, active species + reactant molecule) • 123 • Termination (not shown) 124 In short, $H * + CH_3CH_3 \rightarrow H_2 + * CH_2CH_3$ 125 $H * + CH_3CH_3 \rightarrow CH_4 + * CH_3$ Slower 126 8- C-C bond is stable until *CHCH* is formed (Iglesia 2014). C-C cleavage has 127 negative order with respect to H₂. This could mean that H is adsorbed preferably. 128 129 9- C1 species are not kinetically important and reforming reaction in not kinetically 130 important. 131 In this reaction mechanism, hydrogen capacity of the metal (stoichiometry), its adsorption 132 strength, and the coverage relative to other active species (C2, C1, O, CO) is likely to be important in

overall catalytic rate. Initiation and termination reactions are first order in chain mechanisms as well as methane activation in reforming reactions. For Ir we found a low reaction order (0.3) indicating that the slowest step is related to the creation (accumulation) to an adsorbed species (ethyl group). Ethyl group formation is superseding the global reaction order.