

Article

Experimental Study on the Effect of Hydrogen Sulfide on High-Temperature Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells by Using Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

Ren-Jun Kang and Yong-Song Chen *D

Department of Mechanical Engineering and Advanced Institute of Manufacturing with High-tech Innovations, National Chung Cheng University, 168, University Rd., Minhsiung Township, Chiayi 62102, Taiwan; jknaruto793@gmail.com

* Correspondence: imeysc@ccu.edu.tw; Tel.: +8886-5-272-9265

Received: 9 September 2018; Accepted: 8 October 2018; Published: 9 October 2018



Abstract: When the fuel supplied to a high-temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cell (HT-PEMFC) is produced by hydrocarbon formation, hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) may appear, resulting in decreased cell performance and durability. To study the effects of H₂S on the performance and durability of the HT-PEMFC, a series of experiments was conducted. In the first step, the effects of polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) and platinum loading on cell performance were investigated and discussed under pure hydrogen operation conditions. Optimal PVDF and platinum compositions in the catalyst layer are suggested. Then, the effect of H₂S on membrane electrode assembly (MEA) performance with various platinum loadings was investigated by supplying hydrogen containing 5.2 ppm of H₂S to the anode of the MEA. An electrochemical impedance spectroscope was employed to measure the impedance of the MEAs under various operating conditions. Finally, degradation of the MEA when supplied with hydrogen containing 5.2 ppm of H₂S was analyzed and discussed. The results suggest that the performance of an MEA with 0.7 mg Pt cm⁻² and 10% PVDF can be recovered by supplying pure hydrogen. The rate of voltage decrease is around 300 µV h⁻¹ in the presence of H₂S.

Keywords: high-temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cell; membrane electrode assembly; hydrogen sulfide; electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

1. Introduction

High-temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cells (HT-PEMFCs), which operate at temperatures higher than 100 °C, offer many advantages compared with low-temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cells (LT-PEMFCs), including high CO tolerance, enhanced oxygen reduction reaction kinetics, no humidification requirement, simple water management, and high-quality residual heat. Hence, they are regarded as promising energy conversion devices for combined heat and power applications [1–5]. The performance and durability of a HT-PEMFC depend highly on its membrane electrode assembly (MEA), one of key components of a cell, which comprises a proton exchange membrane, anode and cathode catalyst layers (CLs), and gas diffusion layers (GDLs). A polybenzimidazole (PBI) membrane doped with H_3PO_4 has been demonstrated to be the most promising PEM for HT-PEMFCs [6–11]. The CLs play a key role in the MEA. Many studies related to the CLs used in MEAs are available in the literature [12–14].

The CL usually consists of Pt/C catalyst and binder. The most commonly used binder is PBI because it is the same material as that of the membrane. Lobato et al. [13] investigated the



2 of 11

influence of carbon/PBI weight ratio on the performance of an HT-PEMFC and suggested a ratio of 20. Mamlouk et al. [8] investigated the effects of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) content in CLs on cell performance. They suggested that both anode and cathode CLs with 40% PTFE content provide optimal cell performance at the operating temperature of 120 °C. Su et al. [10] investigated the effect of CL composition on the performance of an HT-PEMFC, including Pt loadings and binders in the anode and cathode. Their results suggested that an MEA with PVDF as binder performs better than an MEA with PTFE or PBI. Lin et al. [14] used a mixture of PBI and PVDF as the binder in the cathode CL. Their results suggested that the introduction of PVDF into the CL enhanced performance and durability over an operation period of 48 h at 160 °C. The weight ratio of PVDF–PBI–Pt/C was suggested to be 1:5:95.

Usually, the working temperature of HT-PEMFCs ranges between 120 and 180 °C, making them suitable for use with reformed-hydrogen-based fuels [15,16]. When hydrogen is produced by reforming natural gas or biomass [17,18], some byproducts such as CO, CO₂, and H₂S may accompany hydrogen formation [19], affecting HT-PEMFC performance. These impurities can be tolerated at higher concentrations in HT-PEMFCs compared with in LT-PEMFCs. It has been shown that a few ppm of CO in the fuel causes significant performance loss in an LT-PEMFC owing to CO poisoning of the catalyst surface [20–23]. On the contrary, HT-PEMFCs can tolerate higher amounts of CO. As a result, the gas purification system and the processing for a HT-PEMFC can be simplified.

Krishnan et al. [24] investigated the CO poisoning effect of an HT-PEMFC by supplying hydrogen fuel with 1 vol % of CO to an HT-PEMFC. Their results showed that the performance loss due to CO poisoning can be recovered by supplying pure H₂ to the anode. No performance loss was observed when the HT-PEMFC was operated at 210 °C. Li et al. [25] demonstrated that Poly(2,5-benzimidazole) membrane-based HT-PEMFCs could tolerate up to 3% CO in hydrogen at an operating temperature of 200 °C and current density of 0.8 A cm⁻². In addition, they demonstrated the direct use of reformatted hydrogen from a methanol reformer without further CO removal [26].

In contrast to the effect of CO poisoning on PEMFCs, only a few studies regarding the contamination of HT-PEMFC electrodes in the presence of H_2S are available in the literature. Schmidt et al. [27] demonstrated a H_2S tolerance of at least 10 ppm for an HT-PEMFC. Under certain conditions, the effects of CO and H_2S are additive. Adding 1% CO to a 30% H_2 feed stream resulted in a cell voltage drop of 15 mV, and this drop could be recovered completely by feeding pure hydrogen. Adding 10 ppm H_2S to the hydrogen stream resulted in the same voltage drop; however, the voltage could not be recovered by supplying pure hydrogen. Their experimental results showed the incompletely reversible effect of H_2S poisoning on cell voltage. Rau et al. [28] developed bimetallic materials for the anode catalyst of HT-PEMFCs to increase tolerance to H_2S . They conducted experiments by using a three-electrode cell to simulate the working environment of HT-PEMFCs. The electrochemical characterization of the catalyst under a gas mixture containing 60% H_2 and 40% H_2S was evaluated by conducting a cyclic voltammetry test. The results showed that bimetallic catalysts are good alternatives to increase H_2S tolerance when compared to Pt/C catalysts.

According to the abovementioned studies, HT-PEMFCs can tolerate H_2S up to approximately 10 ppm. However, the mechanism of degradation of an HT-PEMFC in the presence of H_2S and the effect of catalyst loading on H_2S tolerance have not been investigated adequately. As a result, the present study focuses on the effect of H_2S on the performance of HT-PEMFCs. In the first step MEAs with various anode catalyst loadings are fabricated and tested under pure hydrogen operation. Then, the effect of anode catalyst loading on MEA performance is investigated by supplying hydrogen containing 5 ppm of H_2S . Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is employed to measure MEA impedance under various operating conditions. Finally, degradation of the MEA supplied with H_2S is analyzed and discussed.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Effect of Catalyst Composition on MEA Performance

The MEA performance is affected significantly by catalyst composition, including Pt and binder loadings. Su et al. [29] showed that gas diffusion electrodes (GDEs) with PVDF yielded better performance than with PBI or Nafion. Based on Su's study, the effect of PVDF concentration on the cell performance was investigated in this study. Pt loading for the anode and the cathode were set to 0.5 and 0.7 mg cm⁻², respectively, and the effect of PVDF loading on MEA performance is shown in Figure 1. The activation overpotentials of MEAs with PVDF loadings ranging between 5 and 20% were very close. The performance difference between 10% and 15% PVDF loadings was negligible. When the PVDF loading was increased to 25%, the MEA activation overpotential decreased rapidly. This could be due to excessive PVDF covering the electrochemical surface area of the catalyst, resulting in decreased reaction kinetics. In the ohmic region, 25% PVDF could reduce the continuous path for electron transfer in the catalyst layer, resulting in a significant ohmic potential.

The peak power densities of the single cell with PVDF loadings of 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25% were 0.158, 0.195, 0.195, 0.179, and 0.042 W cm⁻², respectively. The maximum power densities for all PVDF loadings were observed at the working voltage of 0.4 V. This result is similar to that in Su's report [29]. As a result, the optimal PVDF loading was determined to be 10% and applied in the subsequent experiments conducted in this study.



Figure 1. Polarization curves of the single cell with various PVDF loadings.

Figure 2 shows the polarization curves of the MEAs with different anode Pt loadings when the cathode Pt loading was 0.7 mg cm^{-2} . The polarization curves indicate that MEA performance did not increase significantly when the anode Pt loading was higher than 0.4 mg cm^{-2} . The current densities at 0.6 V were approximately 0.25 A cm^{-2} when the anode Pt loading was higher than 0.4 mg cm^{-2} . Because the catalyst layer thickness increased with increasing Pt loading, phosphoric acid did not penetrate through the catalyst layer to provide more reactive triple-phase sites. The optimal Pt loading for the anode was 0.4 mg cm^{-2} in this study. This Pt loading is close to the experimental value reported by Su et al. [29]. Accordingly, the optimal anode Pt loading was determined to be 0.4 mg cm^{-2} , and this value was applied in subsequent experiments in this study.



Figure 2. Polarization curves of a single cell with various Pt loadings.

2.2. Effect of H₂S on Cell Performance at Various Anode Pt Loadings

The effect of H₂S on cell performance was studied using EIS under various operating conditions. To quantify impedance, the electrochemical equivalent circuit shown in Figure 3 was employed to fit the experimental data [30]. In the equivalent circuit model, R_{Ω} represents the internal resistances of the single cell, including material resistance and contact resistance. R_{an} , R_{ca} , and R_m represent anode charge transfer resistance, cathode charge transfer resistance, and mass transfer resistance, respectively. The capacitor C_m accounts for the mass transfer effect. The constant phase elements CPE_{an} and CPE_{ca} were used to replace capacitors to improve the fit. The impedance of the CPE is of the form

$$CPE = \frac{-1}{Q(j\omega)^n}.$$
(1)

The exponent n is 1 for an ideal capacitor while 0 for a pure resistor. The value of n is 0.85 in this study. The overall impedance of the equivalent circuit then can be arranged as

$$Z_{t} = R_{\Omega} + \frac{R_{an}}{1 + R_{an}(Q_{an}(j\omega)^{n})} + \frac{R_{ca}}{1 + R_{ca}(Q_{ca}(j\omega)^{n})} + \frac{R_{m}}{1 + R_{m}j\omega C_{m}}.$$
 (2)

The resistances R_{Ω} , R_{an} , R_{ca} , and R_{m} are fitted according to the Nyquist plots obtained by EIS measurement under various operating conditions.



Figure 3. Equivalent circuit used to fit the experimental data. (R_{Ω} : internal resistance; R_{an} : anode charge transfer resistance; R_m : mass transfer resistance; C_m : mass transfer effect; CPE_{an}: constant phase element of the anode; CPE_{ca}: constant phase element of the cathode).

Figure 4 shows the Nyquist plots for investigating the effect of H₂S on the performance of MEAs with various anode Pt loadings. All MEAs with a cathode Pt loading of 0.7 mg cm⁻² and 10% PVDF were operated at 180 °C and stoichiometric ratios of 1.2 and 2 for the anode and cathode, respectively. The high-frequency resistance (HFR), R_{Ω} , of the MEAs varied between 0.21 and 0.26 Ω cm². This may have resulted from the variation of the phosphoric acid doping level of the TPS membrane because the HFR is influenced mainly by membrane resistivity. The fitted area resistances are summarized in Table 1.

When the anode was supplied with 5.2 ppm H₂S balanced with H₂, the HFR showed no significant difference at each anode Pt loading. However, the resistances of anodic charge transfer increased from 0.1250 to 0.1675, from 0.05 to 0.065, from 0.0625 to 0.075, and from 0.025 to 0.0375 Ω cm² for the anode Pt loadings of 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, and 0.6 mg cm⁻², respectively, as shown in Figure 4a–d. Although H₂S was supplied to the anode, it may have diffused to the cathode through the membrane, resulting in slightly increased resistance of cathodic charge transfer for the anode Pt loadings of 0.3–0.6 mg cm⁻², as shown in Figure 4a–d. As a result, the mass transfer resistance increased slightly in the presence of H₂S.

The increase in resistance was the least in the case of the MEA with an anode Pt loading of 0.7 mg cm^{-2} , as shown in Figure 4e. This could be ascribed to the higher specific electrochemical surface area with the anode Pt loading of 0.7 mg cm^{-2} . As presented in the previous section, when the anode Pt loading is higher than 0.4 mg cm^{-2} , the cell performance shows no significant improvement. Thus, the excess electrochemical surface area worked as a buffer to adsorb H₂S. In addition, the presence of oxygen diffusing through the membrane from the cathode could decontaminate the catalyst according to the following reaction:

$$H_2S + O_2 + 3Pt \rightarrow Pt-S + 2Pt-O + H_2O$$
(3)

$$Pt-S+2Pt-O \to SO_2+3Pt.$$
(4)

When the anode was supplied with pure H_2 again, the resistances of anodic charge transfer, cathodic charge transfer, and mass transfer decreased and almost recovered to their initial values for all anode Pt loadings. The results showed that the MEAs manufactured in this study can tolerate at least 5.2 ppm of H_2S .

Pt Loading (mg cm ⁻²)	Anode Gas	R_{Ω} ($\Omega \text{ cm}^2$)	R _{an} (Ω cm ²)	R _{ca} (Ω cm ²)	$R_{\rm m}$ ($\Omega \ {\rm cm}^2$)
0.3	H ₂	0.2575	0.1250	0.2250	0.1500
	H_2S	0.2750	0.1675	0.2500	0.2200
	Recover	0.2575	0.1125	0.1500	0.1500
0.4	H ₂	0.2225	0.0500	0.1375	0.1375
	H_2S	0.2250	0.0650	0.2750	0.1800
	Recover	0.2225	0.0375	0.1425	0.1250
0.5	H ₂	0.2575	0.0625	0.1850	0.1175
	H_2S	0.2575	0.0750	0.2000	0.1575
	Recover	0.2575	0.0500	0.1750	0.1175
0.6	H ₂	0.2100	0.0250	0.1500	0.1150
	H_2S	0.2175	0.0375	0.2125	0.1075
	Recover	0.2150	0.0300	0.1875	0.1050
0.7	H ₂	0.2225	0.0500	0.2000	0.0800
	H_2S	0.2225	0.0500	0.2125	0.1000
	Recover	0.2225	0.0375	0.1925	0.0950

Table 1. Fitted resistances of membrane electrode assemblies (MEAs) with various anode Pt loadings under different gases.



Figure 4. Cont.



Figure 4. Effect of H₂S on AC impedance of MEAs under various Pt loadings measured at current density of 0.48 A cm⁻²: (**a**) 0.3; (**b**) 0.4; (**c**) 0.5; (**d**) 0.6; and (**e**) 0.7 mg cm⁻². (\Box : pure H₂; \diamond : H₂S; \bigcirc : H₂ recovery; - -: fitted line).

2.3. Effect of H₂S on Cell Performance under Various Operating Current Density Levels

According to the results shown in the previous section, when the anode Pt loading was 0.7 mg cm^{-2} , H₂S has less impact on cell performance and cell performance could be recovered after supplying pure H₂. Thereafter, MEAs were prepared with both anode and cathode Pt loadings of 0.7 mg cm^{-2} for the experiments.

Figure 5 shows the effect of operating current density on the impedances in the presence of 5.2 ppm H₂S in the anode. The fitted area resistances are summarized in Table 2. When the anode was supplied with pure H₂, the HFR of the MEAs ranged between 0.18 and 0.22 Ω cm², demonstrating the stability of the manufacturing process employed in this study. The resistance of anodic charge transfer at the operating current density of 0.2 A cm⁻² was 0.1375 Ω , which was slightly higher than those at the other operating current density levels, as shown in Figure 5a. This could be ascribed to the low H₂ flow rate at the low current density of 0.2 A cm⁻², resulting in increased activation overpotential. In this study, stoichiometric ratios for the anode and cathode were 1.2 and 2, respectively. Accordingly, flow rates of both anode and cathode gases increased with operating current density, resulting in the decrease of the area resistance of anodic charge transfer, as shown in Figure 5b–d. However, the area resistance of mass transfer increased significantly at 0.5 A cm⁻² owing to insufficient supply of cathode air. Moreover, the water generated on the catalyst surface at a higher operating current density of oxygen to the reaction sites.



Figure 5. Effect of H₂S on AC impedance of MEAs under various operating current density levels: (a) 0.2; (b) 0.3; (c) 0.4; and (d) 0.5 A cm⁻². (\Box : pure H₂; \diamond : H₂S; \bigcirc : H₂ recovery; - -: fitted line).

Current Density (A cm ⁻²)	Anode Gas	R_{Ω} ($\Omega \text{ cm}^2$)	R _{an} (Ω cm ²)	R_{ca} ($\Omega \ cm^2$)	$R_{\rm m}$ ($\Omega \ {\rm cm}^2$)
0.2	H ₂	0.2175	0.1375	0.2375	0.2875
	H_2S	0.2175	0.1625	0.2525	0.3025
	Recover	0.2175	0.1375	0.2375	0.2875
0.3	H ₂	0.2000	0.0750	0.2500	0.2875
	H_2S	0.2150	0.1075	0.2500	0.2625
	Recover	0.2150	0.0975	0.2225	0.2975
0.4	H ₂	0.1875	0.0625	0.3000	0.3125
	H_2S	0.1925	0.0875	0.2625	0.3500
	Recover	0.1950	0.0750	0.3175	0.2975
0.5	H ₂	0.1875	0.0625	0.3750	0.4250
	H_2S	0.1850	0.0875	0.4000	0.4125
	Recover	0.1875	0.0625	0.3850	0.4250

Table 2. Fitted resistances of MEAs operated at various current density levels under different gases.

After the anode was fed with H_2S , all area resistances did not increase significantly because the anode Pt loading was adequately high to alleviate the effect of H_2S on cell performance, as discussed in the previous section. As shown in Figure 5a, the increase in the area resistance of mass transfer is larger at 0.2 A cm⁻² than it is at the other current density levels owing to the low gas flow rate. When pure H_2 was supplied to the anode, all resistances at each operating current density almost recovered

to their initial values. These results imply that MEA performance was not influenced significantly by H_2S at operating current densities of up to 0.5 A cm⁻².

2.4. Durability Test

The effect of H_2S on MEA durability is shown in Figure 6. The MEA was operated at the current density of 0.4 A cm⁻² for around 150 h. In the first 125 h, the anode was supplied with 5.2 ppm H_2S balanced by hydrogen. As can be seen in the plot, the initial voltage degradation rate was noticeable, and it became moderate gradually. The degradation rate was calculated to be 400 μ V h⁻¹ between the 20th hour and 30th hour, and 300 μ V h⁻¹ between the 100th hour and 110th hour. In the beginning, when H_2S was supplied to the anode, the electrochemically active surface area decreased gradually, resulting in degradation. When the steady-state coverage was reached, the degradation became moderate. When the anode gas was switched to pure hydrogen in the 125th hour, cell voltage increased to 0.654 V but did not completely recover to its initial voltage. This could be caused by partial poisoning of the catalysts, resulting in a lower overall electrochemically active area. However, the degradation rate decreased to 200 μ V h⁻¹. This result suggests that the MEA with anode Pt loading of 0.7 mg cm⁻² alleviated the degradation caused by 5.2 ppm H₂S.



Figure 6. Cell voltage at 0.4 A cm⁻² using 5.2 ppm H₂S with H₂ balanced and using 99.99% H₂.

3. Experimental

3.1. MEA Preparation

MEAs with an active area of 25 cm² and composed of a membrane and GDE were fabricated. A commercially available membrane (TPS, Advent, Greece) was doped with 85% H₃PO₄ at 140 °C for 12 h to achieve the desired doping level of 270% before use. The GDE was a GDL (GDL340, CeTech, Taiwan) coated with catalyst by using an ultrasonic spraying system (Benchtop BT, USI, USA). The catalyst ink consisted of carbon-supported platinum (46.6% Pt/C, Tanaka, Japan), PVDF, and DMAc. The catalyst ink was stirred for 4 h and then mixed using an ultrasonic bath. The ratio of PVDF to the solid phase was 5–25 wt %. The Pt loading was controlled by the number of spraying layers, ranging between 0.3 and 0.7 mg Pt cm⁻². During the spraying process, the GDL was heated to 200 °C by using a hot plate (PC-400D, Corning, NY, USA).

The MEA was assembled by sandwiching the doped TPS membrane between two GDEs in a single cell fixture without the preceding hot-pressing step. The single cell fixture consisted of two graphite plate plates with single and triple serpentine channels in the anode and the cathode, respectively.

3.2. Experimental Procedure

The single cell was operated in a fuel cell test station (Mini 150, Hephase energy, Taiwan) with an electronic load (PLZ660, Kikusui, Japan). Before the performance test, each MEA was activated at 180 °C by supplying dry pure H₂ and air with stoichiometric ratios of 1.2 and 2, respectively. The applied current was alternated periodically between 0.2 and 0.4 A cm⁻² for at least 9 h during the activation process.

The polarization curves were obtained by measuring cell voltage with stepwise increment of current density from 0 to 1 A cm⁻². At each current density, the cell voltage was measured after a holding time of 10 min for the cell to approach a steady state.

The effect of H_2S on the cell performance was investigated in three steps by using the experimental setup shown in Figure 7. The fuel cell was operated at selected current density levels at 180 °C. Initially, the anode and the cathode were fed with pure hydrogen and air at the stoichiometric ratios of 1.2 and 2, respectively, for 10 min. Then, the anode was supplied with 5.2 ppm H_2S with H_2 balanced for 30 min, and subsequently, the supply was switched back to pure H_2 for 20 min. The cell voltage was monitored during fuel cell operation and electrochemical impedance was measured in each step.



Figure 7. Experimental setup for investigating effect of hydrogen sulfide on high-temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cell (HT-PEMFC) performance.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed using an AC impedance meter (KFM2150, Kikusui Electronics, Japan). EIS measurements were performed at selected operating current density levels with an amplitude of 10 mV and a frequency range of 0.1 Hz–10 kHz.

4. Conclusions

In this study, the effect of H_2S on HT-PEMFC performance was investigated using self-made MEAs. MEA performance under various PVDF and Pt loadings in the catalyst layer was analyzed and discussed. Then, the electrochemical resistances were studied by using EIS under various operating conditions. The following conclusions were drawn.

- 1. When PVDF was employed as the binder, excessive PVDF covered the electrochemical surface area of the catalyst and reduced the continuous path for electron transfer. The optimal PVDF loading in the catalyst layer was 10 wt % in this study.
- 2. MEA performance did not increase significantly when the anode Pt loading was higher than 0.4 mg cm^{-2} under pure H₂ operation.
- 3. After the anode was supplied with H_2S , the resistance increase was the least for the MEA with anode Pt loading of 0.7 mg cm⁻². Because the performances of MEAs with anode Pt loadings higher than 0.4 mg cm⁻² were almost the same, the excess electrochemical surface area can be said to have worked as a buffer that adsorbed H_2S . The larger increase in area resistance at 0.2 A cm⁻² was caused by slow gas flow rate.
- 4. In the durability test, the voltage decrease rate was approximately 300 μ V h⁻¹ in the presence of H₂S, and it recovered to 200 μ V h⁻¹ after H₂ was supplied to the anode.

Author Contributions: R.-J.K. performed experiments and prepared figures. Y.-S.C. prepared this manuscript. Both authors read and approved this manuscript before submission.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge the support from the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan, ROC (106-3113-E-006-002-CC2) for this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Arsalis, A.; Nielsen, M.P.; Kær, S.K. Modeling and off-design performance of a 1kWe HT-PEMFC (high temperature-proton exchange membrane fuel cell)-based residential micro-CHP (combined-heat-and-power) system for Danish single-family households. *Energy* **2011**, *36*, 993–1002. [CrossRef]
- 2. Arsalis, A.; Nielsen, M.P.; Kær, S.K. Modeling and parametric study of a 1kWe HT-PEMFC-based residential micro-CHP system. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2011**, *36*, 5010–5020. [CrossRef]
- 3. Giacoppo, G.; Barbera, O.; Carbone, A.; Gatto, I.; Saccà, A.; Pedicini, R.; Passalacqua, E. 1.5 kWe HT-PEFC stack with composite MEA for CHP application. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2013**, *38*, 11619–11627. [CrossRef]
- Najafi, B.; Haghighat Mamaghani, A.; Rinaldi, F.; Casalegno, A. Long-term performance analysis of an HT-PEM fuel cell based micro-CHP system: Operational strategies. *Appl. Energy* 2015, 147, 582–592. [CrossRef]
- 5. Lei, J.; Yue, H.; Tang, H.; Liang, B. Heat integration and optimization of hydrogen production for a 1 kW low-temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cell. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **2015**, *123*, 81–91. [CrossRef]
- 6. Li, Q.; He, R.; Jensen, J.O.; Bjerrum, N.J. PBI-based polymer membranes for high temperature fuel cells–preparation, characterization and fuel cell demonstration. *Fuel Cells* **2004**, *4*, 147–159. [CrossRef]
- 7. Lobato, J.; Cañizares, P.; Rodrigo, M.A.; Ruiz-López, C.; Linares, J.J. Influence of the Teflon loading in the gas diffusion layer of PBI-based PEM fuel cells. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* **2008**, *38*, 793–802. [CrossRef]
- 8. Mamlouk, M.; Scott, K. Phosphoric acid-doped electrodes for a PBI polymer membrane fuel cell. *Int. J. Energy Res.* **2011**, *35*, 507–519. [CrossRef]
- 9. Jung, G.-B.; Tseng, C.-C.; Yeh, C.-C.; Lin, C.-Y. Membrane electrode assemblies doped with H₃PO₄ for high temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cells. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2012**, *37*, 13645–13651. [CrossRef]
- 10. Su, H.; Pasupathi, S.; Bladergroen, B.; Linkov, V.; Pollet, B.G. Optimization of gas diffusion electrode for polybenzimidazole-based high temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cell: Evaluation of polymer binders in catalyst layer. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2013**, *38*, 11370–11378. [CrossRef]
- Su, H.; Pasupathi, S.; Bladergroen, B.J.; Linkov, V.; Pollet, B.G. Enhanced performance of polybenzimidazole-based high temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cell with gas diffusion electrodes prepared by automatic catalyst spraying under irradiation technique. *J. Power Sources* 2013, 242, 510–519. [CrossRef]
- 12. Myles, T.; Bonville, L.; Maric, R. Catalyst, membrane, free electrolyte challenges, and pathways to resolutions in high temperature polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells. *Catalysts* **2017**, *7*, 16. [CrossRef]
- Lobato, J.; Cañizares, P.; Rodrigo, M.A.; Linares, J.J.; Pinar, F.J. Study of the influence of the amount of PBI-H₃PO₄ in the catalytic layer of a high temperature PEMFC. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* 2010, *35*, 1347–1355. [CrossRef]
- 14. Lin, H.-L.; Wu, T.-J.; Lin, Y.-T.; Wu, H.-C. Effect of polyvinylidene difluoride in the catalyst layer on high-temperature PEMFCs. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2015**, *40*, 9400–9409. [CrossRef]
- 15. Lwin, L.; Daud, W.R.W.D.; Mohamad, A.B.; Yaakob, Z. Hydrogen production from steam methanol reforming: Thermodynamic analysis. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2000**, *25*, 47–53. [CrossRef]
- 16. Joensen, F.; Rostrup-Nielsen, J.R. Conversion of hydrocarbons and alcohols for fuel cells. *J. Power Sources* **2002**, *105*, 195–201. [CrossRef]
- 17. Hafez, H.; Nakhla, G.; El Naggar, H. Biological Hydrogen production from corn-syrup waste using a novel system. *Energies* **2009**, *2*, 445–455. [CrossRef]
- 18. Kumar, A.; Jones, D.; Hanna, M. Thermochemical biomass gasification: A review of the current status of the technology. *Energies* **2009**, *2*, 556–581. [CrossRef]
- Rau, M.; Cremers, C.; Tübke, J. Development of anodic materials for HT-PEMFCs with high tolerance to H₂S. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* 2015, 40, 5439–5443. [CrossRef]

- 20. Qi, Z. Effect of CO in the anode fuel on the performance of PEM fuel cell cathode. *J. Power Sources* **2002**, 111, 239–247. [CrossRef]
- 21. Brett, D.; Aguiar, P.; Brandon, N.; Kucernak, A. Measurement and modelling of carbon monoxide poisoning distribution within a polymer electrolyte fuel cell. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2007**, *32*, 863–871. [CrossRef]
- 22. Reshetenko, T.V.; Bethune, K.; Rocheleau, R. Spatial proton exchange membrane fuel cell performance under carbon monoxide poisoning at a low concentration using a segmented cell system. *J. Power Sources* **2012**, *218*, 412–423. [CrossRef]
- 23. Decoopman, B.; Vincent, R.; Rosini, S.; Paganelli, G.; Thivel, P.X. Proton exchange membrane fuel cell reversible performance loss induced by carbon monoxide produced during operation. *J. Power Sources* **2016**, 324, 492–498. [CrossRef]
- 24. Krishnan, P.; Park, J.-S.; Kim, C.-S. Performance of a poly(2,5-benzimidazole) membrane based high temperature PEM fuel cell in the presence of carbon monoxide. *J. Power Sources* **2006**, *159*, 817–823. [CrossRef]
- 25. Li, Q.; He, R.; Gao, J.-A.; Jensen, J.O.; Bjerrum, N.J. The CO poisoning effect in PEMFCs operational at temperatures up to 200 °C. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2003**, *150*, A1599–A1605. [CrossRef]
- 26. Pan, C.; He, R.; Li, Q.; Jensen, J.O.; Bjerrum, N.J.; Hjulmand, H.A.; Jensen, A.B. Integration of high temperature PEM fuel cells with a methanol reformer. *J. Power Sources* **2005**, *145*, 392–398. [CrossRef]
- 27. Schmidt, T.J.; Baurmeister, J. Durability and reliability in high-temperature reformed hydrogen PEFCs. *ECS Trans.* **2006**, *3*, 861–869.
- 28. Rau, M.; Niedergesäß, A.; Cremers, C.; Alfaro, S.; Steenberg, T.; Hjuler, H.A. Characterization of membrane electrode assemblies for high-temperature PEM fuel cells. *Fuel Cells* **2016**, *16*, 577–583. [CrossRef]
- 29. Su, H.; Jao, T.-C.; Pasupathi, S.; Bladergroen, B.J.; Linkov, V.; Pollet, B.G. A novel dual catalyst layer structured gas diffusion electrode for enhanced performance of high temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cell. *ECS Trans.* **2014**, 246, 63–67. [CrossRef]
- Andreasen, S.J.; Vang, J.R.; Kær, S.K. High temperature PEM fuel cell performance characterisation with CO and CO2 using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* 2011, *36*, 9815–9830. [CrossRef]



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).