



Article Identifying the Active Phase of RuO₂ in the Catalytic CO Oxidation Reaction, Employing Operando CO Infrared Spectroscopy and Online Mass Spectrometry

Phillip Timmer ^{1,2}, Lorena Glatthaar ^{1,2}, Tim Weber ^{1,2} and Herbert Over ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Institute of Physical Chemistry, Justus Liebig University, Heinrich Buff Ring 17, 35392 Giessen, Germany; phillip.timmer@phys.chemie.uni-giessen.de (P.T.); lorena.glatthaar@phys.chemie.uni-giessen.de (L.G.)
- ² Center for Materials Research, Justus Liebig University, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 16, 35392 Giessen, Germany

* Correspondence: herbert.over@phys.chemie.uni-giessen.de

Abstract: Operando diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) is combined with online mass spectrometry (MS) to help to resolve a long-standing debate concerning the active phase of RuO₂ supported on rutile TiO₂ (RuO₂@TiO₂) during the CO oxidation reaction. DRIFTS has been demonstrated to serve as a versatile probe molecule to elucidate the active phase of RuO₂@TiO₂ under various reaction conditions. Fully oxidized and fully reduced catalysts serve to provide reference DRIFT spectra, based on which the operando CO spectra acquired during CO oxidation under various reaction conditions are interpreted. Partially reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ was identified as the most active catalyst in the CO oxidation reaction. This is independent of the reaction conditions being reducing or oxidizing and whether the starting catalyst is the fully oxidized RuO₂@TiO₂ or the partially reduced RuO₂@TiO₂.

Keywords: catalytic CO oxidation; ruthenium; RuO₂; catalytically active phase; operando DRIFTS



Citation: Timmer, P.; Glatthaar, L.; Weber, T.; Over, H. Identifying the Active Phase of RuO₂ in the Catalytic CO Oxidation Reaction, Employing Operando CO Infrared Spectroscopy and Online Mass Spectrometry. *Catalysts* **2023**, *13*, 1178. https:// doi.org/10.3390/catal13081178

Academic Editors: Hongxing Dai and Junhu Wang

Received: 16 June 2023 Revised: 13 July 2023 Accepted: 19 July 2023 Published: 1 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

Scientific discussions about the nature of the active phase in a catalytic reaction are not straightforward [1]. This has been encountered particularly often with reducible oxides of precious metals such as Ru [2,3], Pd [4,5], Pt [6], Rh [7], and Ir [8–10], which can readily adapt their oxidation state depending on the specific reaction condition. Therefore, to identify the actual active phase one needs to employ operando spectroscopic or structure-sensitive methods [11–13].

One particular intensively discussed example, and the first catalytic system where this discussion heated up, is the CO oxidation reaction over Ru-based catalysts [14]. Here, two schools are involved: one that prefers metallic ruthenium being the active phase [15] and another that favors oxide being the active phase [16]. We recall that the ruthenium system reveals a surprisingly rich chemistry during CO oxidation, exhibiting phase changes and being subject to poisoning by the formed CO_2 [2] to the point that even oscillations in the CO_2 yield can occur in the CO oxidation reaction performed in a flow cell [17]. At the summit of this discussion, ruthenium dioxide was even considered to be not active at all in oxidation catalysis. Admittedly, the catalytic CO oxidation reaction over Rubased catalysts does not have application in exhaust after treatment due to the potential formation of toxic and volatile RuO_4 at high temperatures. However, Ru and especially RuO₂ are currently applied in large-scale industrial processes, such as the catalytic HCl oxidation reaction (Deacon process) [18-20] and the electrochemical chlorine evolution reaction (CER) [21], and is considered to be the most efficient oxygen evolution reaction (OER) catalyst for electrochemical water splitting under acidic reaction conditions [22,23]. A general discussion about the catalytically active phase even for a "seemingly less relevant" CO oxidation reaction may therefore have greater impact than hitherto expected, since the

same sites and phases may play a role in these reactions as well. As far as we can judge, the discussion of the active phase of Ru-catalyzed CO oxidation has still not been settled.

In a recent paper by Gustafson et al. [7], the discussion of the active phase in the CO oxidation over Pd and Rh was settled, employing operando high-pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). For Rh, the oxygen-covered metallic surface was shown to be more active than the oxide, whereas for Pd, thin oxide films were reported to be at least as active as the metallic surface, but a thicker oxide was less active.

In this contribution, we present and discuss operando diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) experiments [24,25] in combination with online mass spectrometry for catalytic CO oxidation over ruthenium. Catalytic CO oxidation, a well-documented model reaction [26], is carried out in a flow cell reactor setup under various reaction conditions. DRIFTS has been demonstrated to be a powerful technique to identify reaction intermediates on the catalyst's surface, in particular when CO is involved. More important for our present study is, however, that CO can serve as a versatile probe molecule to study the actual chemical nature of the active phase under reaction conditions [27,28]. This approach is applied to elucidate the active phase of RuO₂@TiO₂. To do so, first, fully oxidized and fully reduced Ru-based catalysts supported on rutile TiO₂ are prepared. The DRIFT spectra of these are used as reference spectra for the subsequent interpretation of operando CO spectra acquired during CO oxidation under various reaction conditions. It is found that, independent of the reaction conditions, the partially reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ catalyst constitutes the most active catalyst in the CO oxidation reaction.

2. Experimental Results

2.1. Characterization of Pre-Oxidized and Pre-Reduced $RuO_2@TiO_2$ and $Ru^0 + TiO_2$ Samples

Figure 1 shows XP spectra of the Ru 3d binding energy region of supported RuO₂ on TiO₂, referred to as RuO₂@TiO₂, and the metallic Ru⁰ physically mixed with TiO₂, referred to as Ru⁰ + TiO₂. The fit parameters used were taken from Morgan et al. [29] and are compiled in Table S1. According to the spectra, ruthenium was fully oxidized in the case of RuO₂@TiO₂. The spectra of the mixture of Ru⁰ + TiO₂ yielded very low signals in XPS. Therefore, pure Ru⁰ powder was used to make a meaningful deconvolution possible in the XPS analysis. Here, pure metallic Ru⁰ was found. After exposure to reducing CO oxidation conditions (1% O₂/4% CO/95% Ar), the XP spectra of Ru⁰ + TiO₂ and RuO₂@TiO₂ in Figure 1 indicate spectral features of both metallic and oxidic Ru. For Ru⁰ + TiO₂, this effect seem to be less pronounced than for RuO₂@TiO₂. The reason for this is likely the large size of the Ru⁰ particles, as only the surface would be oxidized and therefore the bulk metal signal would dominate the XPS signal.

2.2. CO DRIFTS Experiments of Oxidized and Reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ and Ru⁰ + TiO₂ Samples

Figure 2A shows CO DRIFT spectra of RuO_2 @TiO₂ and $\text{Ru}^0 + \text{TiO}_2$. For this and all following DRIFTS spectra, the y-axis corresponds to absorption in arbitrary units. As evidenced by XPS, these samples consisted of pure oxide and pure metal, respectively. As such, these served as references for assigning spectral DRIFTS features to the CO adsorption on oxide RuO_2 and pure metal Ru^0 , respectively. For both samples, a single symmetric band at around 2060 cm⁻¹ was observed. The same spectral feature was observed for $\text{Ru}^0 + \text{TiO}_2$, which had been oxidized in 4% O₂ at 300 °C (cf. Figure S2). We therefore conclude that a distinction between pure metal and pure oxide cannot be made in DRIFTS experiments based on band position alone.



Figure 1. Ru 3d XP spectra of (**A**) Ru⁰ and (**C**) RuO₂@TiO₂. The former sample is measured without TiO₂, as the signal is too weak otherwise. (**B**,**D**) show the respective samples after exposure to reducing CO oxidation conditions (1% O₂/4% CO/95% Ar) at 300 °C. Table S1 provides the fit parameters for deconvolution of the experimental spectra (circles). The fit parameters are taken from Morgan et al. [29].



Figure 2. DRIFT spectra of CO adsorption are shown for (**A**) commercial $Ru^0 + TiO_2$ containing only purely metallic Ru and $RuO_2@TiO_2$ containing only purely oxidic Ru as prepared by Pechini synthesis on the left. (**B**) Samples after exposure to reducing CO oxidation conditions (1% $O_2/4\%$ CO/95% Ar) at 300 °C. The spectra are recorded at room temperature.

Under reducing CO oxidation conditions ($1\% O_2/4\% CO/95\%$ Ar) at 300 °C, the as-prepared catalyst changed its composition in that Ru⁰ + TiO₂ partially oxidized, whereas RuO₂@TiO₂ partially reduced. DRIFT spectra of the samples after cooling down under these reaction conditions are summarized in Figure 2B. Here, some differences and similarities of the two samples can be identified. The differences in signal strength were due to differing reflectivity of the samples. For oxidized $Ru^0 + TiO_2$ the only difference to the pristine Ru^0 + TiO₂ was the occurrence of a second absorption band at 1985 cm⁻¹. For RuO₂@TiO₂, the spectra changed more profoundly relative to its pristine sample (Figure 2A). On the one hand, it also exhibited the aforementioned second signal, albeit at a higher wavenumber of 2000 cm^{-1} . In addition, the band at 2065 cm^{-1} revealed an asymmetric shoulder reaching lower wavenumbers. Experiments on more strongly reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ indicated this shoulder to be a distinct third species at ca. 2040 cm^{-1} (cf. Figure 3). The importance of this species for the activity of Ru⁰/RuO₂ towards CO oxidation is discussed below. Furthermore, a fourth band at 2135 cm⁻¹ can be observed. Spectra of pure TiO₂ under a CO atmosphere did not indicate any adsorbed CO in DRIFTS (cf. Figure S3). The DRIFT spectra of $RuO_2@TiO_2$ and $Ru^0 + TiO_2$ in Figure 2B under reducing reaction conditions were similar but different from the pristine samples, thus evidencing a partial reduction of $RuO_2@TiO_2$ and the partial oxidation of $Ru^0 + TiO_2$ in Figure 2B.



Figure 3. Operando DRIFT spectra of (**A**) RuO_2 @TiO₂ and (**C**) reduced RuO_2 @TiO₂ as well as (**B**) corresponding CO conversion data under oxidizing (2% O₂/2% CO/96% Ar) reaction feed composition. For the conversion data, the dark green line corresponds to RuO_2 @TiO₂ and the light green line to reduced RuO_2 @TiO₂. The temperature axis of the conversion plot is marked by dashed lines in the color of the corresponding DRIFT spectra. Spectra are recorded in 20 °C increments. The heating ramp is 1.8 K·min⁻¹.

2.3. CO Oxidation Experiments of RuO₂@TiO₂ Samples

Figure 3 shows DRIFT spectra and the corresponding CO conversion of $RuO_2@TiO_2$ and reduced $RuO_2@TiO_2$ (see Experimental Details, Section 4.1) during the CO oxidation reaction under oxidizing conditions (2% $O_2/2\%$ CO/96% Ar) in the temperature range of 20 °C to 260 °C.

For RuO₂@TiO₂, presented in Figure 3A, a single band at 2075 cm⁻¹ was observed, in accordance with a fully oxidized RuO₂ surface (cf. Figure 2A). This band remained unchanged in shape and position up to 140 °C, where it started to diminish. Above 160 °C,

no adsorbed CO could be detected in DRIFTS. The conversion increased till it reached a plateau from 150 $^{\circ}$ C to 180 $^{\circ}$ C, at which temperature the reaction rate increased again up to 260 $^{\circ}$ C.

The reduced RuO₂@TiO₂, which was exposed to 4% CO at 300 °C during the pretreatment, initially showed, as seen in Figure 3C, a somewhat different peak shape than in Figure 2B. Here the most prominent feature is a band at 2042 cm^{-1} surrounded by shoulders reaching high and low wavenumbers. At 60 $^{\circ}$ C, the 2042 cm⁻¹ signal started to diminish, and at 100 °C it vanished completely. Between 120 °C and 180 °C, the DRIFT spectra looked like those of the reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ sample (cf. Figure 2B), albeit with a less pronounced low wavenumber shoulder on the 2077 $\rm cm^{-1}$ band. During this transition, it can clearly be seen that the DRIFT bands of adsorbed CO observed between 2100 cm^{-1} and 1980 cm^{-1} consisted of three distinct spectral features at ca. 2075 cm^{-1} , 2000 cm^{-1} , and 2042 cm⁻¹. Although the 2042 cm⁻¹ feature vanished first with increasing temperature, the bands at 2075 cm^{-1} and 2000 cm^{-1} seemed to be more stable. It is furthermore important to note that, concomitant with the disappearance of the 2042 cm⁻¹ band at 100 °C, the reaction rate increased steeply. Above 100 °C, the conversion observed for reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ overtook the one for RuO₂@TiO₂ and remained higher than the one for RuO₂@TiO₂ over the entire temperature range. At high temperatures, the gas-phase bands of CO and CO_2 seemed to become negative. This is due to IR emission of the heated gas layer above the catalyst.

Figure 4 depicts DRIFT spectra of RuO_2 @TiO₂ during cooling from 260 °C (cf. Figure 3A) to room temperature under an oxidizing (2% O₂/2% CO/96% Ar) reaction feed. Interestingly, below 160 °C the spectra showed the same low wavenumber bands previously associated with partial reduction of RuO_2 @TiO₂ (cf. Figure 2B), albeit to a lesser degree. This suggests that a partial reduction of the RuO_2 surface is observed, even under an oxidizing reaction feed. Further implications of this finding are discussed in the Section 3.



Figure 4. Operando DRIFT spectra of RuO_2 @TiO₂ during cooldown under oxidizing (2% O₂/2% CO/96% Ar) reaction feed composition. Spectra are recorded in 20 °C increments. The heating ramp is 1.8 K·min⁻¹.

Figure 5 summarizes the operando DRIFTS experiments of RuO₂@TiO₂ and reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ during the CO oxidation reaction under reducing conditions (1% O₂/4% CO/95% Ar) when increasing the reaction temperature from 20 °C to 260 °C together with corresponding CO conversion data. In DRIFTS of RuO₂@TiO₂ (Figure 5A), there was again only a single band at 2077 cm⁻¹ observed at low temperatures. This spectral feature remained unchanged up to 140 °C, when two additional bands appeared at 2025 cm⁻¹ and 2134 cm⁻¹. The conversion under reducing reaction conditions (Figure 5B) behaved

like that under oxidizing reaction conditions (Figure 3B), with conversion increasing up to 140 °C, followed by a plateau till 210 °C. At this temperature, the band at 2040 cm⁻¹ re-emerged, concomitant with a steep increase in the conversion.



Figure 5. Operando DRIFT spectra of (**A**) RuO_2 @TiO₂ and (**C**) reduced RuO_2 @TiO₂, as well as (**B**) corresponding CO conversion data under reducing (1% O₂/4% CO/95% Ar) reaction feed composition. For the conversion data, the dark green line corresponds to RuO_2 @TiO₂ and the light green line to reduced RuO_2 @TiO₂. The temperature axis of the conversion plot is marked by dashed lines in the color of the corresponding DRIFT spectra. Spectra are recorded in 20 °C increments. The heating ramp is 1.8 K·min⁻¹.

With a further increase in the temperature, the 2040 cm⁻¹ band diminished and merged with the 2025 cm⁻¹ signal. At 260 °C, only bands at 2134 cm⁻¹, 2075 cm⁻¹, and 2025 cm⁻¹ could be discerned clearly, with the latter being the most prominent one. Different from RuO₂@TiO₂ under oxidizing conditions, here a clear correlation between the partial reduction of the catalyst and an increase in activity was observed.

For DRIFTS of reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ under reducing reaction conditions (Figure 5C), the bands of adsorbed CO initially looked like those observed under oxidizing reaction conditions (Figure 3C). However, with increasing temperature, the high and low wavenumber shoulders of the 2040 cm⁻¹ signal became more pronounced. Here, the 2040 cm⁻¹ did not vanish above 100 °C. Instead, it remained clearly visible up to 200 °C, where it started to diminish. Note that for RuO₂@TiO₂ and reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ the spectra at 260 °C started to look very similar. The CO conversion of reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ under reducing conditions was markedly higher than that of RuO₂@TiO₂ throughout the entire temperature region.

Conversion plots and DRIFT spectra for a second heating ramp are summarized in Figure 6. The spectra were recorded after the catalyst was cooled back to room temperature. For both reducing and oxidizing reaction conditions, the DRIFT spectra looked similar regardless of the initial state of the catalyst. For reducing conditions, both samples exhibited bands at ca. 2135 cm⁻¹, 2060 cm⁻¹, and 2000 cm⁻¹, with almost identical shape and intensity (cf. Figure 6B, green spectra), which were characteristic for the partial reduction of the catalyst. For oxidizing conditions, the main band was 2077 cm⁻¹ (cf. Figure 6B, blue spectra), but additionally, some weak signals were observed reaching down to 2000 cm⁻¹, as also seen in Figure 4. The conversion curves converged as well for the second heating ramp for all samples only depending on the gas feed composition. For reducing conditions,

the conversion curves (Figure 6A) were practically identical. The only difference with respect to the first heating ramp of reduced $RuO_2@TiO_2$ (shown in grey) is that the conversion was slightly lower throughout the temperature range and the conversion during the second heat-up of $RuO_2@TiO_2$ still exhibited hints of the high temperature conversion plateau.



Figure 6. Operando DRIFT spectra and conversion of RuO_2 @TiO₂ and reduced RuO_2 @TiO₂ under (**A**) reducing and (**C**) oxidizing reaction feed composition for the second reaction heat-up. The spectra (**B**) are recorded after cooling the catalyst to room temperature. The grey conversion curves represent the conversion of the first heat-up for the RuO_2 @TiO₂ and reduced RuO_2 @TiO₂, as shown in Figures 3 and 5, respectively. Regardless of the initial state of the catalyst, spectra and conversion converged in accordance with the reaction feed.

For oxidizing conditions (Figure 6C), the differences in the conversion curves were more profound between the first and second heat ramps. The conversion plateau of the second heating ramp was markedly reduced relative to the first heat-up, signifying a clear correlation between activity and the presence of low wavenumber signals. However, the conversion curves for the second heat-up for both RuO₂@TiO₂ and reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ were practically identical and depended only on the reaction conditions. Overall, we can conclude from Figure 6 that the catalyst adapted dynamically to the same active phase regardless of whether it started from the oxidized or the reduced sample, but of course depending on the reaction environment.

3. Discussion

3.1. Stretching Vibrations of Adsorbed CO Probing the Actual Surface Oxidation State of RuO₂

Table 1 summarizes stretching modes of adsorbed CO for various Ru-based catalysts reported in the literature. We note that the assignment of the band positions to specific adsorption sites vary sometimes between different publications. This is likely due to exact band positions being dependent on many factors, such as coverage of CO and O, as well as the oxidation state of the adsorption site. A few general trends can, however, be identified. RuO₂ bands below 2000 cm⁻¹ are assigned to CO on bridge positions, whereas those above 2000 cm⁻¹ are ascribed to on-top CO. Here, a bridge position means CO being adsorbed to two adjacent Ru atoms, whereas on-top means CO on a single Ru atom. Infrared bands between 2000 and 2050 cm⁻¹ were mainly observed for reduced RuO₂ or supposedly metallic samples. Note that Peden et al. [30], who assigned the band at 2040 cm⁻¹ to metallic Ru, exposed the sample to strongly oxidizing conditions before the measurement.

Bands in the region of 2050–2100 cm⁻¹ are assigned to both RuO₂ and metallic Ru. Bands above 2100 cm⁻¹ are assigned to Ru^{x+}(CO)_y, which is linked to partial reduction of RuO₂ or to CO on fully O covered RuO₂(110) surfaces in some single-crystal studies.

Band Position/cm ⁻¹	Adsorbed Species	Substrate	Ref.	Remarks	
1860–1970	СО	Mildly reduced RuO ₂ (110)	[31]	Bridging CO being the majority species	
2070–2080	СО	Mildly reduced RuO ₂ (110)	[31]	On-top and bridging CO couple to a single band	
2000-2050	[Ru ⁰ -CO]-linear	Ru/Al_2O_3	[32]	Terminal CO	
2010-2070	terminal CO	Ru-carbonyl	[33,34]	Depends on the carbonyl size	
2048	СО	Ru(001)	[30]	Measured after exposure to oxidizing conditions; likely partially oxidized	
2068	(CO+O)(2 \times 2)	Ru(001)	[35]		
2080	СО	Ru(001)	[30]	Measured under strongly oxidizing conditions at 500 K, likely oxidized	
2100–2123	СО	Stoichiometric RuO ₂ (110)	[31]	On-top CO on stoichiometric $RuO_2(110)$	
2100–2135	CO/O	RuO ₂ (110)	[36]	On-top CO being the majority species	
2100–2150	CO/O	RuO ₂ (110)	[31]	O being the majority species; on-top CO embedded in O matrix	
2070, 2130	Ru ^{x+} -(CO) ₃	Ru/Al ₂ O ₃	[32,37]	x = 1–3	
2134	Ru ^{x+} (CO) _y	Ru/SiO ₂	[38]	Linked to oxidation of Ru	
2135	Ru ^{x+} (CO) _y	Reduced RuO ₂ (110)	[3]	x and y undetermined; linked to surface roughening due to reduction	

Table 1. Vibrational band positions of CO on a Ru-based catalyst, as reported in the literature.

The CO adsorption on $\text{RuO}_2@\text{TiO}_2$ and $\text{Ru}^0 + \text{TiO}_2$ at 20 °C resulted in one distinct DRIFT signal at around 2060 cm⁻¹. Although the band position was slightly different between the two catalysts, it varied more substantially due to coverage effects and the occupancy of bridge positions by oxygen. Accordingly, this spectral feature alone was insufficient for characterization of the chemical state of the catalyst. It is quite surprising that only one single band was observed, as there are many different facets and adsorption sites expected to be present on the particle surfaces. The presence of only one symmetrical band in DRIFTS points toward efficient dipole–dipole coupling of the vibrational modes of all the different sites next to each other. This coupling was previously reported for RAIRS of CO on mildly reduced RuO₂(110) [31].

When $\text{RuO}_2@\text{TiO}_2$ and $\text{Ru}^0 + \text{TiO}_2$ were exposed to a reducing reaction feed (1% $O_2/4\%$ CO/95% Ar) at 300 °C, the samples were partially reduced and partially oxidized, respectively, as revealed in XP spectra (cf. Figure 1). This state of partial reduction of $\text{RuO}_2@\text{TiO}_2$ or partial oxidation of $\text{Ru}^0 + \text{TiO}_2$ was also corroborated by a dedicated DRIFTS signal at ca. 2000 cm⁻¹ for both samples (cf. Figure 2). Additionally, a mid-wavenumber signal around 2040 cm⁻¹ appeared for the reduced $\text{RuO}_2@\text{TiO}_2$ catalyst. This species was only visible as a shoulder in Figure 2B but, however, turned into an individual band when RuO_2 was reduced with 4% CO, as seen in the DRIFT spectra recorded at 20 °C in Figures 3C and 5C. Furthermore, a DRIFTS signal at 2135 cm⁻¹ was discerned for reduced $\text{RuO}_2@\text{TiO}_2$, which can likely be attributed to a Ru-carbonyl species due to reduction induced roughening of the catalyst.

3.2. CO Oxidation as Case Study

The active phase of Ru-based catalysts in the CO oxidation reaction has been controversially debated over the last two decades. Broadly speaking, there are three interpretations of what constitutes the most active phase: (1) a metal surface with chemisorbed O reacting with CO without oxidizing the Ru itself [15,39–41], (2) an oxide surface with CO binding to coordinatively unsaturated Ru sites (Ru_{cus}) and reacting with adjacent bridging oxygen [31,36,42–44], and (3) a sub-stoichiometric RuO_x or mixture of phases. We elaborate on these different views on the active phase in the following sections.

Various UHV studies reported either metal or oxide to be the most active phase. On the one hand, Goodman and coworkers claimed to have identified metallic Ru as the active phase. This determination conflicts, however, with studies demonstrating that at low O coverages oxygen binds too strongly and at high O coverages CO binds too weakly [3,16,45], rendering metallic Ru inactive according to the Sabatier principle. This view is supported by the experiments of Narloch et al. [46], wherein CO desorbed from a mixed CO-O phase on Ru(0001) without forming CO₂. In addition, it is important to note that in the study of Gao et al. [3], no structural information of the active phase was provided except for post-reaction Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) (coverage of oxygen was found to be close to one monolayer). We note that AES characterization was carried out after heating the sample to desorb residual CO from the surface. This procedure may have reduced any potentially present surface oxide.

On the other hand, RuO₂ has been favored as the active phase in CO oxidation by various other studies [31,36,42–44]. Gao et al. argued that RuO₂ is not active in catalytic CO oxidation due to its high adsorption energy for CO, leading to poisoning by CO [3] and an expected reaction order of -1 in CO. Meanwhile, this conclusion was disproven by Martynova et al. [47], who determined the reaction orders for CO oxidation over RuO₂(110) to be +1 for CO and zero for O₂. A reaction order of +1 in CO is compatible with a previous study of Seitsonen et al. [48], who found not only strongly but also weakly adsorbed CO on RuO₂(110).

So far, we have considered results for single-crystal surfaces without considering defects like steps or edges. On powder catalysts, the abundance of steps, edges, and corners may provide sites with more favorable adsorption energies for both O and CO, as demonstrated by Kim et al. [49] and Šljivančanin et al. [50]. The importance of defects for Ru-catalyzed CO oxidation has already been discussed by Gao et al.: Defects may overcome unfavorable adsorption energies of Ru(0001) [3].

Let us now discuss the third option of multiple phases in coexistence. One motive of such a multi-phase system, discussed in the literature and often linked to increased activity, consists of an ultrathin layer of RuO_2 or sub-stoichiometric RuO_x over Ru-metal [16,47,51–53]. Martynova et al. [47] demonstrated that the activity of Ru(0001) increased substantially when a surface oxide layer of 1–7 ML grew and that its activity was even higher when this surface oxide was disordered.

Another motive discussed in the literature is that of oxide and reduced oxides or even metallic phases coexisting on the surface [42,47,54,55]. Blume et al. [55] identified with XPS microscopy that oxidized RuO₂ and reduced RuO_x areas coexist on Ru(0001) during CO oxidation. They correlated the coexistence of both phases with increased activity. Martynova et al. [47] demonstrated that Ru(0001) formed a surface oxide in coexistence with an oxygen adsorption phase on Ru(0001) when exposed to 10^{-4} mbar O₂ at 300–400 °C and connected the increase in activity to an expansion of the oxide phase. Therefore, it seems unlikely that RuO₂ or Ru surfaces stay in their fully oxidic or metallic state, respectively, when exposed to reaction conditions.

Overall, it can be summarized that the presence of multiple phases of RuO_2 and Ru has been linked to higher activity of the catalyst. Some of these phases may only be present under reaction conditions or in small fractions. As such, they could easily be missed, especially in non-operando measurements. This may also explain the controversial discussion in the literature about the active phase of Ru/RuO_2 in the CO oxidation. How multiple phases correlate to increased activity is, however, still unclear. According to the literature, it could be a core shell structure with a thin oxide layer on top of a metal core, a sub-stoichiometric RuO_x , or coexistence of these phases. In UHV studies, the reduction of $RuO_2(110)$ and $RuO_2(100)$ has shown to not lead to sub-stoichiometric RuO_x phases.

Instead, the RuO₂ decomposes into RuO₂ and Ru (with adsorbed oxygen) patches under reducing reaction conditions [56].

Due to the dynamic behavior of the catalyst (cf. also discussion of Figure 6) depending on the applied gas composition, it is paramount to conduct operando spectroscopic experiments with supported powder catalysts. In this study, we investigated RuO₂ supported on rutile TiO₂ in a flow reactor cell adapted to a DRIFTS spectrometer. We found that RuO₂@TiO₂ and reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ revealed significant differences in activity when exposed to various CO oxidation reaction conditions (cf. Figures 3B and 5B). Regardless of the CO oxidation reaction conditions being reducing or oxidizing, reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ turned out to be always significantly more active than RuO₂@TiO₂.

Under oxidizing conditions, both samples (RuO₂@TiO₂ and reduced RuO₂@TiO₂) were similarly active at low temperatures, but at 100 °C the conversion on reduced-RuO₂@TiO₂ increased steeply and remained higher than that of RuO₂@TiO₂. It is important to note that the conversion for RuO₂@TiO₂ reached a plateau from 150 °C up to 180 °C and then increased again. Taking into consideration that a chemical reduction of RuO₂@TiO₂ can occur even under oxidizing reaction conditions (cf. Figure 4), the increased conversion above 180 °C is correlated with the reduction of RuO₂@TiO₂. This interpretation is supported by the fact that 180 °C is also the temperature at which reduction of RuO₂@TiO₂ under reducing reaction conditions sets in (cf. Figure 5A).

The activity behavior of the samples was quite similar under reducing conditions. Above 60 °C, reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ revealed higher conversions than RuO₂@TiO₂ throughout the entire temperature range. Although the conversion of RuO₂@TiO₂ did not exhibit a plateau, it only increased slightly in the temperature region of 160–200 °C. In this temperature region, a slight reduction of RuO₂@TiO₂ was observed in DRIFTS. For even higher temperatures, DRIFT spectra evidenced pronounced reduction concomitantly with an increase in CO conversion, thus again correlating chemical reduction of RuO₂@TiO₂ with an increase in activity.

Gao et al. [3] proposed that the interaction between Ru metal and RuO₂ may play an important role in CO oxidation on RuO₂. Farkas et al. [31,36] and Blume et al. [55] reported on a surface-phase separation into oxygen-rich and -depleted areas occurring on RuO₂ during CO oxidation, with the latter being the phase of enhanced activity. The increased activity of the partially reduced RuO₂ surface may even suggest bifunctionality such as that discussed for PdO. Weaver et al. [5] argued that on partially reduced PdO, CO oxidation is most favorable on the metal Pd surface, which is supplied with O from surrounding PdO. The bifunctionality of partially reduced RuO₂ would also be in line with the mechanistic arguments regarding too strong or too weak adsorption for CO and O on Ru and RuO₂. Boundary regions may offer adsorption sites with intermediary adsorption energies, which would be favorable for CO oxidation, according to the Sabatier principle.

The adsorbed CO species resulting in the 2040 cm⁻¹ band in DRIFTS seemed to be especially active. On the reduced catalyst, this species reacted off at ca. 100 °C under oxidizing conditions, leaving the high- and low-frequency bands in DRIFTS, which were consumed only above 200 °C (cf. Figure 3C). Under reducing conditions, the same species was observed in conjunction with an activity increase for RuO₂@TiO₂ at 200 °C; this CO species remained observable since excess CO was present in the gas phase. Under reducing conditions, the 2040 cm⁻¹ band was preserved up to 220 °C on reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ in conjunction with the sample showing significantly higher activity than its oxidized counterpart (cf. Figure 5A,C). In both cases (RuO₂@TiO₂ and reduced-RuO₂@TiO₂), the band was consumed at temperatures above 220 $^{\circ}$ C. The fact that the 2040 cm⁻¹ CO species was stable up to 200 °C under reducing conditions further corroborates that its disappearance at 100 °C under oxidizing conditions cannot have been due to desorption but actually was caused by a higher reactivity of this species. The reappearance of the 2040 $\rm cm^{-1}$ band when the samples were cooled under reducing conditions (Figure S4) demonstrates that the corresponding sites remained present on the catalyst. A possible assignment of this band is that of CO adsorbed in the boundary regions between surface phases, most likely

metallic Ru (with adsorbed O) and RuO₂. This would also explain the absence of this band on partially oxidized $Ru^0 + TiO_2$ (cf. Figure 2B), as here no RuO₂ may be present.

An interesting observation about the low wavenumber band is its variable position (between 1985 cm⁻¹ and 2010 cm⁻¹) and asymmetric shape, whereas the position of the other bands in DRIFTS remained largely constant. This suggests that this band comprised CO species at various adsorption sites whose contributions changed depending on the chemical state of the catalyst. This interpretation would be in line with a varying composition of reduced RuO₂, as it is further reduced or re-oxidized. This behavior was especially apparent for reduced RuO₂@TiO₂ under oxidizing conditions between 120 and 180 °C. Here, the low wavenumber band shifted to higher wavenumbers and became more symmetric with higher reaction temperature (cf. Figure 3C) as the surface oxidized and approached the fully oxidized RuO₂.

Based on this discussion, we propose the following assignment of bands for partially reduced RuO₂ under CO oxidation conditions:

- I. 1985–2030 cm⁻¹: CO on partially reduced RuO₂ with shape and position changing according to surface composition
- II. 2040 cm⁻¹: CO sitting possibly in boundary region between surface phases with the highest activity.
- III. 2075 cm^{-1} : CO on oxide RuO₂
- IV. 2135 cm^{-1} : carbonyl Ru^{x+}(CO)_y, which can form on highly under-coordinated Ru^{x+} sites. Reduced RuO₂ has been demonstrated to roughen [54,56], which would provide such under-coordinated Ru^{x+} at the edges and corners [3].

Actually, very similar bands were reported by Gao et al. [3] when exposing Ru(0001) and RuO₂(110) to oxidizing and reducing reaction conditions, respectively, at 50 Torr and 500 K. For Ru(0001) under oxidizing conditions ($O_2/CO = 5/1$), at first no bands were observed; after 1–1.5 h bands at 2130, 2080, and 2040 cm⁻¹ appeared; and finally, after 2.5 h a single band at 2080 cm⁻¹ remained. This experiment is consistent with the surface going through partial oxidation and finally arriving at an oxide surface, according to our interpretation of the bands. Conversely, for RuO₂(110) under reducing conditions ($O_2/CO = 1/10$) the 2080 cm⁻¹ band was dominant at first. After 5 min of reduction the RAIR spectrum showed a weak low wavenumber shoulder and the 2130 cm⁻¹ band. During the next 6 h of reaction time, bands at 2050 and 2020 cm⁻¹ increased in intensity with the 2080 cm⁻¹ band diminishing. Altogether, these RAIRS data [3] on single-crystalline surfaces of Ru/RuO₂ are in reasonable agreement with our DRIFTS experiments of Rubased powder catalysts supported on rutile TiO₂.

4. Experimental Details

4.1. Sample Preparation and Characterization

For DRIFTS experiments, we prepared RuO₂ supported on rutile TiO₂ (RuO₂@TiO₂) by a modified Pechini synthesis, as described in detail by Khalid et al. [57]. This ensured an even dispersion of the catalytically active oxides, which absorb IR radiation, on the reflective TiO₂ matrix. The BET surface area of the used support was 20 m²·g⁻¹, with a mean particle size of 100 nm. Another advantage of this type of preparation is the better comparability of DRIFTS results with the kinetic data of previous work. The RuO₂@TiO₂ samples were thoroughly characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and Raman spectroscopy in a previous study [57].

Two types of samples studied were oxidized and reduced samples of 2 mol% supported RuO₂. Two mol% RuO₂ on 20 m²/g TiO₂ corresponds to an average thickness of 0.24 nm. Since RuO₂ is known to grow on TiO₂ with a thickness of no less than 3 monolayers or 1.5 nm [58], this corresponds to a surface coverage of maximally 16%. The samples obtained from the Pechini synthesis were first thermally oxidized or reduced by applying O₂ or CO at 300 °C. Although the supported RuO₂ could not be identified definitively by TEM micrographs, they showed no morphological differences between the oxidized and reduced samples (cf. Figure S5). These samples are referred to as RuO₂@TiO₂ and reduced

RuO₂@TiO₂, respectively, and are characterized by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). For XPS analysis, a PHI VersaProbe II instrument was used. The data were recorded employing a photon energy of 1486.6 eV (Al K α line).

DRIFT spectra of purely metallic Ru and purely oxidic RuO₂ samples were employed as references to assign the DRIFTS CO bands of RuO₂@TiO₂ under reaction conditions. Full reduction of our RuO₂ samples did not seem to be possible, as can be seen in Figure S1. To obtain the CO adsorption signals of pure metallic samples, we therefore used 33 w% of commercially available Ru (chempur) metal powder mixed with TiO₂. These samples were also reductively pretreated to remove any surface oxides that may have formed by applying 4% CO at 300 °C for 4 h. This sample is referred to as Ru⁰ + TiO₂. After this treatment, XPS indicated pure metallic samples, as demonstrated in Figure 1.

Figure 1 also depicts XP spectra of $RuO_2@TiO_2$ and $Ru^0 + TiO_2$ after being exposed to a reducing reaction feed (4% CO/1% $O_2/95\%$ Ar) at 300 °C. Quite surprisingly, the spectra of $RuO_2@TiO_2$ and $Ru^0 + TiO_2$ exhibited both oxide and metal Ru signals. The samples were therefore in a state of partial reduction/oxidation.

4.2. Reaction Conditions

The reactor setup was built in house, and the description of it can be found in a recent publication by our group [10]. It consists of a gas supply controlled by mass flow controllers, a custom designed rector cell made from 1.4742 Ni-free steel, and a mass spectrometer for gas analysis. To derive the conversion from MS data, the CO₂ signal (m/z = 44) was normalized by its maximum value (at full conversion). Full consumption of O₂ (for reducing conditions) or CO (for oxidizing conditions) was used to determine the point at which full conversion was achieved. For oxidizing conditions, some CO always remained in the MS spectrum due to the cracking pattern of CO₂. In this case, full conversion was assumed when no further decrease in m/z = 28 and no further increase in m/z = 44 was observed with rising temperature.

Total flow for all experiments was 50 sccm, whereas the heating ramp was set as $1.8 \text{ K} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$. The gas compositions for the various experiments are compiled in Table 2. In order to minimize temperature changes induced by the reaction heat, by keeping the concentration of the reactants as small as possible, as well as due to limitations in the MFC flow range, the ratio of reactive gas to carrier gas had to be varied.

Reaction Conditions	Ar/%	O ₂ /%	CO /%
Oxidizing	96	2	2
Reducing	95	1	4
CO only	96	-	4

Table 2. Gas compositions for different experiments.

5. Conclusions

CO was employed as a probe molecule to study the actual oxidation state of a supported RuO₂@TiO₂ catalyst during the CO oxidation reaction. To do so, online mass spectrometry (MS) was coupled with operando diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS). The CO DRIFT spectra of the pure oxide RuO₂@TiO₂ samples and the pure metal Ru⁰ + TiO₂ were governed by a single mode at ca. 2060 cm⁻¹. Partially reduced RuO₂@TiO₂, on the other hand, was characterized by four distinct band regions in DRIFTS at 2135, 2075, 2040, and 2000 cm⁻¹. The combination of conversion (MS) and vibrational CO data (DRIFTS) revealed higher activity of reduced-RuO₂@TiO₂ than its oxidized counterpart both under reducing and oxidizing CO oxidation reaction conditions. The catalysts were shown to adopt the catalytically active phase dynamically to the reaction conditions independent of their initial state, only depending on the applied reaction mixture. Most surprisingly, even under oxidizing reaction conditions partial reduction of

 $RuO_2@TiO_2$ was encountered. The CO species at 2040 cm⁻¹ in DRIFTS was shown to be especially reactive.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www. mdpi.com/article/10.3390/catal13081178/s1, Table S1: XPS fit parameter; Figure S1: Ru 3d XP spectra of oxidized and reduced RuO₂; Figure S2: DRIFTS spectrum of CO adsorption on Ru⁰+TiO₂, which has after oxidative pretreatment under 4% O₂ at 300 °C for 12h; Figure S3: IR spectra of pre-oxidized TiO₂ heated in a CO atmosphere; Figure S4: Operando DRIFT spectra of reduced-RuO₂@TiO₂ during cool down under reducing (1% O₂/4% CO/95% Ar) reaction feed composition; Figure S5: TEM micrographs of RuO₂@TiO₂ (left) before and (right) after reduction.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.O. and P.T.; methodology, P.T.; XPS investigation, L.G. and T.W.; writing—original draft preparation, P.T. and H.O.; writing—review and editing, P.T., T.W., L.G. and H.O.; funding acquisition, H.O. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The research was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation-493681475).

Data Availability Statement: The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Acknowledgments: P.T. and H.O. acknowledge financial support from the DFG (SPP2080-493681475).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Schlögl, R. Heterogeneous Catalysis. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3465–3520. [CrossRef]
- Over, H.; Balmes, O.; Lundgren, E. Direct Comparison of the Reactivity of the Non-Oxidic Phase of Ru(0001) and the RuO₂ Phase in the CO Oxidation Reaction. *Surf. Sci.* 2009, 603, 298–303. [CrossRef]
- Gao, F.; Goodman, D.W. CO Oxidation over Ruthenium: Identification of the Catalytically Active Phases at near-Atmospheric Pressures. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2012, 14, 6688–6697. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Zhang, F.; Pan, L.; Li, T.; Diulus, J.T.; Asthagiri, A.; Weaver, J.F. CO Oxidation on PdO(101) during Temperature-Programmed Reaction Spectroscopy: Role of Oxygen Vacancies. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2014, *118*, 28647–28661. [CrossRef]
- 5. Weaver, J.F.; Choi, J.; Mehar, V.; Wu, C. Kinetic Coupling among Metal and Oxide Phases during CO Oxidation on Partially Reduced PdO(101): Influence of Gas-Phase Composition. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 7319–7331. [CrossRef]
- Van Spronsen, M.A.; Frenken, J.W.M.; Groot, I.M.N. Surface Science under Reaction Conditions: CO Oxidation on Pt and Pd Model Catalysts. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2017, 46, 4347–4374. [CrossRef]
- Gustafson, J.; Balmes, O.; Zhang, C.; Shipilin, M.; Schaefer, A.; Hagman, B.; Merte, L.R.; Martin, N.M.; Carlsson, P.A.; Jankowski, M.; et al. The Role of Oxides in Catalytic CO Oxidation over Rhodium and Palladium. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 4438–4445. [CrossRef]
- Martin, R.; Kim, M.; Lee, C.J.; Mehar, V.; Albertin, S.; Hejral, U.; Merte, L.R.; Asthagiri, A.; Weaver, J.F. Isothermal Reduction of IrO₂(110) Films by Methane Investigated Using in Situ X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy. ACS Catal. 2021, 11, 5004–5016. [CrossRef]
- Abb, M.J.S.; Weber, T.; Langsdorf, D.; Koller, V.; Gericke, S.M.; Pfaff, S.; Busch, M.; Zetterberg, J.; Preobrajenski, A.; Grönbeck, H.; et al. Thermal Stability of Single-Crystalline IrO₂(110) Layers: Spectroscopic and Adsorption Studies. *J. Phys. Chem.* C 2020, 124, 15324–15336. [CrossRef]
- 10. Timmer, P.; Weber, T.; Glatthaar, L.; Over, H. Operando CO Infrared Spectroscopy and On-Line Mass Spectrometry for Studying the Active Phase of IrO₂ in the Catalytic CO Oxidation Reaction. *Inorganics* **2023**, *11*, 102. [CrossRef]
- 11. Weckhuysen, B.M. Determining the Active Site in a Catalytic Process: Operando Spectroscopy Is More than a Buzzword. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *5*, 4351–4360. [CrossRef]
- 12. Grunwaldt, J.D.; Baiker, A. In Situ Spectroscopic Investigation of Heterogeneous Catalysts and Reaction Media at High Pressure. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3526–3539. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Ryczkowski, J. IR Spectroscopy in Catalysis. Catal. Today 2001, 68, 263–381. [CrossRef]
- 14. Over, H.; Kim, Y.D.; Seitsonen, A.P.; Wendt, S.; Leudgren, E.; Schmidt, M.; Varga, P.; Morgante, A.; Ertl, G. Atomic-Scale Structure and Catalytic Reactivity of the RuO₂(110) Surface. *Science* 2000, 287, 1474–1476. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Goodman, D.W.; Peden, C.H.F.; Chen, M.S. CO Oxidation on Ruthenium: The Nature of the Active Catalytic Surface. *Surf. Sci.* 2007, 601, 18–20. [CrossRef]
- Over, H.; Muhler, M.; Seitsonen, A.P. Comment on "CO Oxidation on Ruthenium: The Nature of the Active Catalytic Surface" by D.W. Goodman, C.H.F. Peden, M.S. Chen. Surf. Sci. 2007, 601, 5659–5662. [CrossRef]

- 17. Rosenthal, D.; Girgsdies, F.; Timpe, O.; Weinberg, G.; Schlögl, R. Oscillatory Behavior in the CO-Oxidation over Bulk Ruthenium Dioxide–The Effect of the CO/O₂ Ratio. *Z. Phys. Chem.* **2011**, 225, 57–68. [CrossRef]
- Seki, K. Development of RuO₂/Rutile-TiO₂ Catalyst for Industrial HCl Oxidation Process. *Catal. Surv. Asia* 2010, 14, 168–175. [CrossRef]
- 19. Over, H.; Schomäcker, R. What Makes a Good Catalyst for the Deacon Process? ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 1034–1046. [CrossRef]
- 20. Pérez-Ramírez, J.; Mondelli, C.; Schmidt, T.; Schlüter, O.F.K.; Wolf, A.; Mleczko, L.; Dreier, T. Sustainable Chlorine Recycling via Catalysed HCl Oxidation: From Fundamentals to Implementation. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *4*, 4786–4799. [CrossRef]
- Over, H. Atomic Scale Insights into Electrochemical versus Gas Phase Oxidation of HCl over RuO₂-Based Catalysts: A Comparative Review. *Electrochim. Acta* 2013, 93, 314–333. [CrossRef]
- 22. Fabbri, E.; Habereder, A.; Waltar, K.; Kötz, R.; Schmidt, T.J. Developments and Perspectives of Oxide-Based Catalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4*, 3800–3821. [CrossRef]
- 23. Over, H. Fundamental Studies of Planar Single-Crystalline Oxide Model Electrodes (RuO₂, IrO₂) for Acidic Water Splitting. *ACS Catal.* **2021**, *11*, 8848–8871. [CrossRef]
- Drochner, A.; Fehlings, M.; Krauß, K.; Vogel, H. A New DRIFTS Cell for the In-Situ Investigation of Heterogeneously Catalyzed Reactions. *Chem. Eng. Technol.* 2000, 23, 319–322. [CrossRef]
- Meunier, F.C. Pitfalls and Benefits of: In Situ and Operando Diffuse Reflectance FT-IR Spectroscopy (DRIFTS) Applied to Catalytic Reactions. *React. Chem. Eng.* 2016, 1, 134–141. [CrossRef]
- Freund, H.J.; Meijer, G.; Scheffler, M.; Schlögl, R.; Wolf, M. CO Oxidation as a Prototypical Reaction for Heterogeneous Processes. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10064–10094. [CrossRef]
- Meunier, F.C. Relevance of IR Spectroscopy of Adsorbed CO for the Characterization of Heterogeneous Catalysts Containing Isolated Atoms. J. Phys. Chem. C 2021, 125, 21810–21823. [CrossRef]
- 28. Zaera, F. Infrared Absorption Spectroscopy of Adsorbed CO: New Applications in Nanocatalysis for an Old Approach. *ChemCatChem* **2012**, *4*, 1525–1533. [CrossRef]
- Morgan, D.J. Resolving Ruthenium: XPS Studies of Common Ruthenium Materials. Surf. Interface Anal. 2015, 47, 1072–1079. [CrossRef]
- Peden, C.H.F.; Goodman, D.W.; Weisel, M.D.; Hoffmann, F.M. In-Situ FT-IRAS Study of the CO Oxidation Reaction over Ru(001).
 I. Evidence for an Eley-Rideal Mechanism at High Pressures? *Surf. Sci.* 1991, 253, 44–58. [CrossRef]
- 31. Farkas, A.; Mellau, G.C.; Over, H. Novel Insight in the CO Oxidation on RuO₂(110) by in Situ Reflection-Absorption Infrared Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2009, *113*, 14341–14355. [CrossRef]
- Chin, S.Y.; Williams, C.T.; Amiridis, M.D. FTIR Studies of CO Adsorption on Al₂O₃- and SiO₂-Supported Ru Catalysts. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 871–882. [CrossRef]
- Binsted, N.; Evans, J.; Greaves, G.N.; Price, R.J. Characterization of Supported Rhodium and Ruthenium Carbonyl Clusters by EXAFS Spectroscopy. Organometallics 1989, 8, 613–620. [CrossRef]
- 34. Bogdan, P.L.; Weitz, E. A Transient Infrared Spectroscopy Study of Coordinatively Unsaturated Ruthenium Carbonyls. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, 111, 3163–3167. [CrossRef]
- Schiffer, A.; Jakob, P.; Menzel, D. The (2CO+O)(2×2)/Ru(001) Layer: Preparation, Characterization, and Analysis of Interaction Effects in Vibrational Spectra. Surf. Sci. 1997, 389, 116–130. [CrossRef]
- 36. Farkas, A.; Hess, F.; Over, H. "First-Principles" Kinetic Monte Carlo Simulations Revisited: CO Oxidation over RuO₂(110). *J. Comput. Chem.* **2011**, *33*, 757–766. [CrossRef]
- Kiss, J.T.; Gonzalez, R.D. Catalytic Oxidation of Carbon Monoxide over Ru/SiO₂. An In Situ Infrared and Kinetic Study. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88, 892–897. [CrossRef]
- Assmann, J.; Narkhede, V.; Khodeir, L.; Löffler, E.; Hinrichsen, O.; Birkner, A.; Over, H.; Muhler, M. On the Nature of the Active State of Supported Ruthenium Catalysts Used for the Oxidation of Carbon Monoxide: Steady-State and Transient Kinetics Combined with in Situ Infrared Spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 14634–14642. [CrossRef]
- 39. Goodman, D.W.; Peden, C.H.F.; Chen, M.S. Reply to Comment on "CO Oxidation on Ruthenium: The Nature of the Active Catalytic Surface" by H. Over, M. Muhler, A.P. Seitsonen. *Surf. Sci.* **2007**, *601*, 5663–5665. [CrossRef]
- 40. Gao, F.; Goodman, D.W. Reaction Kinetics and Polarization Modulation Infrared Reflection Absorption Spectroscopy Investigations of CO Oxidation over Planar Pt-Group Model Catalysts. *Langmuir* **2010**, *26*, 16540–16551. [CrossRef]
- Miller, B.K.; Crozier, P.A. Linking Changes in Reaction Kinetics and Atomic-Level Surface Structures on a Supported Ru Catalyst for CO Oxidation. ACS Catal. 2021, 11, 1456–1463. [CrossRef]
- 42. Over, H.; Knapp, M.; Lundgren, E.; Seitsonen, A.P.; Schmid, M.; Varga, P. Visualization of Atomic Processes on Ruthenium Dioxide Using Scanning Tunneling Microscopy. *ChemPhysChem* **2004**, *5*, 167–174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Over, H.; Balmes, O.; Lundgren, E. In Situ Structure-Activity Correlation Experiments of the Ruthenium Catalyzed CO Oxidation Reaction. *Catal. Today* 2009, 145, 236–242. [CrossRef]
- Hess, F.; Sack, C.; Langsdorf, D.; Over, H. Probing the Activity of Different Oxygen Species in the CO Oxidation over RuO₂(110) by Combining Transient Reflection-Absorption Infrared Spectroscopy with Kinetic Monte Carlo Simulations. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 8420–8428. [CrossRef]
- 45. Madey, T.E.; Albert Engelhardt, H.; Menzel, D. Adsorption of Oxygen and Oxidation of CO on the Ruthenium (001) Surface. *Surf. Sci.* **1975**, *48*, 304–328. [CrossRef]

- 46. Narloch, B.; Held, G.; Menzel, D. Structural Rearrangement by Coadsorption: A LEED IV Determination of the Ru(001)p(2 × 2)(2O + CO) Structure. *Surf. Sci.* **1994**, *317*, 131–142. [CrossRef]
- 47. Martynova, Y.; Yang, B.; Yu, X.; Boscoboinik, J.A.; Shaikhutdinov, S.; Freund, H.J. Low Temperature CO Oxidation on Ruthenium Oxide Thin Films at Near-Atmospheric Pressures. *Catal. Lett.* **2012**, *142*, 657–663. [CrossRef]
- Seitsonen, A.P.; Kim, Y.D.; Knapp, M.; Wendt, S.; Over, H. CO Adsorption on the Reduced (Formula Presented) Surface: Energetics and Structure. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter. Mater. Phys.* 2002, 65, 035413-1–035413-9. [CrossRef]
- 49. Kim, Y.K.; Morgan, G.A.; Yates, J.T. Role of Atomic Step Defect Sites on the Catalytic Oxidation of Carbon Monoxide: Comparison between Ru(001) and Ru(109) Single-Crystal Surfaces. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 3366–3368. [CrossRef]
- Šljivančanin, Ž.; Hammer, B. CO Oxidation on Fully Oxygen Covered Ru(0001): Role of Step Edges. Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter. Mater. Phys. 2010, 81, 121413-1–121413-4. [CrossRef]
- Aßmann, J.; Löffler, E.; Birkner, A.; Muhler, M. Ruthenium as Oxidation Catalyst: Bridging the Pressure and Material Gaps between Ideal and Real Systems in Heterogeneous Catalysis by Applying DRIFT Spectroscopy and the TAP Reactor. *Catal. Today* 2003, *85*, 235–249. [CrossRef]
- 52. Assmann, J.; Narkhede, V.; Breuer, N.A.; Muhler, M.; Seitsonen, A.P.; Knapp, M.; Crihan, D.; Farkas, A.; Mellau, G.; Over, H. Heterogeneous Oxidation Catalysis on Ruthenium: Bridging the Pressure and Materials Gaps and Beyond. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **2008**, *20*, 184017. [CrossRef]
- Narkhede, V.; Aßmann, J.; Muhler, M. Structure-Activity Correlations for the Oxidation of CO over Polycrystalline RuO₂ Powder Derived from Steady-State and Transient Kinetic Experiments. Z. Phys. Chem. 2005, 219, 979–995. [CrossRef]
- 54. Rosenthal, D.; Girgsdies, F.; Timpe, O.; Blume, R.; Weinberg, G.; Teschner, D.; Schlögl, R. On the CO-Oxidation over Oxygenated Ruthenium. *Z. Phys. Chem.* 2009, 223, 183–207. [CrossRef]
- 55. Blume, R.; Hävecker, M.; Zafeiratos, S.; Teschner, D.; Kleimenov, E.; Knop-Gericke, A.; Schlögl, R.; Barinov, A.; Dudin, P.; Kiskinova, M. Catalytically Active States of Ru(0001) Catalyst in CO Oxidation Reaction. *J. Catal.* **2006**, 239, 354–361. [CrossRef]
- 56. Over, H.; Seitsonen, A.P.; Lundgren, E.; Schmid, M.; Varga, P. Experimental and Simulated STM Images of Stoichiometric and Partially Reduced RuO₂(110) Surfaces Including Adsorbates. *Surf. Sci.* **2002**, *515*, 143–156. [CrossRef]
- Khalid, O.; Spriewald Luciano, A.; Drazic, G.; Over, H. Mixed Ru_xIr_{1-x}O₂ Supported on Rutile TiO₂: Catalytic Methane Combustion, a Model Study. *ChemCatChem* 2021, *13*, 3983–3994. [CrossRef]
- 58. He, Y.; Langsdorf, D.; Li, L.; Over, H. Versatile Model System for Studying Processes Ranging from Heterogeneous to Photocatalysis: Epitaxial RuO₂(110) on TiO₂(110). *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2015, *119*, 2692–2702. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.