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## 1. Materials and methods

## Materials:

Methyl oleate and methyl elaidate (purchased from Nu-Check Prep, Inc.). Purification: 30 ml of substrate were placed in a Schlenk and degassed by freeze-pump until no more gas evolution was observed. The Schlenk was placed inside the glovebox and 6 g of Selexsorb ${ }^{\circledR} \mathrm{CD}$ (activated at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$ ) were added and kept inside without stirring for 4 hours in the absence of light. Then, the Selexsorb ${ }^{\circledR}$ CD was removed and 7 g of alumina (activated at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$ ) were added. The mixture was stirred for 3 days in the absence of light. Finally, the substrate was filtered to remove the alumina and stored in the glovebox freezer. Before each reaction, the amount of substrate needed was filtered through a short pad of activated alumina.
Methyl heptadecanoate (purchased from Sigma-Aldrich). Purification: Distilled under vacuum, degassed and stored in the glovebox.
Dichloromethane: distilled over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and degassed by freeze-pump.
Ethyl vinyl ether was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.
G-II, HG-II and HG-SIPr were purchased from Sigma-Alrdich. HG-IMes was prepared as described by Merino et al.[1]
M71-SIMes, M71-SIPr and M73-SIMes were supplied by Umicore.

## General Procedure for the Kinetic Study:

All catalytic tests were carried out inside a glovebox filled with argon. ca. 1.50 g of substrate and 150 mg of methyl heptadecanoate (internal standard) were weighed inside a 5 ml vial. The vial was capped with a septum and the mixture was stirred in a sand bath at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . Then, $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a stock solution of the catalyst in DCM (the concentration was adjusted depending on the desired substrate/catalyst ratio) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and at 1000 rpm . The metathesis reaction was monitored by sampling through the septum at suitable intervals, and each aliquot was immediately quenched by an excess of ethyl vinyl ether placed in a capped GC vial. Samples were analyzed by GC on an Agilent 7890A equipped with a FID detector and DB23 column $(50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm})$. Oven program: $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~min}), 10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}, 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~min}), 10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}, 250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~min})$. Retention times (min), see Figure S1: 18.2 (E-9-octadecene), 18.3 (Z-9-octadecene), 25.3 (methyl heptadecanoate), 26.4 (methyl elaidate), 26.5 (methyl oleate), 33.3 (dimethyl E-9-octadecene-1,18dioate), 33.5 (dimethyl Z-9-octadecene-1,18-dioate).


Figure S1. Representative gas chromatogram obtained during methyl oleate metathesis

## 2. Enumeration of All Possible Reactions

Compared to the main document, the precatalyst species $L L '^{\prime} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} R u R^{\prime}$ was named $\mathrm{RuR}^{\prime}$ here for clarity. Moreover, as the following enumeration was performed before analyzing the possible simplifications of the kinetic model, different initiation constants have been considered:
$\mathrm{k}_{\text {init }} E E, \mathrm{k}_{\text {init }} \mathrm{EZ}, \mathrm{K}_{\text {init } Z E}, \mathrm{k}_{\text {init }} \mathrm{ZZ}$. They appear under the unique parameter $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{init}}$ in the main text.
Here, to distinguish between initiation, propagation and regeneration constants, the term "init", "prop" or "rege" was added in the parameters. This distinction is useless in the main text since kinit is unique and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {rege }}$ has been neglected. Thus the only constants that distinguish the $\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{E}$ configuration are the propagation ones in the main document and the term "prop" was thus useless because there was no possible confusion.

| Step | Equation <br> nb | Equation | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initiation | 1 | RuR' $+\mathrm{ABZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{R}$ ' BZ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {init }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {init }}$ ZZ $\cdot\left[\mathrm{RuR}{ }^{\prime}\right] .[A B Z]$ |
|  | 2 | RuR' $+\mathrm{ABZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{R}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{BE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {init }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {init }} \mathrm{ZE} \cdot\left[\mathrm{RuR}{ }^{\prime}\right]$ ][ABZ] |
|  | 3 | RuR' $+\mathrm{ABZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{R}$ ' AZ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {init }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {init }} \mathrm{zz} \cdot[\mathrm{RuR}$ '].[ABZ] |
|  | 4 | RuR' $+\mathrm{ABZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{AE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {init }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {init }} \mathrm{zE} \cdot\left[\mathrm{RuR}{ }^{\prime}\right] .[A B Z]$ |
|  | 5 | RuR' $+\mathrm{ABE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{BZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {init }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {init }}$ Ez $\cdot\left[\mathrm{RuR}{ }^{\prime}\right]$ ][ABE] |
|  | 6 | RuR' $+\mathrm{ABE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{BE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {init }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {init }}$ EE $\cdot\left[\mathrm{RuR}{ }^{\prime}\right]$.[ABE] |
|  | 7 | RuR' $+\mathrm{ABE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{AZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {init }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {init }}$ EZ $\cdot[\mathrm{RuR}$ '].[ABE] |
|  | 8 | $\mathrm{RuR}{ }^{\prime}+\mathrm{ABE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{AE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {init }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {init }}$ EE $\cdot$. $\left.\mathrm{RuR}^{\prime}\right]$ ].[ABE] |
|  | 9 | RuR' $+\mathrm{AAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{AZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {init }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {init }} \mathrm{zz} \cdot[\mathrm{RuR}$ '].[AAZ] |
|  | 10 | RuR' $+\mathrm{AAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{AE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {init }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {init }} \mathrm{ZE} \cdot[\mathrm{RuR}$ '].[AAZ] |
|  | 11 | RuR' $+\mathrm{AAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{AZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {init }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {init }}$ zz. $[$ RuR']. [AAZ] |
|  | 12 | RuR' $+\mathrm{AAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{AE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {init }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {init }} \mathrm{ZE} \cdot[\mathrm{RuR}$ '].[AAZ] |



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAE} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAZ} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAE} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{AAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{ABZ} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{AAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{ABE} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{AAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{ABZ} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{AAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{ABE} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAE} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAZ} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAE} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{AAZ} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{AAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{ABE} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{AAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{ABZ} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{AAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{ABE} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{AAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{ABZ} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{BBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{ABZ} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{BBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{ABE} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{BBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{ABZ} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{BBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{ABE} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBZ} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBE} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBZ} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBE} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{BBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{ABE} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{BBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{ABZ} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{BBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{ABE} \\
& \mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{BBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{ABZ} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBE} \\
& \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBZ}
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | 47 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {prop }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {prop EE }} \cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{BBE}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 48 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{BBZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {prop }}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {prop Ez }} \cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{BBE}]$ |
| Regeneration | 1 | $\mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{LBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{ABZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege $\mathrm{zz} \cdot[\mathrm{RuA}] \cdot[\mathrm{LBZ}]$ |
|  | 2 | $\mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{LBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{ABZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege EZ $\cdot[\mathrm{RuA}] \cdot[\mathrm{LBE}]$ |
|  | 3 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{LAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{ABZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege $\mathrm{zz} \cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{LAZ}]$ |
|  | 4 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{LAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{ABZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k}$ rege EZ $\cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{LAE}]$ |
|  | 5 | $\mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{LBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{ABE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege ZE $\cdot[\mathrm{RuA}] \cdot[\mathrm{LBZ}]$ |
|  | 6 | $\mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{LBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{ABE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege EE $\cdot[\mathrm{RuA}] \cdot[\mathrm{LBE}]$ |
|  | 7 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{LAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{ABE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege ZE $\cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{LAZ}]$ |
|  | 8 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{LAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{ABE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege EE $\cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{LAE}]$ |
|  | 9 | $\mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{LAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{AAZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege $\mathrm{ZZ} \cdot[\mathrm{RuA}] \cdot[\mathrm{LAZ}]$ |
|  | 10 | $\mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{LAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{AAZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege EZ $\cdot[\mathrm{RuA}] \cdot[\mathrm{LAE}]$ |
|  | 11 | $\mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{LAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{AAZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege ZZ $\cdot[\mathrm{RuA}] \cdot[\mathrm{LAZ}]$ |
|  | 12 | $\mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{LAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{AAZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege EZ $\cdot[\mathrm{RuA}] \cdot[\mathrm{LAE}]$ |
|  | 13 | $\mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{LAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{AAE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege $\mathrm{ZE} \cdot[\mathrm{RuA}] \cdot[\mathrm{LAZ}]$ |
|  | 14 | $\mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{LAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{AAE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege EE $\cdot[\mathrm{RuA}] \cdot[\mathrm{LAE}]$ |
|  | 15 | $\mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{LAZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{AAE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege $\mathrm{ZE} \cdot[\mathrm{RuA}] \cdot[\mathrm{LAZ}]$ |
|  | 16 | $\mathrm{RuA}+\mathrm{LAE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{AAE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege EE $\cdot[\mathrm{RuA}] \cdot[\mathrm{LAE}]$ |
|  | 17 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{LBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{BBZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k}$ rege $\mathrm{zz} \cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{LBZ}]$ |
|  | 18 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{LBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{BBZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege EZ $\cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{LBE}]$ |
|  | 19 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{LBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{BBZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k}$ rege $\mathrm{ZZ} \cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{LBZ}]$ |
|  | 20 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{LBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{BBZ}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege EZ $\cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{LBE}]$ |
|  | 21 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{LBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{BBE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege $\mathrm{ZE} \cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{LBZ}]$ |
|  | 22 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{LBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{BBE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k}$ rege EE $\cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{LBE}]$ |
|  | 23 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{LBZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{BBE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege $\mathrm{ZE} \cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{LBZ}]$ |
|  | 24 | $\mathrm{RuB}+\mathrm{LBE} \rightarrow \mathrm{RuL}+\mathrm{BBE}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rege }}=\mathrm{k} \quad$ rege EE $\cdot[\mathrm{RuB}] \cdot[\mathrm{LBE}]$ |

Propagation rates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{A B Z}=-\left(r_{1}^{p r o}+r_{2}^{p r o}+r_{3}^{p r o}+r_{4}^{p r o}+r_{5}^{p r o}+r_{6}^{p r o}+r_{7}^{p r o}+r_{8}^{p r o}\right) \\
& +\left(r_{1}^{\text {pro }}+r_{7}^{\text {pro }}+r_{10}^{\text {pro }}+r_{16}^{\text {pro }}+r_{21}^{\text {pro }}+r_{23}^{\text {pro }}+r_{30}^{\text {pro }}+r_{32}^{\text {pro }}+r_{33}^{\text {pro }}+r_{35}^{p r o}+r_{42}^{\text {pro }}+r_{44}^{\text {pro }}\right) \\
& R_{A B E}=-\left(r_{9}^{\text {pro }}+r_{10}^{\text {pro }}+r_{11}^{p r o}+r_{12}^{p r o}+r_{13}^{p r o}+r_{14}^{p r o}+r_{15}^{p r o}+r_{16}^{p r o}\right) \\
& +\left(r_{2}^{\text {pro }}+r_{8}^{\text {pro }}+r_{9}^{\text {pro }}+r_{15}^{\text {pro }}+r_{22}^{\text {pro }}+r_{24}^{\text {pro }}+r_{29}^{\text {pro }}+r_{31}^{\text {pro }}+r_{34}^{\text {pro }}+r_{36}^{\text {pro }}+r_{41}^{\text {pro }}+r_{43}^{\text {pro }}\right) \\
& R_{A A Z}=-\left(r_{17}^{\text {pro }}+r_{18}^{\text {pro }}+r_{19}^{\text {pro }}+r_{20}^{\text {pro }}+r_{21}^{\text {pro }}+r_{22}^{\text {pro }}+r_{23}^{\text {pro }}+r_{24}^{p r o}\right) \\
& +\left(r_{3}^{p r o}+r_{12}^{p r o}+r_{17}^{p r o}+r_{19}^{p r o}+r_{26}^{p r o}+r_{28}^{p r o}\right) \\
& R_{A A E}=-\left(r_{25}^{p r o}+r_{26}^{\text {pro }}+r_{27}^{p r o}+r_{28}^{p r o}+r_{29}^{p r o}+r_{30}^{p r o}+r_{31}^{p r o}+r_{32}^{p r o}\right) \\
& +\left(r_{4}^{\text {pro }}+r_{11}^{\text {pro }}+r_{18}^{\text {pro }}+r_{20}^{\text {pro }}+r_{25}^{\text {pro }}+r_{27}^{\text {pro }}\right) \\
& R_{B B Z}=-\left(r_{33}^{\text {pro }}+r_{34}^{p r o}+r_{35}^{p r o}+r_{36}^{p r o}+r_{37}^{p r o}+r_{38}^{p r o}+r_{39}^{p r o}+r_{40}^{p r o}\right) \\
& +\left(r_{5}^{p r o}+r_{14}^{\text {pro }}+r_{37}^{\text {pro }}+r_{39}^{p r o}+r_{46}^{p r o}+r_{48}^{p r o}\right) \\
& R_{B B E}=-\left(r_{41}^{p r o}+r_{42}^{p r o}+r_{43}^{p r o}+r_{44}^{p r o}+r_{45}^{p r o}+r_{46}^{p r o}+r_{47}^{p r o}+r_{48}^{p r o}\right) \\
& +\left(r_{6}^{p r o}+r_{13}^{p r o}+r_{38}^{p r o}+r_{40}^{p r o}+r_{45}^{p r o}+r_{47}^{p r o}\right) \\
& R_{A B Z} /[R u R]=-\left(4 . k_{z Z}^{p r o}+4 \cdot k_{z e}^{p r o}\right) \cdot[A B Z] \\
& +2 \cdot k_{z z}^{p r o} \cdot[A B Z]+2 \cdot k_{e z}^{p r o} \cdot[A B E]+2 \cdot k_{z z}^{p r o} \cdot[A A Z]+2 \cdot k_{e z}^{p r o} \cdot[A A E]+2 \cdot k_{z z}^{p r o} \cdot[B B Z]+2 \cdot k_{e z}^{p r o} \cdot[B B E] \\
& R_{A B E} /[R u R]=-\left(4 . k_{e e}^{p r o}+4 \cdot k_{e z}^{p r o}\right) \cdot[A B E] \\
& +2 . k_{z e}^{p r o} \cdot[A B Z]+2 . k_{e e}^{p r o} \cdot[A B E]+2 \cdot k_{z e}^{p r o} \cdot[A A Z]+2 \cdot k_{e e}^{p r o} \cdot[A A E]+2 \cdot k_{z e}^{p r o} \cdot[B B Z]+2 \cdot k_{e e}^{p r o} \cdot[B B E] \\
& R_{A A Z} /[R u R]=-\left(4 . k_{z Z}^{\text {pro }}+4 . k_{z e}^{p r o}\right) \cdot[A A Z] \\
& +k_{z z}^{p r o} \cdot[A B Z]+k_{e z}^{p r o} \cdot[A B E]+2 \cdot k_{z z}^{p r o} \cdot[A A Z]+2 \cdot k_{e z}^{p r o} \cdot[A A E] \\
& R_{A A E} /[R u R]=-\left(4 . k_{e e}^{p r o}+4 . k_{e z}^{p r o}\right) . \\
& +k_{z e}^{p r o} \cdot[A B Z]+k_{e e}^{p r o} \cdot[A B E]+2 \cdot k_{z e}^{p r o} \cdot[A A Z]+2 \cdot k_{e e}^{p r o} \cdot[A A E] \\
& R_{B B Z} /[R u R]=-\left(4 . k_{z Z}^{\text {pro }}+4 . k_{z e}^{p r o}\right) \cdot[B B Z] \\
& +k_{z z}^{p r o} \cdot[A B Z]+k_{e z}^{p r o} \cdot[A B E]+2 \cdot k_{z Z}^{p r o} \cdot[B B Z]+2 \cdot k_{e z}^{p r o} \cdot[B B E] \\
& R_{B B E} /[R u R]=-\left(4 . k_{e e}^{p r o}+4 \cdot k_{e z}^{p r o}\right) \cdot[B B E] \\
& +k_{z e}^{p r o} \cdot[A B Z]+k_{e e}^{p r o} \cdot[A B E]+2 \cdot k_{z e}^{p r o} \cdot[B B Z]+2 \cdot k_{e e}^{p r o} \cdot[B B E]
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Correlation matrixes

Correlation matrix for pre-catalysts: G-II, HG-II, M71-SiMes and M73-SiMes

|  | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {zz }}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {ze }}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {ez }}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {ee }}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {ini }}$ <br> (G II) | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{k}_{\text {ini }} \\ (\mathbf{H G} \text { II) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {ini }}$ <br> (M71-SiMes) | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{ini}} \\ \text { (M73-SiMes) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{zz}}$ | 1 | 0.322 | 0.261 | 0.150 | -0.241 | -0.274 | -0.117 | -0.113 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ze}}$ | 0.322 | 1 | 0.431 | 0.171 | -0.285 | -0.297 | -0.184 | -0.180 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ez}}$ | $0.261$ | $0.431$ | 1 | 0.215 | -0.303 | $-0.314$ | -0.244 | -0.245 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ee}}$ | 0.150 | 0.171 | 0.215 | 1 | -0.278 | -0.213 | -0.191 | -0.184 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ini}}(\mathrm{G} \text { II) }$ | -0.241 | -0.285 | -0.303 | -0.278 | 1 | 0.239 | 0.174 | 0.171 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ini}}(\mathrm{HG} \text { II) }$ | -0.274 | -0.297 | -0.314 | -0.213 | 0.239 | 1 | 0.164 | 0162 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ini }}$ (M71-SiMes) | -0.117 | -0.184 | -0.244 | -0.191 | 0.174 | 0.164 | 1 | 0.141 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ini }}$ (M73-SiMes) | -0.113 | -0.180 | -0.245 | -0.184 | 0.171 | 0.162 | 0.141 | 1 |

Correlation matrix for pre-catalysts: HG-SiPr and M71-SiPr

|  | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{zz}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{ze}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{ez}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {ee }}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {ini }}$ <br> $(\mathbf{H G}-\mathbf{S i P r})$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {ini }}$ <br> $(\mathbf{M 7 1 - S i P r})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{zz}}$ | 1 | 0.587 | 0.482 | 0.666 | -0.153 | -0.194 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ze}}$ | 0.587 | 1 | 0.73 | 0.648 | -0.106 | -0.127 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ez}}$ | 0.482 | 0.73 | 1 | 0.561 | -0.219 | -0.24 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ee}}$ | 0.666 | 0.648 | 0.561 | 1 | -0.201 | -0.106 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ini }}(\mathrm{HG}-\operatorname{SiPr})$ | -0.153 | -0.106 | -0.219 | -0.201 | 1 | 0.012 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ini }}(\mathrm{M} 71-\operatorname{SiPr})$ | -0.194 | -0.127 | -0.24 | -0.106 | 0.012 | 1 |
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