

Communication

Field Experiment Effect on Citrus Spider Mite *Panonychus citri* of Venom from Jellyfish *Nemopilema nomurai*: The Potential Use of Jellyfish in Agriculture

Huahua Yu ^{1,2,*}, Rongfeng Li ^{1,2}, Xueqin Wang ^{1,2}, Yang Yue ¹ , Song Liu ^{1,2}, Rong Xing ^{1,2} and Pengcheng Li ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ CAS and Shandong Province Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Center for Ocean Mega-Science, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 7 Nanhai Road, Qingdao 266071, China; rongfengli@qdio.ac.cn (R.L.); xueqinwang@qdio.ac.cn (X.W.); yueyang@qdio.ac.cn (Y.Y.); sliu@qdio.ac.cn (S.L.); xingronge@qdio.ac.cn (R.X.)
- ² Laboratory for Marine Drugs and Bioproducts, Pilot National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, No. 1 Wenhai Road, Qingdao 266237, China
- * Correspondence: yuhuahua@qdio.ac.cn (H.Y.); pcli@qdio.ac.cn (P.L.); Tel.: +86-0532-8289-8780 (H.Y.); +86-0532-8289-8707 (P.L.)

Abstract: Jellyfish are rich in resources and widely distributed along coastal areas. As a potential approach to respond to jellyfish blooms, the use of jellyfish-derived products is increasing. The citrus spider mite (*Panonychus citri*) is one of the key citrus pests, negatively impacting the quality and quantity of oranges. Due to the resistance and residue of chemical acaricides, it is important to seek natural substitutes that are environmentally friendly. The field efficacy of the venom from the jellyfish *Nemopilema nomurai* against *P. citri* was assayed in a citrus garden. The frozen *N. nomurai* tentacles were sonicated in different buffers to isolate the venom. The venom isolated by PBS buffer (10 mM, pH 6.0) had the strongest acaricidal activity of the four samples, and the corrected field efficacy 7 days after treatment was up to 95.21%. This study demonstrated that jellyfish has potential use in agriculture.

Keywords: cnidarian venom; acaricidal activity; field efficacy

Key Contribution: The study shows the venom from jellyfish *Nemopilema nomurai* has acaricidal activity in the field experiment and highlights a new potential means to use jellyfish resources.



Citation: Yu, H.; Li, R.; Wang, X.; Yue, Y.; Liu, S.; Xing, R.; Li, P. Field Experiment Effect on Citrus Spider Mite *Panonychus citri* of Venom from Jellyfish *Nemopilema nomurai*: The Potential Use of Jellyfish in Agriculture. *Toxins* **2021**, *13*, 411. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins13060411>

Received: 8 May 2021
Accepted: 8 June 2021
Published: 10 June 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The citrus spider mite (*Panonychus citri*) is one of the key citrus pests. They use a pierce-sucking strategy by piercing the cell walls, extracting nutrients and moisture from the leaf. Their feeding causes necrotic or yellowing spots, which, over time, completely yellows the leaf. Severe mite feeding affects both the quality and quantity of oranges, including flower bud formation, the maturity of the oranges, and even the following year's production [1]. At present, chemical acaricides, such as spiroidiclofen, spiromesifen, diafenthiuron, and bifentazate among others, are commonly used to control the citrus spider mite [2]. However, the citrus spider mite is susceptible to resistance due to its strong adaptability and short generation time [3]. In addition, residual agrochemicals affect food safety and the ecological environment, causing widespread concern [4].

Jellyfish are rich in resources and widely distributed along coastal areas [5]. However, the use of jellyfish resources is limited, and most of the jellyfish is discarded because of its high water content and its stings. As a potential approach to respond to jellyfish blooms, the use of jellyfish-derived products is increasing. In China, the jellyfish *Rhopilema esculentum* and *Nemopilema nomurai* are processed by salt and aluminum, and the processed jellyfish has a shelf life of about two years, which makes jellyfish easy to store and transport [6]. Jellyfish is a popular seafood in China because it is nutritious and a popular food item.

According to the *Compendium of Materia Medica*, jellyfish are used to treat gynecology, children's cold and erysipelas, burns, and so on [7]. The jellyfish peptides obtained by enzymolysis technology have antihypertensive and antioxidant activities [8,9]. A jellyfish venom, as a type of marine toxin, might be a valuable resource in drug design and a tool to study cell physiology [10–12]. However, because of the major causes of envenomation, the study of jellyfish venom has been focused on the prevention and treatment of stings, including the identification of sting-related toxins [13–15], analysis of toxicity [16–18], and first-aid for envenomation [19,20].

Jellyfish *N. nomurai* is the dominant species of jellyfish bloom along coastal areas of China, Japan, and Korea, but only a small amount of this jellyfish is processed as food with salt and aluminum (Figure 1A). A large amount of red liquid containing venom is produced by jellyfish autolysis during the process (Figure 1B). Jellyfish venom has therapeutic potential [11], and venom from *N. nomurai* has anticancer activity [21]. However, this red venom liquid is discarded as a byproduct, which wastes the marine toxin resource. Venoms from other venomous animals, such as spiders, scorpions, cone snails, and wasps, have been reported to have insecticidal activity [22]. As to the use of jellyfish venom in agriculture, we reported the acaricidal activity; the venom from *N. nomurai* had contact toxicity against the spider mite *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* estimated by the FAO-recommended slide-dip method, and the LC₅₀ was 29.1 µg/mL [23]. The present study analyzed the field experiment effect of venom from the tentacles of *N. nomurai* to explore the possibility of jellyfish venom as an acaricide. In addition, a new potential use for jellyfish resources may be provided.



Figure 1. (A) Jellyfish processed by salt and alum; (B) jellyfish tentacle autolysis and red liquid containing venom.

2. Results and Discussion

The results of the corrected field efficacy are shown in Table 1. It has been reported that the buffers used for isolating venom affect the bioactivities of venom [24,25]. Therefore, the acaricidal activities of four jellyfish venom samples isolated by different buffers were assayed. The four samples (NnFVPBS-1, NnFVPBS-2, NnFVTris-1, and NnFVTris-2) all had acaricidal activity against *P. citri* in the field experiment. NnFVPBS-1 had the strongest acaricidal activity, and the value of the corrected field efficacy 7 days after treatment was up to 95.21%. The values of the corrected field efficacy 1 day, 3 days, 7 days, and 14 days after treatment were 92.51, 91.76, 95.21, and 85.89%, respectively, indicating that NnFVPBS-1 had good persistence. Fourteen days after treatment, the values of the corrected field efficacy for the four samples (NnFVPBS-1, NnFVPBS-2, NnFVTris-1, and NnFVTris-2) were lower than that of 1 day, 3 days, and 7 days after treatment, respectively, and the possible reason is the proliferation of mites or other mites flying in. In addition, the mites' reduced rate of control 14 days after treatment was −14.63% (due to the possible proliferation of mites or other mites flying in), which made the corrected field efficacy values of all samples 14 days after treatment higher than that of the mites' reduced rate. The citrus trees treated

with samples did not differ greatly in appearance from the citrus trees treated with water, indicating that all samples were harmless to citrus trees 14 days after treatment. The type of buffer affected the acaricidal activity, and the samples NnFVPBS-1 and NnFVPBS-2 isolated by PBS buffer had better control effects than the samples NnFVTris-1 and NnFVTris-2 isolated by Tris buffer. Perhaps the buffers inhibited the activities of both detoxifying and protective enzymes in *P. citri*, and the type and concentration of the buffers affected the acaricidal activity. According to the analysis for significant differences, of the four jellyfish venom samples, only the field efficacy of NnFVPBS-1 had a significant difference vs. PBS-1 (buffer for NnFVPBS-1 isolation). This result showed that the PBS-1 buffer was appropriate for jellyfish venom isolation.

The spider mites are very harmful to plants and the damage affects the yield and quality of food, feed, and fiber. Acaricides are effective ways of controlling spider mites. Most of the acaricides, such as spiromesifen, diafenthiuron, and propargite, are synthetic and remain in the environment. Some natural bioactive substances have been investigated for their acaricidal activity to reduce the residues in the environment. Bamboo tar demonstrated acaricidal activity against *T. cinnabarinus*, and the LC_{50} value was 0.9754 g/L in the greenhouse test, so it might be used as an acaricide in the agricultural field [1]. The fungus isolated from the citrus rust mite, named *Meira geulakonigii* Boekhout, Scorzetti, Gerson & Szejnberg (Basidiomycota: Ustilaginomycetes), had acaricidal activity against *Phyllocoptruta oleivora*, *P. citri*, and *T. cinnabarinus* [26].

Venom has the potential to be a novel source of bioinsecticides or bioacaricides. Some latrotoxins, pore-forming proteins isolated from spider venom, have the potential as highly-specific insecticides [27]. OAIP-1 from venom of the Australian tarantula *Selenotypus plumipes* had the high insecticidal activity against the agronomically important cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera*, and the oral LD_{50} was 104.2 ± 0.6 pmol/g [28]. Two insecticidal toxins, Ct1a and Ct1b, were isolated from the venom of the Australian theraphosid spider *Coremiocnemis tropix*, and they were lethal to blowflies within 24 h of injection [29]. Four peptides named G1, G3, W3-desK, and W4 were highly active against crickets with an $LD_{50} < 130$ µg peptide/g animal weight [30]. Six conotoxins with potential insecticidal activity were screened out from a conotoxin library, and the insect bioassay indicated their insecticidal activity against mealworms [31]. Venom from *N. nomurai* had acaricidal activity against *T. cinnabarinus* in the slide-dip method and had insecticidal activity against cotton bollworm *H. armigera* in the diet incorporation assay [23,32]. *Palmythoa caribaeorum* venom was lethal to crickets, and the LD_{50} values at 24 h and 48 h were 50.92 ± 10.85 µg protein/g and 3.78 ± 0.243 µg protein/g, respectively [33]. In addition, venom peptides which have the structural scaffolds found in insecticidal toxins, such as inhibitor cysteine knot, defensin-like, and cysteine-stabilized $\alpha\beta$, may have their potential for development as insecticides [22].

In recent years, jellyfish blooms have been a serious global marine ecological disaster, which seriously affect fisheries, ecological environments, tourism, and power plants [34]. Reducing the biomass of jellyfish and using jellyfish resources are the main approaches to deal with jellyfish blooms. Eliminating polyps and intercepting and cutting jellyfish into pieces have been tried to control jellyfish blooms by reducing jellyfish biomass. In Korea, high-pressure water guns have been used successfully to eliminate polyps of the jellyfish *Aurelia aurita*. It is necessary and important to find the polyps, but searching for them is difficult [35]. Jellyfish produce venom for defense, prey capture, and competitor deterrence, and the main components are proteins. A total of 499 proteins with 82 protein groups were identified from *N. nomurai* by jellyfish venomomics and venom gland transcriptomics, including phospholipase A_2 , metalloprotease, potassium channel inhibitor, hemolysis, and so on [13]. Although which component in jellyfish venom has acaricidal activity has not been certified, it may be unique to use venom from a “marine pest” to control the terrestrial pests.

Table 1. The corrected field efficacy of venom from jellyfish *N. nomurai* against *P. citri*.

Sample	1 Day after Treatment		3 Days after Treatment		7 Days after Treatment		14 Days after Treatment	
	Mites Reduced Rate (%)	Corrected Field Efficacy (%)	Mites Reduced Rate (%)	Corrected Field Efficacy (%)	Mites Reduced Rate (%)	Corrected Field Efficacy (%)	Mites Reduced Rate (%)	Corrected Field Efficacy (%)
NnFVPBS-1	92.95 ± 3.32 ^a	92.51 ± 3.32 ^a	93.51 ± 3.74 ^a	91.76 ± 4.75 ^a	95.51 ± 5.08 ^a	95.21 ± 5.43 ^a	83.83 ± 2.49 ^a	85.89 ± 2.17 ^a
PBS-1	54.82 ± 8.01 ^c	54.82 ± 8.01 ^c	61.42 ± 4.57 ^c	50.98 ± 5.81 ^c	65.36 ± 3.38 ^a	62.96 ± 3.62 ^a	51.19 ± 4.74 ^b	57.28 ± 4.11 ^b
NnFVPBS-2	74.16 ± 7.32 ^{abc}	74.16 ± 7.32 ^{abc}	80.97 ± 9.32 ^{ab}	75.82 ± 11.85 ^{ab}	65.06 ± 11.71 ^a	62.64 ± 12.53 ^a	46.76 ± 7.53 ^b	53.56 ± 6.57 ^b
PBS-2	64.51 ± 18.36 ^{bc}	64.51 ± 18.35 ^{bc}	72.03 ± 9.09 ^{bc}	64.46 ± 11.55 ^{bc}	60.95 ± 40.00 ^a	58.24 ± 42.78 ^a	20.89 ± 15.81 ^b	30.98 ± 13.79 ^b
NnFVTris-1	74.45 ± 2.63 ^{abc}	74.45 ± 2.63 ^{abc}	79.38 ± 9.04 ^{ab}	73.79 ± 11.49 ^{ab}	70.28 ± 25.14 ^a	68.22 ± 26.88 ^a	46.04 ± 7.86 ^b	52.93 ± 6.07 ^b
Tris-1	63.99 ± 11.26 ^{bc}	63.98 ± 11.26 ^{bc}	76.00 ± 10.99 ^{bc}	69.51 ± 13.96 ^{bc}	64.19 ± 4.98 ^a	61.71 ± 5.33 ^a	45.59 ± 9.98 ^b	52.53 ± 6.86 ^b
NnFVTris-2	79.64 ± 14.67 ^{ab}	79.64 ± 14.67 ^{ab}	70.01 ± 10.06 ^{bc}	61.89 ± 12.78 ^{bc}	69.16 ± 9.56 ^a	67.03 ± 10.22 ^a	44.83 ± 47.18 ^b	51.87 ± 8.71 ^b
Tris-2	68.55 ± 19.70 ^{bc}	68.55 ± 19.70 ^{bc}	61.50 ± 7.48 ^c	51.07 ± 9.50 ^c	63.73 ± 5.88 ^a	61.22 ± 6.28 ^a	36.26 ± 29.77 ^b	44.39 ± 41.16 ^b

Note: Different letters indicate significant differences at $p < 0.05$. The values of mites reduced rate (%) of control (water) were 0, 21.30, 6.48, and -14.63 % after 1 day, 3 days, 7 days, and 14 days treatment.

Besides venom, collagen is in the mesoglea of jellyfish. Due to good bioavailability and biological properties, jellyfish collagen is a promising candidate in biomedical application [34], such as novel scaffolds and aptasensors for clinical analysis [36,37]. Peptides from the mesoglea of jellyfish have antimicrobial, antioxidant, and hypotensive activity [8,9,38] and also inhibit intracellular tyrosinase and decrease melanin [8], suggesting that peptides from jellyfish may be applied in healthy food and cosmetics. Mucus from jellyfish can accumulate nanoparticles, which may have potential application in the decontamination of nanowater [39].

In summary, venom from *N. nomurai* isolated by PBS buffer had strong acaricidal activity in the field experiment. This result suggested that jellyfish has a potential use in agriculture. However, field experiments are only the beginning of acaricide development, and there is a lot of work to do to develop jellyfish venom as an ideal acaricide. The composition and mechanism for acaricidal activity, insecticidal spectrum and applicability, toxicity, and stability need to be further investigated.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Venom Preparation

N. nomurai specimens were collected in Laoshan Bay in Qingdao, Shandong Province, China, in August 2014. The tentacles were manually excised in vivo, packed in polythene bags with ice, and transported to the laboratory. Then, the tentacles were stored at $-80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ until use. The frozen tentacles were sonicated in different cold ($4\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) buffers eight times for 30 s at 100 mV. The fluids were clarified by centrifugation (15,000 g) for 20 min at $4\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and used as full venom NnFV (NnFVPBS-1, NnFVPBS-2, NnFVTris-1, and NnFVTris-2, where the different suffix letters denote the NnFV sonicated in different buffers listed in Table 2). The concentrations of NnFVPBS-1, NnFVPBS-2, NnFVTris-1, and NnFVTris-2 were determined by the Bradford method [40], using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a standard.

Table 2. Samples of venom from jellyfish *N. nomurai* obtained by different buffers.

Samples	Buffer
NnFVPBS-1	PBS-1 (10 mM, pH 6)
NnFVPBS-2	PBS-2 (10 mM, pH 6, 1 mM GSH + 5mM NaCl)
NnFVTris-1	Tris-1 (50 mM, pH 7.8)
NnFVTris-2	Tris-2 (50 mM, pH 7.8, 1mM GSH + 5mM NaCl)

3.2. Field for Experiment

The field for the experiment was located in a citrus garden in Mayang, Hunan Province, China, with an area of approximately 0.4 hectare. Citrus (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco) trees were planted on the mountain. The soil of the mountain was sandy loam with medium fertility and was covered by approximately 1 cm of humus. The citrus trees were well fertilized more than two times per year with 900 kg/ hectare of Yangfeng compound fertilizer, and the citrus trees were 1–1.5 m high. Meteorological conditions on the day of treatment and 7 days after treatment are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Meteorological conditions on the day of treatment and 7 days after treatment.

Date (M/D)	Average Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Relative Humidity (%)	Amount of Precipitation (mm)
6/18	28.6	72	-
6/19	29.2	96	6
6/20	29.4	74	-
6/21	28.9	100	10
6/22	30.8	72	-
6/23	31.4	69	-
6/24	30.4	56	-
6/25	29.4	69	-

3.3. Efficacy Investigation

To investigate the effect of the buffers used for venom preparation on *P. citri*, four buffers together with four samples were used in the experiment. The concentrations of the four samples (NnFVPBS-1, NnFVPBS-2, NnFVTris-1, and NnFVTris-2) were adjusted to 1.50 mg/mL. The four samples (NnFVPBS-1, NnFVPBS-2, NnFVTris-1, and NnFVTris-2) and buffers (PBS-1, PBS-2, Tris-1, and Tris-2) were diluted 10 times and then sprayed over the entirety of the canopies. Water was used as the control. A manual sprayer with a working pressure of 2–2.3 kg/cm² and a nozzle aperture of 1.2 mm was used. The amount of sample pre-canopies was approximately 0.7 kg. The leaves were entirely moist, but no liquid dripped from the leaves. Three citrus trees were used for each treatment for three replications. The number of mites on three branches from different directions of the same tree was checked before treatment and 1 day, 3 days, 7 days, and 14 days after treatment. The mites reduced rate and corrected field efficacy were calculated according to the following equations:

$$\text{Mites reduced rate \%} = \frac{\text{the number before treatment} - \text{the number after treatment}}{\text{the number before treatment}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Corrected field efficacy \%} = \frac{\% \text{ mites reduced rate} - \% \text{ control mites reduced rate}}{100 - \% \text{ control mites reduced rate}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

3.4. Statistical Analysis

All data are expressed as the mean \pm SD of three parallel measurements. The significance of differences between the means of various experimental groups was analyzed by Duncan's multiple range test using SPSS 21.0, and $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Author Contributions: H.Y. and P.L. conceived and designed the experiments; R.L. and H.Y. performed the experiments; X.W., Y.Y., S.L. and R.X. contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools; H.Y. wrote the paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFE0111100-04, 2019YFC0312605), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41776163, 41876164), and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2019QD012).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of the Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. (protocol code No. IOCAS/KLEMB/20170301 approved on 17 March 2017).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1. Wang, P.; Maliang, H.; Wang, C.; Ma, J. Bamboo charcoal by-products as sources of new insecticide and acaricide. *Ind. Crop Prod.* **2015**, *77*, 575–581. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Leeuwen, T.; Tirry, L.; Yamamoto, A.; Nauen, R.; Dermauw, W. The economic importance of acaricides in the control of phytophagous mites and an update on recent acaricide mode of action research. *Pest. Biochem. Physiol.* **2015**, *121*, 12–21. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Doker, I.; Kazak, C.; Ay, R. Resistance status and detoxification enzyme activity in ten populations of *Panonychus ulmi* (Acari: Tetranychidae) from Turkey. *Crop Prot.* **2021**, *141*, 105488. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Fadamiro, H.; Akotsen-Mensah, C.; Xiao, Y.; Anikwe, J. Field evaluation of predacious mites (*Acari: Phytoseiidae*) for biological control of citrus red mite, *Panonychus ulmi* (Trombidiformes: Tetranychidae). *Fla. Entomol.* **2013**, *96*, 80–91. [[CrossRef](#)]

5. Gao, S.; Hong, H.; Zhang, S. *Fauna Sinica, Invertebrata Vol.27, Phylum Cnidaria, Class Hydrozoa Subclass Siphonophorae, Class Scyphomedusae*, 1st ed.; Science Press: Beijing, China, 2002; pp. 225–226.
6. Liu, Y.; Zhao, L.; Liu, Q.; Cao, R.; Wei, Y. Aluminum change regularity in the salted jellyfish processing. *J. Food Saf. Qual.* **2016**, *7*, 2042–2045.
7. Yu, H.; Li, R.; Liu, S.; Xing, R.; Chen, X.; Li, P. Amino acid composition and nutritional quality of gonad from jellyfish *Rhopilema esculentum*. *Biomed. Prev. Nutr.* **2014**, *4*, 399–402. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Zhuang, Y.; Sun, L.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, G. Antihypertensive effect of long-term oral administration of jellyfish (*Rhopilema esculentum*) collagen peptides on renovascular hypertension. *Mar. Drugs* **2012**, *10*, 417–426. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Zhuang, Y.; Sun, L.; Zhao, X.; Wang, J.; Hou, H.; Li, B. Antioxidant and melanogenesis-inhibitory activities of collagen peptide from jellyfish (*Rhopilema esculentum*). *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2009**, *89*, 1722–1727. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Morabito, R.; la Spada, G.; Crupi, R.; Esposito, E.; Marino, A. Crude venom from nematocysts of the jellyfish *Pelagia noctiluca* as a tool to study cell physiology. *Cent. Nerv. Syst. Agents Med. Chem.* **2015**, *15*, 68–73. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Daly, N.; Seymour, J.; Wilson, D. Exploring the therapeutic potential of jellyfish venom. *Future Med. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 1715–1724. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Mariotti, G.; Grice, I. Antimicrobials from Cnidarians. A new perspective for anti-infective therapy? *Mar. Drugs* **2016**, *14*, 48. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Li, R.; Yu, H.; Xue, W.; Yue, Y.; Liu, S.; Xing, R.; Li, P. Jellyfish venomics and venom gland transcriptomics analysis of *Stomolophus meleagris* to reveal the toxins associated with sting. *J. Proteom.* **2014**, *106*, 17–29. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Li, R.; Yu, H.; Yue, Y.; Liu, S.; Xing, R.; Chen, X.; Li, P. Combined proteomics and transcriptomics identifies sting-related toxins of jellyfish *Cyanea nozakii*. *J. Proteom.* **2016**, *148*, 57–64. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Liu, G.; Zhou, Y.; Liu, D.; Wang, Q.; Ruan, Z.; He, Q.; Zhang, L. Global transcriptome analysis of the tentacle of the jellyfish *Cyanea capillata* using deep sequencing and expressed sequence tags: Insight into the toxin- and degenerative disease-related transcripts. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0142680.
16. Mariotti, G. Hemolytic venoms from marine cnidarian jellyfish—an overview. *J. Venom Res.* **2014**, *5*, 22–32.
17. Yue, Y.; Yu, H.; Li, R.; Xing, R.; Liu, S.; Li, K.; Wang, X.; Chen, X.; Li, P. Functional elucidation of *Nemopilema nomurai* and *Cyanea nozakii* nematocyst venoms' lytic activity using mass spectrometry and zymography. *Toxins* **2017**, *9*, 47. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Bruschetta, G.; Impellizzeri, D.; Morabito, R.; Marino, A.; Ahmad, A.; Spanò, N.; Spada, G.; Cuzzocrea, S.; Esposito, E. *Pelagia noctiluca* (Scyphozoa) crude venom injection elicits oxidative stress and inflammatory response in rats. *Mar. Drugs* **2014**, *12*, 2182–2204. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Wilcox, C.; Headlam, J.; Doyle, T.; Yanagihara, A. Assessing the efficacy of first-aid measures in *physalia* sp. envenomation, using solution and blood agarose-based models. *Toxins* **2017**, *9*, 149. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Yanagihara, A.; Wilcox, C.; King, R.; Hurwitz, K.; Castelfranco, A. Experimental assays to assess the efficacy of vinegar and other topical first-aid approaches on cubozoan (*Alatina alata*) tentacle firing and venom toxicity. *Toxins* **2016**, *8*, 19. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Lee, H.; Bae, S.; Kim, M.; Pyo, M.; Kim, M.; Yang, S.; Won, C.; Yoon, W.; Han, C.; Kang, C.; et al. Anticancer effect of *Nemopilema nomurai* jellyfish venom on hep2 cells and a tumor xenograft animal model. *Evid. Based Complement Altern. Med.* **2017**, *2017*, 2752716. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Smith, J.; Herzig, V.; King, G. The insecticidal potential of venom peptides. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **2013**, *70*, 3665–3693. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Yu, H.; Yue, Y.; Dong, X.; Li, R.; Li, P. The acaricidal activity of venom from the jellyfish *nemopilema nomurai* against the carmine spider mite *Tetranychus cinnabarinus*. *Toxins* **2016**, *8*, 179. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Bloom, D.; Burnett, J.; Alderslade, P. Partial purification of box jellyfish (*Chironex fleckeri*) nematocyst venom isolated at the beachside. *Toxicon* **1998**, *36*, 1075–1085. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Feng, J.; Yu, H.; Li, C.; Xing, R.; Liu, S.; Wang, L.; Cai, S.; Li, P. Isolation and characterization of venom from nematocysts of jellyfish *Rhopilema esculentum* Kishinouye. *Chin. J. Oceanol. Limnol.* **2009**, *27*, 869–874. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Szejnberg, A.; Paz, Z.; Boekhout, T.; Gafni, A.; Gerson, U. A new fungus with dual biocontrol capabilities: Reducing the numbers of phytophagous mites and powdery mildew disease damage. *Crop Prot.* **2004**, *23*, 1125–1129. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Rivera-de-Torre, E.; Palacios-Ortega, J.; Gavilanes, J.G.; Martínez-del-Pozo, Á.; García-Linares, S. Pore-forming proteins from cnidarians and arachnids as potential biotechnological tools. *Toxins* **2019**, *11*, 370. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Hardy, M.; Daly, N.; Mobli, M.; Morales, R.; Keng, G.F. Isolation of an orally active insecticidal toxin from the venom of an Australian Tarantula. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e73136. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
29. Ikonopoulou, M.; Smith, J.; Herzig, V.; Pineda, S.; Dziemborowicz, S.; Er, S.; Durek, T.; Gilchrist, J.; Alewood, P.; Nicholson, G.; et al. Isolation of two insecticidal toxins from venom of the Australian theraphosid spider *Coremiocnemis tropix*. *Toxicon* **2016**, *123*, 62–70. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Orivel, J.; Redeker, V.; Le Caer, J.; Krier, F.; Revol-Junelles, A.; Longeon, A.; Chaffotte, A.; Dejean, A.; Rossier, J. Ponericins, new antibacterial and insecticidal peptides from the venom of the ant *Pachycondyla goeldii*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2001**, *276*, 17823–17829. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Gao, B.; Peng, C.; Lin, B.; Chen, Q.; Zhang, J.; Shi, Q. Screening and validation of highly-efficient insecticidal conotoxins from a transcriptome-based dataset of chinese tubular cone snail. *Toxins* **2017**, *9*, 214. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Yu, H.; Li, R.; Dong, X.; Xing, R.; Liu, S.; Li, P. Efficacy of venom from tentacle of jellyfish *Stomolophus meleagris* (*Nemopilema nomurai*) against the cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera*. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2014**, *2014*, 315853. [[CrossRef](#)]

33. Lazcano-Pérez, F.; Zavala-Moreno, A.; Rufino-González, Y.; Ponce-Macotela, M.; García-Arredondo, A.; Cuevas-Cruz, M.; Gomez-Manzo, S.; Marcial-Quino, J.; Arreguin-Lozano, B.; Arreguin-Espinosa, R. Hemolytic, anticancer and anti-giardial activity of *Palythoa caribaeorum* venom. *J. Venom. Anim. Toxins Trop. Dis.* **2018**, *24*, 12. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Addad, S.; Exposito, J.; Faye, C.; Ricard-Blum, S.; Lethias, C. Isolation, Characterization and Biological Evaluation of Jellyfish Collagen for Use in Biomedical Applications. *Mar. Drugs* **2011**, *9*, 967–983. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Zhang, F.; Li, C.; Sun, S.; Wei, H.; Wang, Y. Progress on studying jellyfish bloom, and the monitoring and control. *Oceanol. Limnol. Sin.* **2017**, *48*, 1187–1195.
36. Arslan, Y.; Arslan, T.; Derkus, B.; Emregul, E.; Emregul, K. Fabrication of human hair keratin/jellyfish collagen/eggshell-derived hydroxyapatite osteoinductive biocomposite scaffolds for bone tissue engineering: From waste to regenerative medicine products. *Colloid Surf. B Biointerfaces* **2017**, *154*, 160–170. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Derkus, B.; Arslan, Y.; Bayrac, A.; Kantarcioglu, I.; Emregul, K.; Emregul, E. Development of a novel aptasensor using jellyfish collagen as matrix and thrombin detection in blood samples obtained from patients with various neurodisease. *Sens. Actuator B Chem.* **2016**, *228*, 725–736. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Ovchinnikova, T.; Balandin, S.; Aleshina, G.; Tagaev, A.; Leonova, Y.; Krasnodembsky, E.; Men'shenin, A.; Kokryakov, V. Aurelin, a novel antimicrobial peptide from jellyfish *Aurelia aurita* with structural features of defensins and channel-blocking toxins. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2006**, *348*, 514–523. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Patwa, A.; Thiery, A.; Lombard, F.; Lilley, M.; Boisset, C.; Bramard, J.; Bottero, J.; Barthelemy, P. Accumulation of nanoparticles in “jellyfish” mucus: A bio-inspired route to decontamination of nano-waste. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 11387. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
40. Bradford, M. Rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* **1976**, *72*, 248–254. [[CrossRef](#)]