



Article Nutritional and Lifestyle Behaviors Reported Following One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass Based on a Multicenter Study

Shiri Sherf-Dagan ^{1,2,*,†}, Reut Biton ^{3,†}, Rui Ribeiro ^{4,5}, Yafit Kessler ^{1,6}, Asnat Raziel ⁶, Carina Rossoni ^{4,7}, Hasan Kais ^{3,8}, Rossela Bragança ⁴, Zélia Santos ^{4,9}, David Goitein ^{3,6,10}, Octávio Viveiros ⁴, Yitka Graham ^{11,12}, Kamal Mahawar ^{11,12}, Nasser Sakran ^{6,13,14} and Tair Ben-Porat ^{15,16}

- ¹ Department of Nutrition Sciences, School of Health Sciences, Ariel University, Ariel 40700, Israel
- ² Department of Nutrition, Assuta Medical Center, Tel Aviv 6971028, Israel
- ³ Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Tel Aviv 6997801, Israel
- ⁴ Multidisciplinary Center for Obesity Treatment, Hospital Lusíadas Amadora, 2724-002 Amadora, Portugal
- ⁵ General Surgery Department Coordinator, Hospital Lusíadas Amadora, 2724-002 Amadora, Portugal
- ⁶ Assia Medical Group, Assuta Medical Center, Tel Aviv 6971028, Israel
- ⁷ Institute of Environmental Health, Faculty Medicine, University of Lisbon, 1649-028 Lisbon, Portugal
- ⁸ Division of Surgery, Shamir Medical Center, Zerifin 70300, Israel
- ⁹ H&TRC—Health & Technology Research Center, (ESTeSL) Escola Superior de Tecnologia da Saúde de Lisboa, 1990-096 Lisbon, Portugal
- ¹⁰ Department of Surgery C, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Ramat Gan 5266202, Israel
- ¹¹ Faculty of Health Sciences and Wellbeing, University of Sunderland, Sunderland SR1 3SD, UK
- ¹² Bariatric Surgical Unit, Sunderland Royal Hospital, Sunderland SR4 7TP, UK
- ¹³ Department of Surgery, Holy Family Hospital, Nazareth 1600100, Israel
- ¹⁴ The Azrieli Faculty of Medicine Safed, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan 5290002, Israel
- ¹⁵ Department of Health, Kinesiology, and Applied Physiology, Concordia University, Montréal, OC H4B 186, Canada
- ¹⁶ Montreal Behavioural Medicine Centre (MBMC), Centre Intégré Universitaire de Santé et de Services Sociaux du Nord-de-l'Île-de-Montréal (CIUSSS-NIM), Montréal, QC H4J 1C5, Canada
- * Correspondence: shiris@ariel.ac.il
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: This study aimed to describe nutritional and lifestyle parameters following one-anastomosis gastric bypass (OAGB). A multicenter study among OAGB patients across Israel (n = 277) and Portugal (n = 111) was performed. Patients were approached according to the time elapsed since surgery. An online survey with information regarding demographics, anthropometrics, and nutritional and lifestyle aspects was administered in both countries simultaneously. Respondents from Israel (presurgery age of 41.6 \pm 11.0 years, 75.8% females) and Portugal (pre-surgery age of 45.6 \pm 12.3 years, 79.3% females) reported changes in their appetite (\leq 94.0% and \leq 94.6%), changes in their taste (\leq 51.0 and \leq 51.4%), and intolerance to specific foods (i.e., red meat, pasta, bread, and rice). Bariatric surgery-related eating recommendations were generally followed well, but a trend toward lower adherence was evident in groups with longer time elapsed since surgery in both countries. Most respondents from Israel and Portugal reported participation in follow-up meetings with a surgeon (\leq 94.0% and 100%) and a dietitian (\leq 92.6% and \leq 100%), while far fewer reported participation in any follow-up meeting with a psychologist/social worker (\leq 37.9% and \leq 56.1%). Patients following OAGB might experience changes in appetite, taste, and intolerance to specific foods. Adherence to bariatric surgery-related eating recommendations is not always satisfying, especially in the longer term post-surgery.

Keywords: one anastomosis gastric bypass; nutrition; lifestyle behaviors; adherence



Citation: Sherf-Dagan, S.; Biton, R.; Ribeiro, R.; Kessler, Y.; Raziel, A.; Rossoni, C.; Kais, H.; Bragança, R.; Santos, Z.; Goitein, D.; et al. Nutritional and Lifestyle Behaviors Reported Following One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass Based on a Multicenter Study. *Nutrients* **2023**, *15*, 1515. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu15061515

Academic Editor: Antonios Dakanalis

Received: 22 January 2023 Revised: 13 March 2023 Accepted: 15 March 2023 Published: 21 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

Bariatric surgery (BS) is a validated treatment modality for severe obesity that is usually considered when other modalities to lose weight have failed [1]. BS includes several procedure types with respective pros and cons [2]. One-anastomosis gastric bypass (OAGB) surgery is a bariatric procedure that is gaining popularity worldwide, but mainly in specific regions [3]. It is considered a "combined procedure" which includes both "restrictive" and "malabsorptive" components [4]. Presently, there are considerable variabilities in surgical technique administration, with the biliopancreatic limb length being one of the main debates among surgeons performing this procedure [2]. Nevertheless, several controversies as to the results and implications of this procedure exist, especially regarding the longer term [5]. One issue for which information is currently lacking are the nutritional implications of OAGB [4]. Although an increasing number of studies present information on nutritional status in terms of nutritional deficiencies and malnutrition following OAGB [2,6–15], data on other nutritional-related topics that could impact patients' adjustments including aspects that could impact food intake and compliance with the nutritional and lifestyle recommendations are scarce [9,16,17]. As changes in eating behaviors and lifestyle habits are essential for optimal bariatric procedure outcomes, collecting data on this information is crucial [18]. Therefore, we aimed to gain information on nutritional and lifestyle parameters from two samples of OAGB patients living in different countries.

2. Materials and Methods

A multicenter study was performed in Israel and Portugal. OAGB patients were approached by study teams in each center according to a patient list based on time elapsed since the surgery (i.e., 1–6 months (1–6 M), 6–12 months (6–12 M), and 1–5 years (1–5 Y) post-surgery). Recruitment of patients according to defined time frames since the surgery was performed due to a priori expected differences in clinical and behavioral outcomes in different time periods since surgery. Inclusion criteria included age of \geq 18 years and primary OAGB in the last 5 years, and exclusion criteria included revisional BS, present pregnancy, and lack of capacity to consent. All eligible patients were informed about the study, asked to consider participating, and informed that participation was voluntary. Patients who gave their verbal consent to participate in the study were asked to complete an anonymous online survey which was delivered using SurveyMonkey[®] software through email/SMS message. An invitation to participate in the study was re-sent to non-responders after 4 weeks. Data were collected between 26 June 2020 and 9 May 2021. A research coordinator led the local study management in each country. Ethics approval by local institutional review boards of each medical center was received. The work was reported based on the STROCSS criteria [19].

2.1. Survey-Included Data

The survey included data on demographics, medical condition, anthropometrics (i.e., weight history and self-reported weight and height, followed by a calculation of body mass index (BMI), and excess weight loss (EWL) percentages [20]), and nutritional, lifestyle, and gastrointestinal parameters. The English version of the survey is presented in Supplementary Materials. The survey was distributed in Hebrew (for the study in Israel) and Portuguese (for the study in Portugal). Linguistic translation and cultural adaptation of items were performed according to recommended methodologies when needed [21,22]. This paper reports specifically on nutritional- and lifestyle-related sections within the original full-length questionnaire, as detailed below. Due to differences in OAGB technique between the countries, outcomes are presented by country.

2.2. Food Tolerance

Food tolerance was assessed using a validated questionnaire for quick assessment of food tolerance after BS [9,23] with minor modifications (i.e., pulses were added to the list of food items, but not included in the final scoring) (Figure 1a,b). Cumulatively, scores range from 1 (lowest score) to 27 (highest score) [23]. Furthermore, patients were also asked if they have other food restrictions due to health, cultural, religious, ethical, and/or belief reasons. The questionnaire for quick assessment of food tolerance after BS was previously translated to Hebrew and Portuguese and has been used in numerous studies in both languages [9,24–28].

2.3. Appetite, Taste, Smell, and Food Aversion Alternations after OAGB

Appetite, taste, smell, and food aversion alternations after OAGB were assessed using selected items obtained from previously published validated questionnaires on these topics [29–31].

2.4. Compliance with the BS Eating Recommendations

Compliance with the BS eating recommendations was assessed by asking the patients to what extent they were following the BS eating recommendations during the last month (no/partially/always) [32].

2.5. Compliance with the BS Lifestyle Recommendations

Compliance with the BS lifestyle recommendations was assessed using questions targeting smoking habits, physical activity, and frequency of multivitamin intake. Additionally, patients were asked regarding participation in a follow-up regime with the multidisciplinary team and/or support groups since the surgery. Patients were also asked if they took part in a local BS group through social media and regarding the reasons for participation in these groups.

2.6. Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 26). Tests for normality distribution were used for continuous variables. Continuous variables are presented as means \pm SD or median with interquartile range as needed, and categorical variables as proportions. To test differences in continuous variables between the three time-points post-surgery one-way ANOVA or the Kruskal–Wallis test were used when needed. For comparison of dichotomous or categorical variables between the three time-points postsurgery the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test were performed. The level of significance for all analyses was set at *p* < 0.05 and Bonferroni correction was applied when needed.

Power calculation. When applying a sample size of n = 277 (Israel) or n = 111 (Portugal), a 0.05 two-sided alpha level, and a medium effect size (Cohen d = 0.5) [33] in G*power software (version 3.1.9.4) for one sample *t*-tests, a power of >0.999 was calculated.

3. Results

3.1. Study Participant Characteristics

A total of n = 277 responses from Israel (n = 109, n = 59, and n = 109 for 1–6 M, 6–12 M, and 1–5 Y groups, respectively) and n = 111 responses from Portugal (n = 40, n = 17, and n = 54 for 1–6 M, 6–12 M, and 1–5 Y groups, respectively) were obtained. Mean months elapsed since surgery for responses from Israel and Portugal were 3.2 ± 1.7 and 2.5 ± 1.7 (1–6 M group), 9.2 ± 1.6 and 8.6 ± 1.5 (6–12 M group), and 33.0 ± 15.1 and 27.3 ± 13.0 (1–5 Y groups).

Mean reported pre-surgery age, BMI, and gender distribution (% female) were 41.6 ± 11.0 and 45.6 ± 12.3 years, 41.2 ± 4.8 and 40.1 ± 5.6 kg/m², and 75.8 and 79.3% for respondents from Israel and Portugal, respectively. Pre-surgery characteristics of the survey respondents grouped by time elapsed since surgery and by country are presented in Table 1.

Respondents from Israel and Portugal reported pre-surgery prevalence of 17.0% and 19.3% type 2 diabetes, 25.6% and 44.0% hypertension, and 39.0% and 51.4% dyslipidemia.

Table 1. Self-reported demographic and anthropometric parameters grouped by time elapsed since surgery and by country.

Parameter ^{1,2}		1–6 Months Post-Surgery	6–12 Months Post-Surgery	1–5 Years Post-Surgery	p Value
	Pre-surg	gery self-reported der	nographic parameters		
	Israel	40.2 ± 10.4	38.9 ± 11.1	44.4 ± 11.0	0.002 ^{b,c}
Age (years)	Portugal	45.5 ± 11.0	48.7 ± 10.0	44.7 ± 13.8	0.501
Conder (% yramon)	Israel	77.1	83.1	70.6	0.186
Gender (% women)	Portugal	77.5	76.5	81.5	0.853
Marital status	Israel	67.9	71.2	65.1	0.722
(% married)	Portugal	55.0	52.9	42.6	0.457
	Pre-surg	ery self-reported anth	ropometric parameter	S	
Weight (kg)	Israel	113.8 ± 17.9	113.1 ± 13.6	117.9 ± 23.0	0.220
weight (kg)	Portugal	105.8 ± 15.9	122.1 ± 23.8	112.6 ± 20.4	0.019 ^a
Hoight (m)	Israel	1.67 ± 0.09	1.67 ± 0.08	1.68 ± 0.09	0.472
Height (III)	m) Portugal 1.68 ± 0.08 1.68 ± 0.07 1.66 ± 0.07	1.66 ± 0.09	0.401		
$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{M}(1, 1, 2)$	Israel	40.9 ± 4.3	40.9 ± 4.2	41.6 ± 5.6	0.526
BIVII (kg/m ⁻)	Portugal	37.5 ± 4.0	42.9 ± 6.9	40.9 ± 5.5	0.001 ^{a,b}
	Post-surg	ery self-reported antl	nropometric paramete	rs	
Maight (kg)	Israel	91.9 ± 16.0	75.4 ± 11.1	77.3 ± 16.2	<0.001 ^{a,b}
vveight (kg) –	Portugal	84.8 ± 13.3	80.5 ± 12.3	71.5 ± 13.2	<0.001 ^{b,c}
$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H} (1 + 2)$	Israel	33.0 ± 4.2	27.3 ± 3.9	27.2 ± 4.3	<0.001 a,b
BMI (kg/m^2)	Portugal	30.1 ± 3.4	28.4 ± 3.8	26.0 ± 3.6 < 0.001 ^{b,c}	
	Israel	51.0 ± 19.9	89.0 ± 22.0	89.9 ± 23.6	<0.001 ^{a,b}
EWL (%) –	Portugal	62.4 ± 26.5	86.2 ± 21.4	98.2 ± 20.9	<0.001 a,b
Weight regain (kg) (median	Israel	-	-	2.8 (1.0-6.0)	-
(interquartile range)) ³	Portugal	-	-	2.0 (0.9–7.0)	-

Abbreviations: Body mass index (BMI), excess weight loss (EWL). ¹ Values are expressed as mean \pm SD, unless otherwise stated. ² Data were available for participants from Israel and Portugal for *n* = 109 and *n* = 40 respondents between 1–6 months post-surgery, *n* = 59 and *n* = 17 respondents between 6–12 months post-surgery, and *n* = 109 and *n* = 54 respondents between 1–5 years post-surgery, respectively. ³ Calculated as the gap between current weight and weight nadir reported by respondents. ^a Significant differences between the groups 1–6 months and 6–12 months post-surgery. ^b Significant differences between the groups 1–6 months and 1–5 years post-surgery. ^c Significant differences between the groups 6–12 months and 1–5 years post-surgery.

3.2. Anthropometrics

Respondents from Israel and Portugal presented mean %EWL of 51.0 ± 19.9 and $62.4 \pm 26.5\%$ (1–6 M group), 89.0 ± 22.0 and $86.2 \pm 21.4\%$ (6–12 M group), and 89.9 ± 23.6 and $98.2 \pm 20.9\%$ (1–5 Y group), respectively (p < 0.001 for both countries). The median of weight-regain at 1–5 Y, calculated as current weight minus nadir weight, was 2.8 kg (range: 0–35.0 kg) and 2.0 kg (range: 0–23.0 kg) among respondents from Israel and Portugal, respectively (Table 1).

3.3. Food Tolerance, Appetite, Taste, Smell, and Food Aversion Alternations

Tolerance to specific food items grouped by time elapsed since surgery per country is presented in Figure 1a,b. Aspects that could impact food intake grouped by time elapsed since surgery and by country are presented in Table 2. The great majority of respondents from Israel and Portugal in all time-elapsed-since-surgery groups reported changes in their appetite (\leq 94.0% and \leq 94.6%), while only a minority reported eating less due to bad taste or smell (\leq 23.5% and \leq 9.8%). Experiencing changes in taste was reported by 51.0% and 51.4% (1–6 M group), 46.6% and 43.8% (6–12 M group), and 51.0% and 38.0% (1–5 Y group) of respondents from Israel and Portugal, respectively (Table 2).

Parameters ¹		1–6 Months Post-Surgery	6–12 Months Post-Surgery	1–5 Years Post-Surgery	p Value
	Aspects that	t could impact food	l intake ²		
	Israel	21.7 ± 3.6	23.1 ± 2.9	22.2 ± 3.5	0.062
Food Iolerance score	Portugal	19.0 ± 4.3	24.1 ± 2.2	23.5 ± 3.8	<0.001 a,b
Food or drinks that are repulsive or	Israel	47.0	39.3	51.0	0.370
intolerable (% responded yes) 3	Portugal	32.4	37.5	52.9	0.140
Socio-cultural aspects that impact	Israel	31.7	32.1	33.7	0.953
food intake (% responded yes)	Portugal	32.4	20.0	28.8	0.669
	Changes ir	n appetite, taste, and	l smell ²		
Any change in appetite	Israel	94.0	73.2	81.4	0.001 ^{a,b}
(% responded yes)	Portugal	94.6	93.8	90.4	0.879
Eating less food because of being less	l because of being less Israel 81.0 76.8	73.5	0.449		
hungry (% responded yes)	Portugal	94.6	93.8	90.2	0.879
Eating less because of bad taste or	Israel	23.0	21.4	23.5	0.955
smell (% responded yes)	Portugal	8.1	6.7	9.8	1.000
Any change in taste of food and	Israel	51.0	46.6	51.0	0.833
drinks (% responded yes) ⁴	Portugal	51.4	43.8	38.0	0.463
Any change in smell	Israel	19.0	16.1	26.5	0.240
(% responded yes)	Portugal	13.5	12.5	14.0	0.988

Table 2. Aspects that could impact food intake grouped by time elapsed since surgery and by country.

¹ Values are expressed as mean \pm SD, unless otherwise stated. ² Data were available for participants from Israel and Portugal for n = 100 and n = 37 respondents between 1–6 months post-surgery, n = 56 and n = 16 respondents between 6–12 months post-surgery, and n = 102 and n = 51 respondents between 1–5 years post-surgery, respectively. ³ n = 107 and n = 39 participants from Israel and Portugal answered also in free text; of those, n = 19 and n = 0 reported an aversion to water, n = 17 and n = 6 reported an aversion to carbonated beverages, n = 11 and n = 9 reported an aversion to sweet drinks or food, n = 17 and n = 1 reported an aversion to coffee, n = 11 and n = 1 reported an aversion to milk, n = 8 and n = 2 reported an aversion to eggs or omelet, n = 3 and n = 5 reported an aversion to alcohol, while the rest gave other varied answers. ⁴ n = 94 and n = 39 participants from Israel and Portugal answered also in free text; of those n = 20 and n = 5 reported a change in sweet drinks or food, n = 12 and n = 1 reported a change in coffee taste, while the rest gave other varied answers. ⁴ n = 94 and n = 39 participants from Israel and Portugal answered also in free text; of those n = 20 and n = 5 reported a change in sweet drinks or food, n = 22 and n = 0 reported a change in water taste, and n = 14 and n = 1 reported a change in coffee taste, while the rest gave other varied answers. ^a Significant differences between the groups 1–6 months and 1–5 years post-surgery.





6-12 months

■ 1-5 years

1-6 months



(b)

Figure 1. (a): Tolerance to different food items by time elapsed since surgery (Israel) ^{1,2,3}. (b): Tolerance to different food items by time elapsed since surgery (Portugal) ^{1,2,3}. ¹ Data were available for participants from Israel and Portugal for n = 101 and n = 36 respondents between 1–6 months post-surgery, n = 56 and n = 16 respondents between 6–12 months post-surgery, and n = 104 and n = 52 respondents between 1–5 years post-surgery. ² Patients were asked how they can eat each of the following food items (easily/with some difficulties/not at all). ³ Pulses (e.g., lentils, chickpeas, peas, beans) were added to the original questionnaire for quick assessment of food tolerance after bariatric surgery. ** Significant differences between groups divided by time elapsed since surgery.

3.4. Compliance with the BS Nutritional and Lifestyle Recommendations

The majority of respondents from Israel and Portugal reported adherence to most of the BS eating recommendations within the 1–6 M group. However, a trend toward lower adherence to most of them was noticed within groups with longer time elapsed since surgery in each country (Figure 2a,b). Postoperative health behaviors grouped by time elapsed since surgery and by country are presented in Table 3. Daily intake of multivitamin was reported to be lower within groups with longer time elapsed since surgery among respondents from Israel (90.0%, 84.9%, and 63.0%, within 1–6 M, 6–12 M, and 1–5 Y groups, respectively, *p* < 0.001), but similar within groups with different time elapsed since surgery among respondents from Portugal (90.5%, 90.0%, and 90.9%, within 1–6 M, 6–12 M, and 1–5 Y groups, respectively, *p* = 1.000). Attendance to the follow-up regime grouped by time elapsed since surgery and by country is presented in Table 4. The majority of respondents from Israel and Portugal in all time-elapsed-since-surgery groups reported participation in follow-up meetings with a surgeon (\leq 94.0% and 100%) and a dietitian (\leq 92.6% and \leq 100%), while far fewer reported participation in any follow-up meeting with a psychologist/social worker (\leq 37.9% and \leq 56.1%) (Table 4).



Figure 2. Cont.



(b)

Figure 2. (a): Adherence to bariatric surgery eating recommendations grouped by time elapsed since surgery (Israel) ^{1,2,3,4}. (b): Adherence to bariatric surgery eating recommendations grouped by time elapsed since surgery (Portugal) ^{1,2,3,4}. ¹ Data were available for participants from Israel and Portugal for n = 100 and n = 37 respondents between 1–6 months post-surgery, n = 56 and n = 16 respondents between 6–12 months post-surgery, and n = 103 and n = 51 respondents between 1–5 years post-surgery, respectively. ² Patients were asked if they keep the following recommended behaviors for the last month (always maintained/partially maintained/not maintained). ³ A glass of drink was considered as 200 mL. ⁴ Preference to eat solid food items (e.g., boiled egg, chicken breast, salad) over soft or crunchy food items (e.g., ice cream, cookies, cakes, cookies) in most meals. ** Significant differences between time elapsed since surgery groups.

Parameters		1–6 Months Post-Surgery	6–12 Months Post-Surgery	1–5 Years Post-Surgery	p Value
		Smoking status	1		
Currently an aking (9/)	Israel	13.8	16.9	20.2	0.630
Currently shoking (%)	Portugal	12.8	0	5.6	0.353
	Physical	activity during the	last month ²		
Reported exercising ≥ 150	Israel	15.0	22.2	14.7	0.429
min/week (%)	Portugal	16.7	6.7	11.8	0.594
	Supplemen	tation usage during	the last month ³		
Multivitamin	Israel	90.0	84.9	63.0	
(% reported daily usage)	Portugal	90.5	90.0	90.9	
Multivitamin	Israel	2.0	0	6.0	Israel: <0.001 ^{b,c}
(% reported weekly usage)	Portugal	0	0	0	Portugal: 1.000
Multivitamin (% reported	Israel	8.0	15.1	31.0	
monthly or no usage)	Portugal	9.5	10.0	9.1	

Table 3. Postoperative health behaviors grouped by time elapsed since surgery and by country.

¹ Data were available for participants from Israel and Portugal for n = 109 and n = 39 respondents between 1–6 months post-surgery, n = 59 and n = 17 respondents between 6–12 months post-surgery, and n = 109 and n = 54 respondents between 1–5 years post-surgery, respectively. ² Data were available for participants from Israel and Portugal for n = 100 and n = 36 respondents between 1–6 months post-surgery, n = 54 and n = 15 respondents between 6–12 months post-surgery, and n = 100 and n = 36 respondents between 1–6 months post-surgery, n = 54 and n = 15 respondents between 6–12 months post-surgery, and n = 102 and n = 51 respondents between 1–5 years post-surgery, respectively. ³ Data were available for participants from Israel and Portugal for n = 100 and n = 21 respondents between 1–6 months post-surgery, n = 53 and n = 10 respondents between 6–12 months post-surgery, and n = 10 respondents between 6–12 months post-surgery, and n = 10 respondents between 6–12 months post-surgery, n = 53 and n = 10 respondents between 6–12 months post-surgery, and n = 100 and n = 21 respondents between 1–6 months post-surgery, n = 53 and n = 10 respondents between 6–12 months post-surgery, and n = 100 and n = 33 respondents between 1–5 years post-surgery, respectively. ^b Significant differences between the groups 1–6 months and 1–5 years post-surgery. ^c Significant differences between the groups 6–12 months and 1–5 years post-surgery.

Table 4. Attendance to the follow-up regime grouped by time elapsed since surgery and by country.

Parameter	rs	1–6 Months Post-Surgery	6–12 Months Post-Surgery	1–5 Years Post-Surgery	p Value		
	Participation in follo	w-up meetings wit	h the bariatric tear	n (%) ¹			
		Registered dietiti	an				
Any meeting	Israel	89.0	92.6	85.7	0.436		
(% responded yes)	Portugal	100	100	93.9	0.278		
Bariatric surgeon							
Any meeting	Israel	94.0	83.3	81.8	0.026 ^b		
(% responded yes)	Portugal	100	100	100	-		
	Ps	sychologist/Social	worker				
Any meeting	Israel	22.7	31.5	37.9	0.072		
(% responded yes)	Portugal	36.7	26.7	56.1	0.086		
Pharmacist							
Any meeting	Israel	58.6	52.9	30.4	<0.001 ^{b,c}		
(% responded yes)	Portugal	11.5	23.1	8.1	0.324		

Parameters		1–6 Months Post-Surgery	6–12 Months Post-Surgery	1–5 Years Post-Surgery	p Value
	Attend	lance in support gro	up meetings		
% who responded yes -	Israel	4.0	7.4	21.0	0.001 ^b
	Portugal	0	6.7	3.9	0.263
Parti	cipate in local/natio	onal bariatric surger	y groups through s	social media	
% who responded yes -	Israel	76.0	72.2	48.5	<0.001 b,c

22.2

Table 4. Cont.

¹ Data were available for participants from Israel and Portugal for n = 100 and n = 37 respondents between 1–6 months post-surgery, n = 54 and n = 16 respondents between 6–12 months post-surgery, and n = 100 and n = 51 respondents between 1–5 years post-surgery, respectively. ^b Significant differences between the groups 1–6 months and 1–5 years post-surgery. ^c Significant differences between the groups 6–12 months and 1–5 years post-surgery.

6.3

23.5

4. Discussion

Portugal

In the present study, we aimed to gain information on nutritional and lifestyle parameters following OAGB including food tolerance, eating difficulties, taste and smell changes, and adherence to the BS nutritional and lifestyle recommendations. In terms of anthropometric results, satisfying weight outcomes were reported within all the timeelapsed-since-surgery groups in each country. Nonetheless, the median weight regain reported by respondents from both countries at the mid-term post-surgery was 2–3 kg, which is within the acceptable range up to 5 years following bariatric procedures [34]. However, the range of reported weight-regain was wide between individuals in both countries.

Adherence to the BS eating recommendations, adequate follow-up support, and physical activity were all found to be associated with surgical outcomes [18,35,36]. Therefore, collecting data on these parameters following surgery is important to identify patients prone to poorer outcomes that may be in need of behavioral and nutritional intervention. In the present study, relatively high adherence to the BS eating recommendations was reported within the 1-6 M group, but a trend toward lower adherence to most of them was noticed within groups with longer time elapsed since surgery in each country. This result is in accordance with previous studies which found a similar trend [18,37]. One plausible explanation for this phenomenon could be "behavioral fatigue", as multiple health behavior changes are required in the long-term following bariatric procedures [18]. In addition, patients report that during the "honeymoon period" when weight loss is drastic and rapid with the "surgery doing the work" in limiting appetite, portion sizes, and interest in foods, it is easier to follow the BS rules compared to later periods when weight has stabilized and "the work begins" as physiological adjustments occur resulting in increased hunger, portion sizes, and interest in foods [18,38]. Food intolerances may impact the diet quality of patients who undergo different types of bariatric procedures, but some adjustments and adaptations of the gastrointestinal system probably occur over time [16,39]. A recently published systematic review found that red meat, rice, bread, pasta, dairy, and fibrous vegetables were the most prevalent reported food intolerances following different types of bariatric procedures [39]. In the present study, we observed food intolerance mainly for red meat, pasta, bread, and rice, but for most a trend toward better tolerance was noticed within groups with a longer time passed since surgery in both countries. Additionally, in both countries the mean food tolerance score was found to be higher within groups with longer time elapsed since surgery in comparison to within the 1–6 M group. Nonetheless, it seems that the prevalence of food intolerance for specific food items was different between respondents from both countries which might reflect differences in eating habits and food preferences.

0.308

Further nutritional aspects that should be taken into consideration following bariatric procedures are changes in appetite, taste, and smell. In the present study, changes in appetite were notable among groups with different elapsed times since surgery in each country. Gut hormones, which affect appetite and satiety, may play a causal role in mediating weight loss following BS, but the extent of their role following OAGB is presently less clear [40,41]. Experiencing changes in taste was reported by about half of the respondents in each country, while changes in the taste of water, coffee, and sweets were the most prevalent reported in free text. Experiencing changes in smell was reported by a minority of respondents in each country. Changes in taste and smell perceptions are probably related to adipose–gut–brain-axis modifications which occur following BS and may influence food preferences [42,43]. However, the extent of sensory changes presumably varies between different patients and bariatric procedures. A previous cross-sectional study among 103 Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) patients with a median follow-up of 19 months postsurgery, which used similar tools to assess such outcomes, revealed higher postoperative sensory changes in appetite, taste, and smell [29]. Nevertheless, another cross-sectional study, which included 126 patients who underwent RYGB or sleeve gastrectomy (SG) with a mean of 5.0 ± 4.0 years since surgery and used similar tools to assess such outcomes, revealed similar trends [42]. Collectively, future studies should further investigate changes in appetite, taste, and smell following OAGB, preferably using validated and objective methodologies [44], as these may significantly impact the dietary patterns and nutritional outcomes of patients.

As the irregular intake of supplementation may trigger nutritional deficiencies following bariatric procedures and impose health risks, lifelong supplementation is required [45,46]. In the present study, we focused on multivitamin as a marker of adherence to the "supplementation regime", although protocols, products, and dosages might be diverse between locations. Daily intake of a multivitamin was reported to be lower within groups with longer time elapsed since surgery among respondents from Israel, but similar within groups with different times elapsed since surgery among respondents from Portugal. This result might reflect differences in patient education, health policies, and cost issues. Nonetheless, our results are more positive than a previous study among 128 OAGB patients which found that during three years of follow-up \geq 59% reported compliance to the "supplementation regime", defined as 5 intakes/week or more [17]. Moreover, adherence to taking supplements following bariatric procedures was previously found to decrease over time [39,46–48]; plausible explanations could be patient-related, product-related, economics-related, and healthcare-related. According to a recently published multicenter survey study which was based on the patients' perspective, factors affecting adherence to multivitamin intake after surgery include mainly forgetfulness, gastrointestinal side effects, unpleasant taste, smell and/or size of the pill, and high costs. Therefore, these factors should be taken into consideration while educating and treating patients who undergo bariatric procedures [47].

In the present study, a minority of respondents from both countries reported reaching the physical activity target of at least 150 min/week of exercising, which is lower than reported in a previous study on 86 OAGB patients 12–20 months post-OAGB [10]. Barriers to perform physical activity among BS patients include both internal barriers (i.e., motivational and physical factors) and external barriers (i.e., resources, support, time, and weather) [18,49]. Reduction in sedentary activities while increasing performance of a physical activity is a known strategy to attenuate weight regain and promote general health [50,51]. Therefore, physical activity promotion and reducing barriers should be an important area of focus for clinicians [18].

The great majority of respondents reported meetings with a surgeon and a dietitian, while much fewer reported meetings with a psychologist/social worker in both countries. Nonetheless, it seems that utilization of BS groups through social media was far more popular among respondents from Israel. Follow-up visits are difficult to enforce post-operatively [18], thus identifying adherence barriers is crucial. The main identified causes

of attrition from follow-up meetings after bariatric procedures are probably related to logistic issues, but also lack of awareness of their importance [52]. Therefore, along with patient education and engagement, efforts should be made to address these barriers, and digital communication methods should be utilized to diminish barriers such as distance, time, and cost [18,32,53].

The major strength of this study includes the use of acceptable tools to assess nutrition and lifestyle outcomes. Moreover, the inclusion of patients with three time intervals since the surgery is one of its strengths, as sensory changes and adherence to clinical recommendations could gradually decrease over time [18,37,42]. However, there are some limitations to be mentioned. First, reporting bias and more specifically social-desirability bias cannot be ruled out. Second, data were collected during the COVID-19 pandemic which was related to changes in eating and lifestyle behaviors by a great part of the world population [54]. Third, objective measurements were not collected, thus data on nutritional deficiencies or nutrient intake could not be assessed objectively.

5. Conclusions

Patients following OAGB might experience changes in appetite, taste, and intolerance to specific food items. Adherence to BS nutritional recommendations is not always satisfying, especially in the longer term after surgery. Although the trend for most aspects that could impact food intake outcomes in time-elapsed-since-surgery groups was alike between respondents from Israel and Portugal, some differences regarding adherence to specific BS eating and lifestyle recommendations, attendance to meetings with the multidisciplinary team, and utilization of BS groups through social media were noticed. These results might reflect differences in health policies, clinical practices, eating habits, and culture between participants from the two different countries. Future high-quality prospective long-term studies are needed to increase our knowledge regarding the effect of OAGB on a broad spectrum of nutritional and lifestyle outcomes.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nu15061515/s1, File S1: Survey for One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass patients.

Author Contributions: The authors' responsibilities were as follows—S.S.-D., R.B. (Reut Biton), R.R., T.B.-P., K.M. and Y.G. designed the research; R.B. (Reut Biton), S.S.-D., Y.K., A.R., H.K., N.S., D.G., R.R., C.R., R.B. (Rossela Bragança), Z.S. and O.V. performed the research; S.S.-D. and R.B. (Reut Biton) analyzed the data; and S.S.-D., R.B. (Reut Biton) and T.B.-P. wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported (in part) by an independent grant from Celebrate Nutritional Supplements. The funder was not involved in the study design, collecting, and analyzing the data, writing the report, and submitting this paper for publication.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Assuta Medical Center Institutional Ethics Committee-#0104-19-ASMC (Israel) and the Clínica de Santo António Ethics Committee (Portugal).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available on reasonable request. All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as Supplementary Information.

Acknowledgments: We thank Mary O'Kane (UK) for her advice regarding the construction of the research survey.

Conflicts of Interest: R.R. was paid honoraria for teaching or discussion sessions about OAGB by Ethicon and Medtronic. K.M. has been paid honoraria by several NHS Trusts, Ethicon Inc., Medtronic Inc. Gore Inc., and Olympus Inc. for educational activities related to OAGB. All other authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Wharton, S.; Lau, D.C.; Vallis, M.; Sharma, A.M.; Biertho, L.; Campbell-Scherer, D.; Adamo, K.; Alberga, A.; Bell, R.; Boulé, N.; et al. Obesity in adults: A clinical practice guideline. *Can. Med. Assoc. J.* **2020**, *192*, E875–E891. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tasdighi, E.; Barzin, M.; Mahawar, K.K.; Hosseinpanah, F.; Ebadinejad, A.; Taraghikhah, N.; Mansoori, A.; Khalaj, A.; Niroomand, M.; Valizadeh, M.; et al. Effect of Biliopancreatic Limb Length on Weight Loss, Postoperative Complications, and Remission of Comorbidities in One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Obes. Surg.* 2022, *32*, 892–903. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Angrisani, L.; Santonicola, A.; Iovino, P.; Ramos, A.; Shikora, S.; Kow, L. Bariatric Surgery Survey 2018: Similarities and Disparities Among the 5 IFSO Chapters. *Obes. Surg.* 2021, *31*, 1937–1948. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- De Luca, M.; Piatto, G.; Merola, G.; Himpens, J.; Chevallier, J.M.; Carbajo, M.A.; Mahawar, K.; Sartori, A.; Clemente, N.; Herrera, M.; et al. IFSO Update Position Statement on One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass (OAGB). *Obesity Surgery* 2021, 31, 3251–3278. [CrossRef]
- Ramos, A.C.; Contributors, I.C.C.; Chevallier, J.-M.; Mahawar, K.; Brown, W.; Kow, L.; White, K.P.; Shikora, S. IFSO (International Federation for Surgery of Obesity and Metabolic Disorders) Consensus Conference Statement on One-Anastomosis Gastric Bypass (OAGB-MGB): Results of a Modified Delphi Study. *Obes. Surg.* 2020, *30*, 1625–1634. [CrossRef]
- 6. Bruzzi, M.; Rau, C.; Voron, T.; Guenzi, M.; Berger, A.; Chevallier, J.-M. Single anastomosis or mini-gastric bypass: Long-term results and quality of life after a 5-year follow-up. *Surg. Obes. Relat. Dis.* **2015**, *11*, 321–326. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Charalampos, T.; Maria, N.; Vrakopoulou, V.G.Z.; Tania, T.; Raptis, D.; George, Z.; Emmanouil, L.; Konstantinos, A. Tailored One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass: 3-Year Outcomes of 94 Patients. *Obes. Surg.* 2018, 29, 542–551. [CrossRef]
- 8. Jedamzik, J.; Eilenberg, M.; Felsenreich, D.M.; Krebs, M.; Ranzenberger-Haider, T.; Langer, F.B.; Prager, G. Impact of limb length on nutritional status in one-anastomosis gastric bypass: 3-year results. *Surg. Obes. Relat. Dis.* **2020**, *16*, 476–484.
- 9. Kessler, Y.; Adelson, D.; Mardy-Tilbor, L.; Ben-Porat, T.; Szold, A.; Goitein, D.; Sakran, N.; Raziel, A.; Sherf-Dagan, S. Nutritional status following One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass. *Clin. Nutr.* **2019**, *39*, 599–605. [CrossRef]
- Liagre, A.; Debs, T.; Kassir, R.; Ledit, A.; Juglard, G.; du Rieu, M.C.; Lazzati, A.; Martini, F.; Petrucciani, N. One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass with a Biliopancreatic Limb of 150 cm: Weight Loss, Nutritional Outcomes, Endoscopic Results, and Quality of Life at 8-Year Follow-Up. Obes. Surg. 2020, 30, 4206–4217. [CrossRef]
- Omar, I.; Sam, M.A.; Pegler, M.E.; Pearson, E.J.B.; Boyle, M.; Mahawar, K. Effect of One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass on Haematinics, Vitamin D and Parathyroid Hormone Levels: A Comparison Between 150 and 200 cm Bilio-Pancreatic Limbs. *Obes. Surg.* 2021, *31*, 2954–2961. [CrossRef]
- Komaei, I.; Sarra, F.; Lazzara, C.; Ammendola, M.; Memeo, R.; Sammarco, G.; Navarra, G.; Currò, G. One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass–Mini Gastric Bypass with Tailored Biliopancreatic Limb Length Formula Relative to Small Bowel Length: Preliminary Results. Obes. Surg. 2019, 29, 3062–3070. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Elgeidie, A.; El-Magd, E.-S.A.; Elghadban, H.; Abdelgawad, M.; Hamed, H. Protein Energy Malnutrition After One-Anastomosis Gastric Bypass with a Biliopancreatic Limb ≤200 cm: A Case Series. *J. Laparoendosc. Adv. Surg. Tech.* **2020**, *30*, 1320–1328. [CrossRef]
- 14. Khalaj, A.; Motamedi, M.A.K.; Mousapour, P.; Valizadeh, M.; Barzin, M. Protein-Calorie Malnutrition Requiring Revisional Surgery after One-Anastomosis-Mini-Gastric Bypass (OAGB-MGB): Case Series from the Tehran Obesity Treatment Study (TOTS). *Obes. Surg.* **2019**, *29*, 1714–1720. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gentileschi, P.; Siragusa, L.; Alicata, F.; Campanelli, M.; Bellantone, C.; Musca, T.; Bianciardi, E.; Arcudi, C.; Benavoli, D.; Sensi, B. Nutritional Status after Roux-En-Y (Rygb) and One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass (Oagb) at 6-Month Follow-Up: A Comparative Study. *Nutrients* 2022, 14, 2823. [CrossRef]
- Zarshenas, N.; Tapsell, L.C.; Batterham, M.; Neale, E.P.; Talbot, M.L. Changes in Anthropometric Measures, Nutritional Indices and Gastrointestinal Symptoms Following One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass (OAGB) Compared with Roux-en-y Gastric Bypass (RYGB). Obes. Surg. 2021, 31, 2619–2631. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Andrade, L.; Chiote, I.; Santos-Cruz, A.; Brito-Costa, A.; Mendes, L.; Silva-Nunes, J.; Pereira, J. Protein Intake, Adherence to Vitamin–Mineral Supplementation, and Dumping Syndrome in Patients Undergoing One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass. *Obes.* Surg. 2021, 31, 3557–3564. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Hood, M.M.; Corsica, J.; Bradley, L.; Wilson, R.; Chirinos, D.A.; Vivo, A. Managing severe obesity: Understanding and improving treatment adherence in bariatric surgery. *J. Behav. Med.* **2016**, *39*, 1092–1103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Agha, R.; Abdall-Razak, A.; Crossley, E.; Dowlut, N.; Iosifidis, C.; Mathew, G.; Beamishaj; Bashashati, M.; Millham, F.H.; Orgill, D.P.; et al. STROCSS 2019 Guideline: Strengthening the reporting of cohort studies in surgery. *Int. J. Surg.* 2019, 72, 156–165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Brethauer, S.A.; Kim, J.; el Chaar, M.; Papasavas, P.; Eisenberg, D.; Rogers, A.; Ballem, N.; Kligman, M.; Kothari, S. Standardized outcomes reporting in metabolic and bariatric surgery. *Surg. Obes. Relat. Dis.* **2015**, *11*, 489–506. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Beaton, D.E.; Bombardier, C.; Guillemin, F.; Ferraz, M.B. Guidelines for the Process of Cross-Cultural Adaptation of Self-Report Measures. Spine 2000, 25, 3186–3191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Tsang, S.; Royse, C.F.; Terkawi, A.S. Guidelines for developing, translating, and validating a questionnaire in perioperative and pain medicine. *Saudi J. Anaesth.* **2017**, *11* (Suppl. S1), S80–S89. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Suter, M.; Calmes, J.-M.; Paroz, A.; Giusti, V. A New Questionnaire for Quick Assessment of Food Tolerance after Bariatric Surgery. Obes. Surg. 2007, 17, 2–8. [CrossRef]
- Godoy, C.M.D.A.; Caetano, A.L.; Viana, K.R.S.; De Godoy, E.P.; Barbosa, A.L.C.; Ferraz, E.M. Food Tolerance in Patients Submitted to Gastric Bypass: The Importance of Using an Integrated and Interdisciplinary Approach. *Obes. Surg.* 2011, 22, 124–130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gobato, R.C.; Cazzo, E.; Baltieri, L.; Modena, D.A.O.; Chaim, E.A. Food Intolerance 1 Year After Banded Roux-En-Y Gastric Bypass. Obes. Surg. 2018, 29, 485–491. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- de A Godoy, C.M.; Aprígio, L.C.S.; de Godoy, E.P.; Furtado, M.C.; Coelho, D.; de Souza, L.B.R.; de Oliveira, A.M.G. Food Tolerance and Eating Behavior After Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass Surgery. *Obes Surg.* 2018, 28, 1540–1545. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Reis, G.M.F.; Malheiros, C.A.; Savassi-Rocha, P.R.; Júnior, O.L.C.; Thuler, F.R.; Faria, M.L.; Filho, V.G. Gastric Emptying and Food Tolerance Following Banded and Non-banded Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass. *Obes Surg.* **2019**, *29*, 560–568. [CrossRef]
- Schweiger, C.; Weiss, R.; Keidar, A. Effect of Different Bariatric Operations on Food Tolerance and Quality of Eating. *Obes. Surg.* 2010, 20, 1393–1399. [CrossRef]
- Graham, L.; Murty, G.; Bowrey, D.J. Taste, Smell and Appetite Change After Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass Surgery. Obes. Surg. 2014, 24, 1463–1468. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tichansky, D.S.; Boughter, J.D.; Madan, A.K., Jr. Taste change after laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding. *Surg. Obes. Relat. Dis.* 2006, 2, 440–444. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zerrweck, C.; Zurita, L.; Álvarez, G.; Maydón, H.G.; Sepúlveda, E.M.; Campos, F.; Caviedes, A.; Guilbert, L. Taste and Olfactory Changes Following Laparoscopic Gastric Bypass and Sleeve Gastrectomy. *Obes. Surg.* 2015, 26, 1296–1302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Dagan, S.S.; Goldenshluger, A.; Globus, I.; Schweiger, C.; Kessler, Y.; Sandbank, G.K.; Ben-Porat, T.; Sinai, T. Nutritional Recommendations for Adult Bariatric Surgery Patients: Clinical Practice. *Adv. Nutr.* **2017**, *8*, 382–394. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Kim, H.-Y. Statistical notes for clinical researchers: Effect size. Restor. Dent. Endod. 2015, 40, 328–331. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Voorwinde, V.; Steenhuis, I.H.M.; Janssen, I.M.C.; Monpellier, V.M.; van Stralen, M.M. Definitions of Long-Term Weight Regain and Their Associations with Clinical Outcomes. *Obes. Surg.* **2019**, *30*, 527–536. [CrossRef]
- 35. Pizato, N.; Botelho, P.B.; Gonçalves, V.S.S.; Dutra, E.S.; De Carvalho, K.M.B. Effect of Grazing Behavior on Weight Regain Post-Bariatric Surgery: A Systematic Review. *Nutrients* **2017**, *9*, 1322. [CrossRef]
- Athanasiadis, D.I.; Martin, A.; Kapsampelis, P.; Monfared, S.; Stefanidis, D. Factors associated with weight regain post-bariatric surgery: A systematic review. Surg. Endosc. 2021, 35, 4069–4084. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hood, M.M.; Kelly, M.C.; Feig, E.H.; Webb, V.; Bradley, L.E.; Corsica, J. Measurement of adherence in bariatric surgery: A systematic review. Surg. Obes. Relat. Dis. 2018, 14, 1192–1201. [CrossRef]
- Lynch, A. When the honeymoon is over, the real work begins: Gastric bypass patients weight loss trajectories and dietary change experiences. Soc. Sci. Med. 2016, 151, 241–249. [CrossRef]
- 39. Zarshenas, N.; Tapsell, L.C.; Neale, E.P.; Batterham, M.; Talbot, M.L. The Relationship Between Bariatric Surgery and Diet Quality: A Systematic Review. *Obes. Surg.* 2020, *30*, 1768–1792. [CrossRef]
- De Bandt, D.; Rives-Lange, C.; Frigout, Y.; Bergerot, D.; Blanchard, A.; Le Gall, M.; Lacorte, J.-M.; Chevallier, J.-M.; Czernichow, S.; Poghosyan, T.; et al. Similar Gut Hormone Secretions Two Years After One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass and Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass: A Pilot Study. *Obes Surg.* 2022, *32*, 757–762. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Kim, M.J.; Park, H.K.; Byun, D.W.; Suh, K.I.; Hur, K.Y. Incretin levels 1 month after laparoscopic single anastomosis gastric bypass surgery in non-morbid obese type 2 diabetes patients. *Asian J. Surg.* **2014**, *37*, 130–137. [CrossRef]
- 42. Lopes, K.G.; dos Santos, G.P.; Romagna, E.C.; Mattos, D.M.F.; Braga, T.G.; Cunha, C.B.; Maranhão, P.A.; Kraemer-Aguiar, L.G. Changes in appetite, taste, smell, and food aversion in post-bariatric patients and their relations with surgery time, weight loss and regain. *Eat. Weight. Disord. Stud. Anorexia, Bulim. Obes.* **2021**, *27*, 1679–1686. [CrossRef]
- Pucci, A.; Batterham, R.L. Mechanisms underlying the weight loss effects of RYGB and SG: Similar, yet different. J. Endocrinol. Investig. 2018, 42, 117–128. [CrossRef]
- Gero, D.; Steinert, R.E.; le Roux, C.W.; Bueter, M. Do Food Preferences Change After Bariatric Surgery? *Curr. Atheroscler. Rep.* 2017, 19, 38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Parrott, J.M.; Craggs-Dino, L.; Faria, S.L.; O'Kane, M. The Optimal Nutritional Programme for Bariatric and Metabolic Surgery. *Curr. Obes. Rep.* **2020**, *9*, 326–338. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Smelt, H.J.; Pouwels, S.; Smulders, J.F.; Hazebroek, E.J. Patient adherence to multivitamin supplementation after bariatric surgery: A narrative review. *J. Nutr. Sci.* 2020, *9*, e46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Smelt, H.J.M.; Heusschen, L.; Theel, W.; van Rutte, P.W.J.; Nijboer, T.; Pouwels, S.; Smulders, J.F.; Hazebroek, E.J. Factors Affecting Patient Adherence to Multivitamin Intake After Bariatric Surgery: A Multicentre Survey Study from the Patient's Perspective. Obes. Surg. 2021, 31, 4316–4326. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Ben-Porat, T.; Elazary, R.; Goldenshluger, A.; Dagan, S.S.; Mintz, Y.; Weiss, R. Nutritional deficiencies four years after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy-are supplements required for a lifetime? *Surg. Obes. Relat. Dis.* **2017**, *13*, 1138–1144. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Peacock, J.C.; Sloan, S.S.; Cripps, B. A Qualitative Analysis of Bariatric Patients' Post-surgical Barriers to Exercise. *Obes. Surg.* 2013, 24, 292–298. [CrossRef]
- Melby, C.L.; Paris, H.L.; Foright, R.M.; Peth, J. Attenuating the Biologic Drive for Weight Regain Following Weight Loss: Must What Goes Down Always Go Back Up? *Nutrients* 2017, 9, 468. [CrossRef]

- 51. Tabesh, M.R.; Maleklou, F.; Ejtehadi, F.; Alizadeh, Z. Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Prescription of Supplements in Pre- and Post-bariatric Surgery Patients: A Practical Guideline. *Obes. Surg.* **2019**, *29*, 3385–3400. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Goldenshluger, A.; Elazary, R.; Cohen, M.J.; Goldenshluger, M.; Ben-Porat, T.; Nowotni, J.; Geraisi, H.; Amun, M.; Pikarsky, A.J.; Keinan-Boker, L. Predictors for Adherence to Multidisciplinary Follow-Up Care after Sleeve Gastrectomy. *Obes. Surg.* 2018, 28, 3054–3061. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. McGrice, M.; Paul, K.D. Interventions to improve long-term weight loss in patients following bariatric surgery: Challenges and solutions. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Obesity: Targets Ther.* **2015**, *8*, 263–274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Bakaloudi, D.R.; Jeyakumar, D.T.; Jayawardena, R.; Chourdakis, M. The impact of COVID-19 lockdown on snacking habits, fast-food and alcohol consumption: A systematic review of the evidence. *Clin. Nutr.* **2021**, *41*, 3038–3045. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.