Supplementary figure and tables

## **Temporal Variability of Precipitation and Biomass of Alpine Grasslands on the Northern Tibetan Plateau**

Meng Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Jianshuang Wu<sup>1,3,\*</sup>, Chunqiao Song<sup>4</sup>, Yongtao He<sup>1</sup>, Ben Niu<sup>1</sup>, Gang Fu<sup>1</sup>, Paolo Tarolli<sup>5</sup>, Britta Tietjen<sup>3,6</sup>, and Xianzhou Zhang<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Lhasa National Ecological Research Station, Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modelling, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100101 Beijing, China; lim.17b@igsnrr.ac.cn(M.L.); heyt@igsnrr.ac.cn (Y.H.); niub@igsnrr.ac.cn (B.N.); fugang@igsnrr.ac.cn; (G.F.) zhangxz@igsnrr.ac.cn (X.Z.)
- <sup>2</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Science, 100049 Beijing, China
- <sup>3</sup> Freie Universität Berlin, Institute of Biology, Biodiversity/Theoretical Ecology, 14195 Berlin, Germany; britta.tietjen@fu-berlin.de (B.T.)
- <sup>4</sup> Key Laboratory of Watershed Geographic Sciences, Nanjing Institute of Geography and Limnology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 210008 Nanjing, China; <u>cqsong@niglas.ac.cn</u> (C.S.)
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Land, Environment, Agriculture and Forestry, University of Padova, 35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy; <u>paolo.tarolli@unipd.it</u> (P.T.)
- <sup>6</sup> Berlin-Brandenburg Institute of Advanced Biodiversity Research (BBIB), 14195 Berlin, Germany
- \* Correspondence: Correspondence: wujs.07s@igsnrr.ac.cn; Tel.: +86-10-64889690



**Figure S1:** The dynamic of smoothed enhanced vegetation index (EVI) at the alpine meadow site (Nagqu) from 2009 to 2015.

Model	Coefficients	Estimate	Std. Error	t-value	<i>p</i> -value	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE	AIC
linear								
$AGB_{peak} = a + b * GEVI$	а	-21.27	8.65	-2.46	0.02	0.78	19.73	287.67
	b	545.22	52.45	10.40	< 0.001			
$AGB_{peak} = a + b * EVI_{before}$	а	-18.09	10.18	-1.78	0.09	0.70	22.99	297.47
peak	b	526.75	62.23	8.46	< 0.001			
$AGB_{peak} = a + b * EVI_{peak}$	a	-1.19	9.88	-2.12	0.91	0.63	25.85	304.96
	b	275.17	38.75	7.10	< 0.001			
Quadratic								
$AGB_{peak} = a + b*GEVI + c$ *GEVI <sup>2</sup>	а	-23.56	19.59	-1.20	0.24	0.78	19.73	289.65
	b	580.11	273.86	2.12	0.04			
	с	-108.20	832.94	-0.13	0.90			
$AGB_{peak} = a + b*EVI_{beforepeak}$	a	60.42	4.22	14.30	< 0.001	0.71	22.75	289.80
+ c *EVIbeforepeak <sup>2</sup>	b	201.01	23.90	8.41	< 0.001			
	с	18.72	23.90	0.78	0.44			
$\begin{array}{l} AGB_{peak} = a + b^{*}EVI_{peak} + c \\ ^{*}EVI_{peak^{2}} \end{array}$	a	60.42	4.78	12.65	< 0.001	0.63	25.72	306.64
	b	189.59	27.02	7.02	< 0.001			
	с	-14.38	27.02	-0.53	0.60			
Exponential								
$AGB_{peak} = a + b * exp$	a	-478.29	52.18	-9.17	< 0.001	0.78	19.80	287.89
(021)	b	462.65	44.71	10.35	< 0.001			
$AGB_{peak} = a + b * exp$	a	-463.94	61.57	-7.54	< 0.001	0.71	22.86	297.08
(E V Ibelorepeak)	b	450.72	52.80	8.54	< 0.001			
$AGB_{peak} = a + b * exp$ (EVI <sub>peak</sub> )	a	-208.54	38.77	-5.38	< 0.001	0.62	26.11	305.59
	b	213.40	30.53	6.99	< 0.001			
Logarithmic								
AGB <sub>peak</sub> = a+ b * log (GEVI)	a	207.74	16.16	12.86	< 0.001	0.75	21.32	292.62
	b	733.11	7.78	9.40	< 0.001			
$AGB_{peak} = a + b * log$	a	200.76	18.56	10.82	< 0.001	0.67	24.35	301.13
(E v Iberorepeak)	b	69.50	8.92	7.79	< 0.001			
$AGB_{peak} = a + b * log$ (EVI <sub>peak</sub> )	a	151.46	13.74	11.02	< 0.001	0.62	25.95	305.20
	b	54.53	7.73	7.06	< 0.001			

**Table S1.** Parameters and fitness comparisons of the potential models (linear, quadratic, exponential and logarithmic) using the enhanced vegetation index (EVI) for estimating the peak aboveground biomass (AGB<sub>peak</sub>, g m<sup>-2</sup>) of alpine grasslands on the northern Tibetan Plateau.

**Note:** GEVI, the average EVI during the plant growing season from May to September; EVI<sub>beforepeak</sub>, the average EVI before reaching the peak value in the plant growing season; EVI<sub>peak</sub>, the peak EVI value in the plant growing season. R<sup>2</sup>, the coefficient of determination, which indicates the proportion of the variability of the response variable explained by the model; RMSE, the root mean square error, which gives the standard deviation of the model prediction error; AIC, the Akaike information criterion, which is an estimator of the relative quality of statistical models for a given set of data. A model with larger values of R<sup>2</sup> and smaller values of RMES and AIC performs better. Bolded R<sup>2</sup>, RMSE, and AIV represent the best-fitted model.



**Figure S2**. Relationships of peak aboveground biomass (AGB<sub>peak</sub>) with the average enhanced vegetation index (EVI) during the plant growing season (GEVI), the average EVI before it reaches the peak value (EVI<sub>beforepeak</sub>), and the peak EVI value (EVI<sub>peak</sub>) in the plant growing season. Linear (a, b, c), quadratic (e, f, g), exponential (g, h, i) and logarithmic (j, k, l) models were examined. See Table S1 for the summary of parameters and fitness indicators of the candidate models.

**Table S2.** Summary of correlations of the peak aboveground biomass (AGB<sub>peak</sub>) with the growing season precipitation (GSP), the number of precipitation events (Events), the intensity of precipitation events (Intensity), and the time interval between precipitation events (Interval) within each alpine grassland type on the northern Tibetan Plateau. Percentages at the pixel scale were given for positive and negative correlations at  $\alpha$ = 0.1 significance level and non-significant correlation within each alpine grassland type.

		Fractions of pixels with a significant correlation of ANPP						
Grassland type	Correlation class		(%	%)				
		GSP	Events	Intensity	Interval			
alpine meadow	significantly positive	7.98	13.36	5.36	2.44			
	significantly negative	17.91	2.86	19.43	8.77			
	insignificant	74.11	83.78	75.20	88.79			
alpine meadow steppe	significantly positive	18.86	17.15	11.99	1.42			
	significantly negative	5.26	2.03	5.47	8.89			
	insignificant	75.88	80.81	82.54	89.69			
alpine steppe	significantly positive	8.22	17.39	2.74	2.76			
	significantly negative	7.27	4.30	9.11	9.14			
	insignificant	84.52	78.31	88.15	88.10			
desert steppe	significantly positive	2.14	5.02	0.84	2.72			
	significantly negative	11.67	6.21	12.25	4.56			
	insignificant	86.18	88.78	86.90	92.72			
overall	significantly positive	7.93	13.52	3.90	2.97			
	significantly negative	11.14	4.77	12.02	7.95			
	insignificant	80.93	81.71	84.08	89.08			

**Table S3.** Mean weights of three indices of the temporal variability of precipitation (contributors) at different levels of the standardized vegetation sensitivity index (VSI) in each alpine grassland type on the northern Tibetan Plateau.

Grassland type	Contributor	Standard	Standardized VSI level					
		0-20	20-40	40-60	60-80	80-100		
alpine meadow	events	0.44	0.46	0.48	0.49	0.47		
	intensity	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.08	0.06		
	interval	0.43	0.41	0.40	0.44	0.47		
meadow-steppe	events	0.45	0.46	0.44	0.43	0.37		
	intensity	0.21	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.29		
	interval	0.34	0.34	0.37	0.36	0.34		
alpine steppe	events	0.45	0.45	0.46	0.45	0.40		
	intensity	0.23	0.24	0.21	0.20	0.21		
	interval	0.32	0.31	0.32	0.35	0.39		
desert-steppe	events	0.46	0.45	0.42	0.43	0.39		
	intensity	0.12	0.20	0.22	0.23	0.25		
	interval	0.41	0.35	0.37	0.34	0.35		
overall	events	0.45	0.45	0.46	0.46	0.43		
	intensity	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.16	0.15		
	interval	0.34	0.32	0.35	0.38	0.42		