

DESCRIPTIVES VARIABLES=EoMInDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEcoDisLnVen FTA
/STATISTICS=MEAN STDDEV MIN MAX.

Descriptives

Table S1: Descriptive Statistics Information

Notes		
Output Created		15-JAN-2022 14:30:56
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	446
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	All non-missing data are used.
Syntax		DESCRIPTIVES VARIABLES=EoMInDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEcoDisLnVen FTA /STATISTICS=MEAN STDDEV MIN MAX.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00.02
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00.01

[DataSet1]

Table S2: Descriptive Statistics Result

Descriptive Statistics					
	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
EoM	439	0	1	.78	.415
InDis	439	0	10	4.77	2.684
CulDis	439	0	4	1.58	1.113
LnGeoDis	439	7	9	7.87	.809
LnEcoDis	439	6	11	9.35	.993
LnVen	439	2	12	7.77	1.478
FTA	439	0	1	.41	.492
Valid N (listwise)	439				

ONEWAY InDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEcoDis BY Reg
 /STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES HOMOGENEITY
 /PLOT MEANS
 /MISSING ANALYSIS.

Oneway

Table S3: One-way ANOVA by Region Information

Notes		
Output Created		15-JAN-2022 14:33:26
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	446
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics for each analysis are based on cases with no missing data for any variable in the analysis.
Syntax		ONEWAY InDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEcoDis BY Reg /STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES HOMOGENEITY /PLOT MEANS /MISSING ANALYSIS.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00.89
	Elapsed Time	00:00:01.18

[DataSet1]

Table S4: One-way ANOVA by Region Result

Descriptives				
	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error
				95% Confidence Interval for Mean

					Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
InDis	1	234	4.09	1.812	.118	3.86	4.32
	2	75	6.73	2.564	.296	6.14	7.32
	3	19	6.89	.937	.215	6.44	7.35
	4	25	7.64	1.997	.399	6.82	8.46
	5	86	3.58	3.397	.366	2.85	4.31
	Total	439	4.77	2.684	.128	4.51	5.02
CulDis	1	234	1.38	1.067	.070	1.25	1.52
	2	75	2.53	.502	.058	2.42	2.65
	3	19	2.79	.419	.096	2.59	2.99
	4	25	2.96	.455	.091	2.77	3.15
	5	86	.63	.486	.052	.52	.73
	Total	439	1.58	1.113	.053	1.48	1.69
LnGeoDis	1	234	7.39	.489	.032	7.33	7.46
	2	75	9.00	.000	.000	9.00	9.00
	3	19	9.00	.000	.000	9.00	9.00
	4	25	8.96	.200	.040	8.88	9.04
	5	86	7.60	.492	.053	7.50	7.71
	Total	439	7.87	.809	.039	7.79	7.94
LnEcoDis	1	234	9.32	.877	.057	9.21	9.44
	2	75	9.71	.955	.110	9.49	9.93
	3	19	10.00	1.000	.229	9.52	10.48
	4	25	9.08	.400	.080	8.91	9.25
	5	86	9.05	1.264	.136	8.78	9.32
	Total	439	9.35	.993	.047	9.26	9.44

Table S5: One-way ANOVA by Region Result (cont)

Descriptives			
		Minimum	Maximum
InDis	1	0	8
	2	0	10
	3	5	8
	4	1	9
	5	0	9
	Total	0	10
CulDis	1	0	4
	2	2	3

LnGeoDis	3	2	3
	4	1	4
	5	0	1
	Total	0	4
	1	7	8
	2	9	9
	3	9	9
	4	8	9
	5	7	8
	Total	7	9
LnEcoDis	1	6	11
	2	7	11
	3	9	11
	4	9	11
	5	6	11
	Total	6	11

Table S6: Levene Statistic by Region Result

Test of Homogeneity of Variances				
	Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
InDis	33.706	4	434	.000
CulDis	15.324	4	434	.000
LnGeoDis	472.833	4	434	.000
LnEcoDis	14.449	4	434	.000

Table S7: Multiple Comparisons by Region

ANOVA						
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
InDis	Between Groups	810.572	4	202.643	37.516	.000
	Within Groups	2344.262	434	5.402		
	Total	3154.834	438			
CulDis	Between Groups	230.453	4	57.613	80.074	.000
	Within Groups	312.262	434	.719		
	Total	542.715	438			
LnGeoDis	Between Groups	208.990	4	52.247	293.164	.000
	Within Groups	77.347	434	.178		
	Total	286.337	438			
LnEcoDis	Between Groups	27.460	4	6.865	7.365	.000

Within Groups	404.517	434	.932		
Total	431.977	438			

Means Plots




Figure S1: Mean of InDis by Region




Figure S2: Mean of CulDis by Region




Figure S3: Mean of LnGeo by Region




Figure S4: Mean of LnEcoDis by Region

ONEWAY InDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEcoDis BY InPe_A
 /STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES HOMOGENEITY
 /PLOT MEANS
 /MISSING ANALYSIS.

Oneway

Table S8: One-way ANOVA by Investment Period Information

Notes		
Output Created		15-JAN-2022 14:36:10
Comments		
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
Input	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>

Missing Value Handling	N of Rows in Working Data File	446
	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics for each analysis are based on cases with no missing data for any variable in the analysis.
	Syntax	ONEWAY InDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEco Dis BY InPe_A /STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES HOMOGENEITY /PLOT MEANS /MISSING ANALYSIS.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00.58
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00.85

[DataSet1]

Table S9: One-way ANOVA by Investment Period Result

Descriptives							
	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
InDis	1	70	4.74	2.471	.295	4.15	5.33
	2	180	4.91	2.669	.199	4.51	5.30
	3	189	4.64	2.779	.202	4.24	5.04
	Total	439	4.77	2.684	.128	4.51	5.02
CulDis	1	70	1.46	1.176	.141	1.18	1.74
	2	180	1.59	1.113	.083	1.43	1.75
	3	189	1.62	1.092	.079	1.47	1.78
	Total	439	1.58	1.113	.053	1.48	1.69
LnGeoDis	1	70	7.67	.775	.093	7.49	7.86
	2	180	7.84	.833	.062	7.72	7.96
	3	189	7.97	.785	.057	7.86	8.08
	Total	439	7.87	.809	.039	7.79	7.94
LnEcoDis	1	70	9.01	.893	.107	8.80	9.23
	2	180	9.32	1.000	.075	9.17	9.46

3	189	9.51	.993	.072	9.37	9.65
Total	439	9.35	.993	.047	9.26	9.44

Table S10: One-way ANOVA by Investment Period Result (cont)

Descriptives			Minimum	Maximum
InDis	1		1	9
	2		0	10
	3		0	10
	Total		0	10
CulDis	1		0	4
	2		0	4
	3		0	3
	Total		0	4
LnGeoDis	1		7	9
	2		7	9
	3		7	9
	Total		7	9
LnEcoDis	1		6	10
	2		6	11
	3		7	11
	Total		6	11

Table S11: Levene Statistic for Investment PeriodResult

Test of Homogeneity of Variances				
	Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
InDis	2.614	2	436	.074
CulDis	.455	2	436	.635
LnGeoDis	3.267	2	436	.039
LnEcoDis	8.855	2	436	.000

Table S12: Multiple Comparisons by Investment Period

ANOVA						
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
InDis	Between Groups	6.533	2	3.267	.452	.636
	Within Groups	3148.300	436	7.221		

CulDis	Total	3154.834	438			
	Between Groups	1.438	2	.719	.579	.561
	Within Groups	541.277	436	1.241		
LnGeoDis	Total	542.715	438			
	Between Groups	4.757	2	2.378	3.683	.026
	Within Groups	281.580	436	.646		
LnEcoDis	Total	286.337	438			
	Between Groups	12.803	2	6.402	6.659	.001
	Within Groups	419.174	436	.961		
	Total	431.977	438			

Means Plots




Figure S5: Mean of InDis by Investment Period




Figure S6: Mean of CulDis by Investment Period




Figure S7: Mean of LnGeoDis by Investment Period




Figure S8: Mean of LnEcoDis by Investment Period

CORRELATIONS

```

/VARIABLES=EoMInDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEcoDisLnVen FTA
/PRINT=TWOTAIL NOSIG
/MISSING=PAIRWISE.

```

Correlations

Table S13: Correlations Information

Notes		
Output Created	15-JAN-2022 14:38:29	
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>

Missing Value Handling	N of Rows in Working Data File	446
	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics for each pair of variables are based on all the cases with valid data for that pair.
		CORRELATIONS
Syntax		/VARIABLES=EoMInDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEcoDisLnVen FTA
		/PRINT=TWOTAIL
		NOSIG
		/MISSING=PAIRWISE.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00.20
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00.31

[DataSet1]

Table S14: Correlations Result

		Correlations					
		EoM	InDis	CulDis	LnGeoDis	LnEcoDis	LnVen
EoM	Pearson Correlation	1	.041	-.190**	-.155**	.205**	.093
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.386	.000	.001	.000	.053
	N	439	439	439	439	439	439
InDis	Pearson Correlation	.041	1	.356**	.551**	.578**	.094*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.386		.000	.000	.000	.049
	N	439	439	439	439	439	439
CulDis	Pearson Correlation	-.190**	.356**	1	.644**	.141**	-.023
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.003	.629
	N	439	439	439	439	439	439
LnGeoDis	Pearson Correlation	-.155**	.551**	.644**	1	.376**	-.018
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.001	.000	.000		.000	.713
	N	439	439	439	439	439	439
LnEcoDis	Pearson Correlation	.205**	.578**	.141**	.376**	1	.006
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.003	.000		.895
	N	439	439	439	439	439	439
LnVen	Pearson Correlation	.093	.094*	-.023	-.018	.006	1

	Sig. (2-tailed)	.053	.049	.629	.713	.895	
	N	439	439	439	439	439	439
	Pearson Correlation	.082	-.234**	-.137**	-.072	-.058	-.014
FTA	Sig. (2-tailed)	.086	.000	.004	.134	.224	.770
	N	439	439	439	439	439	439

Table S15: Correlations Result (Cont)

Correlations		FTA
EoM	Pearson Correlation	.082
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.086
	N	439
InDis	Pearson Correlation	-.234
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000
	N	439
CulDis	Pearson Correlation	-.137**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.004
	N	439
LnGeoDis	Pearson Correlation	-.072**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.134
	N	439
LnEcoDis	Pearson Correlation	-.058**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.224
	N	439
LnVen	Pearson Correlation	-.014
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.770
	N	439
FTA	Pearson Correlation	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	
	N	439

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* . Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

REGRESSION

```

/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA COLLIN TOL
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT EoM
/METHOD=ENTER InDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEcoDisLnVen FTA.

```


Regression

Table S16: Model 2 Information

Notes		
Output Created		15-JAN-2022 14:40:43
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	446
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics are based on cases with no missing values for any variable used.
		REGRESSION /MISSING LISTWISE /STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA COLLIN TOL /CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10) /NOORIGIN /DEPENDENT EoM /METHOD=ENTER InDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEco DisLnVen FTA.
Syntax		
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00.02
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00.02
	Memory Required	4732 bytes
	Additional Memory Required for Residual Plots	0 bytes

[DataSet1]

Table S17: Variables Entered Method

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	FTA, LnVen, LnEcoDis, CulDis, InDis, LnGeoDis ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: EoM

b. All requested variables entered.

Table S18: Model Summary

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.353 ^a	.124	.112	.391

a. Predictors: (Constant), FTA, LnVen, LnEcoDis, CulDis, InDis, LnGeoDis

Table S19: Variables ANOVA

ANOVA ^a						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	9.397	6	1.566	10.226	.000 ^b
	Residual	66.170	432	.153		
	Total	75.567	438			

a. Dependent Variable: EoM

b. Predictors: (Constant), FTA, LnVen, LnEcoDis, CulDis, InDis, LnGeoDis

Table S20: Coefficients

Coefficients ^a							
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics
		B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance
1	(Constant)	.387	.306		1.265	.207	
	InDis	.007	.010	.045	.696	.487	.493
	CulDis	-.034	.022	-.091	-1.519	.129	.563
	LnGeoDis	-.112	.035	-.218	-3.245	.001	.447
	LnEcoDis	.116	.024	.278	4.937	.000	.637

LnVen	.023	.013	.082	1.800	.073	.981
FTA	.069	.040	.082	1.740	.083	.921

Table S21: VIF Result

Coefficients ^a			Collinearity Statistics	
Model			VIF	
1	(Constant)			
	InDis			2.030
	CulDis			1.778
	LnGeoDis			2.235
	LnEcoDis			1.569
	LnVen			1.020
	FTA			1.085

a. Dependent Variable: EoM

Table S22: Collinearity Diagnostics

Collinearity Diagnostics ^a							
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	Variance Proportions			
				(Constant)	InDis	CulDis	LnGeoDis
1	1	5.932	1.000	.00	.00	.00	.00
	2	.640	3.046	.00	.02	.02	.00
	3	.245	4.916	.00	.00	.55	.00
	4	.149	6.316	.00	.61	.06	.00
	5	.027	14.861	.01	.02	.02	.02
	6	.005	33.821	.03	.06	.18	.33
	7	.002	50.296	.96	.29	.16	.65

Table S23: Collinearity Diagnostics(Cont)

Collinearity Diagnostics ^a				
Model	Dimension	Variance Proportions		
		LnEcoDis	LnVen	FTA
1	1	.00	.00	.01
	2	.00	.00	.71
	3	.00	.01	.13
	4	.00	.01	.13
	5	.04	.87	.01

6	.79	.01	.00
7	.17	.08	.01

a. Dependent Variable: EoM

LOGISTIC REGRESSION VARIABLES EoM

/METHOD=ENTER InDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEcoDisLnVen FTA

/PRINT=GOODFIT ITER(1)

/CRITERIA=PIN(0.05) POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20) CUT(0.5).

Logistic Regression

Table S24: Model 2 Logistic Regression

Notes		
Output Created		15-JAN-2022 14:41:49
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	446
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing
Syntax		LOGISTIC REGRESSION VARIABLES EoM /METHOD=ENTER InDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEco DisLnVen FTA /PRINT=GOODFIT ITER(1) /CRITERIA=PIN(0.05) POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20) CUT(0.5).
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00.02
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00.02

[DataSet1]

Table S25: Model 2 Case Processing Summary

Case Processing Summary		N	Percent
Unweighted Cases ^a			
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	439	98.4
	Missing Cases	7	1.6
	Total	446	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		446	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Table S26: Model 2 Case Processing Summary

Case Processing Summary	
Original Value	Internal Value
0	0
1	1

Block 0: Beginning Block**Table S27: Model 2 Iteration History – Beginning Block**

Iteration History ^{a,b,c}			
Iteration	-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients	
		Constant	
Step 0	1	465.293	1.116
	2	463.688	1.255
	3	463.686	1.260
	4	463.686	1.260

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 463.686

c. Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Table S28: Model 2 Classification Table – Beginning Block

Classification Table^{a,b}

Observed		Predicted		
		EoM		Percentage Correct
		0	1	
Step 0	EoM 0	0	97	.0
	1	0	342	100.0
	Overall Percentage			77.9

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is .500

Table S29: Model 2 Variables in the Equation – Beginning Block

Variables in the Equation							
		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0	Constant	1.260	.115	119.989	1	.000	3.526

Table S30: Model 2 Variables not in the Equation – Beginning Block

Variables not in the Equation				
		Score	df	Sig.
Step 0	InDis	.754	1	.385
	CulDis	15.813	1	.000
	LnGeoDis	10.561	1	.001
	LnEcoDis	18.438	1	.000
	LnVen	3.764	1	.052
	FTA	2.950	1	.086
	Overall Statistics	54.594	6	.000

Block 1: Method = Enter

Table S31: Model 2 Iteration History– Enter

Iteration History ^{a,b,c,d}								
Iteration	-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients						
		Constant	InDis	CulDis	LnGeoDis	LnEcoDis	LnVen	
Step 1	1	417.574	-.450	.028	-.136	-.449	.466	.092
	2	403.083	-1.481	.092	-.325	-.594	.673	.151
	3	401.811	-1.980	.130	-.436	-.620	.737	.171
	4	401.797	-2.038	.135	-.450	-.623	.745	.173
	5	401.797	-2.038	.135	-.450	-.623	.745	.173

Iteration History^{a,b,c,d}

Iteration		Coefficients
		FTA
Step 1	1	.276
	2	.485
	3	.587
	4	.599
	5	.599

a. Method: Enter

b. Constant is included in the model.

c. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 463.686

d. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Table S32: Model 2 Iteration History– Enter**Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients**

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	61.889	6	.000
	Block	61.889	6	.000
	Model	61.889	6	.000

Table S33: Model 2 Model Summary – Enter**Model Summary**

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	401.797 ^a	.131	.202

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Table S34: Model 2 Hosmer and Lemeshow Test – Enter**Hosmer and Lemeshow Test**

Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	10.075	8	.260

Table S35: Model 2 Contingency Table for Hosmer and Lemeshow Test – Enter

Contingency Table for Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

	EoM = 0		EoM = 1		Total
	Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected	
1	27	24.512	17	19.488	44
2	20	17.711	24	26.289	44
3	9	12.763	33	29.237	42
4	11	9.797	31	32.203	42
5	3	8.181	41	35.819	44
6	7	7.063	36	35.937	43
7	7	6.512	38	38.488	45
8	5	5.600	39	38.400	44
9	7	3.758	36	39.242	43
10	1	1.105	47	46.895	48

Table S36: Model 2 Classification Table– Enter

Classification Table^a

Observed		Predicted		
		EoM		Percentage Correct
		0	1	
Step 1	EoM 0	18	79	18.6
	EoM 1	11	331	96.8
	Overall Percentage			79.5

a. The cut value is .500

Table S37: Model 2 Variables in the Equation – Enter

Variables in the Equation

	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
InDis	.135	.072	3.521	1	.061	1.145
CulDis	-.450	.175	6.575	1	.010	.638
LnGeoDis	-.623	.222	7.876	1	.005	.536
LnEcoDis	.745	.158	22.325	1	.000	2.106
LnVen	.173	.083	4.353	1	.037	1.189
FTA	.599	.279	4.613	1	.032	1.821
Constant	-2.038	2.057	.982	1	.322	.130

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: InDis, CulDis, LnGeoDis, LnEcoDis, LnVen, FTA.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION VARIABLES EoM

/METHOD=ENTER InDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEcoDis

/PRINT=GOODFIT ITER(1)

/CRITERIA=PIN(0.05) POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20) CUT(0.5).

Logistic Regression

Table S38: Model 1 - Logistic Regression

Notes		
Output Created		15-JAN-2022 14:42:06
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	446
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing
Syntax		LOGISTIC REGRESSION
		VARIABLES EoM
		/METHOD=ENTER
		InDisCulDisLnGeoDisLnEcoDis
		Dis
Resources		/PRINT=GOODFIT
		ITER(1)
		/CRITERIA=PIN(0.05)
		POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20)
		CUT(0.5).
	Processor Time	00:00:00.03
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00.02

[DataSet1]

Table S39: Model 1 Case Processing Summary

Case Processing Summary			
Unweighted Cases ^a		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	439	98.4
	Missing Cases	7	1.6
	Total	446	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0

Total	446	100.0
-------	-----	-------

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Table S40: Model 1Dependent Variable Encoding

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
0	0
1	1

Block 0: Beginning Block

Table S41: Model 1Iteration History - Beginning Block

Iteration History^{a,b,c}

Iteration	-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients
		Constant
1	465.293	1.116
2	463.688	1.255
3	463.686	1.260
4	463.686	1.260

- a. Constant is included in the model.
- b. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 463.686
- c. Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Table S42: Model 1Classification Table - Beginning Block

Classification Table^{a,b}

Observed	Predicted		
	EoM		Percentage Correct
	0	1	
0	0	97	.0
1	0	342	100.0
Overall Percentage			77.9

- a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is .500

Table S43: Model 1 Variables in the Equation - Beginning Block

		Variables in the Equation					
		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0	Constant	1.260	.115	119.989	1	.000	3.526

Table S44: Model 1 Variables not in the Equation- Beginning Block

		Variables not in the Equation		
		Score	df	Sig.
Step 0	InDis	.754	1	.385
	CulDis	15.813	1	.000
	LnGeoDis	10.561	1	.001
	LnEcoDis	18.438	1	.000
	Overall Statistics	48.923	4	.000

Block 1: Method = Enter

Table S45: Model 1 Iteration History- Enter

Iteration History ^{a,b,c,d}							
Iteration	-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients					
		Constant	InDis	CulDis	LnGeoDis	LnEcoDis	
Step 1	1	422.819	.299	.021	-.157	-.434	.468
	2	411.210	.207	.075	-.321	-.605	.654
	3	410.499	.204	.103	-.396	-.647	.696
	4	410.494	.208	.105	-.403	-.651	.700
	5	410.494	.208	.105	-.403	-.651	.700

a. Method: Enter

b. Constant is included in the model.

c. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 463.686

d. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Table S46: Model 1Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients- Enter

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients				
		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	53.192	4	.000
	Block	53.192	4	.000
	Model	53.192	4	.000

Table S47: Model 1Model Summary- Enter

Model Summary			
Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	410.494 ^a	.114	.175

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Table S48: Model 1Hosmer and Lemeshow Test - Enter

Hosmer and Lemeshow Test			
Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	33.727	8	.000

Table S49: Model 1Contingency Table for Hosmer and Lemeshow Test - Enter

Contingency Table for Hosmer and Lemeshow Test						
	EoM = 0		EoM = 1		Total	
	Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected		
Step 1	1	22	21.153	21	21.847	43
	2	14	18.370	30	25.630	44
	3	20	10.745	12	21.255	32
	4	5	10.729	34	28.271	39
	5	10	9.734	33	33.266	43
	6	11	7.252	35	38.748	46
	7	0	3.247	25	21.753	25
	8	9	8.948	64	64.052	73
	9	1	5.031	43	38.969	44
	10	5	1.791	45	48.209	50

Table S50: Model 1Classification Table- Enter

Classification Table^a

Observed		Predicted		
		EoM		Percentage Correct
		0	1	
Step 1	EoM 0	7	90	7.2
	1	5	337	98.5
	Overall Percentage			78.4

a. The cut value is .500

Table S51: Model 1Variables in the Equation- Enter

Variables in the Equation

	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
InDis	.105	.067	2.438	1	.118	1.111
CulDis	-.403	.164	6.001	1	.014	.668
Step 1 ^a LnGeoDis	-.651	.222	8.587	1	.003	.522
LnEcoDis	.700	.151	21.393	1	.000	2.013
Constant	.208	1.853	.013	1	.910	1.232

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: InDis, CulDis, LnGeoDis, LnEcoDis.