



# Article Sustainable Local Food Evaluation by Dosage of Some Metallic Pollutants in Wild Insect Species Consumed in Togo

Fègbawè Badanaro<sup>1</sup>, Narcis Barsan<sup>2,\*</sup>, Amivi Tété-Benissan<sup>1</sup>, Valentin Nedeff<sup>2,3</sup> and Mirela Panainte-Lehadus<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Biochemistry Applied to Nutrition, Faculty of Sciences, University of Lomé, Lomé 01 BP 1515, Togo; fbadanar@yahoo.fr (F.B.); colette.gassou@gmail.com (A.T.-B.)
- <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Engineering, Vasile Alexandri University of Bacau, Calea Marasesti, No. 157, 600115 Bacau, Romania; vnedeff@ub.ro (V.N.); mirelap@ub.ro (M.P.-L.)
- <sup>3</sup> Gheorghe Ionescu Sisesti, Academy of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences, 6 Marasti Blvd., 011464 Bucharest, Romania
- \* Correspondence: narcis.barsan@ub.ro; Tel.: +40-742325012

**Abstract:** In tropical countries, where human consumption of insects is a traditional practice, insects are mainly harvested in the natural environment. These insects are thus exposed to all forms of pollution, particularly metallic pollutants. However, an inventory of scientific knowledge on the risks related to the consumption of insects is missing in the international scientific literature. It is therefore essential to conduct research on the trace metals (TMEs) contained in insects caught in the wild. The objective of this work is to evaluate the level of accumulation of TMEs by insect species commonly consumed in Togo to estimate the health risk related to insect consumption. To do this, 12 species of insects consumed in their adult stage were collected across the whole territory of Togo. These samples were analyzed by atomic absorption spectrophotometry to determine TMEs. It appears that traces of different metallic elements exist in the samples at variable rates. The aquatic species *Cybister tripunctatus* is the most contaminated, with levels of cadmium (0.504 mg/kg) and lead (0.501 mg/kg) at the limit of edibility threshold. The concentrations of all TMEs in insects during this study are within acceptable limits for human consumption. The risk of human contamination with TMEs through insect consumption is therefore low.

Keywords: local food; edible insects; pollution; TMEs; bioaccumulation; Togo

# 1. Introduction

Many peoples have introduced insect consumption into their customs. Indeed, about 2.5 billion people in tropical countries (Asia, Africa and Latin America) eat insects daily [1,2] for their multiple benefits. According to global institutions and researchers interested in entomophagy, edible insects possess nutritional, economic and environmental potential [2]. As a result, insect consumption has become a practice that is gaining popularity around the world. There is now a growing craze for entomophagy [3]. In Europe and more generally in the West, although still very marginalized, entomophagy tends to spread with the emergence in various countries of restaurants specializing in insect-based menus, which is associated with the industrial production of edible insects [4-6]. In contrast, in tropical countries where insects are traditionally consumed, insects are still harvested in the wild [7,8]. In Togo, as elsewhere in the tropics, insects intended for human consumption are collected in the wild in various environments [9]. These environments are likely to be polluted by the discharge of human household and industrial waste and the use of pesticides. The latter, which may be rich in organic matter and fertilizing elements, also contains undesirable chemical elements, especially metallic pollutants [10]. These metal pollutants are considered very dangerous for the environment because of their tendency to bioaccumulate in organisms [11,12]. Insects do not escape this phenomenon of bioaccumulation of TMEs. Thus, insects harvested from the wild for consumption



Citation: Badanaro, F.; Barsan, N.; Tété-Benissan, A.; Nedeff, V.; Panainte-Lehadus, M. Sustainable Local Food Evaluation by Dosage of Some Metallic Pollutants in Wild Insect Species Consumed in Togo. *Sustainability* 2022, *14*, 1892. https:// doi.org/10.3390/su14031892

Academic Editor: Vita Di Stefano

Received: 6 January 2022 Accepted: 5 February 2022 Published: 7 February 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). may be exposed to high concentrations of TMEs that accumulate in their bodies and pose health risks to consumers. Few data on the bioaccumulation of TMEs in edible insect species are available in the international scientific literature. For example, a study on mealworms (*Tenebrio molitor*) showed that these insects accumulate cadmium and lead when fed organic matter containing these TMEs [13]. In addition, another study in Indonesia showed that TMEs such as As, Pb, Cd and Hg were found in very low amounts in the sago group (*Rhynchophorus bilineatus*) consumed by native populations. Based on the few available studies, the levels of TMEs detected in insects for human consumption are in compliance with Regulation (EU) 1881/2006 for contaminants [14]. However, extrapolation of these data to insects consumed in general may be inaccurate, as important metabolic and physiological differences exist between insect species [15]. The presence of TMEs in insects used for human consumption therefore deserves further research. It is within this framework that this study aims to evaluate the level of accumulation of TMEs by insect species commonly consumed in Togo in order to estimate the health risk of insect consumption for the consuming populations.

# 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Framework

Insect sampling was carried out throughout the Togolese territory according to the localities where these species are consumed. The geographical coordinates of all the sampling localities are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Sample collection locations.

This sampling took place from September 2018 to August 2019 at the rate of one trip per month. Twelve (12) adult stage species from the order Coleoptera (8), Orthoptera (3) and Isoptera (1) were collected. Three sites were chosen for the collection of each species (Table 1).

Orders	Species	<b>Insect Harvesting Places</b>		
	Cybister tripunctatus	Bogou, Lotogou, Pogno		
Coleoptera	Gnathocera trivittata, Gnathocera impressa, Gnathocera varians, Rhabdotis sobrina, Pachnoda cordata, Pachnoda marginata	Kparatao, Kpéwa, Soudou		
	Sternocera interrupta	Lotogou, Osacré, Pogno		
Isoptera	Macrotermes bellicosus	Assahoun, M'poti, Bogou		
Orthoptera	Acanthacris ruficornis, Kraussaria angulifera	Lotogou, Osacré, Kpékplémé		
	Brachytrupes membranaceus	Assahoun, Kolokopé, Osacré		

Table 1. Harvest locations of insect species consumed in Togo.

These species were collected in different ecosystems (crop, forest, savanna, water) of the different ecological zones of Togo according to their period of abundance identified by Badanaro [9]. Guides identified as knowledgeable about the insect species consumed in the study environments assisted in the actual recognition of insect species consumed in the field. The active method (sight hunting with swath nets) was used to capture insects except for 3 species: C. tripunctatus, M. bellicosus and B. membranaceus. C. tripunctatus is an aquatic insect that was collected with craft nets intended for fish harvesting. After rain, winged termites are usually attracted to light sources at dusk. Therefore, light traps were used to trap and collect winged adults of *M. bellicosus*. *B. membranaceus* was collected by digging out its galleries with a hoe. During the period of activity, these galleries are recognizable by a small mound of soil deposited outside by the insect as it digs its gallery. Samples collected for identification were sent to the laboratory of Applied Entomology of the Faculty of Sciences of the University of Lomé, where they were identified to the species level using determination keys. Insects destined for chemical analysis were collected alive during the same periods and placed in a cooler containing previously frozen carbo-ice [16] in order to maintain the "cold chain" throughout the transport to the laboratory. Once at the laboratory, the samples were frozen.

# 2.2. Treatment of Samples in the Laboratory

Frozen samples were then thawed at room temperature. Ten grams of a sample of each species from each sampling locality were weighed. Then, they were placed in previously numbered trays and introduced to the ISUZU type AS oven at 65 °C for their drying [17]. They were then crushed by species in a Moulinex of the General Electric Interlabs type and sifted to obtain the flour. The grindings were stored in airtight containers and kept in a refrigerator for TME analysis.

# 2.3. Chemical Analysis

The determination of TMEs was carried out at the Faculty of Engineering of Vasile Alecsandri University of Bacau. The solubilization of the insect crushers was performed by an acid attack on a sand bath using two concentrated solutions: nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide. Indeed, 1 g of each grind was introduced in a Teflon to which 1 mL of hydrogen peroxide and 8 mL of nitric acid were added. After stirring, the Teflon containers were heated on a sand bath for about 2 h at a temperature of about 150 °C. The recovery of the products obtained after heating was done with 2 mL of distilled water. After cooling, the solution obtained after digestion was transferred to a 100 mL volumetric flask and supplemented with demineralized water. After homogenization, the solution was filtered through a Wattman paper. Thus, the filtrate was collected in a closed bottle. The

determination of TMEs was carried out from this filtrate by the flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer Agilent 7500 ICP-MS cu UP 213 using standard solutions. This study was therefore interested in the following 17 TMEs: Ag, As, Be, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Ga, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Rb, Se, Sr, V, Zn. These TMEs are the most sought in food because they are the most toxic to living organisms.

The real concentrations were determined with the following formula (ISO 17294:2003):

$$RC = \frac{CS \times DV}{M}$$

where *RC* is the real concentration, *CS* is the analyte concentration, *DV* is the dilution volume, and *M* is mass of the test sample.

# 2.4. Statistical Analysis

Statistical tests were carried out with the SPSS (statistical package social sciences) software. All the tests were performed in triplicate. The results are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Analysis of variance (ANOVA-1) was used to compare the means. Observed differences between means are considered statistically significant if P is less than 0.05.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Composition of the Insects Studied in Toxic TMEs above a Certain Threshold

The results of the analysis of toxic TMEs above a certain threshold and beneficial TMEs above that threshold are reported in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Toxic TME composition above a certain threshold of the main edible insect species in Togo (mg/kg).

Species	Statut.	Ag	As	Cr	Со	Cu	Mn	Ni	Se	V	Zn
A. ruficornis	Mean	0.110	1.34	3.26	1.53	3.633	2.663	1.443	0.410	1.994	7.708
	±SD	0.01 <sup>h</sup>	0.4 <sup>h</sup>	0.1 <sup>c</sup>	0.3 <sup>e</sup>	0 <sup>e</sup>	0 <sup>j</sup>	0.02 <sup>i</sup>	0 <sup>j</sup>	0 <sup>e</sup>	0 <sup>i</sup>
B. membranaceus	Mean	0.050	3.639	1.791	1.07	4.821	5.083	0.329	1.925	1.763	5.754
	±SD	0 <sup>i</sup>	0.02 <sup>d</sup>	0 <sup>i</sup>	0 g	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>e</sup>	0 <sup>j</sup>	0 <sup>d</sup>	0.03 <sup>f</sup>	0.01 <sup>k</sup>
C. tripunctatus	Mean	7.636	1.534	5.64	3.443	3.658	6.23	3.391	2.963	3.778	4.117
	±SD	0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.01 <sup>h</sup>	0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>e</sup>	0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>f</sup>	0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>	0.01 <sup>m</sup>
G. impressa	Mean	0.212	6.36	2.655	0.932	4.456	3.845	3.438	1.897	2.161	7.773
	±SD	0 <sup>f</sup>	0.3 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>f</sup>	0 <sup>gh</sup>	0 <sup>d</sup>	0 <sup>g</sup>	0.04 <sup>f</sup>	0 <sup>e</sup>	0 <sup>d</sup>	0.02 <sup>h</sup>
G. trivittata	Mean	0.363	6.01	2.538	0.976	4.791	4.088	4.946	0.733	2.275	9.261
	±SD	0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.3 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>g</sup>	0 <sup>gh</sup>	0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.09 <sup>f</sup>	0.03 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>h</sup>	0.02 <sup>c</sup>	0.05 <sup>c</sup>
G. varians	Mean	0.554	2.11	2.37	0.826	3.038	3.294	3.111	0.736	2.175	8.557
	±SD	0 <sup>b</sup>	0.02 <sup>f</sup>	0 <sup> h</sup>	0 <sup>hi</sup>	0 <sup>g</sup>	0 <sup> h</sup>	0 <sup>g</sup>	0 <sup> h</sup>	0.01 <sup>d</sup>	0 <sup>f</sup>
K. angulifera	Mean	0.173	1.733	3.13	11.59	4.892	2.736	8.637	1.843	2.247	8.943
	±SD	0.01 <sup>g</sup>	0 <sup>f</sup>	0.04 <sup>d</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0.01 <sup>i</sup>	0.1 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>f</sup>	0.02 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>e</sup>
M. bellicosus	Mean	0.114	3.232	1.635	1.24	3.414	2.224	7.316	2.537	1.172	9.066
	±SD	0.01 <sup>h</sup>	0 <sup>e</sup>	0 <sup>k</sup>	0 <sup>f</sup>	0.01 <sup>f</sup>	0 <sup>k</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	0 <sup>g</sup>	0 <sup>d</sup>
P. cordata	Mean	0.121	2.142	1.683	0.734	3.023	9.920	0.136	1.363	0.895	7.927
	±SD	0 <sup> h</sup>	0 <sup>f</sup>	0.01 <sup>j</sup>	0 <sup>i</sup>	0.01 <sup>g</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>	0.03 <sup>k</sup>	0 g	0 i	0.01 <sup>g</sup>
P. marginata	Mean	0.561	4.498	1.01	1.02	4.417	5.393	3.609	3.094	1.088	10.237
	±SD	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	0.1 <sup>m</sup>	0.01 <sup>gh</sup>	0.05 <sup>d</sup>	0 <sup>d</sup>	0.01 <sup>e</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>h</sup>	0.02 <sup>b</sup>
R. sobrina	Mean	0.25	6.36	2.924	2.32	4.594	7.578	1.749	0.442	2.778	12.041
	±SD	0.02 <sup>e</sup>	0.12 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>e</sup>	0.01 <sup>d</sup>	0.2 <sup>c</sup>	0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.01 <sup>h</sup>	0 <sup>i</sup>	0.02 b	0 <sup>a</sup>
S. interrupta	Mean	0.32	4.86	3.307	2.58	5.832	9.927	4.332	0.441	1.753	6.524
	±SD	0 <sup>d</sup>	0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>d</sup>	0 <sup>i</sup>	0.03 <sup>f</sup>	0.02 <sup>j</sup>

SD = Standard deviation. In each column, the averages affected by the same letter are not statistically different (ANOVA-1 followed by SNK at the 5% threshold).

Analysis of the results in the table shows that all the species studied contain Ag (0.050–7.636 mg/kg), As (1.534–6.36 mg/kg), Cr (1.01–5.64 mg/kg), Co (0. 932–11.59 mg/kg), Cu (3.023–5.832 mg/kg), Mn (2.224–9.927 mg/kg), Ni (0.136–8.637 mg/kg), Se (0.410–3.094 mg/kg), V (0.895–3.778 mg/kg) and Zn (4.117–12.041 mg/kg). These results show significant differences between species for each element. *C. tripunctatus* contains more Ag, Cr and V. The highest concentrations of Cu and Mn were found in *S. interrupta*, while the highest concentration of Co and Ni were found in *K. angulifera*. The contents of Cu, Mg and Zn are statistically higher in 58.33% of the species studied (*A. ruficornis*, *B. membranaceus*, *G. varians*, *P. cordata*, *P. marginata*, *R. sobrina and S. interrupta*).

# 3.2. Composition of the Insects Studied in Toxic TMEs

The concentrations of toxic TMEs in the insect species studied, i.e., elements for which no positive role for living organisms is known to date, are shown in Table 3. This table shows that all the insect species studied do not contain Be. On the other hand, they do contain TMEs such as Cd (0.016–0.504 mg/kg), Ga (0.164–0.475 mg/kg), Hg (0.010–0.315 mg/kg), Pb (0.041–0.501 mg/kg), Rd (0.125–0.471 mg/kg), and Sr (0.074–0.493 mg/kg). The levels of these TMEs were statistically variable among species. The results revealed low to average concentrations sometimes exceeding the required standards, notably in *C. tripunctatus* for Cd (0.504 mg/kg) and Pb (0.501 mg/kg).

Table 3. Toxic TME compositions of the main edible insect species in Togo.

Species	Statut.	Be	Cd	Ga	Hg	Pb	Rb	Sr
A. ruficornis	Mean ±SD	ND	0.068 0 <sup>b</sup>	0.3 0 <sup>d</sup>	0.020 0 <sup>e</sup>	0.234 0 <sup>e</sup>	0.466 0 <sup>a</sup>	0.066 0.01 <sup>f</sup>
B. membranaceus	Mean ±SD	ND	0.041 0 <sup>bc</sup>	0.164 0 <sup>f</sup>	0.018 0 <sup>e</sup>	0.412 0 <sup>d</sup>	0.471 0 <sup>a</sup>	0.431 0 <sup>b</sup>
C. tripunctatus	Mean ±SD	ND	0.504 0 <sup>a</sup>	0.463 0.02 <sup>ab</sup>	0.025 0 <sup>d</sup>	0.501 0 <sup>a</sup>	0.055 0 <sup>f</sup>	0.097 0 <sup>f</sup>
G. impressa	Mean ±SD	ND	0.028 0.02 <sup>cd</sup>	0.228 0.03 <sup>e</sup>	0.010 0 <sup>g</sup>	0.423 0 <sup>d</sup>	0.17 0.01 <sup>d</sup>	0.351 0.01 <sup>c</sup>
G. trivittata	Mean ±SD	ND	0.497 0 <sup>a</sup>	0.164 0 <sup>f</sup>	0.315 0 <sup>a</sup>	0.226 0 <sup>e</sup>	0.019 0 <sup>g</sup>	0.074 0 <sup>f</sup>
G. varians	Mean ±SD	ND	0.023 0 <sup>cd</sup>	0.434 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.001 0 <sup>h</sup>	0.041 0 <sup>f</sup>	0.124 0 <sup>e</sup>	0.253 0 <sup>d</sup>
K. angulifera	Mean ±SD	ND	0.056 0 <sup>b</sup>	0.127 0 <sup>f</sup>	0.026 0 <sup>d</sup>	0.467 0 <sup>bc</sup>	0.228 0.01 <sup>e</sup>	0.430 0 <sup>b</sup>
M. bellicosus	Mean ±SD	ND	0.048 0 <sup>b</sup>	0.475 0 <sup>a</sup>	0.024 0 <sup>d</sup>	0.482 0 <sup>ab</sup>	0.181 0 <sup>d</sup>	0.481 0 <sup>a</sup>
P. cordata	Mean ±SD	ND	0.016 0 <sup>d</sup>	0.353 0.02 <sup>c</sup>	0.025 0 <sup>d</sup>	0.326 0 <sup>e</sup>	0.281 0 <sup>b</sup>	0.152 0 <sup>e</sup>
P. marginata	Mean ±SD	ND	0.021 0 <sup>d</sup>	0.153 0.02 <sup>f</sup>	0.015 0 <sup>f</sup>	0.232 0 <sup>e</sup>	0.125 0 <sup>e</sup>	0.229 0.02 <sup>d</sup>
R. sobrina	Mean ±SD	ND	0.024 0 <sup>cd</sup>	0.125 0 <sup>f</sup>	0.081 0 <sup>b</sup>	0.451 0 <sup>c</sup>	0.259 0.02 <sup>c</sup>	0.493 0 <sup>a</sup>
S. interrupta	Mean ±SD	ND	0.488 0 <sup>a</sup>	0.12 0.01 <sup>f</sup>	0.035 0 <sup>c</sup>	0.411 0 <sup>d</sup>	0.287 0 <sup>b</sup>	0.136 0.04 <sup>e</sup>

SD = Standard deviation. ND = Not detected. In each column, the averages affected by the same letter are not statistically different (ANOVA-1 followed by SNK at the 5% threshold).

# 4. Discussion

TMEs are chemical elements whose concentration in the organism's dry matter must be below 0.01% for those involved in metabolism [18]. However, when living beings are

present in an environment with high concentrations of these elements, they can accumulate in organisms and be transferred to other organisms through food chains. Ten (Ag, As, Cr, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, Se, V, Zn) of the 17 TMEs investigated in this study are involved in metabolism. An organism's requirements for these TMEs lie between the deficiency threshold and the toxicity threshold [19]. All the insect species studied contain all 10 toxic TMEs above a certain threshold. Since these TMEs are involved in metabolism, their presence in the studied insects is a nutritional advantage [20–22]. Indeed, iron, zinc, selenium, copper, and manganese intervene in the immune system as antioxidant enzyme cofactors [23]. In general, the average contents of cobalt, selenium, copper, zinc, and manganese of the studied insect species are higher than the results obtained by Ramos-Elorduy et al. [24] following the analysis of orthoptera of different species and Igwe et al. [25] on the termite Macrotermes nigeriensis (Isoptera: Termitidae). However, even when essential, these TMEs can be toxic at high concentrations. The Cu, Mg and Zn contents are the highest (58.33%) in the majority of the species studied. When comparing the values obtained in this study with the safety limits of the recommended nutritional intake of these trace elements, which are 5 mg/kg, 8 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg for Cu, Mg and Zn, respectively, the values obtained are below these thresholds [26]. Therefore, these insects do not present a risk of intoxication in these elements. Other TMEs such as Cd, Pb and Hg have no known metabolic function to date [27]. These TMEs can contaminate living organisms and be taken up by the food chain. Once transferred to humans, who are at the end of the trophic chain, via the digestive tract, they can cause serious pathologies [27]. Although the concentrations of Pb, Cd and Hg in the insects studied are higher than those found in the sago grub (Rhynchophorus bilineatus (Coleoptera: Dryophthoridae)) consumed by indigenous populations in Indonesia [28], they comply with Regulation (EU) 1881/2006 (which sets the safety limit in food at 0.5 mg/kg for Pb, Cd and Hg) except in *C. tripunctatus* for Cd (0.504 mg/kg) and for Pb (0.501 mg/kg). However, cadmium levels in C. tripunctatus were not statistically different from those in G. trivittata (0.497 mg/kg), and S. interrupta (0.488 mg/kg) was below the threshold. Similarly, the lead content of *C. tripunctatus* is not statistically higher than that of *M. bellicosus* (0.482 mg/kg). Other toxic TMEs such as Ga, Rb, and Sr, for which toxicological reference values are not fixed, were found in the studied insects but at low concentrations. The consumption of wild insects collected in Togo does not present a risk of intoxication by TMEs. These results are in agreement with those of Poma et al. [29]. According to these authors, the concentrations of all the TMEs tested in their study (Cd, Ar, Cr, Pb and Sn) were within acceptable limits for human consumption. These results are also consistent with those of Idowu et al. [30] and Mabossy-Mobouna et al. [31]. C. tripunctatus is the only aquatic insect species studied in this study. This species has the highest levels of Cd and Pb, exceeding the toxicity thresholds. It therefore appears to be the most contaminated. However, as only one aquatic species was studied, no relationship can be established between the living environment of this species and the level of contamination of the species, although aquatic environments are known to contain high quantities of TMEs [32].

#### 5. Conclusions

This study made it possible to evaluate the level of accumulation of TMEs by insect species commonly consumed in Togo. Apart from Be, all the TMEs sought (16) were found in the 12 insect species studied. Their concentration in the insects differed according to the species. However, the concentrations of all the TMEs investigated in edible insects during this study are within the acceptable limits for human consumption. The aquatic species *C. tripunctatus* is the most contaminated with levels of 0.504 mg/kg for Cd and 0.501 mg/kg for Pb, at the limit of edibility threshold (0.5) for these elements. The risk of human contamination with TMEs through the consumption of insects is therefore low.

**Author Contributions:** F.B. and A.T.-B. conceived, designed the project and performed the sampling. N.B. and F.B. performed the experiments. F.B. analyzed the data. N.B., F.B. and A.T.-B. wrote the manuscript. V.N. and M.P.-L. supervised all activities. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors express their thanks to the International Foundation for Science (IFS) and the Agence Universitaire de la Francophonie (AUF), whose funding allowed the sampling of species throughout Togo and the analysis of samples through the Eugen Ionesco grant, respectively.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Insectes Comestibles Perspectives pour la Sécurité Alimentaire et l'Alimentation Animale 2014. Available online: https://www.fao.org/3/i3253f/I3253F.pdf (accessed on 2 November 2021).
- Van Huis, A.; Van Itterbeeck, J.; Klunder, H.; Mertens, E.; Halloran, A.; Muir, G.; Vantomme, P. Edible Insects: Future Prospects for Food and Feed Security. FAO Forestry Paper, Volume 171. 2013. Available online: https://www.fao.org/3/i3253e/i3253e.pdf (accessed on 10 November 2021).
- Quellet, S. Développement d'un Outil d'Aide à la Decision pour une Utilisation Durable des Insectes Comestibles. Master's Thesis, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada, 2017.
- 4. Chen, X.; Feng, Y. Review on nutritive value of edible insects. Chin. For. Sci. Technol. 2002, 12, 54–59.
- Séré de Lanauze, G. L'adoption d'un produit alimentaire nouveau face à des freins culturels forts. Le cas de l'entomophagie en France. Décisions Mark. 2015, 79, 15–33. [CrossRef]
- 6. Barre, A.; Velazquez, E.; Delplanque, A.; Caze-Subra, S.; Bienvenu, F.; Bienvenu, J.; Benoit, H.; Rougé, P. Les allergènes croissants des insectes comestibles. *Rev. Française Allergol.* **2016**, *56*, 522–532. [CrossRef]
- Ramos-Elorduy, J. Energy supplied by edible insects from mexico and their nutritional and ecological importance. *Ecol. Food Nutr.* 2008, 47, 280–297. [CrossRef]
- 8. Van Huis, A. Edible insects are the future? *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 2016, *75*, 294–305. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Badanaro, F. Les Insectes Comestibles au Togo: Ethnoentomologie et Potentiel Nutritionnel. Ph.D. Thesis, Université de Lomé, Lomé, Togo, 2015.
- 10. Coutin, F.A.; Philippe, F.; Vermande, P. Contribution à la caractérisation physico-chimique des déchets de deux marchés de la commune de Port-au-Prince (Haïti): Propositions de traitements. *Déchets Sci. Tech.* **2007**, *47*, 30–33. [CrossRef]
- 11. Bimizi, A.; Diallo, A.; Eklu-Gadegbeku, K.; Gnandi, K.; Bakoma, B.; Aklikokou, K.; Creppy, E.E.; Gbeassor, M. Evaluation de la contamination de quelques produits maraîchers par les métaux lourds à Lomé. *J. Rech. Sci. Univ.* **2012**, *14*, 115–122.
- Ouro-Sama, K.; Solitoke, H.D.; Gnandi, K.; Afiademanyo, K.M.; Bowessidjaou, E.J. Évaluation et risques sanitaires de la bioaccumulation de métaux lourds chez des espèces halieutiques du système lagunaire togolais. *Rev. Électron. Sci. Environ.* 2014, 14. [CrossRef]
- 13. Vijver, M.; Jager, T.; Posthuma, L.; Peijnenburg, W. Metal uptake from soils and soil sediment mixtures by larvae of *Tenebrio molitor* (L.) (Coleoptera). *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2003, 54, 277–289. [CrossRef]
- 14. Fernandez-Cassi, X.; Supeanu, A.; Jansson, A.; Boqvist, S.; Vagsholm, I. Novel foods: A risk profile for the house cricket (Acheta domesticus). *EFSA J.* 2018, *16*, e16082. [CrossRef]
- Bonneau, S. Nourrir le Monde de Demain: Avantages et Risques de l'Entomophagie. Sciences du Vivant [q-bio]. L'Université Clermont Auvergne, France, 2020. Available online: https://dumas.ccsd.cnrs.fr/dumas-03125122/document (accessed on 12 September 2021).
- 16. Akinnawo, O.; Ketiku, A.O. Chemical composition and fatty acid profile of edible larva of Cirina forda (Westwood). *Afr. J. Biomed. Res.* **2000**, *3*, 93–96.
- 17. Ntukuyoh, A.I.; Udiong, D.S.; Ikpe, E.; Akpakpan, A.E. Evaluation of Nutritional Value of Termites (*Macrotermes bellicosus*): Soldiers, Workers, and Queen in the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. *Int. J. Food Nutr. Saf.* **2012**, *1*, 60–65.
- 18. Mench, M.; Blaise, D. Contamination des sols et de nos aliments par les éléments traces. Courr. Environ. INRA 2004, 52, 31–56.
- 19. Cheftel, H.; Truffert, L. Oligo-éléments et leur toxicité dans l'alimentation de l'homme. Ann. Nutr. Aliment. 1972, 26, B521–B561.
- Rumpold, B.A.; Schlüter, O.K. Nutritional composition and safety aspects of edible insects. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 2013, 57, 802–823. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Shantibala, T.; Lokeshwari, R.K.; Debaraj, H. Nutritional and antinutritional composition of the five species of aquatic edible insects consumed in Manipur, India. *J. Insect Sci.* 2014, 14, 14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Kouřimská, L.; Adámková, A. Nutritional and sensory quality of edible insects. NFS J. 2016, 4, 22–26. [CrossRef]
- 23. Gombart, A.F.; Pierre, A.; Maggini, S. A Review of Micronutrients and the Immune System-Working in Harmony to Reduce the Risk of Infection. *Nutrients* 2020, *12*, 236. [CrossRef]
- 24. Ramos-Elorduy, J.B.; Pino Moreno, J.M.; Martínez Camacho, V.H. Could Grasshoppers Be a Nutritive Meal? *Food Nutr. Sci.* 2012, 3, 164–175.

- 25. Igwe, C.U.; Ujowundu, C.O.; Nwaogu, L.A.; Okwu, G.N. Chemical Analysis of an Edible African Termite, Macrotermes nigeriensis; a Potential Antidote to Food Security Problem. *Biochem. Anal. Biochem.* **2011**, *1*, 105. [CrossRef]
- Charbit, V. Les Oligoelements: Rôle et Conseils du Pharmacien d'Officine. Ph.D. Thesis, L'Université de Marseille, Marseille, France, 2017. Available online: https://dumas.ccsd.cnrs.fr/dumas-01565830/document (accessed on 5 September 2021).
- 27. Coic, Y.; Coppenet, M. Les Oligo-Éléments en Agriculture et Élevage; INRA: Paris, France, 1989.
- 28. Köhler, R.; Irias-Mata, A.; Ramandey, E.; Purwestri, R.; Biesals, H.K. Nutrient composition of the Indonesian sago grub (*Rhynchophorus bilineatus*). Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci. **2020**, 40, 677–686. [CrossRef]
- 29. Poma, G.; Cuykx, M.; Amato, E.; Calaprice, C.; Focant, J.F.; Covaci, A. Evaluation of hazardous chemicals in edible insects and insect-based food intended for human consumption. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2017**, *100*, 70–79. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Idowu, A.B.; Ademolu, K.O.; Bamidele, J.A. Nutrition and Heavy Metal Levels in the Mound Termite, Macrotermes bellicosus (Smeathman) (Isoptera: Termitidae), at three Sites under Varying Land use in Abeokuta, Southwestern Nigeria. *Afr. Entomol.* 2013, 22, 156–162. [CrossRef]
- Mabossy-Mobouna, G.; Kinkela, T.; Lenga, A. Apports nutritifs des chenilles d'Imbrasia truncata consommées au Congo-Brazzaville. J. Anim. Plant Sci. 2017, 31, 5050–5062.
- Gbaruko, B.C.; Friday, O.U. Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in some fauna and flora. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2007, 4, 197–202. [CrossRef]