

Table S1. Relative abundance ($e^{-\Delta C_t}$) of several microorganisms to the total bacterial 16s rDNA in the rumen solid adherent of goats were fed with the four diets (CON, ALG20, ALG40 and ALG60) at three sampling time (20, 40, 60 experimental days).

	DIET					SAMPLING TIME				EFFECT‡		
	CON	ALG20	ALG40	ALG60	SEM†	20	40	60	SEM†	D	T	DxT
Bacteroidetes	0.489 ^t	0.472	0.415	0.399 ^t	0.026	0.401 ^a	0.464 ^{ab}	0.466 ^b	0.018	0.065	0.049	0.600
Firmicutes	0.315 ^a	0.237 ^b	0.191 ^b	0.217 ^b	0.015	0.214	0.258	0.249	0.014	<0.001	0.143	0.793
Archaea	0.011 ^a	0.008 ^b	0.006 ^c	0.006 ^c	0.001	0.005 ^a	0.009 ^b	0.009 ^b	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.043
Methanogens	0.00082 ^a	0.00045 ^b	0.00035 ^b	0.00036 ^b	<0.001	0.00019 ^a	0.00066 ^b	0.00064 ^b	<0.001	0.041	0.000	0.767
<i>Methanomassiliicoccales spp.</i>	0.00043 ^a	0.00023 ^b	0.00022 ^b	0.00015 ^b	<0.001	0.00017 ^a	0.00030 ^b	0.00030 ^b	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.308
<i>Methanobrevibacter spp.</i>	0.00019 ^a	0.00004 ^b	0.00003 ^b	0.00004 ^b	<0.001	0.00005 ^a	0.00008 ^b	0.00009 ^b	<0.001	0.048	0.017	0.048
<i>Methanosphaera stadtmanae</i>	0.00004 ^a	0.00002 ^b	0.00002 ^b	0.00001 ^b	<0.001	0.00003	0.00001	0.00003	<0.001	0.026	0.465	0.678
<i>Methanobacterium formicicum</i>	0.000007 ^a	0.000002 ^b	0.000001 ^b	0.000002 ^b	<0.001	0.000002	0.000004	0.000003	<0.001	0.001	0.051	0.210
Protozoa	0.0017	0.0029	0.0019	0.0020	<0.001	0.0013 ^a	0.0031 ^b	0.0021 ^c	<0.001	0.102	0.007	0.030
<i>Entodinium spp.</i>	0.0010 ^t	0.0023 ^t	0.0014	0.0018	<0.001	0.0013 ^b	0.0022 ^a	0.0013 ^b	<0.001	0.076	0.018	0.167
Total anaerobic fungi	0.0011	0.0006	0.0005	0.0006	<0.001	0.0008 ^a	0.0005 ^b	0.0008 ^{ab}	<0.001	0.239	<0.001	0.395
Neocallimastigales-specific	0.0004 ^a	0.0003 ^b	0.0002 ^b	0.0002 ^b	<0.001	0.0002 ^a	0.0004 ^b	0.0004 ^b	<0.001	0.016	<0.001	0.788
<i>Eubacterium ruminantium</i>	0.0023	0.0018	0.0016	0.0016	<0.001	0.0018	0.0020	0.0016	<0.001	0.464	0.495	0.156
<i>Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens</i>	0.132 ^a	0.099 ^b	0.084 ^b	0.104 ^b	0.006	0.099	0.108	0.106	0.005	<0.001	0.666	0.471
<i>Butyrivibrio proteoclasticus</i>	0.011	0.010	0.007	0.009	0.001	0.008 ^a	0.010 ^b	0.010 ^b	0.001	0.173	0.046	0.597
<i>Ruminococcus flavefaciens</i>	0.007 ^a	0.002 ^b	0.001 ^b	0.002 ^b	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.004	0.888	0.801
<i>Fibrobacter succinogenes</i>	0.012	0.008	0.003	0.012	0.003	0.018 ^a	0.004 ^b	0.004 ^b	0.001	0.127	0.003	0.305
<i>Ruminococcus albus</i>	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.003	0.001	0.002 ^a	0.004 ^b	0.007 ^c	0.000	0.414	0.001	0.125
<i>Ruminobacter amylophilus</i>	0.003	0.007	0.012	0.015	0.004	0.009	0.005	0.014	0.003	0.141	0.087	0.010
<i>Streptococcus bovis</i>	0.000012	0.000008	0.000009	0.000008	<0.001	0.00002 ^a	0.000007 ^b	0.000008 ^c	<0.001	0.819	0.001	0.288
<i>Selenomonas ruminantium</i>	0.0026	0.0026	0.0022	0.0028	<0.001	0.0031	0.0024	0.0024	<0.001	0.389	0.091	0.999
<i>Prevotella spp.</i>	0.249	0.309	0.288	0.255	0.027	0.297	0.261	0.269	0.018	0.363	0.299	0.369
<i>Prevotella brevis</i>	0.0008	0.0005	0.0007	0.0007	<0.001	0.0005	0.0007	0.0008	<0.001	0.724	0.273	0.685
<i>Prevotella ruminicola</i>	0.0030 ^a	0.0036 ^a	0.0018 ^b	0.0017 ^b	<0.001	0.0020	0.0025	0.0030	<0.001	0.009	0.115	0.485

CON= control concentrate without microalgae; ALG20= control concentrate with 20 g/ Kg *Schizochytrium spp.*; ALG40= control concentrate with 40 g/ Kg *Schizochytrium spp.*; ALG60= control concentrate with 60 g/ Kg *Schizochytrium spp.* Means with different superscript (a, b, c) between dietary treatments and (a, b, c) between sampling time differ significantly ($p \leq 0.05$). † SEM: Standard error of the mean. ‡ Effect: The dietary treatment (D), time (T), and the interaction

between dietary treatment x time (DxT) effects were analyzed by ANOVA using a general linear model (GLM) for repeated measures and Post hoc analysis was performed when appropriate using Turkey's multiple range test. t = tendency, P-value < 0.10.