

**Table S1: Summary of quality assessment of the RCTs**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Unclear</b>
1. Was the assignment to the treatment groups really random?	2 <sup>6,7</sup>		
2. Was the treatment allocation concealed?	1 <sup>6</sup>		1 <sup>7</sup>
3. Were the groups similar at baseline in terms of prognostic factors?	2 <sup>6,7</sup>		
4. Were the eligibility criteria specified?	2 <sup>6,7</sup>		
5. Was the intervention (and comparison) clearly defined?	2 <sup>6,7</sup>		
6. Were the groups treated in the same way apart from the intervention received?	2 <sup>6,7</sup>		
7. Was there a follow-up period > 30 days?	2 <sup>6,7</sup>		
8. Was the outcome assessor blinded to the treatment allocation?		1 <sup>6</sup>	1 <sup>7</sup>
9. Was the care provider blinded?		1 <sup>6</sup>	1 <sup>7</sup>
10. Were the patients blinded?		1 <sup>6</sup>	1 <sup>7</sup>
11. Were the point estimates and measures of variability presented for the primary outcome measures?	2 <sup>6,7</sup>		
12. Was the withdrawal/drop-out rate likely to cause bias?	1 <sup>6</sup>		1 <sup>7</sup>
13. Did the analyses include an intention-to-treat analysis?	1 <sup>6</sup>		1 <sup>7</sup>
14. Was the operation undertaken by somebody experienced in performing the procedure?	2 <sup>6,7</sup>		