

Article



Genomic High Plains Wheat Mosaic Virus Sequences from Australia: Their Phylogenetics and Evidence for Emaravirus Recombination and Reassortment

Roger A. C. Jones ^{1,2,*}, Ines Vazquez-Iglesias ³, Sam McGreig ³, Adrian Fox ³, and Adrian J. Gibbs ⁴

- ¹ UWA Institute of Agriculture, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
- ² Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, South Perth, WA 6151, Australia
- ³ Fera Science Ltd., York Biotech Campus, York YO41 1LZ, UK
- ⁴ Emeritus Faculty, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia
- Correspondence: roger.jones@uwa.edu.au

Abstract: High Plains wheat mosaic virus (HPWMoV) causes a serious disease in major wheatgrowing regions worldwide. We report here the complete or partial genomic sequences of five HPWMoV isolates from Australian wheat samples. Phylogenetic analysis of the nucleotide sequences of the eight genomic segments of these five isolates together with others from Genbank found all eight genes formed two lineages, L1 and L2. L1 contained a single isolate from Colorado in the North American Great Plains Region (GPR), and L2 had two unresolved clusters, A and B, of isolates from Australia and the GPR. A quarter of the L2B isolate sequences of the nucleocapsid gene (RNA3) were recombinant, which is unexpected as little evidence of recombination exists in viruses with negative single-stranded RNA genomes. Phylogenies calculated from the amino acid sequences of HPWMoV's RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase (RNA1), glycoprotein (RNA2), and nucleocapsid protein (RNA3) showed they were closest to those of Palo Verde broom virus. However, its movement protein (RNA4) was closer to those of Ti ringspot-associated and common oak ringspot-associated viruses, indicating the RNA4 segments of their ancestors reassorted to produce the current emaraviruses. To avoid increased yield losses from co-infection, biosecurity measures are advised to avoid HPWMoV introduction to countries where wheat streak mosaic virus already occurs.

Keywords: wheat; virus disease; High Plains wheat mosaic virus; Australia; high-throughput sequencing; phylogenetics; recombination; reassortment; biosecurity

1. Introduction

Wheat occupies more land than any other staple food crop worldwide and is the second most important global food crop after maize (corn) [1]. Many harmful virus diseases afflict wheat [2], and one of the most destructive is wheat streak mosaic disease (WSMD). WSMD's most characteristic symptoms are plant stunting and a streaky yellow leaf mosaic. It is associated with three viruses that all share Aceria tosichella (WCM, the wheat curl mite) as their vector: wheat streak mosaic virus (WSMV; genus Tritimovirus, family Potyviridae), Triticum mosaic virus (TriMV; genus Poacevirus, family Potyviridae), and High Plains wheat mosaic virus (HPWMoV; genus Emaravirus, family Fimoviridae). To comply with the latest International Committee for Virus Nomenclature recommendations [3], in 2021, the species HPWMoV was given the binomial virus name *Emaravirus tritici*. WSMD causes the greatest damage to wheat crops when two or more of its causal viruses infect the same plant, which often occurs in wheat crops in the North American Great Plains Region (GPR). This coinfection is frequent because WCM transmits all three wheat viruses, and both their disease cycles and their epidemiology are greatly influenced by its ecology and behavior [4-13]. WSMV is present on all continents apart from Antarctica and is the cause of a major global wheat epidemic [10,14–16]. TriMV has not yet been reported outside of North America.



Citation: Jones, R.A.C.; Vazquez-Iglesias, I.; McGreig, S.; Fox, A.; Gibbs, A.J. Genomic High Plains Wheat Mosaic Virus Sequences from Australia: Their Phylogenetics and Evidence for Emaravirus Recombination and Reassortment. *Viruses* **2023**, *15*, 401. https:// doi.org/10.3390/v15020401

Academic Editors: Eric O. Freed, Aurelie Rakotondrafara and Nuredin Habili

Received: 24 November 2022 Revised: 4 January 2023 Accepted: 28 January 2023 Published: 31 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). HPWMoV (synonyms: High Plains virus, wheat mosaic virus, and maize red stripe virus) not only causes significant disease in the USA's GPR [6,17,18], but also infects wheat crops in Canada's GPR [19], Ukraine in Europe [12,20], Argentina in South America [21], and Australia [15,22–24]. It is transmitted with low efficiency from seed to seedlings of sweet corn [18,25,26], which is a high-sugar variant of maize. Although its seed transmission in wheat is suspected, but has not yet been confirmed, such transmission through the international wheat trade would explain its increasing global distribution.

The optimum temperature range is 24–27 °C for WCM population increase, as this tiny eriophyid mite vector species requires only 10 days to produce a new generation at these temperatures. Therefore, as climate change advances, global warming is projected to magnify the major global WSMV epidemic in many of the world's wheat-growing regions by enhancing its spread [27–30]. The same would also be expected to apply to the other WCM-transmitted wheat viruses that cause WSMD (HPWMoV, TriMV) in regions in which they occur.

HPWMoV has an octapartite, negative-sense RNA genome that, in its virions, is coated with thread-like molecules of a 32 kDa nucleocapsid (NC), and these complexes are contained inside 80–200 nm diameter virions that have double membrane envelopes. Its genome's eight single-stranded RNA components each have a single open reading frame (ORF) encoding a single protein [18,31–36]. Tatineni et al. [35] reported that in phylogenies of the amino acid (aa) sequences of three HPWMoV proteins from North American isolate NE [RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), glycoprotein precursor protein, and NC], amongst other emaraviruses, these were closest to those of raspberry leaf blotch virus (RLBV). These three proteins were encoded by HPWMoV's RNA1 (RdRp), RNA2 (GP), or RNA3 (NC), and those encoded by its RNA4-RNA8 were P4-P8. Its P4-P6 proteins resembled those of other emaraviruses, but the proteins encoded by its RNA7 and RNA8 lacked sequence homology with any other proteins present in Genbank. There were two distinct RNA3 aa sequences (3A and 3B), but only one aa sequence was present for each of the other seven RNAs [35].

When Stewart [37] compared the NC protein aa sequences of HPWMoV isolates NE, KS04, ABC58222, and TX96 [33,35,38] with those of six further North American HPWMoV isolates (H1, K1, W1, KS7, and CGI), they split into two main lineages (L1 and L2), one of which (L2) comprised two clusters, A and B. Three isolates (GG1, KS7, and NE) had two RNA3 sequences (3A and 3B), one of which fit into each cluster. Why some isolates have one and others have two RNA3 aa sequences is unknown [18]. The partial NC nucleotide (nt) sequences of three Ukrainian HPWMoV isolates formed a separate subcluster within cluster L2A, whereas those of two Australian (KT013206 and KC33741-2) and five Argentinian isolates were within the same L2B subcluster [12].

In Australia, HPWMoV was first detected in 2003 in preserved leaf samples from wheat-growing regions of New South Wales (NSW), the Australian Capital Territory, Queensland, South Australia, and Victoria [22,39]. During 2006–2011, it was detected again in preserved leaf samples collected in the NSW grain belt, occurring mainly in mixed infections with WSMV [24]. A partial sequence of an HPWMoV isolate from NSW was obtained (KT013206). In 2012, HPWMoV was detected in wheat leaf samples from commercial crops and a field experiment in Western Australia (WA) [23]. Two of the HPWMoV isolates obtained had nt sequences (KC33741-2) that were identical (100% nt identity) to the RNA3B sequences of isolates KS7, CG1, and RA02 from the neighboring Kansas state. This indicated HPWMoV might have been introduced to Australia in infected maize or wheat seed from the USA's central GPR [23]. Here, we report a phylogenetic study comparing the genomic sequences of five Australian HPWMoV isolates with those of HPWMoV currently available from other world regions, together with an assessment of the phylogenetic relatedness of HPWMoV to other emaraviruses.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Origins and Sequencing

A recent study that included silica gel-preserved survey samples BCWS5, BCHPV1, HP1G, and HP2W from south-west Australia found WSMV was present in each of them [16]. Although HPWMoV was also present, this part of the study was excluded and is now reported here. The finding of HPWMoV alone in sample BCHPV2, which came directly from an infected leaf of the culture host sweet corn cv. Snow Gold provided by Brenda Coutts, is also reported here. Table 1 provides details of sample names, viruses present, isolation years, survey sample collection sites, cultivars sampled, sequence accession codes (BioProject number PRJNA796936), and original isolate references.

After grinding in liquid nitrogen, a Spectrum Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Sydney, NSW, Australia) was employed to extract total RNA from 20 mg/sample (desiccated) or 50 mg/sample (fresh) leaf material. At the Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF, Perth, WA, Australia), these extracts were assessed using a Nanodrop Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Ltd., Melbourne, Victoria, Australia) before library preparation, barcoding (24 samples per lane), and 100 bp single-end sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq2000. In the UK, the Angua pipeline of Fowkes et al. [40] was used to analyze the paired reads as described by Jones et al. [16]. Complete or incomplete HPWMoV genome sequences were generated for each of these five samples (Table 1).

2.2. Sequence Analysis

The HPWMoV sequences were edited [41] to extract their main ORFs, and these were aligned using MAFFT [42]. RDP5.5 [43] was used to test for recombination using all its methods with default parameters [43–52]. Sequences with phylogenetic anomalies detected by five or more methods and with an average $<10^{-5}$ chance probability were analyzed separately. The best substitution models for calculations involving the nt and encoded aa sequences were determined using MEGA11 [53] and found to be T92 + G + I [54] for nts and LG + G + I [55] for aa sequences. Phylogenetic trees were calculated by the neighborjoining option in ClustalX [56] and by maximum likelihood (ML) using PhyML [57] with SH support statistics [58].

The ORFs of RNAs 1–4 from the CoPhil L1 isolate, the Nebraska "Genbank Reference Sequence" isolate, the West Australian Ku-12 isolate, and the Ohio isolate HPVWMoV-NW2 were each used as query sequences in BLASTX searches to identify the most similar 100 proteins in the Genbank protein database. The protein sequences for each RNA were pooled, and both duplicates and incomplete sequences were removed. The remaining 50–100 sequences for each RNA were aligned using MAFFT [42] and used to calculate ML phylogenies.

The RNA1 nts phylogeny was calculated from 12 out of 13 nt sequences downloaded, RNA2 from 15 of 17, RNA3 from 22 of 38, RNA4 from 18 of 53, RNA5 from all 14, RNA6 from 18 of 19, RNA7 from all 15 and RNA8 from all 16; those omitted were either incomplete or clearly mislabeled. The initial phylogenies of the RNA1 protein aa's of different emaravirus species were calculated from 54 sequences selected from 113 downloaded, RNA2 aa's from 63 of 112 downloaded, RNA3 aa's from 157 of 168 downloaded, and RNA4 aa's from 34 of 35 downloaded. Thus, a total of 130 out of 180 sequences for the RNA nt phylogenies, and 308 out of 428 for the protein aa phylogenies were used.

Sample (Isolate)	Virus(es) Found ^A	Isolation Year	Location Sampled	Wheat Cultivar	HPWoMV Sequences	Sequences Obtained	HPWoMV Accession No.	Original Sample/Isolate Reference
BCWS5 (WA-Ku12A)	HPWMoV + WSMV	2012	Kulin	cv. Mace	Partial	RNA3A, RNA3B, RNA6	OM302302-4	Coutts et al. [23]
BCHPV1 (WA-Ku12)	HPWMoV + WSMV	2012	Kulin	cv. Mace	Complete coding sequences	RNA1, RNA2, RNA3A, RNA3B, RNA4, RNA5, RNA6, RNA7, RNA8	OM302293-301	Coutts et al. [23]
BCHPV2 (WA-CG12)	HPWMoV	2012	Corrigin	cv. Yitpi	Complete	RNA1, RNA2, RNA3A, RNA3B, RNA4, RNA5, RNA6, RNA7, RNA8	OM302284-92	Coutts et al. [23]
HP1G (WA-GM-13)	HPWMoV + WSMV	2013	Goomalling	cv. Mace	Partial	RNA2, RNA3A, RNA3B, RNA4, RNA5, RNA6, RNA7, RNA8	OM302276-83	Jones et al. [16]
HP2W (WA-WH-13)	HPWMoV + WSMV	2013	Wongan Hills	cv. Mace	Partial	RNA3A, RNA3B, RNA4, RNA6, RNA7, RNA8	OM302270-75	Jones et al. [16]

Table 1. Virus-infected samples of wheat from south-west Australia that contained High Plains wheat mosaic virus: sample names, virus(es) present, origins, and sequence accession codes.

^A Virus acronyms: High Plains wheat mosaic virus (HPWMoV), wheat streak mosaic virus (WSMV). WSMV and HPWMoV presence in samples BCWS5, BCHPV1, HP1G and HP2W was reported previously [16].

3. Results

3.1. Sample Origins

Mace and Yitpi were the wheat cultivars from which the sequenced samples were obtained (Table 1). The HPWMoV sequences came from four WA locations (Corrigin, Goomalling, Kulin, and Wongan Hills), which were in the southern or central regions of the south-west Australian grain belt, occurring in their low or medium rainfall zones (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Collection sites in the south-west Australian grain belt where HPWMoV-infected wheat samples were obtained. The grain belt is subdivided into three zones with 250–325 (low), 325–450 (medium), and 450–750 (high) mm of rainfall per year.

3.2. Recombination

Eight of the 31 RNA3 ORF sequences were found to be recombinants. Five of these (OM302271, OM302278, OM302287, OM302296 and OM302303) were among the 10 sequences from Australia, and three (MN315261, MN315262, MW990205) from among the 21 sequences from North America; all the others (KJ939625, KJ939626, KT970501, KT988862, KT988863, KT988871, KT988872, KT988881, KT988882, KT988889, KT995102, MN250339, MN250347, MT762120, MT762121, MT762122, MW990204 and NC_029550 from North America, and OM302270, OM302277, OM302286, OM302295 and OM302302 from Australia) were non recombinant (n-rec). The three recombinant sequences from North America came from isolates from Idaho (MN315261), Michigan (MN315262), and Kansas (MW990205), whereas the n-rec sequences came from isolates from Colorado (three), Ohio (nine), and three from unspecified sites in the USA. Tatineni et al. [35] reported "unusual heterogeneity in the nucleocapsid protein" of HPWMoV, namely the protein encoded by RNA3, and they distinguished two variants of the gene, RNA3A and RNA3B. Out of the 15 RNA3s currently

identified as RNA3A or RNA3B, our analyses found that all eight RNA3A sequences are n-rec, whereas six of the seven RNA3B sequences are recombinant.

Seven of the eight recombinant RNA3 sequences had the same two closest "parental" sequences. The major "parent" was closest to the RNA3 of the type Nebraska isolate (NC_029550), and the minor "parent" was the "Nebraska-like" Ohio isolate (MN250339), involving the region from around nts 173 to 583 and detected by six methods with the probability that it occurred by chance ranging from a mean of $10^{-5.5}$ to $10^{-7.2}$. The other recombinant (MN315261) was an isolate from Idaho; its closest major "parent" was OM302295 from Australia, and its minor parent nts (248 to 456), were detected by six methods with the probability that it occurred by chance of $<10^{-9.0}$.

3.3. Phylogeny

The complete n-rec ORFs for each RNA segment were used to generate ML phylogenies. Figure 2 shows the RNA1 nt sequences, which, as mentioned above, encode the RdRp. All the other ORF sets gave similar phylogenies in that they also had two clear basal lineages, L1 and L2. L1 was of ORFs from a single isolate named "*Emaravirus tritici* COPhil," and L2 was of all the other 11–20 ORF sequences (mean 14.75) of each HPWMoV gene. The L2 lineage is subdivided into two clusters, A and B. Cluster L2A consisted of two to six ORFs from wheat isolates all collected in Ohio; in Figure 2, they consisted of MN250345 and KT970499. Cluster L2B was composed of ORFs from 1–5 isolates collected from wheat, barley, or maize in Idaho, Kansas, Michigan, Nebraska, and Ohio in the GPR, and from wheat in WA in Australia. Five of the L2 ORFs were stated in Genbank to be from the same CoPhil isolate as the L1 ORFs. Four of these aberrant L2 ORFs (MTY762113, MT762115, MT762118, MT762120) were from RNAs 6, 5, 4 and 3, respectively, from one isolate with sequences found by BLAST analysis to be close to those of a group of L2A Ohio isolates, and the other, an RNA5 sequence (MT762115), was closest to the Nebraska type L2B sequence (NC_029552) and its source sequence (KJ939628).

To check the more distant relationships of HPWMoV, the ORFs of the RNA1–4 genes from four representative HPWMoV isolates were used as query sequences in BLASTX searches of the GenbBank protein database to identify the closest non-HPWMoV proteins; the proteins encoded by RNAs 5–8 were not checked as these have not been identified for all emaraviruses. The phylogenies generated from these sequences (Figure 3) show that the RdRps, the glycoproteins, and the NCs of HPWMoV were closest to those of Palo Verde broom virus, and next closest to those of a cluster comprised of Arceuthobium sichuanense virus 1, Jujube yellow mottle-associated virus, raspberry leaf blotch virus, common oak ringspot-associated virus, and Ti ringspot-associated virus, along with, for the NC, Yunnan emara-like virus and alfalfa ringspot-associated virus. The phylogeny of the movement proteins was significantly different and showed the movement protein of HPWMoV to be closest to those of Ti ringspot-associated and common oak ringspot-associated viruses with 95% SH statistical support, indicating that reassortment was involved at some stage of the evolution of these viruses.

Large red discs on nodes with SH statistical support of 1.0 and smaller discs with 0.95 SH support. Isolates forming the HPWMoV-containing basal lineage are shown, but those of the other basal lineages/outliers are "collapsed" and consist of: Outgroup A: QAR18002, *Pistacia emaravirus*; QOI17315, Maple mottle-associated virus; Outgroup B: UQV97405, Peuraria montana associated virus; QTZ21230, and YP_010088071, Actinidia emaravirus; Outgroup C: YP_009237272, Emaravirus fici; YP_010088072, YP_010088072. Emaravirus kiwii, UQV97406 Pueraria lobata-associated emaravirus, CAG9003604; Ash shoestring-associated emaravirus, QAR18003 Pistacia emaravirus, YP_004327590 Emaravirus rosae, QOI17316 Maple mottle-associated virus; ANQ90730 Emaravirus cajani, YP_009507926 Actinidia chlorotic ringspot-associated virus; Outlier D: QTW92691 Emaravirus camelliae; Outgroup E: AWS21342 Emaravirus fici; AXI82314 Emaravirus rosae; CAA0079646 Aspen mosaic associated virus, QBM15198 blackberry leaf mottle associ

ated virus, UQV97407 Pueraria lobata associated emaravirus, YP_009268864 Emaravirus toordali; Outlier F: YP_009508085 Emaravirus cercidis; Outgroup G: QGX73506 Emaravirus camelliae, BCO17111 Japanese star anise ringspot-associated virus; Outgroup H: YP_009508084 Emaravirus cercidis, QIN85948 Lilac chlorotic ringspot-associated virus; Outgroup I: CAA0079685 Aspen mosaic-associated virus; QVW29594 Grapevine emaravirus A, YP_009237264; Emaravirus cajani movement protein sequence QRG35061 (along with QQD79828, QQD79841, and QDM39003) are mislabeled as RNA5 proteins in Genbank.



0.3

Figure 2. The ML phylogeny of the non-recombinant nucleotide sequences of 12 HPWMoV RNA1 ORFs. The geographical origins of these 12 sequenced isolates were: Western Australia (OM302293, OM302284), Nebraska (NC_029570, KJ939623), Kansas (MW99202, KT988860), Idaho (MN250353), Michigan (MN250359), Ohio (KT970499, KT988869, MN250345), and Colorado (MT762124). The Genbank record of the Nebraska isolate (KJ939623) uses the latinized binomial species name, *Emaravirus tritici*, now preferred by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. However, the Genbank Reference Sequence, NC_029570, is of the same record but, confusingly, uses the original vernacular name given to the virus.



0.4 s/s

YP_009237277 High Plains wheat mosaic virus QQO58792 High Plains wheat mosaic virus AWH90165 Palo Verde broom virus DAZ87272 Arceuthobium sichuanense virus 1 QQD79824 Jujube yellow mottle-associated virus YP_00923727 Raspberry leaf blotch virus CAD02811685 Common oak ringspot-associated virus YP_010088065 Ti ringspot-associated virus Outgroup A Outgroup B

YP_009237256 High Plains wheat mosaic virus QQ058791 High Plains wheat mosaic virus AWH90170 Palo Verde broom virus YP_009237265 Raspberry leaf blotch virus DAZ87273 Arceuthobium sichuanense virus 1 QDM39000 Jujube yellow mottle-associated virus CAD02811686 Common oak ringspot-associated virus YP_010088066 Ti ringspot-associated virus Outgroup C Outlier D

YP_009237257 High Plains wheat mosaic virus QQO58790 High Plains wheat mosaic virus AWH90176 Palo Verde broom virus DAZ87274 Arceuthobium sichuanense virus 1 QDM39001 Jujube yellow mottle-associated virus YP_009237266 Raspberry leaf blotch virus QYF49518 Yunnan emara-like virus QGX86471 Alfalfa ringspot-associated virus YP_010088067 Ti ringspot-associated virus CAD0241417 Common oak ringspot-associated virus Outgroup E Outlier F

YP_009237258 High Plains wheat mosaic virus QQO58787 High Plains wheat mosaic virus YP_010088068 Ti ringspot-associated virus CAD0241424 Common oak ringspot-associated virus AWH90178 Palo Verde broom virus DAZ87275 Arceuthobium sichuanense virus 1 QDM39002 Jujube yellow mottle-associated virus YP_009237267 Raspberry leaf blotch virus QYF49519 Yunnan emara-like virus QRG35061 Jujube YMo-AV - protein 5? Outgroup G Outgroup H Outgroup I

Figure 3. Phylogenies calculated from the amino acid sequences of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, glycoprotein, nucleocapsid, and movement proteins of four isolates of HPWMoV (only lineages 1 and 2 shown) and representatives of the closest proteins identified by a BLASTX search of Genbank—for details, see the text.

4. Discussion

Here we report a study of the relationships between HPWMoV isolates from Australia with other isolates from this same species, sometimes recorded under one of three (High Plains virus, wheat mosaic virus, or *Emaravirus tritici*) of its four other names. Comparisons with previously published analyses may be different or incomplete as the number of emaravirus sequences in Genbank is increasing, and, for this study, we used the complete ORF nt sequences of all HPWMoV genes. By contrast, Pozhylov et al. [12] used only partial gene sequences of the NC gene, although from more isolates, and did not identify and remove recombinant sequences of that gene. Furthermore, Tatineni et al. [35] and Stewart [37] calculated phylogenies from the aa sequences of HPWMoV genes, and so their phylogenies may be less discriminatory than ours in comparisons of closely related isolates but more discriminatory in more distant comparisons. Our analyses found that the HPWMoV ORFs of all genes grouped into two lineages, L1 and L2, confirming the findings of Stewart [37]. L1 consisted of a single Colorado isolate submitted to Genbank in 2021 without further details. L2 formed two clusters, named A and B by Pozhylov et al. [12]. The smaller L2A cluster was mostly of wheat isolates from Ohio, and the larger L2B cluster came from wheat, barley, and maize from several mid-west states of the USA and from wheat in Australia. The combined inference from all four different phylogenies ([12,35,37] and this paper) is that the HPWMoV found in Australia probably entered in plant material or seed from the USA, not from Europe or South America. This is what occurred when WSMV, which is known to be seed-borne in wheat, appeared in Australia [13,15,16], so the HPWMoV situation seems reminiscent of the WSMV situation. As mentioned in the Introduction (Section 1), HPWMoV is seed-borne in sweet corn [18,25,26], but such transmission, although suspected, is yet to be published for wheat (See Section 1, end of first paragraph).

The evidence of recombination is clear, but its interpretation will be more certain when the sequences of more closely related HPWMoV isolates are known. Seven of the recombinants are probably the progeny of a single recombination event that occurred somewhere in North America before its progeny were taken to Australia. By contrast, the Idaho recombinant (MN315261) is more likely to have had a major North American parent, of which the Australian OM302295 is merely the closest known progeny.

One of the USA states supplying the cluster L2B isolates was Idaho (MN250353), which is listed in Bicon (Australian Biosecurity Import Conditions; https://bicon.agriculture.gov. au/BiconWeb4.0/ImportConditions/Questions/EvaluateCase?elementID=0000068254&el ementVersionID=294; accessed on 2 November 2022) as a permitted source of certified maize seed for entry to Australia. As mentioned above, HPWMoV has been found to be transmitted in sweet corn seed [18,25,26], but not yet in wheat seed. As sweet corn and maize are grown in separate areas of Australia from wheat, it is more likely that HPWMoV came to Australia in the seed of wheat breeding material, as occurred previously with WSMV [16,59]. HPWMoV possibly resembles WSMV in having its origin in the original wheat domestication center in the Middle East [16]. However, evidence for this is lacking currently due to the absence of any HPWMoV sequences from that region of the world. The year WSMV arrived in Australia was calculated to be only 2–3 years before it was first reported in Australia in 2002 [16]. HPWMoV was first found in Australia in 2003 [22]. Therefore, it likely arrived at the same time as WSMV.

The relationship between HPWMoV and other emaraviruses was investigated using the aa sequences of emaravirus genes identified by BLASTX searches of the Genbank database. Emaraviruses have been found in a wide variety of plant species, both monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous, annuals and perennials. Most of these records are from the northern hemisphere. The phylogenies for the RdRp, the glycoprotein, and the NC were closely similar (Figure 3). They placed HPWMoV closest to the Palo Verde broom virus [60]. However, the movement protein phylogeny placed HPWMoV closer to two other emaraviruses, Ti (Cordyline) ringspot-associated virus [61] and common oak ringspot-associated virus [62]. This finding confirmed the relationships shown, in part, in Figure 5A–D of the paper by Olmedo-Velarde et al. [61]. Therefore, we conclude that emaravirus genetic diversity is produced not only by mutation but also by reassortment of gene segments and recombination within gene segments. Finding clear evidence of recombination in the RNA3 (NC) gene of HPWMoV was unexpected, as Boni et al. [63] concluded that "homologous recombination is very rare or absent in" the human influenza A virus and other viruses with negative-strand RNA genomes. It is noteworthy that the proportion of RNA3 recombinants was greater in the Australian isolates than those from elsewhere: 5/10 (50%) of isolates from Australia compared with only 3/21 (14%) of isolates from other world regions. They were probably the progeny of only two recombination events, which are more likely to have taken place in the GPR than in Australia, as this would have involved fewer transcontinental journeys.

HPWMoV epidemics in wheat depend not only on the ecology and behavior of its WCM vector, which requires high temperatures for its rapid population build-up, but also on the nearby presence of HPWMoV-infected host plants from which WCM can spread the virus to recently sown wheat crops [18]. In the GPR, wheat is often sown in the autumn, shortly after, or even coincident with, the time when the preceding crop is harvested. The HPWMoV-infected host plants from which WCM spreads it to newly sown wheat crops consist mainly of a "green bridge" of volunteer wheat plants surviving in between successive wheat crops or of as yet unharvested mature wheat, sweet corn, or maize crops from the previous annual sowing that overlap with newly planted wheat crops [18]. The potential role of HPWMoV seed transmission in wheat, sweet corn, or maize in its disease cycle appears not to have been considered for the situation where successive wheat crops do not overlap. This contrasts with the situation in parts of Australia with Mediterraneantype climates, where a protracted hot, dry summer period intervenes between wheat crop harvests in late spring and wheat plantings in late autumn. Under these circumstances for WSMV, seed-borne infection in wheat plays a critical role in the persistence of this virus between successive wheat crops. Seed-infected seedlings arising from volunteer wheat plants or from sowing contaminated wheat seed stocks act as primary infection foci for WCM to spread the virus within wheat crops [15]. Further research is needed to determine whether HPWMoV is seed-borne in wheat and whether seed transmission in wheat plays a similar role in its epidemiology.

WSMD is most damaging when co-infections with its causal viruses (WSMV, HPW-MoV, and TriMV) occur (see Section 1). The spread of HPWMoV to countries in which it is currently absent but WSMV and its WCM vector are present is cause for concern for their wheat industries, necessitating consideration of biosecurity measures to prevent such introduction. This is especially true due to the projected increase in importance of WSMD worldwide as global warming magnifies losses from the current global epidemic. The same would also hold true for TriMV if it spread from the GPR to other world regions with significant wheat industries, particularly those where epidemics of the other two viruses already occur.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.A.C.J. and A.J.G.; methodology, R.A.C.J., A.J.G., I.V.-I., S.M. and A.F.; formal analysis, A.J.G., I.V.-I., S.M. and R.A.C.J.; investigation, A.J.G., R.A.C.J., I.V.-I. and S.M.; Resources, R.A.C.J. and A.F.; data curation, I.V.-I. and A.J.G.; writing original draft preparation, R.A.C.J., A.J.G. and I.V.-I.; writing review and editing, R.A.C.J., A.J.G., I.V.-I. and A.F.; funding acquisition, A.F. and R.A.C.J. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The sequencing was funded by the University of Western Australia. Bioinformatic analysis was carried out with funding from Euphresco VirusCurate.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not Applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not Applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in GenBank at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank (our data was added to Genbank on 21 Septem-

ber 2021). Individual virus isolate sequence data are available in NCBI Genbank under the accession codes listed in Table 1. All sequence data derived from the samples reported here can be found in the NCBI short read archive, BioProject PRJNA796936.

Acknowledgments: We thank Belinda Cox for RNA extraction assistance, Brenda Coutts for providing sample BCHPV2, and Mark Gibbs for helpful discussion. The previously published research that gave rise to the five Australian virus samples sequenced in this study was conducted while co-author R.A.C.J. was employed jointly by UWA and DPIRD in Western Australia. A.J.G., co-author, is an emeritus professor at the Australian National University.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Shiferaw, B.; Prasanna, B.M.; Hellin, J.; Bänziger, M. Crops that feed the world 6. Past successes and future challenges to the role played by maize in global food security. *Food Secur.* **2011**, *3*, 307–327. [CrossRef]
- Bockus, W.W.; Bowden, R.L.; Hunger, R.M.; Morrill, W.L.; Murray, T.D.; Smiley, R.W. (Eds.) Compendium of Wheat Diseases and Pests; American Phytopathological Society: St Paul, MN, USA, 2010; Volume 3.
- Walker, P.J.; Siddell, S.G.; Lefkowitz, E.J.; Mushegian, A.R.; Adriaenssens, E.M.; Alfenas-Zerbini, P.; Dempsey, D.M.; Dutilh, B.E.; García, M.L.; Curtis Hendrickson, R.; et al. Recent changes to virus taxonomy ratified by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (2022). Arch. Virol. 2022, 167, 2429–2440. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Mahmood, T.; Hein, G.L.; Jensen, S.G. Mixed infection of hard red winter wheat with high plains virus and wheat streak mosaic virus from wheat curl mites in Nebraska. *Plant Dis.* **1998**, *82*, 311–315. [CrossRef]
- 5. Seifers, D.L.; Harvey, T.L.; Louie, R.; Gordon, D.T.; Martin, T.J. Differential transmission of isolates of the High Plains virus by different sources of wheat curl mites. *Plant Dis.* **2002**, *86*, 138–142. [CrossRef]
- 6. Burrows, M.; Franc, G.; Rush, C.; Blunt, T.; Ito, D.; Kinzer, K.; Olson, J.; O'Mara, J.; Price, J.; Tande, C. Occurrence of viruses in wheat in the Great Plains region, 2008. *Plant Health Prog.* 2009, 10, 14. [CrossRef]
- Byamukama, E.; Seifers, D.L.; Hein, G.L.; De Wolf, E.; Tisserat, N.A.; Langham, M.A.C.; Osborne, L.E.; Timmerman, A.; Wegulo, S.N. Occurrence and distribution of Triticum mosaic virus in the central Great Plains. *Plant Dis.* 2013, 97, 21–29. [CrossRef]
- Byamukama, E.; Wegulo, S.N.; Tatineni, S.; Hein, G.L.; Graybosch, R.A.; Baenziger, P.S.; French, R. Quantification of yield loss caused by Triticum mosaic virus and Wheat streak mosaic virus in winter wheat under field conditions. *Plant Dis.* 2014, 98, 127–133. [CrossRef]
- Byamukama, E.; Tatineni, S.; Hein, G.L.; McMechan, A.J.; Wegulo, S.N. Incidence of Wheat streak mosaic virus, Triticum mosaic virus, and Wheat mosaic virus in wheat curl mites recovered from maturing winter wheat spikes. *Plant Dis.* 2016, 100, 318–323.
 [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Redila, C.D.; Phipps, S.; Nouri, S. Full genome evolutionary studies of wheat streak mosaic-associated viruses using high-throughput sequencing. *Front. Microbiol.* **2021**, *12*, 699078. [CrossRef]
- Rotenberg, D.; Bockus, W.W.; Whitfield, A.E.; Hervey, K.; Baker, K.D.; Ou, Z.; Laney, A.G.; De Wolf, E.D.; Appel, J.A. Occurrence of viruses and associated grain yields of paired symptomatic and nonsymptomatic tillers in Kansas winter wheat fields. *Phytopathology* 2016, 106, 202–210. [CrossRef]
- 12. Pozhylov, I.; Snihur, H.; Shevchenko, T.; Budzanivska, I.; Liu, W.; Wang, X.; Shevchenko, O. Occurrence and characterization of wheat streak mosaic virus found in mono- and mixed infection with high plains wheat mosaic virus in winter wheat in Ukraine. *Viruses* **2022**, *14*, 1220. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Jones, R.A.C. Global plant virus disease pandemics and epidemics. Plants 2021, 10, 233. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Singh, K.; Wegulo, S.N.; Skoracka, A.; Kundu, J.K. Wheat streak mosaic virus: A century old virus with rising importance worldwide. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2018**, *19*, 2193–2206. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Jones, R.A.C.; Sharman, M.; Trębicki, P.; Maina, S.; Congdon, B.S. Virus diseases of cereal and oilseed crops in Australia: Current position and future challenges. *Viruses* **2021**, *13*, 2051. [CrossRef]
- 16. Jones, R.A.C.; Vazquez-Iglesias, I.; Hajizadeh, M.; McGreig, S.; Fox, A.; Gibbs, A.J. Phylogenetics and evolution of wheat streak mosaic virus: Its global origin and the source of the Australian epidemic. *Plant Pathol.* **2022**, *71*, 1660–1673. [CrossRef]
- 17. Stewart, L.R.; Paul, P.A.; Qu, F.; Redinbaugh, M.G.; Miao, H.; Todd, J.; Jones, M. Wheat mosaic virus (WMoV), the causal agent of High Plains disease, is present in Ohio wheat fields. *Plant Dis.* **2013**, *97*, 1125. [CrossRef]
- 18. Tatineni, S.; Hein, G.L. High Plains wheat mosaic virus: An enigmatic disease of wheat and corn causing the High Plains disease. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2021**, 22, 1167–1179. [CrossRef]
- 19. Abdullahi, I.; Bennypaul, H.; Phelan, J.; Aboukhaddour, R.; Harding, M. First report of High Plains wheat mosaic emaravirus infecting foxtail barley and wheat in Canada. *Plant Dis.* **2020**, *104*, 3272. [CrossRef]
- 20. Snihur, H.; Pozhylov, I.; Budzanivska, I.; Shevchenko, O. First report of High Plains wheat mosaic virus on different hosts in Ukraine. *J. Plant Pathol.* **2020**, *102*, 545–546. [CrossRef]
- Alemandri, V.; Mattio, M.F.; Rodriguez, S.M.; Truol, G. Geographical distribution and first molecular detection of an Emaravirus, High Plains wheat mosaic virus, in Argentina. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 2017, 149, 743–750. [CrossRef]

- 22. Coutts, B.A.; Hammond, N.; Kehoe, M.; Jones, R.A.C. Finding Wheat streak mosaic virus in south-west Australia. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 2008, 59, 836–843. [CrossRef]
- Coutts, B.A.; Cox, B.A.; Thomas, G.J.; Jones, R.A.C. First report of Wheat mosaic virus infecting wheat in Western Australia. *Plant Dis.* 2014, 98, 285. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Milgate, A.; Adorada, D.; Chambers, G.; Terras, M.A. Occurrence of winter cereal viruses in New South Wales, Australia, 2006 to 2014. *Plant Dis.* 2016, 100, 313–317. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Forster, R.L.; Seifers, D.L.; Strausbaugh, C.A.; Jensen, S.G.; Ball, E.M.; Harvey, T.L. Seed transmission of the High Plains virus in sweet corn. *Plant Dis.* 2001, *85*, 696–699. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Nischwitz, C. Seed-transmitted wheat mosaic virus in sweet corn in Utah. Plant Health Prog. 2020, 21, 212–213. [CrossRef]
- Staples, R.; Allington, W. Streak Mosaic of Wheat in Nebraska and Its Control; University of Nebraska Agricultural Experiment Station Research Bulletin No. 178, University of Nebraska: Omaha, NE, USA, 1956.
- 28. Jones, R.A.C.; Barbetti, M.J. Influence of climate change on plant disease infections and epidemics caused by viruses and bacteria. *CABI Rev.* **2012**, *7*, 1–33. [CrossRef]
- 29. Jones, R.A.C. Plant virus emergence and evolution: Origins, new encounter scenarios, factors driving emergence, effects of changing world conditions, and prospects for control. *Virus Res.* **2009**, *141*, 113–130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Jones, R.A.C. Future scenarios for plant virus pathogens as climate change progresses. Adv. Virus Res. 2016, 95, 87–147.
- 31. Jensen, S.G.; Lane, L.C.; Seifers, D.L. A new disease of maize and wheat in the High Plains. *Plant Dis.* **1996**, *80*, 1387–1390. [CrossRef]
- 32. Ahn, K.K.; Kim, K.S.; Gergerich, R.C.; Jensen, S.G. High plains disease of corn and wheat: Ultrastructural and serological aspects. *J. Submicrosc. Cytol. Pathol.* **1998**, *30*, 563–571.
- Skare, J.M.; Wijkamp, I.; Denham, I.; Rezende, J.A.; Kitajima, E.W.; Park, J.-W.; Desvoyes, B.; Rush, C.M.; Michels, G.; Scholthof, K.B.G. A new eriophyid mite-borne membrane-enveloped virus-like complex isolated from plants. *Virology* 2006, 347, 343–353. [CrossRef]
- 34. Mielke-Ehret, N.; Mühlbach, H.P. Emaravirus: A novel genus of multipartite, negative strand RNA plant viruses. *Viruses* **2012**, *4*, 1515–1536. [CrossRef]
- 35. Tatineni, S.; McMechan, A.J.; Wosula, E.N.; Wegulo, S.N.; Graybosch, R.A.; French, R.; Hein, G.L. An eriophyid mite-transmitted plant virus contains eight genomic RNA segments with unusual heterogeneity in the nucleocapsid protein. *J. Virol.* **2014**, *88*, 11834–11845. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gupta, A.K.; Hein, G.L.; Graybosch, R.A.; Tatineni, S. Octapartite negative-sense RNA genome of High Plains wheat mosaic virus encodes two suppressors of RNA silencing. *Virology* 2018, 518, 152–162. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Stewart, L.R. Sequence diversity of wheat mosaic virus isolates. *Virus Res.* **2016**, *213*, 299–303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Seifers, D.L.; Martin, T.J.; Harvey, T.L.; Haber, S.; Krokhin, O.; Spicer, V.; Ying, S.; Standing, K.G. Identification of variants of the High Plains virus infecting wheat in Kansas. *Plant Dis.* 2009, *93*, 1265–1274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- EPPO. Emaravirus tritici. EPPO Global Database. 2021. Available online: https://gd.eppo.int/taxon/WHPV00 (accessed on 10 October 2022).
- Fowkes, A.R.; McGreig, S.; Pufal, H.; Duffy, S.; Howard, B.; Adams, I.P.; Macarthur, R.; Weekes, R.; Fox, A. Integrating high throughput sequencing into survey design reveals turnip yellows virus and soybean dwarf virus in pea (*Pisum Sativum*) in the United Kingdom. *Viruses* 2021, 13, 2530. [CrossRef]
- 41. Hall, T.A. BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser.* **1999**, *41*, 95–98.
- 42. Katoh, K.; Standley, D.M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2013**, *30*, 772–780. [CrossRef]
- Martin, D.P.; Varsani, A.; Roumagnac, P.; Botha, G.; Maslamoney, S.; Schwab, T.; Kelz, Z.; Kumar, V.; Murrell, B. RDP5: A computer program for analyzing recombination in, and removing signals of recombination from, nucleotide sequence datasets. *Virus Evol.* 2021, 7, veaa087. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Smith, J.M. Analyzing the mosaic structure of genes. J. Mol. Evol. 1992, 34, 126–129. [CrossRef]
- 45. Holmes, E.C.; Worobey, M.; Rambaut, A. Phylogenetic evidence for recombination in dengue virus. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **1999**, *16*, 405–409. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Padidam, M.; Sawyer, S.; Fauquet, C.M. Possible emergence of new geminiviruses by frequent recombination. *Virology* 1999, 265, 218–225. [CrossRef]
- Gibbs, M.J.; Armstrong, J.S.; Gibbs, A.J. Sister-scanning: A Monte Carlo procedure for assessing signals in recombinant sequences. Bioinformatics 2000, 16, 573–582. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Martin, D.P.; Rybicki, E. RDP: Detection of recombination amongst aligned sequences. Bioinformatics 2000, 16, 562–563. [CrossRef]
- McGuire, G.; Wright, F. TOPAL 2.0: Improved detection of mosaic sequences within multiple alignments. *Bioinformatics* 2000, 16, 130–134. [CrossRef]
- 50. Posada, D.; Crandall, K.A. Evaluation of methods for detecting recombination from DNA sequences: Computer simulations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2001**, *98*, 13757–13762. [CrossRef]
- 51. Martin, D.P.; Posada, D.; Crandall, K.A.; Williamson, C. A modified bootscan algorithm for automated identification of recombinant sequences and recombination breakpoints. *J. Virol.* 2005, *95*, 98–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 52. Boni, M.F.; Posada, D.; Feldman, M.W. An exact nonparametric method for inferring mosaic structure in sequence triplets. *Genetics* **2007**, *176*, 1035–1047. [CrossRef]
- 53. Tamura, K.; Stecher, G.; Kumar, S. MEGA11: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2021**, *38*, 3022–3027. [CrossRef]
- 54. Tamura, K. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions when there are strong transition-transversion and G+ C-content biases. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **1992**, *9*, 678–687.
- 55. Le, S.Q.; Gascuel, O. An improved general amino acid replacement matrix. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2008**, 25, 1307–1320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Jeanmougin, F.; Thompson, J.D.; Gouy, M.; Higgins, D.G.; Gibson, T.J. Multiple sequence alignment with Clustal X. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **1998**, *23*, 403–405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Guindon, S.; Gascuel, O. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Syst. Biol.* **2003**, *52*, 696–704. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shimodaira, H.; Hasegawa, M. Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods with applications to phylogenetic inference. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 1999, 16, 1114. [CrossRef]
- 59. Dwyer, G.I.; Gibbs, M.J.; Gibbs, A.J.; Jones, R.A.C. Wheat streak mosaic virus in Australia: Relationship to isolates from the Pacific Northwest of the USA and its dispersion via seed transmission. *Plant Dis.* **2007**, *91*, 164–170. [CrossRef]
- 60. Ilyas, M.; Avelar, S.; Schuch, U.; Brown, J.K. First report of an emaravirus associated with witches' broom disease and eriophyid mite infestations of the blue palo verde tree in Arizona. *Plant Dis.* **2018**, *102*, 1863. [CrossRef]
- Olmedo-Velarde, A.; Park, A.C.; Sugano, J.; Uchida, J.Y.; Kawate, M.; Borth, W.B.; Hu, J.S.; Melzer, M.J. Characterization of Ti ringspot-associated virus, a novel emaravirus associated with an emerging ringspot disease of Cordyline fruticosa. *Plant Dis.* 2019, 103, 2345–2352. [CrossRef]
- 62. Rehanek, M.; von Bargen, S.; Bandte, M.; Karlin, D.G.; Büttner, C. A novel emaravirus comprising five RNA segments is associated with ringspot disease in oak. *Arch. Virol.* **2021**, *166*, 987–990. [CrossRef]
- 63. Boni, M.F.; Zhou, Y.; Taubenberger, J.K.; Holmes, E.C. Homologous recombination is very rare or absent in human influenza A virus. *J. Virol.* **2008**, *82*, 4807–4811. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.