

Obstetric outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection in asymptomatic pregnant women.

Table S1. List of authors and hospitals members of the Spanish Obstetric Emergency Group included in this study ($n = 42$).

Hospital	Principal Investigator	e-mail
Santa Creu i Sant Pau University Hospital	Mónica Cruz Lemini	mcruz@santpau.cat
Parc de Salut Mar University Hospital	Elena Ferriols Pérez	eferriols@psmar.cat
Fundación de Investigación Biomédica, Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro	María Luisa de la Cruz Conty	farmacruz@gmail.com
Hospital Universitario San Cecilio, Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria de Granada	África Caño Aguilar	africacano59@gmail.com
Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro	María Begoña Encinas Pardilla	beenpar@yahoo.es
Hospital Universitari Dexeus - Grupo Quirónsalud	Pilar Prats Rodríguez	pilpra@dexeus.com
Hospital Universitario La Paz	Marta Muner Hernando	mlm_marta@hotmail.com
Hospital Doce de Octubre	Laura Forcén Acebal	lauratrona@gmail.com
Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón	Pilar Pintado Recarte	ppintadorec@yahoo.es
Hospital de Santa Creu i Sant Pau	María del Carmen Medina Mallén	mmedinam@santpau.cat
Hospital Clínico San Carlos	Noelia Pérez Pérez	perezpereznoelia@yahoo.es
Hospital de Santa Caterina	Judit Canet Rodríguez	judit.canet@ias.cat
Hospital Universitario de Salamanca	Ana Villalba Yarza	avillalba@saludcastillayleon.es
Hospital Universitario Quirónsalud Pozuelo de Alarcón	Olga Nieto Velasco	onietovela@hotmail.com
Hospital Univesitario de Getafe	Pablo Guillermo Del Barrio Fernández	pablobarri@gmail.com
Hospital Univesitario Severo Ochoa	Carmen María Orizales Lago	carmen.orizales@salud.madrid.org
Hospital La Fe	Beatriz Marcos Puig	beatrizmarcospuig@gmail.com
Hospital Sant Joan de Reus	Begoña Muñoz Abellana	begomunoz@hotmail.com
Hospital Universitario de Ferrol	Laura Fuentes Ricoy	laura.fuentes.ricoy@sergas.es
Hospital Universitario de Girona Doctor Josep Trueta	Águeda Rodríguez Vicente	aguedarv@gmail.com
Complejo Hospitalario A Coruña	María Jesús Janeiro Freire	mjanero@ yahoo.es
HM Hospitales	Macarena Alférez Álvarez-Mallo	macarena.alferez.am@gmail.com
Hospital de Torrejón	Cristina Casanova Pedraz	casanovapedraz@hotmail.com
Hospital d'Inca	Onofre Alomar Mateu	alomarmateu@gmail.com
Hospital Parc Taulí	Cristina Lesmes Heredia	clesmes@tauli.cat
Hospital San Pedro Alcántara	Juan Carlos Wizner de Alva	jcwizner@gmail.com
Hospital Universitario Río Hortega	Alma Posadas San Juan	almapsj@gmail.com
Hospital Arnau de Vilanova	Montse Macià Badia	mmaciabadia@gmail.com
Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid	Cristina Álvarez Colomo	calvarezc.cac@gmail.com

Hospital General Universitario de Ciudad Real	Antonio Sanchez Muñoz	asanchezm@sescam.jccm.es
Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol	Laia Pratcorona Alicart	lpratcorona.mn.ics@gencat.cat
Hospital Universitario de Burgos	Rubén Alonso Saiz	alonsorub@yahoo.es
Hospital Universitario de Tarragona Juan XXIII	Mónica López Rodríguez	monicalopez4099@gmail.com
Hospital Universitario Infanta Sofía	María del Carmen Barbancho López	mbarbancholopez@gmail.com
Hospital de Poniente	Marta Ruth Meca Casbas	martameca@hotmail.com
Hospital Universitario de Cabueñes	Óscar Vaquerizo Ruiz	oscar.vaquerizo@sespa.es
Hospital Universitario Son Espases	Eva Morán Antolín	emoranantolin@yahoo.es
Hospital Virgen de la Luz	María José Núñez Valera	m.jose.nunez.valera@gmail.com
Complejo Asistencial de León	Camino Fernández Fernández	caminoffernandez@gmail.com
Hospital Universitario Son Llàtzer	Albert Tubau Navarra	atubau68@icloud.com
Hospital del Tajo	Alejandra Maria Cano Garcia	sandracano80@hotmail.com
Hospital Jerez de la Frontera	Susana Soldevilla Pérez	soldevillasusana@hotmail.com
Hospital Universitario Araba-Txagorritxu	Irene Gastaca Abásolo	igastaca@gmail.com
Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias	José Adánez García	adanezjose@gmail.com
Hospital Universitario Virgen de las Nieves	Alberto Puertas Prieto	apuertas51@hotmail.com
Hospital Universitario Virgen de Valme	Rosa Ostos Serna	rosam.ostos.sspa@juntadeandalucia.es
Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena	María del Pilar Guadix Martín	pilarguadix@gmail.com
Hospital Virgen de la Concha	Mónica Catalina Coello	mccoello@gmail.com
Parc de Salut Mar University Hospital	Silvia Espuelas Malón	sespuelas@parcdesalutmar.cat
G. Chacon (Viamed Santa Angela de la Cruz Hospital)	José Antonio Sainz Bueno	jsainz@us.es
Hospital Universitario Juan Ramón Jiménez	María Reyes Granell Escobar	mrgranell@gmail.com
Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro	Sara Cruz Melguizo	saracruz.gine@yahoo.es
Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro	Oscar Martinez Perez	oscarmartinezgine@gmail.com

Table S2. STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies.

	Item	Recommendation	Page
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1 and 4
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	4
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	6
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	6
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	6-9
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	6-9, Figure 1 and Table S1
	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants (b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	6-7 and Figure 1
Participants			7 and Figure 1
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	7-9 and registry protocol: ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04558996
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	8 and registry protocol: ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04558996
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	7-9
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	7 and Figure 1
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	8 and registry protocol: ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04558996
	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	8-9 and registry protocol: ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04558996
Statistical methods		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	8-9
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	8-9
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	Registry protocol: ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04558996
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	No sensitivity analysis was carried out

Results				
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure 1 7 and Figure 1 Figure 1	
	Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest (c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	9-10 and Tables 1-2 Tables 1-3 7-8
		Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time <i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	10 (and 9)	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	No continuous variables were categorized	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—e.g. analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	9-10 and Table 3	
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	10-11	
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	11	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	11-13	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	11	
Other information				
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	14	

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Table S3. Odds ratio (OR) and adjusted odds ratio (aOR) with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals and p-values for the outcomes associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy.

	Outcomes			
	Hospitalization before labor	PROM*	PROM in nulliparous women	NICU admission
Univariate analysis (OR)				
SARS-CoV-2 positive	4.30	1.90	2.63	4.48
95% CI	2.80 – 6.61	1.16 – 3.13	1.29 – 5.36	1.73 – 11.55
<i>p</i>	<0.001	0.011	0.007	0.001
Multivariate analysis (aOR)				
SARS-CoV-2 positive		1.88		
95% CI		1.13 – 3.11		
<i>p</i>		0.013		
Multiple pregnancy		--		
95% CI		--		
<i>p</i>		NS		
Threatened abortion		--		
95% CI		--		
<i>p</i>		NS		
Ethnicity		--		
95% CI		--		
<i>p</i>		NS		
Smoking		--		
95% CI		--		
<i>p</i>		NS		
Chronic lung comorbidities		--		
95% CI		--		
<i>p</i>		NS		
Nulliparity		--		
95% CI		--		
<i>p</i>		NS		

PROM, Premature Rupture of Membranes at term; NICU, Neonatal Intensive Care Unit.

*Multivariable logistic regression used for PROM as dependent variable and SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy and known/suspected confounding variables as independent variables (see Materials and Methods for details).

-- Variables not held in the multivariate model

The selection process for covariates included in the maximum multivariable logistic regression model for PROM was as follows: by univariate analyses, the statistical association of both potential confounding factors with SARS-CoV-2 infection and potential confounding factors with PROM, was tested. Those statistically significant were included as covariates in the multivariable model. However, there were other potential confounding factors that were not statistically associated with SARS-CoV-2 and/or with PROM in the previous analyses but were included in the multivariable model anyway, because they had previously been described as risk factors for PROM by the scientific literature and could confound the association of SARS-CoV-2

with the outcome of interest. This is the case of smoking [American College of O, Gynecologists' Committee on Practice B-O: Prelabor Rupture of Membranes: ACOG Practice Bulletin, Number 217. *Obstet Gynecol* 2020, 135(3):e80-e97] and other covariates. Once the maximum multivariable logistic regression model was constructed, and in order to achieve the final estimated model: a confounder remained in the model if the coefficient for SARS-CoV-2 infection changed more than ten percent when the potential confounder was removed.