

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Table S1. Basic location information of plots in the Nanling National Nature Reserve, southern China.

Plot	vegetation type	longitude	latitude	altitude(m)	mnt(°C)	mnp(mm)	min_JAN(°C)	max_JU(°C)	slope	sn_aspect	we_aspect	TPI	TRI
NL05R1	DWF	112.991861	24.9271	1698	12.81	1977.67	0	25	0.28	-0.28	0.96	-29	46.25
NL05R2	DWF	112.991713	24.926655	1691	12.81	1977.67	0	25	0.24	-0.28	0.96	-57.625	59.125
NL05R3	DWF	112.990807	24.92682	1687	12.81	1977.67	0	25	0.14	-0.62	0.79	80.125	80.125
NL06R1	EMF	112.970663	24.915195	1360	14.81	1835.00	2	27	0.37	-0.06	-1.00	-41.25	64.75
NL06R2	EMF	112.968695	24.914674	1504	14.81	1835.00	2	27	0.20	-0.78	-0.62	-0.875	34.875
NL06R3	EMF	112.969294	24.914571	1398	14.81	1835.00	2	27	0.20	-0.78	-0.62	-0.875	34.875
NL07R1	EMF	113.028596	24.894103	1360	14.75	1856.33	2	27	0.11	0.96	0.29	36.5	38.25
NL07R2	EMF	113.029578	24.895416	1388	14.08	1886.00	1	26	0.28	0.58	0.82	-37.75	62.75
NL07R3	EMF	113.026502	24.896219	1517	14.08	1886.00	1	26	0.19	0.29	0.96	14	25.5
NL02	MBF	113.0309057	24.94045031	1410	13.89	1900.67	1	26	0.31	1.00	-0.04	26.5	68
NL03	MBF	113.0124064	24.93131868	1035	15.11	1820.00	2	27.33	0.25	-0.96	-0.29	1.5	45.75
NL03R1	MBF	113.011765	24.931699	1170	15.11	1820.00	2	27.33	0.09	-0.98	-0.18	-67.875	67.875
NL03R2	MBF	113.011194	24.932324	1182	15.11	1820.00	2	27.33	0.09	-0.98	-0.18	-67.875	67.875
NL03R3	MBF	113.007707	24.934903	1205	14.08	1906.67	1	26	0.21	-1.00	0.00	45.25	64.5
NL08R1	MBF	112.962969	24.87281	920	16.03	1744.33	3	29	0.13	0.92	-0.39	-40.625	40.625
NL08R2	MBF	112.963612	24.87338	918	16.03	1744.33	3	29	0.11	0.38	-0.93	-23.25	36.25
NL08R3	MBF	112.961438	24.872592	925	16.03	1744.33	3	29	0.14	1.00	0.08	-30.5	30.5
NL01	VBF	113.082721	24.919878	544	17.33	1621.67	4	30	0.34	0.98	-0.19	-56.875	67.875
NL01R1	VBF	112.746567	24.921856	843	15.83	1744.33	2	28	0.40	1.00	0.03	4.25	71.75
NL01R2	VBF	112.745843	24.922182	839	15.83	1744.33	2	28	0.40	1.00	0.03	4.25	71.75
NL01R3	VBF	112.745326	24.922053	842	15.83	1744.33	2	28	0.45	1.00	0.00	-4.125	83.375
NL02R1	VBF	112.7947	24.836247	413	18.47	1615.00	5	31	0.19	-0.43	-0.90	-15	39.75

NL02R2	VBF	112.795231	24.836617	421	18.47	1615.00	5	31	0.19	-0.43	-0.90	-15	39.75
NL02R3	VBF	112.795225	24.83709	439	18.47	1615.00	5	31	0.19	-0.43	-0.90	-15	39.75

Table S2. Allometric growth equation of different plants in Nanling.

Category	Formula	Organs	Model coefficient		Statistical information		Range of application (cm)	Reference
			<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	r[p]	R ²		
<i>Cunninghamia lanceolata</i>	$W = aD^b$	Stem	0.0556	2.3579		0.99	D: 5~59	(Zhou et al. 2018)
		Branch	0.0198	2.1333		0.99		
		Leaves	0.0700	1.5090		0.98		
		Root	0.3366	1.1952		0.98		
<i>Schima superba</i>	$W = aD^b$	Stem	0.0359	2.6733		0.99	D: 5~72	(Zhou et al. 2018)
		Branch	0.0730	1.9435		0.99		
		Leaves	0.0141	2.0587		0.99		
		Root	0.0310	2.2582		0.99		
<i>Liquidambar formosana</i>	$W = aD^b$	Stem	0.0726	2.5980		0.99	D: 5~64	(Zhou et al. 2018)
		Branch	0.0153	2.5437		0.99		
		Leaves	0.0010	3.0161		0.84		
		Root	0.0256	2.6166		0.97		
<i>Cyclobalanopsis glauca</i> ; <i>Cyclobalanopsis myrsinifolia</i> ;	$W = aD^b$	Stem	0.1025	2.3764		0.96	D: 5~62	(Zhou et al. 2018)
		Branch	0.0320	2.3399		0.98		

<i>Cyclobalanopsis poilanei</i>		Leaves	0.0278	1.8434	0.93		
		Root	0.0407	2.3376	0.99		
		Stem	0.1870	2.0310	0.95		
<i>Lithocarpus glaber;</i> <i>Lithocarpus harlandii;</i> <i>Lithocarpus hancei</i>	$W = aD^b$	Branch	0.0735	1.9800	0.98	D: 5~44	(Zhou et al. 2018)
		Leaves	0.0230	1.9700	0.93		
		Root	0.0750	2.0100	0.99		
		Stem	0.2931	1.9700	0.88		
		Branch	0.0048	2.6300	0.82		
<i>Pinus massoniana</i>	$W = aD^b$	Leaves	0.0670	1.4600	0.91	D: 5~74	(Zhou et al. 2018)
		Root	0.0532	1.9800	0.99		
		Stem	0.0250	2.7300	0.99		
		Branch	0.0020	3.0880	0.99		
		Leaves	0.0070	2.4470	0.98		
<i>Cinnamomum camphora</i>	$W = aD^b$	Root	0.0070	2.8190	0.99	D: 5~66	(Zhou et al. 2018)
		Stem	0.0686	2.2830	0.98		
		Branch	0.0788	1.9990	0.98		
		Leaves	0.0182	2.1764	0.98		
		Branch	0.0788	1.9990	0.98		
<i>Castanopsis eyrei</i>	$W = aD^b$	Branch	0.0788	1.9990	0.98	D: 5~64	(Zhou et al. 2018)
		Leaves	0.0182	2.1764	0.98		

<i>Fokienia hodginsii</i>	$W = aD^b$	Root	0.0436	2.1659	0.99	(Zhou et al. 2018)
		Stem	0.0937	2.2225	0.99	
		Branch	0.0323	2.3338	0.99	
		Leaves	0.0236	2.3106	0.99	
<i>Nothotsuga longibracteata</i>	$W = aD^b$	Root	0.0570	2.1651	0.99	(Zhou et al. 2018)
		Stem	0.1909	1.9859	0.99	
		Branch	0.0205	2.2230	0.99	
		Leaves	0.0453	1.8432	0.99	
<i>Betula luminifera</i>	$W = aD^b$	Root	0.0223	2.3840	0.99	(Zhou et al. 2018)
		Stem	0.1555	2.2273	0.99	
		Branch	0.0134	2.4932	0.99	
		Leaves	0.0092	2.0967	0.99	
<i>Cryptocarya chinensis</i>	$W = a (D^2H)^b$	Root	0.0242	2.4750	0.99	>0.90 D: 0.8~19 (Luo et al. 2015)
		Stem	0.1776	0.8714		
		Branch	0.0386	0.9105		
		Leaves	0.0112	0.7983		
		Root	0.0694	0.9639		

<i>Castanopsis lamontii</i>	$W = aD^b$	Stem	0.1178	2.3426	0.99	D: 5.8~31.7	(Zuo et al. 2015)
		Branch	0.0025	3.0512	0.89		
		Leaves	0.0015	3.2150	0.91		
		Root	0.0265	2.5053	0.88		
<i>Castanopsis fissa</i>	$W = aD^b$	Stem	0.1530	2.2007			(Ma 2020)
		Branch	0.0117	2.7605			
		Leaves	0.0133	2.3320			
		Root	0.0255	2.3650			
<i>Engelhardia roxburghiana</i>	$W = aD^b$	Stem	0.7353	1.6369	0.9720	D: 8~28	(Luo et al. 2015)
		Branch	3.9E-04	3.9931	0.9660		
		Leaves	0.0014	3.1161	0.8190		
		Root	7.7E-04	3.6203	0.9860		
<i>Castanopsis tibetana</i>	$W = aD^b$	Stem	0.1915	2.0980	0.96	D: 5.2~31.7	(Zuo et al. 2015)
		Branch	0.0047	2.7655	0.93		
		Leaves	0.0056	2.7888	0.90		
		Root	0.0366	2.3897	0.95		
<i>Daphniphyllum oldhami</i>	$W = aD^b$	Stem	0.1369	2.3000	0.94	D: 5.9~17.2	(Zuo et al. 2015)

Broad-leaved trees	DBH < 5cm	$W = aD^b$	Branch	0.0061	2.6251	0.84	D: <5 (Zhou et al. 2018)
			Leaves	0.0018	3.0804	0.84	
			Root	0.0286	2.3983	0.92	
			Stem	0.0500	2.5669	0.99	
			Branch	0.0453	2.0341	0.99	
	DBH ≥ 5cm	$W = aD^b$	Leaves	0.0138	2.1576	0.99	
			Root	0.0529	1.5822	0.99	
			Stem	0.0763	2.5022	0.94	
			Branch	0.0189	2.4996	0.78	
			Leaves	0.0080	2.6528	0.80	
Coniferous trees	DBH < 5cm	$W = aD^b$	Root	0.0067	2.8327	0.98	D: 5~65 (Zhou et al. 2018)
			Stem	0.1100	2.1680	0.99	
			Branch	0.0190	2.2930	0.99	
			Leaves	0.0700	1.6640	0.99	
	DBH ≥ 5cm	$W = aD^b$	Root	0.0820	1.8260	0.99	
			Stem	0.0542	2.5449	0.89	
			Branch	0.0097	2.6923	0.81	

Leaves	0.0302	2.0212	0.62
Root	0.0551	2.0671	0.73

Table S3. Pearson correlation coefficients of environmental and biodiversity indices.

	mnp	min_ JAN	slope	sn_ aspec t	we_ aspec t	TPI	TRI	DS	J	S	pd	mpd	mntd	FEve	FDiv	FDis	CW M.st n	CW M.st p	CW M.st k	CW M.sg c	CW M.ltc	CW M.ltn	CW M.rtc	CW M.rt n	CW M.rg c	CW M.rg c
mnp	1	-0.96	-0.07	-0.21	0.70	0.28	0.19	-0.14	-0.14	0.06	0.22	0.48	0.25	-0.02	0.17	0.44	-0.09	0.24	-0.11	0.10	0.46	0.07	0.57	-0.19	-0.35	-0.24
min_ JAN	-0.96	1	-0.12	0.06	-0.77	-0.27	-0.35	0.02	-0.01	-0.12	-0.29	-0.47	-0.19	-0.03	-0.26	-0.29	0.01	-0.25	0.11	-0.09	-0.48	-0.18	-0.61	0.10	0.29	0.20
slope	-0.07	-0.12	1	0.44	0.08	0.04	0.52	0.09	0.23	-0.04	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.29	0.11	-0.38	0.12	0.29	0.11	0.00	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.24	0.11	-0.17
sn_ aspec t	-0.21	0.06	0.44	1	0.18	0.02	0.11	0.09	0.14	-0.06	0.05	0.25	-0.25	0.25	0.30	-0.21	-0.05	-0.22	0.00	-0.59	-0.16	0.00	0.00	-0.13	0.00	-0.20
we_ aspec t	0.70	-0.77	0.08	0.18	1	0.18	0.23	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.25	0.34	0.32	-0.12	0.30	0.15	0.11	0.27	-0.23	-0.02	0.43	0.25	0.60	0.03	0.06	0.13
TPI	0.28	-0.27	0.04	0.02	0.18	1	0.04	0.25	0.29	0.13	0.15	0.01	-0.07	0.09	-0.01	0.25	-0.13	0.08	-0.18	0.19	0.22	-0.07	0.11	-0.09	-0.03	-0.02
TRI	0.19	-0.35	0.52	0.11	0.23	0.04	1	0.26	0.35	0.10	0.08	0.04	-0.15	0.01	0.29	-0.34	0.16	-0.13	-0.16	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.26	0.19	-0.15	0.10
DS	-0.14	0.02	0.09	0.09	0.12	0.25	0.26	1	0.96	0.76	0.65	0.05	-0.45	0.08	0.07	-0.21	0.63	-0.15	-0.07	0.15	0.10	0.41	-0.06	0.62	0.31	0.40
J	-0.14	-0.01	0.23	0.14	0.14	0.29	0.35	0.96	1	0.67	0.58	0.04	-0.38	0.20	0.06	-0.30	0.58	-0.08	-0.02	0.18	0.12	0.41	-0.05	0.61	0.27	0.31
S	0.06	-0.12	-0.04	-0.06	0.12	0.13	0.10	0.76	0.67	1	0.91	0.12	-0.36	-0.04	-0.24	0.06	0.50	0.09	-0.14	0.11	0.24	0.36	0.11	0.55	0.21	0.08
pd	0.22	-0.29	0.00	0.05	0.25	0.15	0.08	0.65	0.58	0.91	1	0.42	-0.16	0.06	-0.32	0.25	0.33	0.09	-0.09	0.08	0.30	0.16	0.19	0.33	0.01	-0.08

[illegible]

[illegible]



Figure S1. Phylogenetic tree of the 201 species in this study.

References

1. Luo Y.; Wang X.; Lu F. Manual of major forest tree biomass models in China. Beijing: China Forestry Press, 2015.
2. Ma Z. Carbon reserves and its influence factors of Castanopsis forest in Guangzhou. Chinese Academy of Forestry Sciences, 2020.
3. Zhou G.; Yin G.; Tang X. Carbon reserves of forest ecosystem in China: biomass equation. Beijing: Science Press, 2018.
4. Zuo S.; Ren Y.; Weng X.; Ding H.; Luo Y. Biomass relative growth model of nine common tree species in subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forest. Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology, 2015,26 (02): 356-362.