



Communication Effect of Alloying Elements on the Short-Range Orders and Atomic Diffusion Behavior of Liquid Al—9Si Cast Alloys

Xunming Zhu¹, Dan Liu², Jian Wang², Candong Chen², Xinxin Li³, Li Wang^{1,4} and Mingxu Wang^{1,4,*}

- ¹ School of Mechanical, Electrical & Information Engineering, Shandong University, Weihai 264200, China; xunming.zhu@wfjt.com (X.Z.); wanglihxf@sdu.edu.cn (L.W.)
- ² Weihai Wanfeng Magnesium Industry Science and Technology Development Co., Ltd., Weihai 264200, China; dan.liu@wfjt.com (D.L.); jian.wang@wfjt.com (J.W.); candong.chen@wfjt.com (C.C.)
- ³ School of Materials Science and Engineering, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng 252000, China; lixinxinlcu@163.com
- ⁴ Weihai Institute of Industrial Technology, Shandong University, Weihai 264200, China
- * Correspondence: 202167000007@sdu.edu.cn

Abstract: To investigate the influence of alloying elements (Zn, Mg, and Cu) on the structural and dynamical properties of liquid Al–9Si alloy, we conducted ab initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations. Our results indicate that the structure of Al–Si–M ternary alloys is determined with a combination of atomic radii and mixing enthalpy, while the dynamic properties are primarily influenced by electronic structure of the alloying elements. Specifically, the addition of Cu promotes the formation of Al–Cu short-range order (SRO), while Zn has a higher propensity for Zn–Zn SRO. The Al–Cu SRO in liquid alloy may serve as the precursor for the Al₂Cu reinforcing phase in Al–Si–Cu alloys. Upon the addition of Mg, a greater number of relatively stable perfect and distorted icosahedral structures, as well as hcp and bcc ordered structures with lower energies, are observed. Additionally, the presence of Mg leads to a reduction in the atomic diffusion rates of Al and Si, while Cu and Zn exhibit complex diffusion behavior influenced by the presence of Si atoms.

Keywords: AIMD; Al-Si alloy; liquid structure; diffusion coefficient

1. Introduction

Al—Si casting alloys are a group of materials widely used in the automotive, aerospace, and general engineering industries for various applications. Al—Si casting alloys offer a range of benefits, including excellent castability, good corrosion resistance, high strength-to-weight ratio, and good thermal conductivity. These characteristics make them a preferred choice for components that require lightweight design, high strength, and good heat dissipation. The alloys' combination of lightweight design, strength, and good thermal management makes them particularly valuable in the automotive industry, where reducing weight and improving fuel efficiency are priorities [1].

Effects of alloying elements and other variables on microstructure and mechanical properties of Al–Si alloys have been widely investigated [2–6]. Previous research has indicated that Cu and Mg contribute to the strengthening of the Al–7%Si cast alloys, while Fe predominantly impairs their elongation [7]. The analysis of microstructure shows that an increase in Cu content within the alloys leads to higher quantities of intermetallic compounds and an elevated concentration of Cu in the α -Al matrix. Additionally, the porosity level remains unaffected, while the tensile strength improves at the expense of ductility [8]. By adding Co and Ni in a hypoeutectic Al–Si alloy, the tensile strength was improved up to 230 °C [9]. The presence of higher Cu and Ni contents led to enhanced tensile properties following T5 treatment and over-aging at 350 °C [5]. Research shows that alloying elements, especially Mg and Cu, are important means to improve the performance of Al–Si alloys [10,11]. Meanwhile, Zn has a very similar atomic radius and electron



Citation: Zhu, X.; Liu, D.; Wang, J.; Chen, C.; Li, X.; Wang, L.; Wang, M. Effect of Alloying Elements on the Short-Range Orders and Atomic Diffusion Behavior of Liquid Al–9Si Cast Alloys. *Materials* **2023**, *16*, 6768. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16206768

Academic Editor: Jana Bidulská

Received: 3 August 2023 Revised: 15 September 2023 Accepted: 25 September 2023 Published: 19 October 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). structure to Cu but can hardly be used as an alloying element to enhance the strength of Al–Si alloys. The existing studies mainly focus on the strengthening phases formed by alloying elements or their role in grain refinement. The local structure and behavior of these alloying elements in the molten state are rarely investigated due to experimental limitations. Therefore, it is interesting to study the structure and effects of these three elements in Al–Si alloys to provide insights for the selection of alloying elements.

The aim of this study is to investigate the structures of liquid Al–9Si alloy using ab initio molecular dynamic (AIMD) simulation. The impact of introducing small amounts of Cu, Mg, and Zn on the structures and fluidity of the Al–Si molten alloy is investigated by analyzing changes in chemical and topological short-range order (SRO), as well as self-diffusion coefficients in relation to different alloying elements. The simulation work is conducted to provide theoretical analysis of macroscopic changes at the atomic level, which is difficult to achieve through experiments.

2. Materials and Methods

This study was based on a new generation of high as-cast strength die-cast Al-9Si-based alloy [12]. In the case of the binary Al-9Si alloy, a supercell with periodic boundary conditions encompassed 200 atoms. Then, using five Zn, Mg, and Cu atoms, some of the Al atoms were replaced to form three alloys: Al-9Si-5M (M = Cu, Mg, Si). For the ternary alloys, a simulation involving 200 atoms was conducted to ensure an accurate and reliable statistical result. To establish the initial configurations, atoms were randomly stacked according to the defined concentration. Adjustments were made to the positions of atoms that were too close to one another in order to achieve realistic distances. Prior to data collection, the size of the supercell was modified to maintain the external pressure within ± 1.5 Kbar, thereby causing negligible volume changes [13,14].

The simulations were performed using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [15]. Interactions between ions and electrons were described using the projector augmented-wave method [16], and the electronic exchange and correlation were calculated by employing generalized gradient approximations [17]. Sampling of the supercell Brillouin zone was carried out solely at the Γ point. In a canonical ensemble (NVT), the number of particles, volume, and temperature remained constant. Temperature control was achieved using a Nosé thermostat [18] with a frequency of 52 ps. The simulations were conducted at a temperature of 955 K to ensure that the alloys were completely in a liquid state. Configurations were saved at intervals of 3 fs, resulting in a collection of 6000 configurations for analysis over a period of 18 ps (Table 1).

Composition	Temperature (K)	Number Density (Å ⁻³)	External Pressure (Kbar)
Al-9Si	955	0.052	1.22
Al-9Si-5Mg	955	0.051	-0.89
Al-9Si-5Cu	955	0.053	1.42
Al-9Si-5Zn	955	0.052	-0.55

Table 1. Compositions, temperatures, number densities, and average external pressure of investigated liquid alloys.

To validate the model, we compared the calculated $g_{Total}(r)$ of liquid Al–9Si alloy at 955 K and of Al–10Si at 960 K described by Qin et al. [19], as shown in Figure 1. It is observed that both the position and height of all peaks fit very well with that of previous work. Furthermore, the model of Qin et al. has been validated by comparing with experimental result [20]. The definition of g(r) is given in Section 3.1.



Figure 1. Comparison of the calculated $g_{Total}(r)$ of liquid Al–9Si alloy at 955 K and that of Al–10Si at 960 K by Qin et al. [19].

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Pair Distribution Function

The pair distribution function (PDF) is a commonly used tool to describe the local structure of liquid and amorphous materials. The Faber–Ziman PDF is defined as [21]:

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(r) = \frac{L^3}{N_{\alpha}N_{\beta}} \langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\alpha}} N_{i\beta}(r) \rangle / 4\pi r^2 \Delta r$$
⁽¹⁾

The parameters within the equation are defined as follows: L represents the length of the supercell, N_{α} and N_{β} indicate the quantities of α and β atoms, respectively, and $N_{i\beta}$ represents the count of β atoms surrounding the *i*th α atom within the spherical shell ranging from r to $r + \Delta r$. In Figure 2, the six partial PDFs of Al–9Si and Al–9Si–5M alloys (M = Mg, Cu, Zn) are presented. It is worth noting that Al is the predominant element in all of these liquid alloys. Consequently, the influence of the alloying elements on the $g_{AIAI}(r)$ and $g_{AISi}(r)$ function is negligible, as depicted in Figure 2a,b, respectively. The different positions of the first peaks of $g_{AIM}(r)$ function, as shown in Figure 2c, apparently result from the different atomic radii of Cu(1.28 Å), Zn(1.34 Å), and Mg(1.60 Å). According to the intensity of the three $g_{AIM}(r)$ curves, which represents the probability of finding M atoms around Al atoms at r position, Cu atoms are more likely to appear in the first neighbor shell around Al when compared with Zn and Mg atoms. Although there is a significant difference in atomic radii, the probability of Mg and Zn atoms appearing in the first coordination shell of Al atoms is almost the same. In liquid metals, the size of atoms plays an important role in determining the coordination number and the formation of bonds. The results of the three $g_{AIM}(r)$ curves indicate that atomic size is not the primary determining factor for the structure of alloying elements around Al atoms. Other factors such as mixing enthalpy may also play important roles. The electronic structures and atomic radii of Cu (1s² 2s² 2p⁶ 3s² 3p⁶ 4s¹ 3d¹⁰) and Zn (1s² 2s² 2p⁶ 3s² 3p⁶ 4s² 3d¹⁰) are very similar, yet their mixing enthalpy with Al are opposite. Al–Cu alloy has a negative mixing enthalpy (-1 kJ/mol), whereas the mixing enthalpy for Al–Zn is positive (1 kJ/mol) [22]. This implies that Cu atoms have a higher probability of being surrounded by Al atoms.



Figure 2. Partial pair distribution functions of Al–9Si, Al–9Si–5Mg, Al–9Si–5Cu, and Al–9Si–5Zn from (**a–f**): $g_{AlAl}(r)$, $g_{AlSi}(r)$, $g_{AlM}(r)$, $g_{SiSi}(r)$, $g_{SiM}(r)$, and $g_{MM}(r)$, respectively.

The $g_{AlSi}(r)$ curves indicate that in binary Al–Si alloys, Si atoms appear with nearly equal probability in the first and second coordination shells. However, with the addition of alloying elements, the alloying atoms replace the Si atoms in the second coordination shell around Al atoms. In other words, in ternary Al–Si–M alloys, Si atoms around Al atoms are only distributed in the first coordination shell. Figure 2f shows that, compared to Cu and Mg, Zn atoms have a higher tendency to bond with similar atoms. We believe this is also related to the positive mixing enthalpy between Al and Zn.

By considering the concentration c_i , the chemical short-range order (SRO) can be obtained from $g_{\alpha\beta}(r)$ [23]:

$$g_{cc}(r) = c_{\alpha}c_{\beta}\left[g_{\alpha\alpha}(r) + g_{\beta\beta}(r) - 2g_{\alpha\beta}(r)\right]$$
⁽²⁾

It can be observed that positive and negative peaks will arise to indicate preferences between like or unlike atoms, respectively. Figure 3 presents a comparison of $g_{cc}(r)$ for Al–9Si alloy and the three ternary melts. The most prominent negative peaks occur at ~2.45 Å for all four alloys. This value is significantly smaller than the Al–Si atomic distance of approximately 2.60 Å. Due to the superposition of the Al–M negative peak and Si–M negative peak, the position of the Al–Si negative peak is shifted. Overall, compared to Mg and Zn, Cu is more likely to form short-range chemical order around Al and Si atoms, which is consistent with Figure 2c,e. In the Al–Si–Mg ternary alloy, the probability of bonding between like atoms is much higher than that of unlike atoms. Moreover, with the addition of alloying elements, the ordered structure in the melt extends from the first coordination layer to approximately 6 Å, compared to the Al–Si binary alloy. This difference in ordered structure is not solely caused by the atomic radius effects.



Figure 3. Concentration correlation g(r) function of Al-9Si-5M (M = Zn, Mg, Cu).

3.2. Coordination Number

In order to quantitatively analyze the chemical SRO, we calculated the partial coordination number (CN) of species β around α by integrating the product of the number density of β (represented as ρ_{β}) and the corresponding $g_{\alpha\beta}(r)$ function within the first coordination shell [24].

$$N_{\alpha\beta} = \int_0^{r_{min}} 4\pi r^2 \rho_\beta g_{\alpha\beta}(r) dr \tag{3}$$

The minimum cutoff distance, r_{min} , is determined as the distance after the first peak. The partial CNs and the constitutional proportion (designated $P_{\alpha\beta}$) for each species are presented in Table 2. The overall $P_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined as the sum of the CNs around each species: $P_{\alpha\beta} = N_{\alpha\beta} / \sum N_{\alpha i} (i = \alpha, \beta, \gamma ...)$. It is observed that the $P_{\alpha\beta}$ value for Al–9Si closely matches its nominal proportion, indicating the absence of chemical SRO in this melt. It is worth noting that the largest deviation from the nominal composition in A1-9Si-5Znis observed for P_{ZnZn} , indicating that Zn atoms in the alloy have a greater tendency to bond with each other. This is due to the positive mixing enthalpy between Al and Zn, as well as the relatively small atomic radius of Zn, making it more prone to aggregation with like atoms. In addition, in Al-9Si-5Cu, both P_{CuSi} and P_{SiCu} are significantly lower than their nominal compositions, while P_{SiAl} and P_{CuAl} are notably higher than their nominal compositions. Looking at the mixing enthalpy, the mixing enthalpy between Si and Cu (-19 kJ/mol) is much smaller than the mixing enthalpy between Cu and Al (-1 kJ/mol). Therefore, we believe that this is primarily due to the atomic radius effect. Since Al atoms have a relatively larger atomic radius, they provide more space to accommodate Cu and Si atoms.

Table 2. Partial CN and constitutional proportion of each species in the four liquid alloys.

Terms/αβ —	Al	Al-9Si		Al-9Si-5Zn		Al-9Si-5Mg		Al-9Si-5Cu	
	CN	P _{αβ} (%)	CN	$P_{lphaeta}$ (%)	CN	P _{αβ} (%)	CN	P _{αβ} (%)	
AlAl	10.94	92.37	10.07	87.34	10.16	86.54	10.25	87.84	
AlSi	0.904	7.630	0.937	8.130	0.858	7.310	0.928	7.950	
AlM	-	-	0.523	4.540	0.722	6.150	0.492	4.210	
SiAl	9.137	97.41	8.951	93.88	8.203	88.36	8.869	94.51	
SiSi	0.243	2.590	0.284	2.980	0.451	4.850	0.310	3.310	
SiM	-	-	0.299	3.140	0.630	6.790	0.205	2.190	
Mal	-	-	9.000	79.81	12.42	87.30	8.455	92.50	
Msi	-	-	0.539	4.780	1.134	7.970	0.369	4.040	
MM	-	-	1.738	15.41	0.672	4.720	0.316	3.460	

In order to provide a comprehensive understanding of the SRO, we employed the proposed bonding pair (BP) analysis [25,26]. Figure 4 illustrates the distribution of 14 representative bond pairs around Al, Si, and M (M = Cu, Mg, Zn) for different melts. For Figure 4a,b, we firstly list the 14 most prevalent bond pairs in Al–9Si in descending order of abundance. And then the proportions of the same bond pairs for the other three ternary alloys are also listed in the same order as Al–9Si. This method allows for a comparative statistical analysis of Voronoi polyhedra, which represents the topological structure, in several liquid alloys. Figure 4c follows the same method but is based on Al–9Si–5Zn as a reference.



Figure 4. The distribution of BP around (**a**) Al, (**b**) Si, and (**c**) M (M = Cu, Mg, Zn) for different melts; the BP index is arranged in descending order of their abundance in Al–9Si for (**a**,**b**), and in Al–9Si–5Zn for (**c**).

It is worth noting that the BP of Al and Si in different melts are structurally consistent, indicating that the addition of the third element has a minimal impact on the topological SRO of Al and Si. By analyzing the BP of the M element, it can be observed that the distribution of BP in Cu and Zn is relatively similar, while there is a significant difference in Mg. This indicates that the topological coordination is primarily influenced by atomic radii. Compared to Al–9Si–5Zn and Al–9Si–5Cu, the Al–9Si–5Mg alloy exhibits a higher proportion of <1432>, <1541>, <1551>, and <1661> structural motifs associated with Mg. Among the four, <1432>, <1541>, and <1551> are typically associated with the formation of perfect or distorted icosahedral ordering, and 1661 pairs are commonly observed in hcp and bcc structures [27,28]. According to Qi et al. [29], the population of bonds is influenced by their energy and distortion. Therefore, it implies that in the ternary alloy, there are more energetically favorable perfect and distorted icosahedral structures surrounding Mg, as well as a more ordered hcp and bcc structures.

3.4. Diffusion Coefficient

In order to investigate the influence of alloying elements on the diffusivity of the Al–9Si melt, we calculate the self-diffusion coefficient using the mean square displacement (MSD) for each species:

$$\Delta r_{\alpha}(t)^{2} = \frac{1}{N_{\alpha}} \langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\alpha}} |r_{\alpha i}(t+t_{0}) - r_{\alpha i}(t_{0})|^{2} \rangle \tag{4}$$

where the sum is taken over all N_{α} atoms of species α , t_0 represents an arbitrary time origin, and the angular brackets denote a thermal average or an average over time origins. Typically, the diffusion coefficient D_{α} of species α has a linear relationship with the MSD according to the following:

$$\langle \Delta r_{\alpha}(t)^{2} \rangle \to 6D_{\alpha}t + B_{\alpha}$$
 (5)

where B_{α} is a constant term. Figure 5 depicts the time dependence of the MSD for each species.



Figure 5. The MSD of Al (**a**), Si (**b**), and M (M = Zn, Mg, Cu) (**c**).

From Figure 5, it can be observed that the addition of alloying elements Zn and Cu has almost no impact on the atomic diffusion rates of Al and Si. However, after the addition of Mg, the atomic diffusion rates of Al and Si noticeably decrease, indicating a decrease in their mobility or fluidity.

According to the research findings of Zhu et al. [14], the presence of Si in aluminum alloys absorbs the outer valence electrons of the metallic elements in the alloy, thereby influencing the atomic diffusion behavior of these metallic elements. This leads to a transition from linear diffusion to parabolic or more complex motion patterns. For further study, we calculated the distribution of valence electrons in the four alloys using the Bader program. The valence electrons of each element are listed in Table 3. It is evident that after adding Mg atoms, most of the valence electrons around Mg are acquired by Si. Compared to transition metal elements, i.e., Cu and Zn, the valence electrons of Mg atoms are more reactive and more likely to interact with the valence electrons of Si. The interaction between these valence electrons suppresses the diffusion of the atoms.

Table 3. Valence electrons of Al, Si, and alloying elements Zn/Mg/Cu.

Elements	Al-9Si	Al-9Si-5Zn	Al-9Si-5Mg	Al-9Si-5Cu
Al	2.83	2.81	2.92	2.78
Si	5.67	5.37	5.54	5.42
М		12.88	0.68	12.20

The diffusion coefficients D_{α} for each species are listed in Table 4. For M element, D_{α} is approximated through linear fitting of the MSD with respect to time after 2.1 ps, where a significant change in the trend of MSD occurs. As observed, the D_{Al} and D_{Si} in Al–9Si–5Mg decreases by 23.9% and 14.0%, respectively, after the addition of Mg, highlighting the significance of the alloying element.

Table 4. Self-diffusion coefficients $(10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s})$ of Al, Si, and alloying elements Zn/Mg/Cu.

Elements	Al-9Si	Al-9Si-5Zn	Al-9Si-5Mg	Al-9Si-5Cu
Al	4.51	4.13	3.43	4.28
Si	3.49	3.36	3.00	4.15
М		2.15	1.58	3.24

4. Conclusions

Our findings reveal that the structure of liquid Al–Si–M ternary alloys depends on both atomic radii and mixing enthalpy, and the dynamic property is mainly related to electronic structures of alloying elements. Al–9Si–5Cu and Al–9Si–5Zn have similar topological structures, but they exhibit significant differences in their chemical SRO. In other words, the variations in the effects of Cu and Zn on Al–9Si alloys are attributed to differences in their chemical SRO. The addition of Cu leads to the formation of more Al–Cu SRO, while Zn has a greater propensity to form Zn–Zn SRO. This could also be the reason why Al₂Cu reinforcing phase forms more easily during the solidification process of Al–Si–Cu alloys. Most of the valence electrons around Mg are acquired by Si, and the interaction between these valence electrons suppresses the diffusion of the atoms. Furthermore, the interaction between Mg and Si provides clues for the formation of the Mg₂Si strengthening phase in the Al–9Si–5Mg alloy.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.W. and X.Z.; methodology, X.Z. and D.L.; validation, D.L., J.W. and X.L.; formal analysis, J.W. and C.C.; data curation, L.W.; writing—original draft preparation, X.Z.; writing—review and editing, M.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by Shandong Provincial Natural Foundation of China (Grant Nos. ZR2022QE258, ZR2020ME002, and ZR2020QE004).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All data included in this study are available upon request by contacting the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Javidani, M.; Larouche, D. Application of cast Al–Si alloys in internal combustion engine components. *Int. Mater. Rev.* 2014, 59, 132–158. [CrossRef]
- Callegari, B.; Lima, T.N.; Coelho, R.S. The Influence of Alloying Elements on the Microstructure and Properties of Al–Si–Based Casting Alloys: A Review. *Metals* 2023, 13, 1174. [CrossRef]
- Zamani, M.; Morini, L.; Ceschini, L.; Seifeddine, S. The role of transition metal additions on the ambient and elevated temperature properties of Al–Si alloys. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 2017, 693, 42–50. [CrossRef]
- 4. Stadler, F.; Antrekowitsch, H.; Fragner, W.; Kaufmann, H.; Uggowitzer, P.J. Effect of main alloying elements on strength of Al–Si foundry alloys at elevated temperatures. *Int. J. Cast Met. Res.* 2012, 25, 215–224. [CrossRef]
- Cho, Y.H.; Im, Y.-R.; Kwon, S.-W.; Lee, H.C. The Effect of Alloying Elements on the Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Al–12Si Cast Alloys. MSF 2003, 426–432, 339–344. [CrossRef]
- 6. Liu, X.; Guo, H.; Xue, J.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Li, X. Microstructure and mechanical properties of as casted Al–Si–Sc–Ce alloys prepared by molten salt electrolysis with varied cooling rate. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2023**, *947*, 169703. [CrossRef]
- Seifeddine, S.; Svensson, I.L. On the Effect of Cooling Conditions and Variation of Alloying Elements on the Microstructural and Mechanical Properties of Al–7%Si Cast Alloys. *Giessereiforschung* 2006, 58, 50–54.
- 8. Seifeddine, S.; Sjölander, E.; Bogdanoff, T. On the Role of Copper and Cooling Rates on the Microstructure, Defect Formations and Mechanical Properties of Al–Si–Mg Alloys. *Mater. Sci. Appl.* **2013**, *4*, 171–178. [CrossRef]
- 9. Bogdanoff, T.; Dahle, A.K.; Seifeddine, S. Effect of Co and Ni Addition on the Microstructure and Mechanical Properties at Room and Elevated Temperature of an Al–7%Si Alloy. *Inter Met.* **2018**, *12*, 434–440. [CrossRef]
- 10. Kaya, H.; Aker, A. Effect of alloying elements and growth rates on microstructure and mechanical properties in the directionally solidified Al–Si–X alloys. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2017**, *694*, 145–154. [CrossRef]
- 11. Basavakumar, K.G.; Mukunda, P.G.; Chakraborty, M. Influence of grain refinement and modification on microstructure and mechanical properties of Al–7Si and Al–7Si–2.5Cu cast alloys. *Mater. Charact.* **2008**, *59*, 283–289. [CrossRef]
- 12. Dong, X.; Youssef, H.; Zhu, X.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, S.; Ji, S. High as-cast strength die-cast AlSi9Cu2Mg alloy prepared by nanoparticle strengthening with industrially acceptable ductility. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2021**, *852*, 156873. [CrossRef]
- Qin, J.; Liu, H.; Gu, T.; Bian, X. The complex structure of liquid Cu₆Sn₅ alloy. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 2009, 21, 155106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Zhu, X.; Liu, D.; Wang, A.; Duan, J.; Yang, Y.; Tang, S.; Qin, J. Atomic size and chemical effects of alloying elements Cu, Mg and Si on the structure and dynamics of molten 8090-based AlLi alloy. *Int. J. Cast Met. Res.* **2017**, *31*, 93–98. [CrossRef]
- 15. Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Ab initio molecular dynamics for liquid metals. Phys. Rev. B 1993, 47, 558–561. [CrossRef]
- 16. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* 1999, *59*, 1758–1775. [CrossRef]
- Perdew, J.P.; Chevary, J.A.; Vosko, S.H.; Jackson, K.A.; Pederson, M.R.; Singh, D.J.; Fiolhais, C. Atoms, molecules, solids, and surfaces: Applications of the generalized gradient approximation for exchange and correlation. *Phys. Rev. B* 1992, 46, 6671–6687. [CrossRef]
- 18. Nosé, S. A unified formulation of the constant temperature molecular dynamics methods. J. Chem. Phys. 1984, 81, 511. [CrossRef]
- 19. Qin, J.; Pan, S.; Qi, Y.; Gu, T. The structure and thermodynamic properties of liquid Al–Si alloys by ab initio molecular dynamics simulation. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **2016**, 433, 31–37. [CrossRef]
- Srirangam, P.; Jeyakumar, M.; Kramer, M.J.; Shankar, S. Partial pair correlation functions and viscosity of liquid Al–Si hypoeutectic alloys via high-energy X-ray diffraction experiments. *Philos. Mag.* 2011, 91, 3867–3904. [CrossRef]
- 21. Faber, T.E.; Ziman, J.M. A theory of the electrical properties of liquid metals: III. the resistivity of binary alloys. *Philos. Mag.* **1965**, *11*, 153–173. [CrossRef]
- 22. Takeuchi, A.; Inoue, A. Calculations of Mixing Enthalpy and Mismatch Entropy for Ternary Amorphous Alloys. *Mater. Trans. JIM* 2000, *41*, 1372–1378. [CrossRef]
- 23. Bhatia, A.B.; Thornton, D.E. Structural Aspects of the Electrical Resistivity of Binary Alloys. *Phys. Rev. B* 1970, *2*, 3004–3012. [CrossRef]
- 24. Takeuchi, A.; Inoue, A. Classification of Bulk Metallic Glasses by Atomic Size Difference, Heat of Mixing and Period of Constituent Elements and Its Application to Characterization of the Main Alloying Element. *Mater. Trans.* **2005**, *46*, 2817–2829. [CrossRef]
- Liu, D.; Pan, S.P.; Qin, J.Y.; Gu, T.K. Chemical and topological short-range order evolution of Mg65Cu25Gd10 alloy in the process of rapid solidification. J. Appl. Phys. 2011, 109, 093519. [CrossRef]

- 26. Liu, H.-R.; Liu, R.-S.; Zhang, A.-L.; Hou, Z.-Y.; Xin, W.; Tian, Z.-A. A simulation study of microstructure evolution during solidification process of liquid metal Ni. *Chin. Phys.* 2007, *16*, 3747–3753. [CrossRef]
- Honeycutt, J.D.; Andersen, H.C. Molecular dynamics study of melting and freezing of small Lennard-Jones clusters. J. Phys. Chem. 1987, 91, 4950–4963. [CrossRef]
- 28. Tsuzuki, H.; Branicio, P.S.; Rino, J.P. Structural characterization of deformed crystals by analysis of common atomic neighborhood. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2007**, *177*, 518–523. [CrossRef]
- 29. Qi, D.W.; Wang, S. Icosahedral order and defects in metallic liquids and glasses. Phys. Rev. B 1991, 44, 884–887. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.