



Galyna Kotsay * and Irmina Masztakowska

Faculty of Civil Engineering, Mechanics and Petrochemistry, Warsaw University of Technology, Łukasiewicza St. 17, 09-400 Płock, Poland; irmina.masztakowska.stud@pw.edu.pl * Correspondence: galyna.kotsay@pw.edu.pl; Tel.: +48-243672111

Abstract: This paper discusses studies regarding the impact of fine-ground glass additives on the hydration and properties of alumina cement pastes and mortars. Fine-ground glass was added to pastes and mortars instead of high-alumina cement and calcium aluminate cement in quantities of 5% and 10%. The findings are inconclusive as to the impact of glass on the properties of tested alumina cement types. The effect produced via the addition of glass instead of cement depends on the type of alumina cement used. Adding fine-ground glass to high-alumina cement enhances the paste's density while improving paste and mortar strength. Using the same additive for calcium aluminate cement reduces its density and strength. The addition of glass to high-alumina cement adversely affects its strength at higher temperatures.

Keywords: high-alumina; calcium aluminate cement; fine-ground glass; paste; mortar



Citation: Kotsay, G.; Masztakowska, I. The Effect of Fine-Ground Glass on the Hydration Process and Properties of Alumina-Cement-Based Composites. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 4633. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14164633

Academic Editor: Daria Jóźwiak-Niedźwiedzka

Received: 11 June 2021 Accepted: 10 August 2021 Published: 17 August 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

Alumina cement is a type of cement characterized by very high early-stage hydration heat and demonstrates a rapid gain of strength. The increased hydration heat characteristic of alumina cement (also known as self-heating) allows for the pouring of concrete at low temperatures. In contrast to Portland cement, alumina cement exhibits superior durability in aggressive environments due to the absence of portlandite and ettringite from the hydration products [1–5]. However, a disadvantage of alumina cement is the conversion process, in which unstable low-density calcium aluminate hydrates turn into durable, high-density hydrates. Such an increase in density may reduce the strength of the products. These density changes are considered inevitable and irreversible but necessary to achieve alumina cement's desired properties [6,7]. The following conditions must be met to avoid the adverse effects of incorrect conversion: temperature must be kept above 38 °C [8–11], an adequate water/cement ratio must be provided [2,9], and cement paste alkalinity needs to be maintained at the appropriate level. In addition, conversion needs to occur as the cement paste is hardening, not later [6,12–14]

In many articles, researchers have considered the possibility of reducing the conversion of cement hydration products by using mineral additives such as blast furnace slag, fly ash, silica fume, metakaolin and zeolite [11,12,15–25]. Replacing part of alumina cement with these mineral additives reduces the heat of hydration and causes a reduction in strength. According to the authors, mineral additives react with unstable products of cement hydration CaO·Al₂O₃·10H₂O (CAH₁₀) and 2CaO·Al₂O₃·8H₂O (C₂AH₈) (In this paper abbreviations are used according to Standard cement chemistry: C = CaO, A = Al₂O₃, H = H₂O, S = SiO₂, N = Na₂O) to form a stable product, stratlingite 2CaO·Al₂O₃·SiO₂ 8H₂O (C₂ASH₈), which does not change its density over time, therefore preventing a possible decrease in mechanical strength.

To inhibit conversion, the action of mineral additives alone is insufficient. Thus, some authors have suggested using mineral additives along with alkaline compounds [20,26–30]. The high pH generated by alkaline solutions accelerates the conversion process even at

ambient temperatures. Therefore, as reported in [26], the formation of stable stratinglite (C_2ASH_8) and hydrogarnet (C_3AH_6) phases resulted from the alkaline activation of the additives. However, these products show lower strength values in the early stages of cement hardening. The alkaline activation of aluminum silicate additives may also result in the formation of the sodium-aluminum silicate hydrate product (NASH gel), also known as an inorganic alkaline polymer [31–34]. According to [35], research exists on the alkaline activation of alumina cement itself. However, alkali content in alumina cement should, as a standard, be below 0.5% because of the possibility of forming easily soluble alkali aluminates. However, the acceptable alkali limit content according to [36] depends on the form in which the alkali appear in the clinker.

Many works have proposed fine-ground glass instead of Portland cement [37–48] or geopolymer cement [49–56]. However, in the literature, there is a lack of knowledge concerning the influence of fine-ground glass on the properties of alumina cement. In connection with the above, the purpose of this work was to investigate the effects of fine-ground glass additive on the hydration and properties of calcium-alumina and high-alumina types of cement. The materials containing different amounts of waste glass were characterized via the apparent density, X-ray diffraction, and the compression testing of pastes and mortars stored at various temperatures.

2. Materials and Methods

Two types of alumina cement were used in the study: high-alumina cement (HAC) produced by Górka Cement (Trzebinia, Poland) [57] and calcium aluminate cement (CAC) produced by the Rongsheng (ZhengZhou, China) [58]. The mineralogical composition of the tested types of cement is shown in Figure 1. Table 1 lists the identified primary and associated phases. The chemical composition and properties of alumina types of cement and fine-ground glass are presented in Table 2. Chemical analysis of alumina cement was conducted following the standard [59], and glass was carried out according to [60]. Fine-ground glass with a grain size of ≤ 0.063 mm was used as an additive instead of cement in 5% and 10% amounts.



Figure 1. The X-ray diffraction results of cement types: High-alumina cement (HAC); calcium aluminate cement (CAC). (CA—CaO·Al₂O₃; CA₂—CaO·2Al₂O₃; C₂AS—2CaO·Al₂O₃·SiO₂; C₃A—3CaO·Al₂O₃; C₃S₂—3CaO·2SiO₂).

Comont	Minerals				
Cement	Basic Phases	Associated Phases			
HAC	CA, CA ₂	-			
CAC	C_2AS , CA , CA_2	C_3S_2, C_3A			

Table 1. The mineralogical composition of the tested types of cement.

Table 2. The chemical composition and basic properties of cement types and container glasses.

	Oxides (wt %)					D 1/ / 3	o 10 o (² /		
Materials	Al ₂ O ₃	CaO	SiO ₂	Fe ₂ O ₃	Na ₂ O _{eq}	SO ₃	Density, g/cm ^o	Specific Surface, m ² /g	
HAC	69.1	29.6	< 0.5	< 0.3	< 0.5		2.96	0.326	
CAC	54.5	34.0	8.3	2.30	0.3	0.6	2.95	0.320	
Container glasses	1.8	12.0	71.8	0.5	13.1	0.3	2.50	0.341	

The real density and the Blaine specific surface test of materials were performed according to the methods described in the standards [61,62].

Apparent density tests were carried out according to [61]. The apparent density and the compressive strength of the cement pastes were tested on cubic specimens. Cementitious pastes were prepared using at fixed water-to-cement ratio as w/c = 0.3. In order to eliminate defects, specimens of paste were formed in steel molds with precise dimensions cubies $20 \text{ mm} \times 20 \text{ mm} \times 20 \text{ mm}$. This made it possible to compact them precisely after the cubies were filled with paste. The curing of the paste specimens was carried out in enclosed molds for the first 24 h, followed by immersion of the cubic specimens underwater. These were stored at 20 °C and 40 °C in the thermostated chamber until testing. The specimens were stored at 40 °C to prevent uncontrolled conversion effects. Every property of paste analyzed was evaluated on a series of six specimens. The tests were performed at 2, 5, and 28 days after forming.

The water/cement ratio (w/c) was 0.4 for the mortars prescribed by [6]. According to [63], the mortar strength test was carried out on specimens sized 40 mm \times 40 mm \times 160 mm. The curing of the mortar specimens was carried out similarly to the paste specimens. Tests for flexural strength and compressive strength were carried out after 2 and 5 days.

Calorimeter Calmetrix I-Cal 2000 HPC was applied to determine the effect of glass on the hydration of aluminate cement. The rate of heat evolution was recorded for the cement pastes with a water-to-binder ratio equal 0.5. Binder mixtures were made from cement and glass as partial cement substitution. The rate of heat evolution was measured at the isothermal condition (20 $^{\circ}$ C) and recorded for 48 h.

Qualitative X-ray diffraction analyses were carried out on HAC and CAC cement pastes after 365 days of hydration. The XRD was conducted using a Cubix Pro PANalytical diffractometer with Cu Ka radiation ($\lambda = 1.54$ Å). The samples were scanned between 10° and 65° (2 Theta), with scan step of 0.021° (2 Theta) and time step 30 s. Qualitative X-ray diffraction analyses of crystalline phases were sourced from the standard databases [64].

3. Results and Discussion

Two types of alumina cement with different mineral compositions were used for the tests. In high-alumina cement (HAC), the basic mineral components are monocalcium aluminate (CA) and dicalcium aluminate (CA₂), while in calcium aluminate cement (CAC), these are gehlenite (C₂AS) and monocalcium aluminate (CA). During the hydration of monocalcium and dicalcium aluminate, unstable hexagonal phases are formed which undergo a conversion process to create the stable phases of calcium hydroaluminate and aluminum hydroxide [1,2]. During the hydration of gehlenite (C₂AS), only the stable stratinglite (C₂ASH₈) phase was formed. High-alumina cement is more susceptible to conversion than CAC due to its higher mineral monocalcium aluminate and dicalcium



aluminate contents. The calorimetric study results of the investigation into the effect of fine glass on the hydration of two different types of aluminous cement are presented in Figure 2.

Figure 2. The calorimetric curves of hydration of the tested types of cement HAC (a) and CAC (b) (with and without glass).

According to the data in Table 3, the addition of glass as a partial replacement for alumina cement increases the amount of hydration heat released from cement pastes after 48 h. Compared to control samples, replacing 10% of the cement with glass increased hydration heat after 48 h by 4% for high-alumina cement and 8% for calcium aluminate cement. In each alumina cement paste sample, the introduction of glass reduces the heat release rate in the pre-induction stage of hydration due to decreased cement content in the mixture. However, the addition of glass increases the rate of cement hydration in the post-induction stage. The highest rate of heat flow was noted for HAC paste (Figure 2a). The heat flow rate rose by 25% and 20% with 5% and 10% glass, respectively. The heat flow rate increase was probably related to the reaction of the glass with the cement hydration products. For the CAC paste (Figure 2b), with the addition of glass, the quantity of heat flow in the post-induction stage of hydration increased only slightly compared to the control sample.

It is known that the transformation of two unstable alumina cement hydration products (CAH₁₀ and C₂AH₈) into the stable phase (C₃AH₆) is considered inevitable and irreversible. The phase C₃AH₆ has a higher density than the CAH₁₀ and C₂AH₈ phases by 42% and 30%, respectively (Table 4). The hydration products' conversion rate is impacted by the temperature, water-to-biller ratio, and the binder's alkali content. As it is known, waste glass contains alkali in the amount of 13%. Therefore, the introduction of waste fine-ground glass instead of alumina cement may affect the conversion process of unstable cement hydration products.

Parameter	HAC			CAC		
	No Additive	5% Glass	10% Glass	No Additive	5% Glass	10% Glass
The heat of hardening after 48 h, kJ/kg	262	263	272	251	265	272
The maximum rate of heat evolution in the pre-induction stage of hydration, W/kg	7.93	6.27	6.09	7.03	2.71	1.42
The maximum rate of heat evolution in the post-induction stage of hydration, W/kg	15.74	19.66	18.72	11.93	12.39	12.56

Table 3. The calorimetric characteristics of hydration of the tested types of cement (with and without glass).

Table 4. Density of the main hydration products of aluminous cement [1,2,64].

	Density (g/cm ³)		
CAH ₁₀	calcium aluminate decahydrate	1.78	
C_2AH_8	dicalcium aluminate octahydrate	1.95	
C ₃ AH ₆	hydrogarnet	2.53	
AH ₃	gibbsite	2.42	
C ₂ ASH ₈	stratlingite	2.02	

Figure 3 shows the effect of fine-ground glass on the density of the alumina pastes. The introduction of 5% and 10% of glass increases the high-alumina paste density after just two days to 6% and 10%, respectively.



Figure 3. The density of pastes of HAC (a) and CAC (b) stored at 20 °C.

After five days of hardening, the density increased to 13% for the control paste sample and only 6% for the paste with 10% glass content, proving that the fine-ground glass accelerates the conversion process of HAC cement hydration products. Therefore, it shows a minor increase in density. However, after 28 days of hardening, no change was recorded in the pastes' density containing 5% and 10% glass content. In the CAC case, the density of the control paste was higher than that of the HAC paste because the CAC hydrates faster than the HAC due to the lower CA_2 content, which hydrates very slowly (Figure 2). Therefore, the introduction of fine-ground glass instead of the CAC did not significantly alter the paste's density after two days compared to the control sample (Figure 3b). However, after 28 days of hardening the pastes prepared from CAC, a drop in density was observed for pastes with 5% and 10% glass content compared to the control sample.

Raising the storage temperature of the specimens pastes to 40 °C increases the density of high-alumina cement pastes. On the other hand, for calcium aluminate cement pastes, the density increase was insignificant compared to high-alumina cement (Figure 4). These results indicate that the tested binder CAC is less susceptible to the conversion process compared to binder HAC.



Figure 4. The density of pastes after 28 days under temperatures of 20 °C and 40 °C.

XRD was performed to analyze the phase transformations of the studied types of cement hydration products. Figure 5 shows the XRD spectra of cement pastes with different glass contents after 365 days of hardening.

The phases are identified as follows: hydrogarnet (C_3AH_6); gibbsite (AH_3); monocalcium aluminate (CA); dicalcium aluminate (CA_2), gehlenite (C_2AS).

X-ray diffraction spectra of the HAC-glass pastes (Figure 5a) show that the dominant phases were hydrogarnet (C_3AH_6) and gibbsite (AH₃). Simultaneously, for plain HAC, there are unhydrated phases of CA and CA₂, even after 365 days of hardening. These phases are fewer, but there are more C_3AH_6 and AH₃ phases in HAC systems with ground glass. The amount of C_3AH_6 increases with the increasing amount of glass, confirming the significant increase in the C_3AH_6 peaks in the XRD pattern. These results show that additive glass accelerates the conversion process in HAC systems.

However, in the XRD spectra of the CAC-glass pastes, unhydrated phases of gehlenite (C_2AS) and dicalcium aluminate (CA_2) are observed even after 365 days of hardening (Figure 5b). The hydrated phases observed are hydrogarnet (C_3AH_6) and gibbsite (AH_3), and there are probably other hydration products of the CAC binder that are poorly crystallized. It is thus challenging to identify them via XRD analysis. The size of the AH_3 phase decreases slightly with the increase in the amount of glass in the system, which is confirmed by the decrease in the intensity of the AH_3 peaks in the XRD pattern.

In conclusion, glass addition significantly influences the acceleration of the conversion process for the HAC systems. However, there are no significant changes for the CAC system, as evidenced by the tests of cement paste density.



Figure 5. The XRD spectra for the pastes hydrated for 365 days. (a) HAC-glass systems; (b) CAC-glass systems.

Figure 6 presents the influence of HAC and CAC replacement by glass on the compressive strength of pastes after 2, 5, and 28 days of hardening. Replacing HAC with 5% glass increases the compressive strength compared to the control sample. The highest increase was observed after 5 days of hardening, while after 28 days, when a stable strength had been obtained, it was higher by approximately 40%. In addition, 10% glass caused an increase in strength after two days, while after 5 and 28 days, the compressive strength was comparable with the control sample. The increased strength of HAC pastes was associated with an acceleration of the hydration process of the HAC binder with the addition of glass.

On the other hand, the replacement of CAC with glass significantly decreased paste strength because glass slightly affects the CAC's hydration and reduces the amount of binder in the paste. With 10% glass instead of cement, the strength falls after 5 and 28 days by 45-50% from the control sample values.

It is known that increasing the temperature accelerates the conversion of metastable hydrates CAH_{10} and C_2AH_8 into the stable hydrate C_3AH_6 . Depending on the alumina cement mineral composition, the conversion process rate varies, thereby affecting the paste's properties. Figure 7 shows the influence of fine-ground glass on the change in the compressive strength of alumina pastes stored at 40 °C.



Figure 6. The compressive strength for the paste of HAC and CAC with glass stored at 20 °C. (**a**) HAC-glass systems; (**b**) CAC-glass systems.



Figure 7. Compressive strength for the paste of HAC and CAC with glass stored at 40 °C. (**a**) HAC replacement by glass; (**b**) CAC replacement by glass.

Increasing the storage temperature to 40 $^{\circ}$ C leads to changes in the strength of alumina pastes. After the first five days of hardening, an increase in the temperature strengthens high-alumina cement and calcium aluminate cement pastes. However, after 28 days, there is a difference across the alumina pastes. The strength of the high-alumina paste is comparable to the strength after five days, while for the control calcium aluminate paste,

the strength had nearly halved. The high-alumina cement with 5% glass content increases cement strength by 23% and 66% after storage at a temperature of 40 °C. However, pastes with 10% glass content experience a reduction in strength compared to the control samples. For calcium aluminate cement, the use of glass stabilizes the strength under the influence of higher temperatures.

Based on the analysis of the results of the tested cement paste, the effect of glass on alumina cement properties depends on the types of cement used, with the impact on paste and mortar properties being proportional to mono- and dicalcium aluminate content in the cement. The usage of glass instead of HAC in paste accelerates the hydration of cement, conversion process and increases compressive strength. In contrast, the use of glass instead of CAC (containing gehlenite) in paste did not significantly accelerate the hydration of cement and decreased compressive strength. Increasing the glass content lowers the compressive strength of the paste; accordingly, 5% glass content was added to the mortar, replacing HAC. Figure 8 presents the influence of HAC replacement with glass on the flexural and compressive strength of pastes after 2 and 5 days of hardening.



Figure 8. Flexural and compressive strength for the mortar paste of HAC with glass stored after 2 and 5 days. (**a**) Flexural strength; (**b**) Compressive strength.

Just as for pastes, high-alumina mortars with 5% glass content were found to have grown in compressive strength by 46% after two days. The results, however, levelled off to control values after five days. Flexural strength also increased from 10% to 12% after 2 and 5 days, respectively (Figure 8).

4. Conclusions

Based on the analysis of the obtained research results, the following conclusions can be drawn:

The effect of glass on the properties of alumina cement types depends on the cement used, with the impact on paste and mortar properties being proportional to the mono- and dicalcium aluminate content in the cement.

The calorimetric test results showed an increase in the rate of heat emission for alumina cement pastes with the addition of fine-ground glass in the post-induction period. The highest heat emission rate was recorded for the high-alumina cement paste, with the heat emission rate rising to 25% for 5%-glass content and 20% for 10%-glass content.

Compared to the control pastes, the use of glass instead of high-alumina cement causes an increase in the density of the pastes, while replacing the calcium aluminate cement with glass causes a decrease in the density of the pastes.

Adding fine-ground glass to a content of 5% to pastes containing high-alumina cement increases the compressive strength significantly at 20 °C. After 28 days, the increase in the strength of the pastes equals approximately 40%. Increasing the maturation temperature to 40 °C additionally increases the strength of the pastes to 66%. However, the strength of the pastes with the quantity of glass equal to 10% was comparable to that of the control samples. The compressive strength of mortars with 5% glass increased by 46% after two days and 4% after five days.

For pastes with calcium aluminate cement, the addition of glass is not favorable. Consequently, the addition of glass to a content of 10% instead of cement had the effect of reducing strength after 5 and 28 days by up to 45–50% compared to the control paste samples.

Using fine-ground glass additives instead of high-alumina cement will reduce cement costs and improve its properties, thus enabling broader applications in building construction.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.K. and I.M.; methodology, G.K.; validation, G.K.; formal analysis, G.K.; investigation, G.K. and I.M.; writing—original draft preparation, G.K.; writing—review and editing, G.K. and I.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data available in a publicly accessible repository.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Kurdowski, W. Chemia Cementu i Betonu; Stowarzyszenie Producentów Cementu: Krakow, Poland, 2010.
- 2. Peukert, S. Cementy Powszechnego Uzytku i Specjalne; Polish Cement: Krakow, Poland, 2000.
- 3. Neville, A.M. Właściwości Betonu; Polski Cement: Krakow, Poland, 2012.
- Kremieniewski, M.; Stryczek, S. Use of CALCIUM aluminate cements as binders in sealing slurries for drilling technologies. Cement-Wapno-Beton 2019, 24, 215–226.
- 5. Khan, H.A.; Castel, A.; Khan, M.S.H.; Mahmood, A.H. Durability of calcium aluminate and sulphate resistant Portland cement based mortars in aggressive sewer environment and sulphuric acid. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2019**, *124*, 105852. [CrossRef]
- 6. PN EN 14647:2007 Calcium Aluminate Cement—Composition, Specification and Conformity Criteria; Polish Committee for Standardization: Warsaw, Poland, 2007; p. 33.
- 7. Garcés, P.; Alcocel, E.G.; Chinchón, S.; Andreu, C.G.; Alcaide, J. Effect of curing temperature in some hydration characteristics of calcium aluminate cement compared with those of portland cement. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **1997**, 27, 1343–1355. [CrossRef]
- Capmas, D.A.; Sorrentino, D.D. The effect of temperature on the setting time of calcium aluminate cements. In Proceedings of the Calcium Aluminate Cements, E.&F.N. Spon, London, UK, 9–11 July 1990; pp. 65–80.
- Lothenbach, B.; Pelletier-Chaignat, L.; Winnefeld, F. Stability in the system CaO–Al₂O₃–H₂O. Cem. Concr. Res. 2012, 42, 1621–1634. [CrossRef]
- 10. Bushnell-Watson, S.M.; Sharp, J.H. On the cause of the anomalous setting behaviour with respect to temperature of calcium aluminate cements. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **1990**, *20*, 677–686. [CrossRef]
- 11. Shinmei, T.; Ohkawa, M.; Iiyama, M.; Wöhrmeyer, C.; Parr, C. The effect of curing temperature of high alumina cement on the crystallization of stratlingite: In the case of curing temperatures of 10 °C and 60 °C. *J. Mineral. Petrol. Sci.* **2015**, *110*, 92–95. [CrossRef]
- 12. Sakai, E.; Sugiyama, T.; Saito, T.; Daimon, M. Mechanical properties and micro-structures of calcium aluminate based ultra high strength cement. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 2010, *40*, 966–970. [CrossRef]
- 13. Quillin, K.; Osborne, G.; Majumdar, A.; Singh, B. Effects of w/c ratio and curing conditions on strength development in BRECEM concretes. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 2001, *31*, 627–632. [CrossRef]
- 14. Bensted, J. Scientific aspects of high alumina cement. Cem. Wapno Bet. 2004, 3, 109–133.
- 15. Collepardi, M.; Monosi, S.; Piccioli, P. The influence of pozzolanic materials on the mechanical stability of aluminous cement. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **1995**, *25*, 961–968. [CrossRef]
- Vafaei, M.; Allahverdi, A. Influence of calcium aluminate cement on geopolymerization of natural pozzolan. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2016, 114, 290–296. [CrossRef]

- 17. Han, B.; Wang, P.; Ke, C.; Yan, W.; Wei, Y.; Li, N. Hydration behavior of spinel containing high alumina cement from high titania blast furnace slag. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 2016, *79*, 257–264. [CrossRef]
- Łukasz Kotwica1, P. The infl uence of mineral additives on properties of calcium aluminate cement mortars. *Cem. Wapno Bet.* 2016, *3*, 191–198.
- 19. Bensted, J. The influence of pozzolanic materials on the mechanical stability of aluminous cement"by M. Collepardi, S. Morosi, P. Piccioli. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **1996**, *26*, 649–650. [CrossRef]
- 20. Ding, J. Stratlingite formation in high alumina cement -zeolite systems. Adv. Cem. Res. 1995, 2. [CrossRef]
- 21. Majumdar, A.J.; Singh, B. Properties of some blended high-alumina cements. Cem. Concr. Res. 1992, 22, 1101–1114. [CrossRef]
- Yang, H.J.; Ann, K.Y.; Jung, M.S. Development of Strength for Calcium Aluminate Cement Mortars Blended with GGBS. *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2019, 2019, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 23. Majchrowicz, I.; Barański, J. The relationship between the properties of low-cement high- alumina castable and microsilica content in the matrix. *Sci. Work. Inst. Ceram. Build. Mater.* **2013**, *12*, 51–59.
- 24. Kırca, Ö.; Özgür Yaman, İ.; Tokyay, M. Compressive strength development of calcium aluminate cement–GGBFS blends. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* **2013**, *35*, 163–170. [CrossRef]
- 25. Heikal, M.; Radwan, M.M.; Al-Duaij, O.K. Physico-mechanical characteristics and durability of calcium aluminate blended cement subject to different aggressive media. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2015**, *78*, 379–385. [CrossRef]
- Ding, J.; Fu, Y.; Beaudoint, J.J. Effect of different inorganic salts/alkali on conversion-prevention in high alumina cement products. *Adv. Cem. Based Mater.* 1996, 4, 43–47. [CrossRef]
- 27. Ding, J.; Fu, Y.; Beaudoin, J. Effect of Different Zeolites on Conversion-Prevention in High-Alumina Cement Products. *ACI Mater.* J. **1997**, *94*, 220–226.
- 28. Łukasz Kotwica, K.F. The influence of lithium compounds on alkali—Silica reaction in calcium aluminate cement mortars subjected to the deicing salts attack. *Cement-Wapno-Beton* **2019**, *24*, 56–67. [CrossRef]
- Walkley, B.; San Nicolas, R.; Sani, M.-A.; Rees, G.J.; Hanna, J.V.; van Deventer, J.S.J.; Provis, J.L. Phase evolution of C-(N)-A-S-H/N-A-S-H gel blends investigated via alkali-activation of synthetic calcium aluminosilicate precursors. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 2016, 89, 120–135. [CrossRef]
- Kotwa, A. Parameters of Alumina Cement and Portland Cement with Addition of Chalcedonite Meal. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci.* Eng. 2017, 245, 032035. [CrossRef]
- Duxson, P.; Fernández-Jiménez, A.; Provis, J.L.; Lukey, G.C.; Palomo, A.; van Deventer, J.S.J. Geopolymer technology: The current state of the art. J. Mater. Sci. 2007, 42, 2917–2933. [CrossRef]
- 32. González-García, D.M.; Téllez-Jurado, L.; Jiménez-Álvarez, F.J.; Balmori-Ramírez, H. Structural study of geopolymers obtained from alkali-activated natural pozzolan feldspars. *Ceram. Int.* **2017**, *43*, 2606–2613. [CrossRef]
- Rajczyk, K.; Giergiczny, E.; Szota, M. Microstructure and properties of geopolymeric binders prepared using fly ash. *Sci. Work. Inst. Ceram. Build. Mater.* 2015, 23, 79–89.
- Gao, X.; Yu, Q.L.; Brouwers, H.J.H. Properties of alkali activated slag–fly ash blends with limestone addition. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 2015, 59, 119–128. [CrossRef]
- 35. Fernández-Jiménez, A.; Vázquez, T.; Palomo, A. Effect of Sodium Silicate on Calcium Aluminate Cement Hydration in Highly Alkaline Media: A Microstructural Characterization. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2011**, *94*, 1297–1303. [CrossRef]
- 36. Ostrowski, C.Ż. Solid solutions of calcium aluminates C3A, C12A7 and CA2 with sodium oxide. *Cement-Wapno-Beton* **2002**, *7*, 138–153.
- Shevchenko, V.V.; Kotsai, G.N. Nucleator Function of Glass Powders Used as Additives for Portland Cement. *Glas. Phys. Chem.* 2017, 43, 618–621. [CrossRef]
- 38. Shevchenko, V.V.; Kotsai, G.N. Alkaline activity of glass powder used as additives to Portland cement. Part II. *Glas. Phys. Chem.* **2016**, *42*, 263–265. [CrossRef]
- 39. Patel, D.; Tiwari, R.P.; Shrivastava, R.; Yadav, R.K. Effective utilization of waste glass powder as the substitution of cement in making paste and mortar. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2019**, *199*, 406–415. [CrossRef]
- 40. Jiang, Y.; Ling, T.-C.; Mo, K.H.; Shi, C. A critical review of waste glass powder—Multiple roles of utilization in cement-based materials and construction products. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2019, 242, 440–449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Małek, M.; Łasica, W.; Kadela, M.; Kluczyński, J.; Dudek, D. Physical and Mechanical Properties of Polypropylene Fibre-Reinforced Cement–Glass Composite. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 637. [CrossRef]
- 42. Shoaei, P.; Ameri, F.; Reza Musaeei, H.; Ghasemi, T.; Cheah, C.B. Glass powder as a partial precursor in Portland cement and alkali-activated slag mortar: A comprehensive comparative study. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2020**, 251, 118991. [CrossRef]
- 43. Elaqra, H.; Rustom, R. Effect of using glass powder as cement replacement on rheological and mechanical properties of cement paste. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2018**, 179, 326–335. [CrossRef]
- 44. Patel, D.; Shrivastava, R.; Tiwari, R.P.; Yadav, R.K. Properties of cement mortar in substitution with waste fine glass powder and environmental impact study. *J. Build. Eng.* **2020**, *27*, 100940. [CrossRef]
- 45. Tayeh, B.A. Effects of marble, timber, and glass powder as partial replacements for cement. *J. Civ. Eng. Constr.* **2018**, *7*, 63. [CrossRef]
- 46. Lu, J.; Duan, Z.; Poon, C.S. Fresh properties of cement pastes or mortars incorporating waste glass powder and cullet. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2017**, 131, 793–799. [CrossRef]

- 47. Zanwar, A.B.; Patil, Y.D. Utilization of waste glass powder as a cementitious material in concrete. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2021, 1070, 012040. [CrossRef]
- 48. Szewczenko, W.; Kotsay, G. Alkaline Activity of Portland Cement with Additives of Waste Glass. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 1346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Torres-Carrasco, M.; Puertas, F. Waste glass in the geopolymer preparation. Mechanical and microstructural characterisation. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2015**, *90*, 397–408. [CrossRef]
- 50. Tchakouté, H.K.; Rüscher, C.H.; Kong, S.; Kamseu, E.; Leonelli, C. Geopolymer binders from metakaolin using sodium waterglass from waste glass and rice husk ash as alternative activators: A comparative study. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2016**, *114*, 276–289. [CrossRef]
- Vafaei, M.; Allahverdi, A. High strength geopolymer binder based on waste-glass powder. Adv. Powder Technol. 2017, 28, 215–222. [CrossRef]
- 52. Vafaei, M.; Allahverdi, A.; Dong, P.; Bassim, N. Acid attack on geopolymer cement mortar based on waste-glass powder and calcium aluminate cement at mild concentration. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2018**, *193*, 363–372. [CrossRef]
- 53. Badanoiu, A.I.; Al Saadi, T.H.A.; Stoleriu, S.; Voicu, G. Preparation and characterization of foamed geopolymers from waste glass and red mud. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2015**, *84*, 284–293. [CrossRef]
- Luhar, S.; Cheng, T.-W.; Nicolaides, D.; Luhar, I.; Panias, D.; Sakkas, K. Valorisation of glass waste for development of Geopolymer composites—Mechanical properties and rheological characteristics: A review. Constr. Build. Mater. 2019, 220, 547–564. [CrossRef]
- 55. Dadsetan, S.; Siad, H.; Lachemi, M.; Sahmaran, M. Extensive evaluation on the effect of glass powder on the rheology, strength, and microstructure of metakaolin-based geopolymer binders. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2021**, *268*, 121168. [CrossRef]
- 56. Xiao, R.; Polaczyk, P.; Zhang, M.; Jiang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Huang, B.; Hu, W. Evaluation of Glass Powder-Based Geopolymer Stabilized Road Bases Containing Recycled Waste Glass Aggregate. *Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board* **2020**, *2674*, 22–32. [CrossRef]
- 57. Available online: http://www.gorka.com.pl (accessed on 1 August 2021).
- 58. Available online: https://www.kilnrefractorybricks.com (accessed on 1 August 2021).
- 59. PN-EN 196-2 Methods of Testing Cement—Part 2: Chemical Analysis of Cement; Polish Committee for Standardization: Warsaw, Poland, 2013.
- 60. ASTM. Standard Test Methods for Chemical Analysis of Soda-Lime and Borosilicate Glass; ASTM C169:2016; ASTM: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2016; p. 24.
- 61. *PN-EN*, 1936: 2010 Natural Stone Test Methods—Determination of Real Density and Apparent Density, and of Total and Open Porosity; Polish Committee for Standardization: Warsaw, Poland, 2013.
- 62. PN-EN, 196-6 Cement Testing Methods—Part 6: Determination of Grinding Degree; Polish Committee for Standardization: Warsaw, Poland, 2019; p. 17.
- 63. *PN-EN*, 196-1:2016 Methods of Testing Cement. Determination of Strength; Polish Committee for Standardization: Warsaw, Poland, 2016; p. 34.
- 64. Available online: http://rruff.geo.arizona.edu/AMS/amcsd.php (accessed on 1 August 2021).