

Supplementary Information



## A comparative study of experimental configurations in synchrotron pair distribution function

Jesus D. Zea-Garcia, Angeles G. De la Torre, Miguel A. G. Aranda and Ana Cuesta

**Table S1.** Instrumental parameters for ID22 beamline obtained at  $Q_{max}$  24 Å<sup>-1</sup> at different data acquisition times.

<b>Beamline ID22</b>	1004 s	400 s	67 s	27 s
Qdamp (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0093	0.0094	0.0094	0.0094
Qbroad (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0085	0.0085	0.0084	0.0084
Rw (%)	9.3	9.3	9.4	9.5

Table S2. Instrumental parameters for MSPD beamline obtained at  $Q_{max}$  24 Å<sup>-1</sup> at different data acquisition times.

<b>Beamline MSPD</b>	37 min	37 min × 2 Cycles
Qdamp (Å⁻1)	0.0039	0.0039
Qbroad (Å⁻1)	0.0085	0.0085
Rw (%)	5.8	5.8

**Table S3.** Refined unit cell parameters, ADPs, and delta2 values for tricalcium silicate and  $\beta$ -dicalcium silicate samples obtained from the PDF analysis in the 1.4 to 50 Å r-range for ID15A and MSPD data.

Sample (Beamline)		Tricalcium	Tricalcium	β-Dicalcium	β-Dicalcium
		Silicate	Silicate	Silicate	Silicate
		(ID15A)	(MSPD)	(ID15A)	(MSPD)
Unit Cell	a (Å)	11.611	11.631	5.499	5.508
	b (Å)	14.182	14.207	6.742	6.755
	c (Å)	13.625	13.653	9.300	9.322
	α (°)	104.8	104.8	-	-
	β (°)	94.5	94.5	94.5	94.5
	γ (°)	90.1	90.2	-	-
ADPs (Ų)	Ca	0.0054	0.0047	0.0064	0.0061
	Si	0.0050	0.0041	0.0061	0.0059
	0	0.0155	0.0130	0.0172	0.0159
delta2	(Ų)	1.82	2.60	1.89	2.33

**Table S4.** Quantitative phase analysis results for the monoclinic tricalcium silicate hydrated paste obtained from the PDF refinements in the r-region from 10 to 25 Å with data collected at ID15A and MSPD. Spdiameter and Rw values are also reported.

Phases	ID15A	MSPD	Expected According to (2) *
Ca <sub>3</sub> SiO <sub>5</sub> (wt.%)	25.8	16.0	20#
Ca(OH)2 (wt.%)	22.6	27.1	30.1
CaCO <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	2.5	1.1	-
C–S–H gel (wt.%) **	49.1	55.7	49.9
Rw (%)	31.0	28.5	-

\* Theoretical weight percentages of expected hydration products according to reaction (2) showed in the main text. # Assuming a 80% of reaction degree. \*\* Only includes nanocrystalline defective tobermorite (this number excludes the weight percentage of isolated monolayers of calcium hydroxide and gel pore water).



**Figure S1.** Experimental PDF pattern from 1.35 to 150 Å r-range for the nickel sample with data collected at ID15A (red line), ID22 (black line), MSPD (blue line) beamlines.



**Figure S2.** Experimental PDF patterns for the nickel sample with data collected at ID22 at different acquisition times in seconds: 27 (black line), 67 (red line), 400 (blue line), 1004 (green line). (**a**) R-range from 1.35 to 150 Å and (**b**) r-range from 1.35–10 Å.



**Figure S3.** Experimental (blue circles) and fitted (red solid line) PDF patterns for nickel sample with the data collected at ID22 at different acquisition times (**a**) 1004 s, (**b**) 400 s, (**c**) 67 s and (**d**) 27 s in the r-range 1.35 Å to 150 Å. Difference curves are shown as grey lines.



**Figure S4.** Experimental PDF pattern for the nickel sample with the data collected at MSPD at different acquisition times: 37 min (blue line) and 37 min × 2 cycles (black line). (**a**) R-range from 1.35 to 150 Å and (**b**) r-range from 1.35–10 Å.



**Figure S5.** Experimental (blue circles) and fitted (red solid line) PDF patterns for nickel sample with the data collected at MSPD at different acquisition times: (**a**) 37min × 2 cycles and (**b**) 37min in the r-range 1.35 Å to 150 Å. Difference curves are shown as grey lines.



**Figure S6.** Laboratory X-ray powder diffraction (LXRPD) Rietveld plot (CuK $\alpha$ 1 radiation,  $\lambda$  = 1.54059 Å) for nickel sample (ICSD #260169).



**Figure S7.** Reduced total scattering structure factor for the data collected at (**a**) ID15A, (**b**) ID22 and (**c**) MSPD. Insets detail the low Q-region for each dataset.



**Figure S8.** Peak fits for the selected Ni–Ni interatomic distances, at 2.49, 3.63 and 6.58 Å, for the nickel standard in the three experimental configurations.



**Figure S9.** Experimental (blue circles) and fitted (red solid line) PDF patterns for crystalline nickel,  $Q_{max} = 24 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  (a) 1.35–30 Å r-range for ID15A data, (b) 1.35–30 Å r-range for ID22data, (c) 1.35-30 Å r-range for MSPD, (d) 30–50 Å r-range for ID15A data, (e) 30–50 Å r-range for ID22 data, (f) 30–50 Å r-range for MSPD data, (g) 50–100 Å r-range for ID15A data, (h) 50–100 Å r-range for ID22 data and (i) 50–100 Å r-range for MSPD data. Difference curves are shown as grey lines.



**Figure S10.** Laboratory X-ray powder diffraction (LXRPD) Rietveld plot (MoK $\alpha$ 1 radiation,  $\lambda$  = 0.70932 Å) for triclinic tricalcium silicate (ICSD #162744).



**Figure S11.** Laboratory X-ray powder diffraction (LXRPD) Rietveld plot (MoK*α*1 radiation,  $\lambda = 0.70932$  Å) for the β-dicalcium silicate sample (ICSD #81096) which also contains γ-dicalcium silicate (ICSD #81095). The main diffraction peaks of γ-dicalcium silicate are labeled as " $\gamma$ ".



**Figure S12.** Experimental (blue circles) and fitted (red solid line) PDF in the 1.4–50 Å r-range,  $Q_{max} = 24 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  (**a**) ID15A dataset for triclinic tricalcium silicate, (**b**) MSPD dataset for triclinic tricalcium silicate, (**c**) ID15A dataset for monoclinic dicalcium silicate and (**d**) MSPD dataset for monoclinic dicalcium silicate. Difference curves are shown as grey lines.



**Figure S13.** Experimental (blue circles) and fitted (red solid line) PDF for ye'elimite with bassanite hydrated paste, w/s = 1.20 and T = RT for 14 days, in the 30 to 50 Å r-range for ID15A data,  $Q_{max} = 29$  Å<sup>-1</sup>. Difference curve is shown as grey line.



**Figure S14.** Synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction (SXRPD) Rietveld plot ( $\lambda = 0.41236$  Å) for ye'elimite with bassanite hydrated paste. Purple tick marks denotes the ettringite reflections and the orange ones denotes gibbsite reflections.