Transcriptional Regulation in Yersinia: an Update

Michael Marceau

Inserm E0364 - Université Lille 2 - Institut Pasteur de Lille, Lille, France

Abstract

In response to the ever-present need to adapt to environmental stress, bacteria have evolved complex (and often overlapping) regulatory networks that respond to various changes in growth conditions, including entry into the host. The expression of most bacterial virulence factors is regulated; thus the question of how bacteria orchestrate this process has become a recurrent research theme for every bacterial pathogen, and the three pathogenic Yersinia are no exception. The earliest studies of regulation in these species were prompted by the characterization of plasmid-encoded virulence determinants, and those conducted since have continued to focus on the principal aspects of virulence in these pathogens. Most Yersinia virulence factors are thermally regulated, and are active at either 28°C (the optimal growth temperature) or 37°C (the host temperature). However, regulation by this omnipresent thermal stimulus occurs through a wide variety of mechanisms, which generally act in conjunction with (or are modulated by) additional controls for other environmental cues such as pH, ion concentration, nutrient availability, osmolarity, oxygen tension and DNA damage. Yersinia's recent entry into the genome sequencing era has given scientists the opportunity to study these regulators on a genome-wide basis. This has prompted the first attempts to establish links between the presence or absence of regulatory elements and the three pathogenic species' respective lifestyles and degrees of virulence.

Introduction

Compared to cells of multicellular organisms, microorganisms face a significant additional challenge: they encounter a wide array of sudden, intense and sometimes even life-threatening environmental changes, and must therefore rapidly modify their structure and metabolism accordingly. Although other mechanisms exist, these changes in bacterial physiology mainly occur by regulating the production of the appropriate structural proteins and enzymes. Adaptation of gene expression in response to such situations appears to be essential for bacterial survival, and thus the regulators involved in these processes should be treated as being as important as the effectors themselves. In bacterial pathogens like

For correspondence: Inserm E0364, Institut Pasteur de Lille, 1, rue du Professeur Calmette, F-59021, Lille, France

Telephone: (33) 3 20 87 11 80; Fax: (33) 3 20 87 11 83; Email: michael.marceau@ibl.fr

those of the Yersinia genus, most outside-to-inside stress-induced responses lead to changes in the expression of virulence factors. In fact, most of the known Yersinia virulence genes are regulated, and elements controlling their expression are thus also virulence factors.

All regulatory systems have a common purpose: to create an interface between the perception of one or several stimuli and to activate or repress expression of their cognate effectors. As we will see by reviewing what is known about Yersinia, the means used to regulate the production of a given bacterial factor range from very simple mechanisms (where the DNA-binding properties of the transcriptional regulator are directly altered by the stimulus) to extremely complex systems which sometimes require lengthy signal transduction cascades and/or simultaneous contribution of multiple regulators that may act at different levels - indeed all the way from initiation of gene transcription to protein turn-over. As in most bacteria, Yersinia regulatory networks are generally organized hierarchically, with a global regulatory system involving a master regulator such as a sigma factor or a histone-like proteins; and lower-level, downstreamacting secondary regulators that control only a subset of a regulon's genes in response to more specific stress situations. Regulatory circuits may interfere with each other, leading to the discovery of unexpectedly dense. overlapping regulons.

The present review is divided into two parts. The first aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the better-known regulatory systems in *Yersinia* (summarized in Fig. 1). The second part is dedicated to what we can (and cannot) learn from genomic analysis. The genomic sequences *Y. pestis* and *Y. pseudotuberculosis* have recently been released into public databases, and at least one *Y. enterocolitica* sequence will be available in the very near future. This prompts opportunities to search for potentially new genus— or species-specific regulators. What will *Yersinia* genome-wide analysis tell us about regulation?

Part one

The pre-genomic era: what we knew about *Yersinia* regulation

Transcriptional regulation of the pYV plasmid-borne antihost genes

The 70-kb pYV (Yersinia virulence) plasmid is found in all human pathogenic Yersinia strains and governs the synthesis of two major virulence factors: the first consists of the various Yop (Yersinia outer membrane protein) effector proteins (YopE, YopH, YopM, YopO/YpkA, YopP/YopJ, YopT) along with the type III secretion system (TTSS) subunits required for their delivery into the eukaryotic cytosol. Yops play a major role during




Fig. 1. Overview of regulation networks discussed in this chapter. Question marks have been added for regulation processes either yet uncharacterized or supposed to exist by similarity with other enterobacteria.

the course of *Yersinia* host infection by contributing to phagocyte resistance, triggering macrophage apoptosis and provoking disorders in cytokine release patterns. The second virulence determinant encoded by the pYV plasmid is YadA, which is principally known as a major adhesin involved in bacterial adherence to various eukaryotic extracellular matrix elements. YadA is also involved in resistance to the host's non-specific defences: it protects *Yersinia* from certain antimicrobial peptide classes synthesized by polymorhponuclear leukocytes (PMNs), and also interrupts formation of the Molecular Attack Complex (MAC). It is noteworthy that the *yadA* gene is inactivated in *Y. pestis* but not in the two other

pathogenic species. A recent review of YadA has been published by El Tahir and Skurnik (2001).

The genes governing the synthesis of the pYV-encoded virulence factors belong to the same stimulon (the *yop* stimulon), which means they are all upregulated by the same environmental stimulus— an increase in temperature to 37°C upon entry into the mammalian host (Bölin *et al.*, 1988). Some members of the *yop* stimulon are also controlled by an additional stimulus: Ca²⁺ availability. This gene subset is often referred to as the LCRS (Low Calcium Response Stimulon) (Goguen *et al.*, 1984; Straley *et al.*, 1993). Transcriptional control of the Yop-encoding genes is a complex process, and provides

a good example of how non-related stimuli may be taken into account hierarchically by the regulatory system.

Temperature and the overall regulation of pYVharboured virulence genes

The 8.1 kDa YmoA protein was first characterized by Cornelis et al. (1991). Transposon mutagenesis revealed Y. entrocolitica ymoA mutants which displayed unusually high yop and yadA transcription levels at 28°C, suggesting that this protein behaves as a global repressor. The YmoA protein is highly similar (82% identity) to the haemolysin expression modulating protein Hha from Escherichia coli, and (at least partial) restoration of haemolysin synthesis in an hha mutant by complementation in trans with ymoA showed that these two molecules share similar functions (Mikulskis and Cornelis, 1994; Balsalobre et al., 1996). In light of their amino acid composition. Hha and YmoA were predicted to be histone-like proteins that modulate gene expression through control of DNA topology. Like Hha, YmoA may interact with H-NS (another chromatinassociated protein known to play a role in the thermal modulation of virulence factor expression) and thus form a nucleoid-protein complex responsible for thermoregulation (Nieto et al., 2002). In addition to its effect on pYV-borne genes, the YmoA histone is also involved in the silencing of *yst*, which encodes a thermostable toxin (see below).

However, studies carried out by Lambert de Rouvroit et al. (1992) with vopH::cat fusions showed that transcription of the yop genes is enhanced by temperature upshifts even after inactivation of ymoA, suggesting that YmoA modulates rather than regulates transcription of the yadA and yop genes, and that other means of thermoregulation might exist. Novobiocin is a compound that affects DNA superhelicity by interacting with gyrases. The fact that Yop genes were still expressed by wild type Y. enterocolitica at 30°C in the presence of sub-inhibitory levels of this drug (i.e., the ymoA mutant phenotype) argues in favour of mechanisms based on DNA conformation (Rohde et al., 1994). Consistent with this hypothesis is the demonstration that thermo-induced Yop expression coincided with variations of pYV DNA supercoiling (Rohde et al., 1994). Five years later, the same authors showed that these dramatic topological changes could be initiated by the melting of local DNA bends as a consequence of a temperature shift from 30°C to 37°C (Rohde et al., 1999).

However, in contrast to the ysc genes involved in the synthesis of the Yop secretion apparatus itself, transcription of most yops- as well as other pYV-borne genes such as ylpA yadA, sycE (Skurnik and Toivanen, 1992; Wattiau and Cornelis, 1993) and the virC operon (Michiels et al., 1991)- has been shown to require an additional regulator: LcrF (Yother et al., 1986; Lambert de Rouvroit et al., 1992). The 30.9-kDa Y. enterocolitica LcrF -VirF (Cornelis et al., 1989) transcriptional activator belongs to the AraC family: it contains two adjacent Cterminal Helix-Turn-Helix (H-T-H) DNA binding motifs. The IcrF gene is pYV-borne and, as with the other Yop and Ysc encoding genes, its transcription is induced at 37°C following changes in DNA superhelicity (Cornelis et al., 1989). Additionally, translation of LcrF can be enhancedat least in Y. pestis- by the melting of heat-unstable

mRNA secondary structures at the Ribosome Binding Site (RBS) (Hoe and Goguen, 1993). The VirF-binding region deduced from footprinting assays is 13-bp long, with the following consensus sequence: TTTTaGYcTtTat (where nucleotides conserved in 60% or more of the sequences are in uppercase letters, and y indicates C or T) (Wattiau and Cornelis, 1994). Yop promoters are expressed constitutively by *ymoA virF* double mutants. Accordingly, *yop* expression has been found to be VirF-independent in *ymoA* mutants (Lambert de Rouvroit *et al.*, 1992). These observations suggest that the role of VirF may be to counteract the negative effects of YmoA. However, the fact that *yop* expression is still enhanced by temperature upshifts (Lambert de Rouvroit *et al.*, 1992) suggests the involvement of other thermoregulation mechanisms.

From low calcium levels to yop transcriptional regulation During infection, the Yersinia pYV-encoded type III secretion machinery is activated by a succession of environmental signals. The initial cue is a temperature upshift to 37°C (the host-entry signal), which promotes transcription of all Yop and Ysc proteins. Other possible signals encountered within the host may be required for the complete assembly of the secretion apparatus (Lee et al., 2001). The final signal that triggers the translocation of the Yops in vivo is contact with the host cell (Rosqvist et al., 1994; Sorv and Cornelis, 1994; Pettersson et al., 1996). Biosynthesis and activation of the Yop machinery can be induced in vitro by incubating bacteria at 37°C in calcium-poor media (i.e., a calcium concentration lower than 80 µM). This experimentally induced response is often referred to as the Low Calcium Response (LCR). However, Ca2+ concentrations are dramatically lower inside the target cell cytoplasm than outside. Thus, as suggested by Lee et al. (2001), low calcium may also be the real triggering stimulus sensed by Yersinia in vivo. In the presence of millimolar calcium concentrations, the type III secretion apparatus remains blocked, and Yops are not secreted (Forsberg et al., 1991; Yother and Goquen, 1985). Furthermore, transcription of genes governing Yop synthesis is repressed (Cornelis et al., 1987; Forsberg and Wolf-Watz, 1988; Straley et al., 1993). Despite its name, LcrF alone cannot account for this downregulation, since some genes of its regulon, including YadA, are not elements of the LCR Stimulon (Skurnik and Toivanen, 1992). This clearly indicates that temperature and calcium concentrations regulate Yop expression via two independent systems.

How then does calcium regulate the LCR stimulon? Genes encoding the Yop effectors are only actively transcribed when their respective products are not present in high amounts in the bacterial cytoplasm. This is typically the case in calcium deprivation, when Yops are expelled from the bacterium via the Ysc secretion apparatus. Calcium-dependent blockage of Yop secretion depends on a set of at least three TTSS subunits: LcrG, the channel gatekeeper (Skrzypek and Straley, 1993), TyeA, required for polarized delivery of Yop effectors (Iriarte et al., 1998) and YopN/LcrE, the cell contact sensor (Forsberg et al., 1991). Mutations inactivating any of these proteins will result in the massive leakage of the Yop effectors from the bacterium and the subsequent

derepression of the *yop* transcription, regardless of the calcium concentration (Boland *et al.*, 1996; Cheng and Schneewind, 2000; Forsberg *et al.*, 1991; Iriarte *et al.*, 1998; Skryzpek and Straley, 1993; Yother and Goguen, 1985).

How does the amount of Yops regulate yop transcription? In *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, another subunit, LcrQ, is co-injected into the target cell along with the Yop effectors (Cambronne *et al.*, 2000). In *Y. enterocolitica*, two LrcQ counterparts, called YscM1 and YscM2, have been identified (Stainier *et al.*, 1997). LcrQ is a negative regulator of the LCR stimulon. Its accumulation in the bacterial cytoplasm, resulting from blockage of the secretion apparatus, is thought to be the first step in the LCR stimulon downregulation cascade (Pettersson *et al.*, 1996). Other Yop subunits, in particular YopD and LcrH (Williams and Straley, 1998; Francis *et al.*, 2001) have been shown to be involved in this process.

Regulation of *yop* transcription by this kind of negative feedback system allows advance synthesis and cytoplasmic storage of ready-to-use Yop molecules prior to cell contact. In the absence of such a system, neosynthesized effectors (i.e. produced upon contact with macrophages) would never be available on time to prevent phagocytosis.

Yops and flagella are both temperature-regulated in Yersinia. However, the former are produced at 37°C but not the latter, and the opposite situation is observed at 28°C. Interestingly, LcrD, of the Yop secretion machinery, shares some structural similarity with elements involved in the flagellar apparatus assembly. Identification in silico of a putative binding site upstream of IcrD suggested that σ^{28} (an alternative sigma factor also called FliA and which controls flagellum assembly- see below), may be involved in these temperature-induced, physiological modifications. Studies performed by Iriarte et al. (1995c) showed that FliA as such is not involved in Yop regulation. However, these results do not rule out the possible contribution of an as yet unknown, FliA-like, global regulator. In accordance with these observations and the regulation of other flagellar regulatory system, FlhDC may well play such a role, as discussed below.

Yst biosynthesis: the extreme complexity of its regulation by a sigma factor

Y. enterocolitica synthesises and secretes an enterotoxin (Pai and Mors, 1978; Delor et al., 1990) which affects the digestive tract of its mammalian hosts by causing an overproduction of cyclic GMP within the intestinal epithelial cells (Robins-Browne et al., 1979). In light of its significant similarity to the heat stable E. coli ST-I toxin, this chromosome-encoded molecule was originally called Y-ST but is currently referred to as Y-STa or Y-STb. depending on the subtype (Ramamurthy et al., 1997). Transcription of yst, the Y-ST-encoding gene, is growth phase-regulated and is influenced by environmental cues such as pH, osmolarity and temperature (Mikulskis et al., 1994). However, with the discovery of the sigma factor RpoS (also known as σ^{S} , σ^{38} or katF) as one of the *yst* regulators (Iriarte et al., 1995b), it has become increasingly evident that control of yst expression may be one of the most complex regulatory systems ever encountered in bacteria. RpoS regulation has been the subject of intense study, especially in *E. coli* and pseudomonads. The idea that yst can be expressed in a $\sigma^{\rm S}$ -dependent manner arose from the observation that Y-ST synthesis was initially considered as stationary phase-specific and that its promoter region contains strong, $\sigma^{\rm S}$ -recognized consensus motifs (Iriarte et~al.,~1995b). However, direct regulation of yst transcription by this sigma factor has not been experimentally demonstrated.

Although first described as a stationary phase-specific regulator, it is now recognized that RpoS's influence extends beyond the stationary phase-related response. In light of what is currently known, RpoS should rather be considered as a global stress-response regulator required for tolerance of a variety of potentially lethal conditions, such as hyperosmolarity, temperature shocks, oxidative and UV stresses, most of the time in conjunction with other regulatory systems. The extreme complexity of RpoS-based control also stems from the fact that its own expression is finely controlled at each possible regulation level: transcription, translation, and turnover of *rpoS* mRNA and RpoS protein (for a recent comprehensive review see Hengge-Aronis, 2002).

rpoS transcription may increase approximately 5- to 10-fold during the stationary phase (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991). In *E. coli, rpoS* transcriptional upregulation has been shown to result (at least partially) from interferences with previously characterized regulatory networks. These include the catabolite repression system, in which the CRP-cAMP complex negatively regulates rpoS transcription (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991; 1994), and the BarA two-component sensorkinase- phosphotransferase system. In E. coli. the latter acts positively (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2000) but probably independently of its only known cognate response regulator, UvrY (also referred to as YecB). Accumulation of ppGpp (Lange et al., 1995) and polyphosphate (Shiba et al., 1997) may also trigger rpoS transcription, although the mechanisms by which these compounds induce transcription are poorly understood. Comparison between the E. coli and Pseudomonas models (reviewed in Venturi, 2003) strongly suggests the existence of core rpoS transcription regulators. However, some other regulators controlling rpoS transcription appear to be optional with regards to the bacterial species, possibly as the result of the adaptation to divergent lifestyles. rpoS transcriptional regulation has not so far been studied in the Yersinia genus. Translation of E. coli rpoS mRNA is both osmolarity- and temperature-dependent. The most likely site of rpoS translation regulation is a very long mRNA leader sequence (approximately 560 bp between the transcript start and the AUG codon) suspected of forming defined secondary structures (Cunning et al., 1998). The switch of this transcript from an inactive to an active state may occur through stabilization of this region in a translationally competent conformation that provides access to the ribosome binding sites. As demonstrated by a series of studies performed in E. coli, this cis-regulation results from complex interplays between several transacting elements, some of which act positively, like the Hfq (HF-1) RNA-binding protein, the nucleoid HU protein and DsrA, a low temperature-induced small RNA (Brown

Recent studies conducted in *Y. enterocolitica* are consistent with this model: Yrp, the recently characterized HF-1 (Hfq) counterpart in *Yersinia* (also referred to as Ymr in certain databases) has been shown to control *yst* expression at the transcriptional level (Nakao *et al.*, 1995). In line with these results, it was hypothesized that Yrp may exert its effect though the control of DNA topology (Nakao *et al.*, 1995). With regard to the *E. coli* model, it is also possible that this control may occur though regulation of *rpoS*.

Reducing protein turnover by preventing proteolysis is a very efficient means of promoting regulator accumulation. According to studies performed in E. coli, RpoS has a very short half-life (less than 2 min) during the exponential phase (Lange et al., 1994), due to rapid degradation by the cytoplasmic ATP-dependent ClpXP protease complex; this is not the case during the stationary phase, where the half-life can achieve values of over 30 min (Schweder et al., 1996). The ClpXP-catalysed degradation of RpoS depends on a two-component system response regulator. RssB. also called MviA in Salmonella (Bearson et al., 1996) and referred to or identified as Hnr by the Yersinia genome annotation groups. Unlike most two-component systems, this regulator does not appear to directly regulate gene expression, but promotes σ^s degradation by directly and specifically interacting with a domain of the RpoS protein (Muffler et al., 1996; Pratt and Silhavy, 1996). Accordingly, RssB's regulation of proteolysis does not extend to any other ClpXP substrate. The RssB cognate sensor has not been characterized yet in any bacterial species, suggesting that RssB may be regulated by another two-component system sensor kinase.

In Y. enterocolitica. RpoS is not essential for virulence in the murine model (Badger and Miller, 1995), in contrast to what has been observed in Salmonella (Nickerson and Curtiss, 1997). However, it has been shown that RpoS is required (only at the 37°C host temperature) for adaptation to at least some of the environmental stresses mentioned above (Badger and Miller, 1995). In agreement with these findings, growth phase regulation of the yst gene was found to be thermo-dependent, and once again YmoA (the negative histone-like regulator of the yop regulon, see above) seems to play a critical role in this process (Mikulskis et al., 1994). It is noteworthy that RpoS does not regulate yop transcription (Iriarte et al., 1995b). Y-ST host tissue-specific expression (most likely in the ileum) may thus result principally from the complex interplay between the RpoS and YmoA global regulators. To date, the role of RpoS in the virulence of Y. pestis and Y. pseudotuberculosis has not been analyzed.

The Yersinia pH6 antigen: regulated by a ToxRST-like system?

In order to produce *E. coli* Pap-like fimbrial adhesins termed Psa, *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and *Y. pestis* must be

grown at 34°C or higher, consistent with their contribution to virulence (Lindler et al., 1990; Yang et al., 1996). These fimbrial adhesins are termed "Psa" (pH Six Antigen) due to the fact that, in addition to the temperature requirement, maximal expression of these appendages is obtained at pH 6. Psa biosynthesis requires two neighbouring gene clusters. The first (psaABC) encodes the structural subunit (A), along with its chaperone (B) and membrane usher (C); whereas the second (psaEF) is required for the transcriptional regulation of psaA (and possibly other genes) (Lindler et al., 1990; Yang et al., 1996; Yang and Isberg, 1997). Two similar genetic clusters displaying identical organisation and functions have been identified in Y. enterocolitica and designated myfABC and myfEF (Iriarte and Cornelis, 1995a). The 24-kDa PsaE and 18.5-kDa PsaF proteins are respectively 52% and 54% identical to their Y. enterocolitica counterparts. MvfE and MyfF (Iriarte and Cornelis, 1995a). Both elements are constitutively expressed and are essential for psaA/myfA transcription in the three pathogenic Yersinia species: no psaA/myfA mRNA could be detected in cultures following mutation of either of these two elements, even during growth in highly permissive conditions, unless psaA was under control of a constitutive promoter (Yang and Isberg, 1997). Surprisingly, these positive regulatory elements do not exhibit any obvious DNA-binding motifs. However, based on topological predictions and the results of fusions with phoA, it has been hypothesized that PsaE/MyfE and PsaF/MyfF may be functionally similar to ToxR and ToxS respectively, i.e. two of the three elements required for transcriptional activation of the V. cholereae tcp (toxin co-regulated pili) operon, and that their regulation may be similar (Yang and Isberg, 1997). The ways in which temperature and pH may influence this regulatory system are still unknown. In addition, it has been recently been reported that the psaEF operon is possibly regulated at the transcription level by Fur (Panina et al., 2001a; see below).

Iron homeostasis systems: global and specific regulation Iron - an essential cofactor for many enzymatic processes – plays a vital role in most living species. Iron sources and availability vary from one environment to another: this metallic ion exists as insoluble ferric (Fe3+) iron hydroxides in aerobic conditions, soluble ferrous iron (Fe2+) in anaerobic environments or complexed with iron-binding molecules (siderophores) within the host (reviewed in Weinberg, 1978). Thus, bacterial pathogens display a wide arsenal of uptake systems adapted to these various iron sources, some of which are encoded by pathogenicity islands. Although numerous, these mechanisms are not as redundant as they first appear, and some experimental evidence encourages the belief that to ensure optimal iron uptake, bacteria preferentially activate (via specific regulatory systems) the most appropriate mechanism for their environment. In contrast, iron overload can be deleterious for the bacterial cell, leading to the accumulation of strongly oxidizing hydroxyl radicals that damage DNA and provoke cell death (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1984). Hence, all iron uptake mechanisms are ultimately repressed by iron. This global

downregulation involves the Fur repressor, a regulator with an unexpectedly wide potential sphere of influence.

Fur: more than just an iron uptake regulator

Fur (for Ferric Uptake Regulator) plays a central role (Staggs and Perry, 1991; 1992; Staggs et al., 1994) in directly or indirectly regulating the expression of most of the genes involved in iron metabolism (for general reviews, see Crosa, 1997; Crosa and and Walsh 2002; Escolar et al., 1999), although separate, Fur-independent iron regulatory system may exist in Yersinia. The 17-kDa Fur protein is a Fe²⁺-dependent transcriptional repressor. When cytoplasmic Fe2+ is in excess, two overlapping dimers of Fur binds to the operator sequence ("Fur boxes" or "iron boxes") of iron-repressible (irp) genes, including fur itself. In contrast, in the absence of this micronutrient. the Fe(II)-free Fur aporepressor is released from DNA. leading to gene derepression. Fur exhibits two major differences from classical substrate-binding repressors, and thus it has been speculated that Fur may be more than just a transcriptional regulator. Firstly, the amount of Fur is more than a hundred times higher than typical repressor levels: in E. coli, around 5,000 copies of Fur per cell may be achieved in normal growing conditions, and up to 10,000 following oxidative stress (Zheng et al., 1999). Secondly, the 19-bp Fur box is unusually long, i.e. 7 bp more than the box recognized by classical regulators containing helix-turn-helix motifs (Harrison et al., 1990). The 19-bp minimal consensus sequence (5'-GATAATGATAATCATTATC-3') has been shown to consist of a 5'-GATAAT-3' hexamer tandem repeat followed by a third hexamer in the opposite orientation (F-F-x-R configuration) (De Lorenzo et al., 1987). Some Fur boxes may contain additional hexamers, but these motifs make minor contributions to the Fur-DNA interaction (Escolar et al., 1998; 1999). Each dimer binds a 13 nucleotidespanning region on opposite faces of the helix, with fewer phosphate contacts than observed for classical regulators (Baichoo and Helmann, 2002).

Based on experimental and/or computational evidence, all the iron scavenging systems in *Yersinia* studied to date have been shown to be Fur-controlled: the Hmu/Hem (Thompson *et al.*, 1999) and *Serratia*-like Has haemophore-dependent heme acquisition machineries (Rossi *et al.*, 2001), the siderophore-dependent yersiniabactin (Ybt) inorganic iron transport system, the YfeABCD iron and manganese uptake system (Bearden *et al.*, 1998; Bearden and Perry, 1999), and the *yfuABC* operon-encoded iron transporters (Saken *et al.*, 2000).

In the last few years, genome-wide computational analyses and the use of biochemical and genetic techniques have revealed a number of potentially Furcontrolled genes, showing that the initial size of the Fur regulon was probably underestimated. In other bacteria, a systematic search for Fur-controlled genes has revealed that this molecule may also regulate physiological functions that go beyond iron uptake. In *E. coli*, for example, Fur has been shown to directly control the expression of SodA and SodB, two oxidative stress-combative superoxide dismutases, and *fur* has also been shown to be controlled by *oxyS* in this species, demonstrating that Fur also contributes to protection against oxidative damage and

mutagenesis (Zhang et al., 1998). Fur may also exert (mainly indirect) negative or positive control of a broad range of cellular processes, such as acid shock and redox-stress responses, chemotaxis, metabolic pathways (e.g. glycolysis and TCA cycle) and the production of toxins and virulence factors (McHugh et al., 2003). In Y. pestis, Fur is suspected of directly controlling expression of the pH6 antigen at the transcriptional level (Panina et al., 2001). Given this recent information, it is tempting to consider Fur as a global regulator, rather than just an iron uptake-specific transcription factor, that controls other aspects of bacterial metabolism besides extracellular iron availability.

How to favour use of the most appropriate iron uptake system: the ybtA lesson

Upon iron starvation, the three pathogenic Yersinia species release a high-affinity iron-binding compound called yersiniabactin (Ybt), which captures ferric iron from the environment. The resulting iron-siderophore complex is then transported back in to the bacterial cytosol in a TonB-dependent manner via a specific surface receptor, termed FyuA in Y.enterocolitica and Psn in the two other pathogenic species. psn/fyuA and the other genes required for yersiniabactin biosynthesis and secretion are located on a 36 to 43- kb chromosomal region within the unstable pam locus. This region is known as the High-Pathogenicity Island (HPI) and is required for full virulence in highly pathogenic isolates of Y. pestis, Y. pseudotuberculosis and Y. enterocolitica. It has been shown to be essential during the early stages of infection in the mouse model. Like most other iron uptake systems in Yersinia, it is Furcontrolled (see Carniel, 2001 for a review).

As with other siderophores, like pyochelin and pyoverdin produced by pseudomonads (Crosa, 1997), yersiniabactin plays a regulatory role by enhancing its own synthesis along with that of its Psn/FyuA receptor. This activation occurs via binding to an HPI-encoded 36-kDa transcriptional activator, YbtA (Fetherston et al., 1996), a member of the AraC/XyIS family which contain two adjacent C-terminal Helix-Turn-Helix (H-T-H) DNA binding motifs. Like AraC and PchR (the latter being the YbtA homologue for the uptake and synthesis of pyochelin in P. aeruginosa), YbtA inhibits transcription of its own gene. However, unlike these two regulators, YbtA does not seem to act as a repressor in the absence of its cognate ligand. In addition to ybtA itself, YbtA-binding DNA inverted repeats have been identified immediately upstream from the -35 box of psn/fyuA, the ybtPQXS operon and the Ybt biosynthesis gene irp2. (Fetherston et al., 1996; Bearden et al., 1997; Fetherston et al., 1999). YbtA is thought to bind to these sequences as a dimer and to positively regulate their transcription. Conversely, it has also been shown that the psn/fvuA. vbtA. irp2 and ybtPQXS operons are repressed by Fur (Carniel et al., 1992; Gehring et al., 1998; Fetherston et al., 1996; Staggs et al., 1994; Panina et al., 2001).

Although its free form is thought to exhibit residual activity, YbtA must bind yersiniabactin to be fully active (Fetherston *et al.*, 1996). In the bacterial cytosol, the only yersiniabactin source comes from the Ybt-Fe³+ recovered from the surrounding medium. Thus, the Ybt iron-uptake

system will be maximally active when Fe³⁺ is available in the surrounding medium and there is no cytoplasmic iron overload, but will be less active in the presence of iron sources other than Fe³⁺. In other words, by this kind of positive feedback, the yersiniabactin system will be most efficient when best suited to the iron source.

Yersinia iron uptake systems: are they differentially expressed?

In pseudomonads, production of exogenous siderophore receptors is selectively upregulated by cognate ligands in the environment, mostly via processes requiring extracellular sigma factors (Poole et al., 2003). It has been suggested that the Y. pestis Yfe and Ybt systems function during different stages of the infectious process in bubonic plague (Bearden and Perry, 1999). Thus, the various iron uptake systems available in one given Yersinia cell may be differentially regulated according to the iron source available in the environment, with preferential expression of the most suitable systems at the expense of the others. In an attempt to verify this hypothesis, Jacobi et al. (2001) used translational fusions with reporter genes to monitor the expression of yfuA and hemR (encoding the Fe³⁺-Yersiniabactin and haem receptors respectively) in Y. enterocolitica during its course of infection in the murine model. Expression of these two genes was found to fluctuate from one organ to another, with the highest expression levels in the peritoneal cavity and the lowest in the intestinal lumen and liver. However, because identical variations were observed for both yfuA and hemR, these genes may be coordinately regulated. Nevertheless, the anticipated environment-driven, differential expression of Yersinia iron-uptake systems still awaits direct experimental confirmation.

Haemin storage: its unusual regulation by temperature

The haemin absorption system, also known as the haemin storage system or Hms (Carniel et al., 1989; Fetherston et al., 1992), is essential for the flea-mediated transmisison of Y. pestis but does not contribute to the pathogenesis of bubonic plague in mammals (Lillard et al., 1999). At least two haemin storage loci (hmsHFRS and hmsT) enable Yersinia pestis to accumulate haemin, ferric iron and Congo Red in a process that is only active at temperatures lower than 34°C (Perry et al., 1990; Lillard et al., 1999). Interestingly, as recently shown by Perry et al. (2004), thermal control of the Hms+ phenotype is unlikely to depend on transcriptional regulation for at least two reasons. Firstly, levels of mRNA transcribed from both loci do not change significantly with growth temperature. Secondly, levels of the HmsH, F, R and S proteins seem to result from independent regulation processes - whereas HmsH, and HmsR protein levels appear to decrease upon temperature upshifts, levels of HmsF and HmsS do not change, even though their genes belong to the same polycistronic unit. This second observation also suggests that translational control is unlikely (this is their hypothesis, it has not yet been demonstrated). Temperature-regulated expression of the Hms+ phenotype may rather depend on differential lability of the Hms proteins to proteases (Perry et al., 2004). Except in the case of HmsT, which is

degraded by the Lon, ClpXP, and/or ClpAP complexes at 37°C. candidate proteases have not been identified yet.

Earlier studies had shown that the Fur repressor was an essential actor in the regulation of the Hms phenotype, since *fur* mutants were found to be constitutively Hms+, (Hms°, i.e. formation of red colonies even at 37°C on CR agar) (Staggs *et al.*, 1994). The detection of a putative upstream Fur binding site for *hmsT* but not for *hmsHFRS* strongly suggested that the Hms phenotype could be regulated by Fur through the expression of HmsT (Jones *et al.*, 1999). Given the recent finding that *hmsT* transcription is not regulated by temperature (Perry *et al.*, 2004), the mechanism for Fur control of the Hms+ phenotype still needs to be elucidated.

Flagellar biosynthesis: a highly hierarchical regulatory system

Y. enterocolitica and Y. pseudotuberculosis are flagellated and motile, whereas Y. pestis is not. Type III flagella are critical (at least in Y. enterocolitica) for swarming motility, migration and adherence to host cells (Young et al., 2000). However, in Y. enterocolitica, flagella are synthesized at 30°C or below but not at the host temperature, strongly suggesting that their contribution may not last beyond the early stages of infection. Biosynthesis of the bacterial flagellum requires more than 40 genes, including those necessary for flagellar rotation and those encoding the chemosensory apparatus. Yersinia flagellar operons (also called motility operons) display significant homologies and similar arrangements to those of E. coli and Salmonella, and even though there is often no direct experimental evidence, it is commonly presumed that flagellar synthesis in Yersinia is identical in most aspects to the paradigm established from studies in these two species. Flagellar operons (sometimes referred to as flagellar regulons) fall into three classes which are expressed in a hierarchical manner: expression of the class 2 operons required for basal rod and hook assembly depends on products encoded by the unique class 1 operon, flhDC (also known as the master operon). In turn, transcription of the class 3 genes required for i) biogenesis of the filament and motor torque generator, and ii) motility and chemotaxis regulation, depends on the complete and correct assembly of the class 2 subunits: mutants lacking these components do not express the subunits needed for the later stages. In addition to assembly monitoring, expression of motility genes may be further regulated in response to environmental signals. The key transcriptional regulators involved in this complex processes are the products of the master flhD/flhC regulatory operon and the FliA/FlgM sigma/anti-sigma factors (Chilcott and Hughes, 2000; Shapiro, 1995, for review).

The flhDC operon (the sole class I operon) is at the top of the regulatory cascade and is thus required for expression of all the class 2 and class 3 genes in the flagellar regulon as well as its own transcription (see below). Mutations within flhDC completely abolish swimming and swarming motilities. FlhD and FlhC form a heterotetrameric (C2D2) complex in which FlhC may act as an allosteric activator of FlhD, the DNA-binding subunit (Campos and Matsumura, 2001). Very recent reports strongly suggest that this regulatory complex is not only a

motility-specific activator (as initially thought) but probably also a global regulator. In *E. coli*, the heterotetrameric complex has been shown to regulate flagellum-unrelated physiological functions, such as membrane transport, respiration, sugar metabolism and other enzymatic processes (Pruss *et al.*, 2001; 2003). In pathogens like *Proteus mirabilis* and the insect pathogen *Xenorhabdus nematophilus*, amongst others, it may control various virulence-associated phenotypes including invasion and production of proteases, haemolysins and phospholipases (Fraser *et al.*, 2002; Givaudan *et al.*, 2000).

This operon has also been designated flhDC in Yersinia, because of its high degree of identity to the master operons of other Gram-negative bacteria and because of its similar contributions to regulation of flagellar biosynthesis (Young et al., 1999a). As in some other enterobacterial pathogens, the operon has been shown to modulate the expression of other virulence factors. either (i) associated with flagella synthesis, like YpIA, a phospholipase that requires the type III flagellum to be secreted (Young et al., 1999b; Young and Young, 2002) and is considered to form part of the flagellar regulon; or (ii) unrelated to flagella synthesis, like the Yops and their secretion apparatus (Bleves et al., 2002). Recent results demonstrate that transcription of the flagellar master operon is also environmentally controlled. In Salmonella and E. coli. it has been shown that expression of the flhDC operon is controlled by a wide array of regulatory systems, including the catabolite repression cascade via cAMP-CRP, the histone-like H-NS protein, and at least two signal transduction systems (also referred to as twocomponent systems): OmpR-EnvZ (Shin and Park, 1995) and RscC-RscB-RscA-YojN (Francez-Charlot et al., 2003). Additionally, flagellar biosynthesis has been seen to depend on cell density via two pairs of LuxR/I-type quorum sensing homologues (Atkinson et al., 1999).

FliA and FlgM

FliA, also known as sigma 28 (σ^{28}), is required for the master regulon-dependent expression of most class 3 operons, although at least two of them (flqKL and fliDS) may also be directly activated by FlhD-FlhC (Bartlett et al., 1988). FliA-dependent operons are only expressed upon complete and correct assembly of the class 2 gene products (Hughes et al., 1993). How then can the bacterial cell sense completion of the hook-basal body intermediate structure? The exact mechanism of this phenomenon remained obscure until FlgM, the FliAcognate anti-sigma factor, was characterized (Ohnishi et al., 1992). As already shown in several enterobacteria, flgM mutants exhibit high transcription levels of the class 3 operons. Conversely, cytosolic accumulation of FlgM leads to class 3 gene silencing (Hughes et al., 1993). Thus, completion of the flagellar apparatus requires low FlgM levels. FlgM is normally secreted from the bacterial cell upon assembly of functional hooks and basal rods. If these latter structures are not functional for any reason, the anti- σ^{28} factor accumulates within the cytoplasm, blocking the later steps of flagellar synthesis by inactivating FliA (Gillen and Hughes, 1991; Hughes et al., 1993). fliA and flgM have been recently characterized in Y. enterocolitica and shown (by functional complementation) to exhibit similar properties with regard to counterparts previously studied in other enterobacterial species (Kapatral et al... 1996). Interestinaly, neither fliA nor flaM (most likely because FliA might control the expression of at least some class 2 genes) is transcriptionally active at 37°C and, consequently, most class 3 operons remain silent (Kapatral and Minnich, 1995; Kapatral et al., 1996), arguing for the presence of flagella only during the very first steps of host infection. However, unlike the FIhC-FIhD complex, it is currently believed that FliA has no impact on the expression of virulence factors other than those associated with flagella- including the flagella themselves and YpIA (Schmiel et al., 2000)- and is not involved in the temperature-sensitive regulation of the pYV-harboured genes (Iriarte et al., 1995c). Despite high structural similarity among enterobacterial flagella, slight differences exist: The fact that flagella are expressed at 37° in Salmonella but not in Yersinia is probably the best example. In agreement with this observation is the finding that a Y. enterocolitica flgM mutant is fully virulent but Salmonella flgM mutants display attenuated virulence, probably due to the abnormal expression level of FliC flagellin (Schmitt et al., 1994; 1996).

Chemotaxis regulation

Swimming behaviour of bacteria such as E. coli and Salmonella depends on the direction of flagellar rotation: the flagellar apparatus fluctuates between clockwise rotation (causing jerky movements known as tumbling motility) and counter-clockwise rotation (associated with straight swimming). The signal transduction system that mediates bacterial chemotaxis allows cells to modify the frequency of transition between these two states as a function of the environmental conditions (for review, see Macnab, 1996). In most cases, the absence of environmental input signals is sensed at the periplasmic level by specific, inner-membrane anchored receptors and is then transmitted to the chemotaxis regulation apparatus by the activation (via methylation) of membrane-spanning molecules called MCPs (for Methyl-accepting Chemotaxis Proteins). MCPs are often associated with several receptors, and may thus function as specific intermediates for several environmental cues. In some cases, they may be directly regulated by the environmental stimulus itself. In E. coli, the cytoplasmic chemotaxis regulation apparatus per se is composed of 6 subunits: CheA, CheB, CheR CheW, CheY and CheZ. Each MCP may assemble with two of these subunits (CheA and CheW), and activation occurs through conformational changes in this ternary complex (Gegner et al., 1992; Ninfa et al., 1991). CheA functions as a histidine-kinase which, once activated, phosphorylates CheY. In turn, this latter subunit binds to FliM, which belongs to the flagellar motor/switch complex (consisting of the three proteins FliG. FliM. and FliN), forcing a rotation change from counter-clockwise to clockwise and thus causing tumbling motility. Conversely, the sensing of an attractant stimulus will abrogate signal transduction and facilitate swimming behaviour. The high switch frequency is facilitated by controlling the methylation of MCPs by CheR (positive) and CheB (negative) and the dephosphorylation of CheY by CheZ (Hess et al., 1988). A complete chemotaxis system, including the six Che signal

transduction proteins, 8 MCP and the three flagellar motor/switch complex subunits was found in the three pathogenic *Yersinia* species (Deng *et al.*, 2002; Hinchliffe *et al.*, 2003; and results obtained from *Y. enterocolitica* genome BLAST searches – http://www.sanger.ac.uk). All six Che subunit-encoding genes share 70 to 90% identity with their respective *E. coli* and *Salmonella* counterparts. In constrast, FliG, FliM, and FliN amino-acid sequences were found to be much less conserved. Whether and how this evolution of the flagellar motor/switch complex might impact *Yersinia* chemotaxis remains to be assessed.

F1 antigen regulation

Expression of the Y. pestis antiphagocytic capsule, also known as F1 antigen (for review, see Perry and Fetherston. 1997), requires four genes harboured by the Y. pestisspecific 110kb pFra virulence plasmid. The first three are clustered in the caf operon and encode the molecular chaperone (Caf1M), the outer membrane anchor (Caf1A) and the F1 structural subunit (Caf1). The fourth gene, encoding the Caf1R AraC family regulatory protein, is located just upstream of, but in opposite orientation, to the caf operon, suggesting that both the regulator-encoding gene and the caf operon may have a common operating region. Expression of the caf operon is thermally sensitive, as evidenced by an increase in Caf1A levels following a temperature shift from 28°C to 37°C (Karlyshev et al., 1992). Caf1R is apparently required for this process. However, whether induction by temperature occurs through Caf1R has not yet been investigated. Recently, it has been proposed that Caf1A may be associated with a Y. pestis-specific galactolipid (Feodorova and Devdariani, 2001). This moiety can also be extracted from pFra-less strains, suggesting that its biosynthesis depends on as yet unidentified, chromosome-harboured genes. Like CafA1, higher amounts of this galactolipid are recovered from bacteria grown at 37°C than at 28°C, raising the possibility that synthesis of these two capsular compounds may be similarly regulated.

The pleiotropic PhoP-PhoQ regulatory system

Calcium (Ca2+) and magnesium (Mg2+) are essential for stabilizing the negatively-charged lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in the outer membrane. Hence, reduced availability of these two cations may be considered as a source of stress for the bacterial cell. The maintenance of LPS integrity under these conditions requires the PhoP-PhoQ two-component system (Groisman et al., 1997; Guo et al., 1997). PhoP-PhoQ responds not only to external Mg2+ and Ca2+ ion concentrations but also to Mn²⁺ (García Véscovi et al., 1996; Soncini et al., 1996). As in most two-component systems, activation of PhoP requires autophosphorylation of PhoQ upon Ca2+/Mg2+ deprivation and subsequent phosphoryl transfer to PhoP. The phospho-PhoP response regulator switches from an inactive to active state, and its binding to DNA then promotes both transcription of PhoP-activated genes (pags) – including the phoPQ operon itself (Soncini et al., 1995) – and repression of PhoP-repressed genes (prgs). Conversely, when bound to Mg2+ and/or Ca2+, PhoQ is able to inactivate phospho-PhoP by dephosphorylation. As with almost all two-component systems, the means by

which the sensor recognizes the response regulator is still poorly understood.

In Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. PhoP-PhoQ has been shown to control the transcription of a wide array of unlinked genes that contribute to various modifications of cellular physiology. It became rapidly apparent that some of these were essential for survival inside the host, since Salmonella phoP mutants were found to show highly attenuated virulence in mice (Miller et al., 1989; Galán and Curtiss, 1989). In this species, the most intensively studied PhoP-PhoQ controlled phenotype is the ability to modify the lipid A moiety of LPS by performing at least two substitutions: one with palmitate (catalysed by a palmitoyl transferase termed PagP (Bishop et al., 2000) and a second with 4-amino-arabinose. through the upregulation of the 7-ORF pmrHFIGKLM operon, also referred to as pmrF (Gunn et al., 1998). This latter modification contributes to a decrease in the net negative charge of LPS, thus promoting stabilization of the outer membrane during calcium and magnesium starvation (Groisman et al., 1997). Both modifications have been shown to promote Salmonella's resistance to a broad range of cationic antimicrobial peptides (essential components of the innate immune response) and facilitate the pathogen's survival within acidified macrophage phagosomes (Guo et al., 1998; Baker et al., 1999).

Operons encoding Salmonella PhoP and PhoQ orthologues have been identified in the three pathogenic Yersinia species. Recent studies have shown that the Y. pestis PhoP-PhoQ system is involved in infection of mice challenged by subcutaneous injection: although a Y. pestis phoP mutant was still virulent, its LD50 increased by 75 fold. PhoP was also shown to contribute to intramacrophage survival- though to a lesser extent than in Salmonella, since Yersiniae mostly remain extracellular during infection (Oyston et al., 2000; Grabenstein et al., 2004). Accordingly, tolerance of the three pathogenic Yersinia species to antimicrobial peptides was found to depend (at least partially) on elements of the PhoP-PhoQ regulons, such as the pmrF operon (Marceau et al., 2004). In Y. pestis, PhoP-PhoQ may also control the production of an alternative lipo-oligosaccharide (LOS) form containing terminal galactose instead of heptose (Hitchen et al. 2002).

Over the past decade, the central role of the PhoP–PhoQ two-component system in virulence regulation has become increasingly clear in many bacterial pathogens. In *Salmonella*, more than forty genes have been shown to fall into the PhoP regulon (Miller and Mekalanos, 1990), and the first results from 2D protein gel analyses strongly suggest the existence of a regulon (including PhoP-activated and -repressed genes) of at least equal size in *Y. pestis* (Oyston *et al.*, 2000). A subset of this regulon may be further regulated by temperature.

RpoE: regulation of virulence by outer membrane stress-response systems

Extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors (a subgroup of the σ^{70} family) regulate a range of physiological processes, including envelope homeostasis, folding, assembly and degradation of Outer Membrane Proteins (OMPs), in response to envelope-damaging environmental

stresses (for general reviews of ECF sigma factors and their regulation, see Helmann, 2002; Raivio and Silhavy, 2001).

In contrast to the general heat shock transcription factor σ^{32} which directly senses the misfolding of cytoplasmic proteins, the activity of the ECF sigma factor depends on one or several other signal transducing proteins called anti-sigma factors. The term anti-sigma arose from the fact that, in non-inducing conditions, these molecules complex with their cognate sigma factor and maintain it in an inactive form (for review, see Helmann, 1999). Of these envelope stress responsive systems, σ^{E} (also referred to as RpoE) and its cognate anti-sigma factors are, by far, the most intensively studied. In E. *coli*, the activity of σ^{E} is tightly controlled by two negative regulators encoded by the rpoErseABC operon (Missiakas and Raina., 1997). The first of these (RseA, a regulator of σ^{E}), spans the inner membrane and is referred to as the anti-sigma factor itself, in light of its cytoplasmic N terminal σ^{E} -binding domain. RseA is probably necessary and sufficient for downregulating the activity of σ^E . The second regulator (RseB) also exerts a negative effect on σ^{E} , possibly upon sensing misfolded OMPs. However, its periplasmic location and its affinity for the C-terminal periplasmic domain of RseA suggest that this molecule is more likely to stabilize the σ^E -RseA complex than regulate σ^{E} by distinct means. RseC is encoded by the last gene of the rpoErseABC operon and regulates σ^{E} activity in a positive manner. The respective roles and modes of action of RcsB and RcsC remain to be clarified.

In a wide range of living organisms, the σ^{E} -dependent stress response includes the synthesis of a periplasmic chaperone/heat shock serine protease called DegP and also known as HtrA, for high temperature requirement A. Inactivation of htrA leads to a decrease in tolerance to high temperatures (i.e. exceeding 39°C) and osmotic and oxidative stresses. Additionally, pathogens such as Brucella, Salmonella, and Legionella, also need this enzyme for survival within macrophage phagosomes (for review, see Pallen and Wren, 1997; Pedersen et al., 2001). The 49.5-kDa GsrA (global stress requirement) protein is the Yersinia counterpart of HtrA (Wren et al., 1995: Yamamoto et al, 1996). Y. enterocolitica gsrA mutants display similar virulence phenotypes as those observed for Brucella and Salmonella (Li et al., 1996; Elzer et al., 1996; Chatfield et al., 1992). Contrasting with this result, a Y. pestis htrA mutant was attenuated and exhibited increased sensitivity to oxidative stress, but to a much lesser extent than seen for mutants of the three abovementioned species (Williams et al., 2000). HtrA/GsrA is most likely present in Y.pseudotuberculosis, but how it contributes to these phenotypes has not been reported so far. Transcription levels of qsrA and rpoE were found to be significantly increased following pathogen uptake by macrophages, suggesting that GsrA is induced (probably by σ^{E} as judged by the presence of a specific binding motif) in response to stresses encountered within phagosomes (Yamamoto et al., 1996; 1997). The discovery of the three anti-sigma orthologues in Yersinia suggest that GsrA and HtrA homologues found in other bacterial species may have identical regulation processes.

In *E. coli*, the HtrA-mediated protective response also depends on an overlapping regulation mechanism involving the CpxA-CpxR two-component system (Missiakas and Raina, 1997). CpxA-CpxR has been described for its ability (among others) to monitor and, if necessay, downregulate the synthesis of P-pili, as a consequence of an excess of misfolded Pap subunits (Jones *et al.*, 1997; Hung *et al.*, 2001). The CpxA sensor and its cognate regulator have been identified in the three pathogenic *Yersinia* species. However, whether they contribute identically to this physiological process has not been demonstrated.

O-Antigen synthesis: also regulated by a stress response system

O-Antigen, the outermost part of the LPS, is required for the full virulence of Y. pseudotuberculosis and Y. enterocolitica. in contrast to Y. pestis, which is unable to produce this moiety. In Yersinia, expression of the O-Antigen is repressed at 37°C (Al-hendy et al., 1991; Bengoechea et al., 2002). Although this fact has been known for over ten years, the first steps towards characterization of the mechanisms behind Yersinia O-antigen biosynthesis thermoregulation cascade were only initiated very recently. Studies performed with Y. enterocolitica serotype O:8 indicate that control of O-antigen synthesis involves finely balanced regulatory mechanisms at both the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels, and is also associated with stress-response systems (Bengoechea et al., 2002). Promoter cloning experiments reveal that a promoter, termed Pwb1, drives the transcription of most of the O-antigen biosynthetic genes; whereas a second promoter, named Pwb2, controls the last two genes of the O-antigen gene cluster, gne and wzz. The activity of both promoters is temperature regulated and is repressed at 37°C. A molecular analysis of the loci involved in O-antigen regulation demonstrated that Wzz avalability and the activity of the thermo-inducible RosAB system (Bengoechea et al., 2000) are key elements in the regulatory network. RosA and RosB are an inner membrane efflux pump and a K+ antiporter respectively. i.e. functions which argue against direct regulation based on interaction with DNA. This inconsistency could be explained by a mechanism whereby RosA and RosB indirectly repress the transcription of gne and wzz by modifying H+ and K+ intracellular levels, similarly to what has been observed for transcription of their own genes.

Even though overexpression of wzz causes an increase in O-antigen expression at 37°C, this upregulation does not result from the activation of Pwb1 and Pwb2. This observation initially prompted researchers to suppose that Wzz played its regulatory role at a post-transcriptional level, probably by interfering with the O-antigen assembly and export machinery. However, it has since been shown that Wzz downregulates the transcription of rosA and rosB. In other words, the less Wzz is produced, the more transcription of its gene is repressed (Bengoechea et al., 2002). This regulatory circuit may thus explain the almost complete abolishment of O-antigen synthesis at 37°C.

How Wzz downregulates *rosA* and *rosB* transcription is still unknown. However, recent data suggest that this could occur via the envelope stress-combative CpxA-

Regulation of invasin expression.

Invasin - produced only by the two enteropathogenic species - is one of the most studied Yersinia virulence factors, along with the Yops. However, in contrast to the Yops, little was known about the regulation of the invasin gene (inv) until recently. Invasin expression is thermoregulated (Isberg et al., 1988) but differs from the other host temperature-induced Yersinia adhesins (like YadA, Ail or the newly characterized YAPI encoded type IV pilus) in being poorly expressed at 37°C, pH8. A Y. enterocolitica inv mutant displays delayed Peyer's patchcolonization, but no change in LD₅₀ for mice (Pepe and Miller, 1993). It was therefore initially proposed that this adhesin contributed only to an acceleration of the early stages of host infection. However, two pieces of evidence suggested that regulation of inv transcription during infection may well depend on stimuli other than temperature (Pepe et al., 1994). Firstly, invasin can still be detected in murine Peyer's patches two days after oral challenge with Y. enterocolitica. Secondly, its expression can be modified in vitro in response to several environmental cues other than temperature that may be encountered in the host, such as mildly acidic pH, nutrient availability, growth phase and oxidizing and osmotic stresses. In view of these environmental cues, it was initially thought that the sigma factor RpoS might be a key regulator of invasin expression. However, experimental evidence has ruled out this preliminary hypothesis (Badger et al., 1995).

The transcriptional regulator RovA (regulator of virulence) was characterized in Y. enterocolitica and Y. pseudotuberculosis by two different groups using opposite approaches (Revell et al., 2000; Nagel et al., 2001). It was shown to be essential for production of high invasin levels in vitro in both species. RovA belongs to the MarR family (which mostly contains non-specific, antibiotic resistance regulators), and is comparable in size (with around 75% identity at the amino acid level) to the Salmonella typhimurium pleiotropic transcriptional regulator SlyA. Potential RovA/SlyA orthologues have also been identified in a wide range of bacterial species. Based on recent structural studies performed with SlyA, RovA may contain a winged-helix DNA-binding domain (i.e. two helixes separated by a glycine-rich hinge region) and may function as a dimer (Wu et al., 2003). In Y. pseudotuberculosis, it has been proposed that RovA binds with unequal affinities to two similar palindromic motifs within the inv promoter region and that it positively regulates transcription of this gene in response to low temperature, mild acidic pH, and growth in stationary phase. This environment-dependent activation is thought to occur mainly through post-transcriptional control of RovA biosynthesis (Nagel et al., 2001). It can be enhanced by auto-activation, since RovA also promotes the transcription of its own gene. In contrast to the results obtained in the invasin studies, the Y. enterocolitica rovA

mutant displays a 70 to 500-fold increase in the LD_{50} mice (depending on the mouse lineage) when compared to its wild type counterpart (Revell *et al.*, 2000; Dube *et al.*, 2003). RovA may thus be a pleiotropic regulator and, control one or several as yet unknown virulence factors in addition to invasin. Interestingly, such a dramatic virulence decrease was not observed when mice where challenged by routes bypassing the Peyer's patches (Dube *et al.*, 2003). This strongly suggests that these yet unknown members of the RovA regulon may be required to improve survival within these tissues.

Other studies suggest that other *inv* regulators exist: Tn5 insertions in *sspA* or *uvrC* caused a significant decrease in invasin expression, whereas inactivation of these two genes had the opposite effect on flagellin transcription – strongly suggesting the existence of mechanisms regulating both *inv* expression and flagella biosynthesis (Badger *et al.*, 1998). Recently, expression of *slyA* has been shown to be PhoP-controlled in *Salmonella typhimurium* (Norte *et al.*, 2003).

Part two

The post-genomic era: what might we learn from *Yersinia* genome sequences?

The field of molecular biology has changed dramatically over the last ten years. In particular, advances in DNA sequencing have provided data with ever-increasing accuracy and speed. Genome sequence analyses of several Yersinia pestis strains (CO92 - biovar Orientalisand KIM -biovar Medievalis- are the first ones) and Y. pseudotuberculosis strain IP32953 have recently been completed (Parkhill et al, 2001; Deng et al., 2002; Chain et al., 2004), and the release of the and Y. enterocolitica sequence is imminent. Within the next decade, genomewide analyses and derived experimental techniques will undoubtedly provide important clues to the two following fundamental and recurrent questions: how do pathogenic Yersiniae cause disease, and how did Y. pestis diverge so rapidly from Y. pseudotuberculosis (switching from an environmental enteropathogenic lifestyle to a host-dependent, septicaemic lifestyle in less than 20,000 years)? Whole genome sequences and associated annotation are prerequisites for the assessment of genome-wide transcript profiling, and also offer unprecedented opportunities for opening up new fields of investigation in gene regulation. This will enable research strategies to move from conventional regulator hunting (i.e. starting from the effector genes and trying to characterize their regulators) towards systematic searching for the cognate target regulons on the basis of each identified transcriptional regulator. There is no doubt that comparison of the huge amount of new data being generated by the use of these new technologies with our current physiological knowledge will lead to a wealth of discoveries - providing new insights into how pathogenic Yersinia regulate the expression of their virulence gene arsenal and how these mechanisms may differ from those in other pathogenic bacteria.

At this point in time, what can we already learn in terms of regulation from the currently available *Yersinia* genome sequences, from comparison with the genomes

of other enterobacteria and from genomic divergences within the pathogenic *Yersinia* themselves?

Yersinia regulators: an overview

Genome-wide screening in Y. pestis has revealed the presence of approximately 250 transcriptional regulators (including sigma and anti-sigma factors, two component systems and histone-like molecules), which is probably slightly less than the estimated number of regulators in E. coli K12 (reviewed by Perez-Rueda and Collado-Vides, 2000). Not surprisingly, less than half of them (the 79 listed in Table 1) have an assignable function, based on either experimental evidence and/or high similarity (i.e. >50% identity over the length of the whole molecule: the high stringency of this criteria being justified by the fact that some non-orthologue regulators exhibit around 30% baseline identity due to the presence of highly conserved domains). The remaining regulatory elements (except for obvious phage-related transcriptional regulators YPO0878, YPO1904, YPO2785, YPO2823, YPO3485, YPO3612 and YPO4031) are listed in Table 2 and fall into two categories: firstly, those which have been previously identified in other bacterial species but have an as yet uncharacterised function (interestingly, several of these display the highest similarities with regulators found in Photorhabdus luminescens, an insect pathogen); and secondly, those identified as transcriptional regulators because they contain canonical DNA binding motifs but are not highly similar to regulators currently found in protein databases. Among these latter molecules, some have no known counterparts other than in Yersinia, and will therefore be of great interest for deciphering potentially new aspects of Yersinia-specific physiology.

Sigma and anti-sigma factors

The bacterial DNA-dependent, RNA polymerase contains five core enzyme structural subunits that associate with sigma factors to provide transcription specificity. In E. coli, seven such molecular species have been identified and extensively studied: σ^D (sigma 70), the four alternative sigma factors (σ^{N} , σ^{S} , σ^{H} and σ^{F} , also referred to as FliA), the extracellular function regulating (ECF) σ^{E} , and Fecl (a fur-repressed regulator of ferric citrate (Fec) transport system (Angerer et al., 1995). All these factors except Fecl have been identified in Y. pseudotuberculosis and in the two Y. pestis genomes. However, there are no extra sigma factors compared to E. coli, although two copies of FliA have been identified, consistent with the existence of two distinct flagellar apparatuses. In addition to the FliA and σ^E cognate anti-sigma factors (FlgM and RseA respectively), eight sigma factor modulating proteins have been identified in Y. pestis: one for σ^{D} , another possibly modulating at least one of the two FliAs, two for σ^{E} (discussed above) and three possible σ^{N} modulators (one of which is a pseudogene in Y. pestis but not in Y. pseudotuberculosis). One last element (YPO3571/y0142/ YPTB3515) has also been considered as a sigma factor regulator by the Yersinia genome annotation teams, in light of its weak homology with RsbV, an agonist of the σ^{B} alternative sigma factor that controls the general stress response in Gram-positive bacteria, but its role and potential cognate sigma factor remain unknown.

Two-component systems: trying to reassemble the puzzle

In bacteria, the most rapid and efficient means of transcriptional adaptation to extracellular signals occur through sophisticated and powerful systems based on phospho-transfers between conserved transmitter domains of (generally transmembrane) molecules that sense the input signal and the receiver regions of cytoplasmic, regulatory elements which exhibit DNAbinding properties in most cases. These sensor kinases and response regulators are generally arranged in cognate pairs, referred to as two-component systems (TCSs). In E. coli K12, 62 TCS-subunits have been identified by genome-wide scanning. 32 are response regulators and 23 are canonical sensor kinases with one histidine kinase (HK) domain; the remaining seven are also sensory kinases but ones that display more complex structures, i.e., containing additional phosphotransfer (HPt) or response regulator (RR) modules, or both (Mizuno, 1997). At least 26 sensors (including 7 hybrid molecular species) and 29 molecular species harboring responseregulator modules have been identified in Y. pestis CO92, resulting in 24 possible complete TCSs. More than half of these (16 sensors and 20 response regulators) are highly similar (i.e. >60% identity at the amino acid level, for the same reasons as mentioned above: non-orthologue TCS subunits can exhibit around 40% identity) to elements of previously well-documented systems in other bacteria; they are thus expected to have identical functions in Yersinia. On this basis and building on the fact that two partner subunit-encoding genes are often closely linked, 13 of these TCSs can be reconstructed in silico with a fair degree of confidence (Table 3a). Four other systems (UhpB-UhpA, RcsC-RcsB/A, PmrB-PmrA and ZraS-ZraR) may be assembled according to the same criteria. although in these cases either the sensor or the regulator may exhibit more marked differences (<60% identity) from their putative counterparts in protein databases (Table 3b). In some cases, functional assignation may be further facilitated by the detection of additional peptide signatures at consistent positions. The presence of a conserved ExxxE motif (reported as binding Fe3+) in PmrB (Wosten et al., 2000) perfectly illustrates this point. ZraS and ZraR (previously referred to as HydH and HydG) were found only in Y. pseudotuberculosis(1) and in partially sequenced strains of the Y. pestis biovar Antiqua (Radnedge et al., 2002). It is noteworthy that Yersinia ZraS differs from its E. coli counterpart by an insertion of over one hundred amino acids containing a putative PAS domain, which is found in many TCS sensor subunits (for review, see Taylor and Zhulin, 1999). Two other systems (listed in Table 3e) may be deduced from further computational analyses. The first consists of the NarX sensor and the NarP regulator. In other enterobacteria, these elements are part of distinct TCSs (NarX-NarL and NarQ-NarP), both of which reportedly contribute to regulation of nitrate/nitrite metabolism (Stewart, 1994). The fact that NarQ and NarL are absent from the Yersinia genomes and that NarX can cross-activate NarP in E. coli strongly

CO92 ID	Name	Regulated function	Possible regulon found in Yersinia	Function in Yersinia?	Relevant DE entry
YPO2352	PspF	Phage shock protein F	pspA, B, C, E	Darwin and Miller, 2001	P37344
YPO2374	RovA	Inv and virulence regulation	inv + yet uncharacterized	Nagel et al., 2001	P55740
YPO2387	PurR	Purine metabolism	<i>pur</i> genes	By sim.	P15039
YPO2445	YfeE	Inorganic iron transport	yfeABCD	Bearden et al., 1999	Q56956
YPO2457	YpeR	Quorum-sensing	Flagellar regulon	Atkinson et al., 1999	O87971
YPO2556	PecT	Pectinase gene expression	Pectate lyase genes and others	By sim.	P52662
YPO2625	NagC	Uptake and degradation of GlcN and GlcNac	nagE, A, B	By sim.	P15301
YPO2634	Fur	Iron uptake	Global regulation	Staggs et al., 1991	P06975
YPO2681	ChbR	Possible diacetylchitobiose repressor	previously annotated as celA, B, C	By sim.	P17410
YPO3063	GcvR	Glycine cleavage (repressor)	gcv operon	By sim.	P23483
YPO3085	CueR	Copper efflux regulator	ybaR?	By sim.	P23483
YPO3131	AcrR	Multidrug efflux pump	acrAB operon repressor	By sim.	P34000
YPO3138	YmoA	Pleiotropic	Pleitropic regulation	Cornelis et al., 1991	P27720
YPO3143	GlnK	Nitrogen assimilation	glnA	By sim.	P38504
YPO3266	EmrR	Drug resistance	emr operon	By sim.	P24201
YPO3346	ArsR	Arsenical resistance	arsB?	By sim. – see * (table legend)	P15905
YPO3396	SfsA	Sugar fermentation stimulation	mal genes	By sim.	P18273
YPO3420	PdhR	Pyruvate dehydrogenase complex	aceEF and IpdA	By sim.	P06957
YPO3456	PhnF	Carbon-phosphorus bond cleavage	phn operon	By sim.	P16684
YPO3517	ArgR	Arginine biosynthesis	carAB operon	By sim.	P15282
YPO3561	SspA	Survival during starvation	Invasin/motility – global response	Badger et al., 1998	P05838
YPO3695	Rnk	Nucleoside diphosphate kinase activity	ndk??	By sim.	P40679
YPO3698	TreR	Trehalose utilization	treBC operon	By sim.	P36673
(YPO3723)	IcIR	Glyoxylate bypass	aceBAK operon	By sim. – inactive	P16528
YPO3759	BirA	Biotin synthesis	bioA, bioBFCD	By sim.	P06709
YPO3770	RfaH	K antigen and lipopolysaccharide	Hemolysin?	By sim.	P26614
YPO3789	MetR	Methionine biosynthesis	met A, E, H	By sim.	P19797
YPO3889	llvY	Isoleucine-valine biosynthetic pathway	ilvGMEDA	By sim.	P05827
YPO3904	HfdR	Control of the flagellar master operon	flhDC	By sim.	Q8ZAA7
YPO3915	OxyR	Oxydative stress	Catalases, glutathione-reductases	By sim.	P71318
YPO3955	GntR	Gluconate utilization	gntRK, edd, eda	By sim.	P46860
YPO4034	XylR	Xylose transport and metabolism	xyIAB and xyIFG	By sim.	P37390
YPO4066	MtIR	Mannitol utilization	mtlD and manitol operon	By sim.	P36563

Includes transcriptional regulators (including histone-like proteins) with putatively assignable functions identified in *Y. pestis* CO92. Unless mentioned (written in bold characters and with relevant reference to experimental work), the function and target regulons have been deduced by similarity (by sim.). Putative pseudogenes are in parentheses.

suggests that these apparent orphans may work together as cognate partners in *Yersinia*. The second system is constituted by the YehT and YehU orthologues. In *E. coli*, genes encoding the sensor kinase and response regulator reside next to each other, and probably belong to the same monocistronic unit. Although *yehT* and *yehU* are found at separate locations on the *Yersinia* chromosomes, these two regulatory elements may also be cognate partners by analogy with the ArcB-ArcA, NarQ-NarP, RscC-RscB-RscA-YojN and BarA-UvrY two component systems in *E. coli*. The functions of all currently identified *Yersinia* TCSs and the possible cross regulations are represented

in Fig. 2. Readers should bear in mind that with the exception of PhoP–PhoQ, all the depicted relationships are theoretical, and – even though highly probable – have not been verified by experimental evidence. The three last putative TCSs (and all the orphan subunits, except for RssB) are probably the most interesting regulatory TCS elements, since they have no obvious counterparts in *E. coli*. Although this must be checked experimentally, the first TCS may be a potential virulence regulation system in *Yersina*. It is encoded by two *ssrA* (*spiR*) and *ssrB*-like tandem genes that have already been reported to belong to a *Salmonella*-like pathogenicity island (PI)

^{*} A pYV plasmid-harbored arsenic resistance operon (with an ArsR-like transcriptional repressor) was characterized by Neyt et al. (1997) in low-virulence Y. enterocolitica strains.

				Overlap		
CO92 ID	Size	Family	% id	(aa)	Closest species	Specific comments
YPO3913	211	TetR	96	206	E. coli K12	YijC
YPO3545	297	LysR	89	294	E. coli K12	YhaJ
YPO2807	297	LysR	84	297	E.coli CFT073	Possible Xanthosine operon or exotoxin regulation
YPO3146	153	AsnC	83	153	P. luminescens	Possible LRP-like transcriptional regulator
(YPO0414)	306	SorC	81	306	P. luminescens	Regulation of sugar utilization, (sorbose?) – Inactive in CO92
YPO3683	303	LysR	80	301	E. coli K12	
YPO2283	305	Lacl	79	305	P. luminescens	
YPO2568	344	Lacl	78	344	E.coli CFT073	
YPO1929	294	LysR	78	292	S. enteritidis	Possible regulator of pathogenicity island genes
YPO2685	175	-	72	175	E. coli K12	Involved DNA replication, possible transcription factor as well
YPO2497	313	LysR	74	299	E. coli K12	
YPO2388	310	LysR	70	304	E. coli K12	YdbH
YPO3211	304	ROK	69	299	E. coli K12	YajF – doubtfull: alternatively, possible sugar kinase
YPO3348	319	DeoR	68	316	P. multocida	-
YPO3017	292	RpiR	69	284	S. typhimurium LT2	
YPO0010	229	GntR	67	229	E. coli K12	
YPO3651	224	GntR	68	219	B. fungorum	
YPO0341	191	TetR	65	191	P. luminescens	
YPO0669	303	LysR	66	293	R. solanacearum	
YPO2150	301	LysR	64	300	B. parapertussis	
YPO1938	256	DeoR	64	252	E. coli (plasmid)	
YPO2169	286	LysR	62	286	P. luminescens	
YPO3223	133	-	62	133	S. typhimurium LT2	Refered to as Crl – regulon (curli) not found on chromosome
YPO0799	302	LysR	63	291	P. syringae	Transfer to do div. Togalor (carry) not todard an emonissione
YPO2926	279	RpiR	60	279	E. coli K12	
YPO2880	345	XRE	59	345	S. typhimurium	
YPO3310	314	DeoR	59	314	P. syringae	
YPO0679	297	AraC	61	285	E. coli O157:H7	
YPO0841	408	AlaC	61	382	P. multocida	Pensible and sulfators regulator
YPO2979		LvaD		281		Possible arylsulfatase regulator
	304	LysR	58 59	287	S. typhimurium E. coli K12	Pessible als energy regulator. Inactive in CO02
(YPO2267)		LysR				Possible als operon regulator – Inactive in CO92
YPO1960	473	GntR	56	467	P. luminescens	Possible pyridoxal-phosphate dependent enzyme.
YPO0846	360	Lacl	54	359	E.coli CFT073	
YPO1651	149	AsnC	56	142	S. meliloti	
YPO2324	318	DeoR	54	313	B. fungorum	
YPO0758	331	Lacl	53	331	V. parahaemolyticus	Paralible phase saled at
YPO0883	132	XRE	56	124	P. luminescens	Possibly phage-related
YPO0401	291	AraC	53	287	E. coli K12	
YPO1237	270	DeoR	55	256	P. luminescens	Possibly involved in sugar metabolism
YPO2845	501	GntR	54	472	B. parapertussis	
YPO2378	199	TetR	51	196	E. coli O157:H7	
(YPO2449)	194	LuxR	50	194	P. luminescens	Inactive in CO92
YPO2537	330	Lacl	50	330	S. typhimurium LT2	
YPO1503	289	LysR	51	282	E. coli K12	
(YPO3840)	221	TetR	54	200	P. luminescens	Inactive in CO92
(YPO1728)	338	Lacl	49	336	E. coli K12	Raffinose utilization? – Inactive in CO92
YPO1934	320	LysR	52	299	B. parapertussis	
(YPO1671)	338	Lacl	49	333	E. coli K12	Inactive in CO92

CO92 ID Size Family % id Quality Closest species Specific comments YPO0165 328 Lacl 48 328 V. vulnificus Possible sugar diacid utilization regulator YPO09378 375 - 46 375 V. vulnificus Possible sugar diacid utilization regulator YPO08041 11 LysR 47 394 P. putida YPO08123 246 RpIR 46 240 B. halodurans Possible transcriptional regulator of aga operon YPO1253 246 RpIR 46 240 B. halodurans Possible transcriptional regulator of aga operon YPO1253 246 RpIR 46 240 B. halodurans Possible regulator of aga operon YPO0849 357 Lacl 43 353 E. colf K12 Lacl? YPO0811 318 LysR 43 307 B. pertusis Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1890 297 LysR 9 287 P. kulpans Possible regulator of blood coagula	Table 2. Cor	ntinued					
YPO3978 375 - 46 375 V. vulnificus Possible sugar diacid utilization regulator YPO0084 411 LysR 47 394 P. putida Possible transcriptional regulator of age operon YPO01831 258 DecR 46 252 P. luminescens Possible transcriptional regulator of age operon YPO1253 246 RpiR 46 240 B. halodurans Possible transcriptional regulator of age operon YPO2762 261 AraC 48 243 V. parahaemolyticus YPO0849 357 Lacl 43 353 E. coli K12 Lacl? YPO0810 318 LysR 43 307 B. pertussis Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1737 128 AraC 50 97 P. vulgaris Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1890 265 GntR 41 233 C. crescentus YPO3295 277 RpiR 35 277 B. fungorum Lorf-like N-term domain – Pl harbored	CO92 ID	Size	Family	% id		Closest species	Specific comments
YPO0084 411 LysR 47 394 P. putida YPO0831 258 DeoR 46 252 P. luminescens Possible transcriptional regulator of aga operon YPO1253 246 RpIR 46 240 B. halodurans YPO2762 261 AraC 48 243 353 E. coli K12 Lacl? YPO0849 357 Lacl 43 353 E. coli K12 Lacl? YPO1810 325 DeoR 41 303 S. mellioti Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO11890 285 DeoR 41 233 S. typhimurium Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO11890 286 GntR 41 233 C. crescentus Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1890 286 GntR 41 233 C. crescentus YPO3259 277 RpiR 35 277 B. fungorum Lorf-like N-term domain – PI harbored YPO2458 308 LysR 34	YPO0165	328	Lacl	48	328	V. vulnificus	
YPO0831 258 DeoR 46 252 P. luminescens Possible transcriptional regulator of aga operon YPO1253 246 RpIR 46 240 B. halodurans Possible transcriptional regulator of aga operon YPO0849 357 Lacl 43 243 V. parahaemolyticus YPO0849 357 Lacl 43 353 E. coli K12 Lacl? YPO0840 355 DeoR 41 303 S. melliabl Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1737 128 AraC 50 97 P. vulgaris Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1890 265 GntR 41 233 C. crescentus Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1890 265 GntR 41 233 C. crescentus Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO3259 277 RpiR 30 80 L. innocua Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO3269 287 AraC 35 287 P. furginers <t< td=""><td>YPO3978</td><td>375</td><td>-</td><td>46</td><td>375</td><td>V. vulnificus</td><td>Possible sugar diacid utilization regulator</td></t<>	YPO3978	375	-	46	375	V. vulnificus	Possible sugar diacid utilization regulator
YPO1253 246 RpiR 46 240 B. halodurans YPO2762 261 AraC 48 243 V. parahaemolyticus YPO0631 318 LysR 43 353 E. coli K12 Lacl? YPO0631 318 LysR 43 307 B. pertussis Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1737 128 AraC 50 97 P. vulgaris Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1737 128 AraC 50 97 P. vulgaris Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1737 128 AraC 50 97 P. vulgaris Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1890 265 GnIR 41 233 C. crescentus Crescentus YPO3259 277 RpiR 35 277 B. fungorum Lorf-like N-term domain – PI harbored YPO2459 Jas Jas 296 B. halodurans Lorf-like N-term domain – PI harbored YPO2458 Jas LysR 34 <td>YPO0084</td> <td>411</td> <td>LysR</td> <td>47</td> <td>394</td> <td>P. putida</td> <td></td>	YPO0084	411	LysR	47	394	P. putida	
YPO2762 261 AraC 48 243 V. parahaemolyticus YPO0849 357 Lacl 43 353 E. coli K12 Lacl? YPO0831 318 LysR 43 307 B. pertussis Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1810 325 DeoR 41 303 S. typhimurium Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1199 297 LysR 39 287 S. typhimurium Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1199 297 LysR 39 287 S. typhimurium Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1199 297 LysR 39 287 S. typhimurium Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1199 297 LysR 39 287 S. typhimurium Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1191 328 Lacl 38 B. halodurans Lecknown Lecknown Lecknown Lecknown Lecknown Lecknown Lecknown Lecknown Lecf-like N-term domain – PI harbored	YPO0831	258	DeoR	46	252	P. luminescens	Possible transcriptional regulator of aga operon
YPO0849 357 Lacl 43 353 E. coli K12 Lacl? YPO0831 318 LysR 43 307 B. pertussis	YPO1253	246	RpiR	46	240	B. halodurans	
YPO0631 318 LysR 43 307 B. pertussis YPO1810 325 DeoR 41 303 S. mellioti YPO1737 128 AraC 50 97 P. vulgaris Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1169 297 LysR 39 287 S. typhimurium YPO1890 265 GntR 41 233 C. crescentus YPO0611 328 Lacl 38 308 L. innocue YPO3259 277 RpiR 35 277 B. fungorum YPO3269 259 DeoR 35 264 B. halodurans YPO3272 269 DeoR 35 246 C. violaceum LcrF-like N-term domain – PI harbored YPO2458 308 LysR 34 299 C. crescentus YPO2432 377 AraC 35 295 M. morganii YPO2432 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2478	YPO2762	261	AraC	48	243	V. parahaemolyticus	
YPO1810 325 DeoR 41 303 S. mellioti YPO1737 128 AraC 50 97 P. vulgaris Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1169 297 LysR 39 287 S. typhimurium YPO1890 265 GntR 41 233 C. crescentus YPO3259 277 RpiR 35 277 B. fungorum YPO3327 269 DeoR 35 264 B. halodurans YPO2458 308 LysR 34 299 C. crescentus YPO2498 334 Lacl 35 295 M. morganii YPO2432 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2432 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2433 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2476 327 LysR 31 364 A. tumefaciens YPO2478 346 Lacl	YPO0849	357	Lacl	43	353	E. coli K12	Lacl?
YPO1737 128 AraC 50 97 P. vulgaris Possible regulator of blood coagulation YPO1169 297 LysR 39 287 S. typhimurium POSSIDIE regulator of blood coagulation YPO1890 265 GntR 41 233 C. crescentus Corescentus YPO0611 328 Lacl 38 308 L. innocua Corescentus YPO3259 277 RpiR 35 277 B. fungorum LcrF-like N-term domain – PI harbored YPO0260 259 AraC 35 264 B. halodurans LcrF-like N-term domain – PI harbored YPO2458 308 LysR 34 299 C. crescentus YPO2498 334 Lacl 35 295 M. morganii YPO2243 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2076 327 LysR 31 305 R. solanacearum YPO32728 30 LysR 29 258 S. typhimurium YPO32728 30	YPO0631	318	LysR	43	307	B. pertussis	
YPO1169 297 LysR 39 287 S. typhimurium YPO1890 265 GntR 41 233 C. crescentus YPO0611 328 Lacl 38 308 L. innocua YPO3259 277 RpiR 35 277 B. fungorum YPO327 269 DeoR 35 264 B. halodurans YPO2480 259 AraC 35 246 C. violaceum LcrF-like N-term domain – PI harbored YPO2488 308 LysR 34 299 C. crescentus YPO2498 334 Lacl 35 295 M. morganii YPO2243 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2243 384 RpiR 31 364 A. tumefaciens YPO2276 327 LysR 31 305 R. solanacearum YPO3278 346 Lacl 27 314 E. coli K12 YPO3728 30 LysR <td< td=""><td>YPO1810</td><td>325</td><td>DeoR</td><td>41</td><td>303</td><td>S. meliloti</td><td></td></td<>	YPO1810	325	DeoR	41	303	S. meliloti	
YPO1890 265 GntR 41 233 C. crescentus YPO0611 328 Lacl 38 308 L. innocua YPO3259 277 RpiR 35 277 B. fungorum YPO3327 269 DeoR 35 264 B. halodurans YPO260 259 AraC 35 246 C. violaceum LcrF-like N-term domain – PI harbored YPO2458 308 LysR 34 299 C. crescentus YPO2498 334 Lacl 35 295 M. morganii YPO243 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2036 384 RpiR 31 364 A. tumefaciens YPO276 327 LysR 31 305 R. solanacearum YPO2478 346 Lacl 27 314 E. coli K12 YPO3228 303 LysR 28 252 P. luminescens Yersinia specific? YPO3682 288 <td>YPO1737</td> <td>128</td> <td>AraC</td> <td>50</td> <td>97</td> <td>P. vulgaris</td> <td>Possible regulator of blood coagulation</td>	YPO1737	128	AraC	50	97	P. vulgaris	Possible regulator of blood coagulation
YPO0611 328 Lacl 38 308 L. innocua YPO3259 277 RpiR 35 277 B. fungorum YPO3327 269 DeoR 35 264 B. halodurans YPO0260 259 AraC 35 246 C. violaceum LcrF-like N-term domain – PI harbored YPO2458 308 LysR 34 299 C. crescentus YPO2498 334 Lacl 35 295 M. morganii YPO2498 344 Lacl 35 295 M. morganii YPO2243 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2232 384 RpiR 31 364 A. tumefaciens YPO2760 327 LysR 31 305 R. solanacearum YPO2478 346 Lacl 27 314 E. coli K12 YPO3228 303 LysR 28 252 P. luminescens Yersinia specific? YPO3619 292 <td>YPO1169</td> <td>297</td> <td>LysR</td> <td>39</td> <td>287</td> <td>S. typhimurium</td> <td></td>	YPO1169	297	LysR	39	287	S. typhimurium	
YPO3259 277 RpiR 35 277 B. fungorum YPO3327 269 DeoR 35 264 B. halodurans YPO2600 259 AraC 35 246 C. violaceum LcrF-like N-term domain – PI harbored YPO2458 308 LysR 34 299 C. crescentus YPO2498 334 Lacl 35 295 M. morganii YPO2493 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2243 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2263 384 RpiR 31 364 A. tumefaciens YPO2760 327 LysR 31 305 R. solanacearum YPO1837 291 AraC 29 258 S. typhimurium YPO3278 346 Lacl 27 314 E. coli K12 YPO3682 288 LysR 26 232 P. luminescens YPO3619 292 AraC	YPO1890	265	GntR	41	233	C. crescentus	
YPO3327 269 DeoR 35 264 B. halodurans YPO0260 259 AraC 35 246 C. violaceum LcrF-like N-term domain – PI harbored YPO2458 308 LysR 34 299 C. crescentus YPO2498 334 Lacl 35 295 M. morganii YPO2430 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2036 384 RpiR 31 364 A. tumefaciens YPO2076 327 LysR 31 305 R. solanacearum YPO1837 291 AraC 29 258 S. typhimurium YPO2478 346 Lacl 27 314 E. coli K12 YPO3228 303 LysR 28 252 P. luminescens Yersinia specific? YPO3682 288 LysR 26 232 P. luminescens Yersinia specific? YPO3619 292 AraC 23 263 P. aeruginosa PA01	YPO0611	328	Lacl	38	308	L. innocua	
YPO0260 259 AraC 35 246 C. violaceum LcrF-like N-term domain – PI harbored YPO2458 308 LysR 34 299 C. crescentus YPO2498 334 Lacl 35 295 M. morganii YPO2243 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2036 384 RpiR 31 364 A. tumefaciens YPO0276 327 LysR 31 305 R. solanacearum YPO1837 291 AraC 29 258 S. typhimurium YPO2478 346 Lacl 27 314 E. coli K12 YPO3228 303 LysR 28 252 P. luminescens Yersinia specific? YPO3682 288 LysR 26 232 P. luminescens P. seruginosa PA01 YPO3693 292 AraC 23 263 P. aeruginosa PA01 YPO0736 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Ye	YPO3259	277	RpiR	35	277	B. fungorum	
YPO2458 308 LysR 34 299 C. crescentus YPO2498 334 Lacl 35 295 M. morganii YPO2243 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2036 384 RpiR 31 364 A. tumefaciens YPO0276 327 LysR 31 305 R. solanacearum YPO1837 291 AraC 29 258 S. typhimurium YPO2478 346 Lacl 27 314 E. coli K12 YPO3228 303 LysR 28 252 P. luminescens Yersinia specific? YPO3682 288 LysR 26 232 P. luminescens Possible FlgM-like anti-sigma factor YPO3619 292 AraC 23 263 P. aeruginosa PA01 Procential specific? YPO3760 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Yersinia specific? YPO2593 205 LuxR 51 52	YPO3327	269	DeoR	35	264	B. halodurans	
YPO2498 334 Lacl 35 295 M. morganii YPO2243 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2036 384 RpiR 31 364 A. tumefaciens YPO276 327 LysR 31 305 R. solanacearum YPO1837 291 AraC 29 258 S. typhimurium YPO2478 346 Lacl 27 314 E. coli K12 YPO3228 303 LysR 28 252 P. luminescens Yersinia specific? YPO3682 288 LysR 26 232 P. luminescens P. aeruginosa PA01 YPO3619 292 AraC 23 263 P. aeruginosa PA01 P. aeruginosa PA01 YPO0804 219 - 41 94 V. vulnificus Yersinia specific? YPO2736 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Yersinia specific? YPO2959 205 LuxR 51 52 <td>YPO0260</td> <td>259</td> <td>AraC</td> <td>35</td> <td>246</td> <td>C. violaceum</td> <td>LcrF-like N-term domain – PI harbored</td>	YPO0260	259	AraC	35	246	C. violaceum	LcrF-like N-term domain – PI harbored
YPO2243 297 AraC 33 265 P. luminescens YPO2036 384 RpiR 31 364 A. tumefaciens YPO0276 327 LysR 31 305 R. solanacearum YPO1837 291 AraC 29 258 S. typhimurium YPO2478 346 Lacl 27 314 E. coli K12 YPO3228 303 LysR 28 252 P. luminescens Yersinia specific? YPO3720 88 - 30 68 V. parahaemolyticus Possible FlgM-like anti-sigma factor YPO3682 288 LysR 26 232 P. luminescens YPO3619 292 AraC 23 263 P. aeruginosa PA01 YPO0804 219 - 41 94 V. vulnificus Yersinia specific? YPO3736 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Yersinia specific? YPO2593 205 LuxR 51 52 <td< td=""><td>YPO2458</td><td>308</td><td>LysR</td><td>34</td><td>299</td><td>C. crescentus</td><td></td></td<>	YPO2458	308	LysR	34	299	C. crescentus	
YPO2036 384 RpiR 31 364 A. tumefaciens YPO0276 327 LysR 31 305 R. solanacearum YPO1837 291 AraC 29 258 S. typhimurium YPO2478 346 Lacl 27 314 E. coli K12 YPO3228 303 LysR 28 252 P. luminescens Yersinia specific? YPO0720 88 - 30 68 V. parahaemolyticus Possible FlgM-like anti-sigma factor YPO3682 288 LysR 26 232 P. luminescens YPO3619 292 AraC 23 263 P. aeruginosa PA01 YPO0804 219 - 41 94 V. vulnificus Yersinia specific? YPO0736 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Yersinia specific? YPO2593 205 LuxR 51 52 E. coli K12 Yersinia specific? YPO2955 200 LuxR 43	YPO2498	334	Lacl	35	295	M. morganii	
YPO0276 327 LysR 31 305 R. solanacearum YPO1837 291 AraC 29 258 S. typhimurium YPO2478 346 Lacl 27 314 E. coli K12 YPO3228 303 LysR 28 252 P. luminescens Yersinia specific? YPO3720 88 - 30 68 V. parahaemolyticus Possible FlgM-like anti-sigma factor YPO3682 288 LysR 26 232 P. luminescens YPO3619 292 AraC 23 263 P. aeruginosa PA01 YPO0804 219 - 41 94 V. vulnificus Yersinia specific? YPO0736 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Yersinia specific? Possible Response Regulator (doubtfull) YPO2593 205 LuxR 51 52 E. coli K12 Yersinia specific? YPO2955 200 LuxR 43 55 S. coelicolor Yersinia specific?	YPO2243	297	AraC	33	265	P. luminescens	
YPO1837 291 AraC 29 258 S. typhimurium YPO2478 346 Lacl 27 314 E. coli K12 YPO3228 303 LysR 28 252 P. luminescens Yersinia specific? YPO3208 28 LysR 26 232 P. luminescens YPO3619 292 AraC 23 263 P. aeruginosa PA01 YPO3649 219 - 41 94 V. vulnificus Yersinia specific? YPO0736 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Yersinia specific? Possible Response Regulator (doubtfull) YPO2593 205 LuxR 51 52 E. coli K12 Yersinia specific? YPO2955 200 LuxR 43 55 S. coelicolor Yersinia specific?	YPO2036	384	RpiR	31	364	A. tumefaciens	
YPO2478 346 Lacl 27 314 E. coli K12 YPO3228 303 LysR 28 252 P. luminescens Yersinia specific? YPO0720 88 - 30 68 V. parahaemolyticus Possible FlgM-like anti-sigma factor YPO3682 288 LysR 26 232 P. luminescens YPO3619 292 AraC 23 263 P. aeruginosa PA01 YPO0804 219 - 41 94 V. vulnificus Yersinia specific? YPO0736 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Yersinia specific? Possible Response Regulator (doubtfull) YPO2593 205 LuxR 51 52 E. coli K12 Yersinia specific? YPO2955 200 LuxR 43 55 S. coelicolor Yersinia specific?	YPO0276	327	LysR	31	305	R. solanacearum	
YPO3228 303 LysR 28 252 P. luminescens Yersinia specific? YPO0720 88 - 30 68 V. parahaemolyticus Possible FlgM-like anti-sigma factor YPO3682 288 LysR 26 232 P. luminescens YPO3619 292 AraC 23 263 P. aeruginosa PA01 YPO0804 219 - 41 94 V. vulnificus Yersinia specific? YPO0736 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Yersinia specific? Possible Response Regulator (doubtfull) YPO2593 205 LuxR 51 52 E. coli K12 Yersinia specific? YPO2955 200 LuxR 43 55 S. coelicolor Yersinia specific?	YPO1837	291	AraC	29	258	S. typhimurium	
YPO0720 88 - 30 68 V. parahaemolyticus Possible FlgM-like anti-sigma factor YPO3682 288 LysR 26 232 P. luminescens YPO3619 292 AraC 23 263 P. aeruginosa PA01 YPO0804 219 - 41 94 V. vulnificus Yersinia specific? YPO0736 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Yersinia specific? Possible Response Regulator (doubtfull) YPO2593 205 LuxR 51 52 E. coli K12 Yersinia specific? YPO2955 200 LuxR 43 55 S. coelicolor Yersinia specific?	YPO2478	346	Lacl	27	314	E. coli K12	
YPO3682 288 LysR 26 232 P. luminescens YPO3619 292 AraC 23 263 P. aeruginosa PA01 YPO0804 219 - 41 94 V. vulnificus Yersinia specific? YPO0736 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Yersinia specific? Possible Response Regulator (doubtfull) YPO2593 205 LuxR 51 52 E. coli K12 Yersinia specific? YPO2955 200 LuxR 43 55 S. coelicolor Yersinia specific?	YPO3228	303	LysR	28	252	P. luminescens	Yersinia specific?
YPO3619 292 AraC 23 263 P. aeruginosa PA01 YPO0804 219 - 41 94 V. vulnificus Yersinia specific? YPO0736 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Yersinia specific? Possible Response Regulator (doubtfull) YPO2593 205 LuxR 51 52 E. coli K12 Yersinia specific? YPO2955 200 LuxR 43 55 S. coelicolor Yersinia specific?	YPO0720	88	-	30	68	V. parahaemolyticus	Possible FlgM-like anti-sigma factor
YPO0804 219 - 41 94 V. vulnificus Yersinia specific? YPO0736 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Yersinia specific? Possible Response Regulator (doubtfull) YPO2593 205 LuxR 51 52 E. coli K12 Yersinia specific? YPO2955 200 LuxR 43 55 S. coelicolor Yersinia specific?	YPO3682	288	LysR	26	232	P. luminescens	
YPO0736 348 - 26 189 L. anguillarum Yersinia specific? Possible Response Regulator (doubtfull) YPO2593 205 LuxR 51 52 E. coli K12 Yersinia specific? YPO2955 200 LuxR 43 55 S. coelicolor Yersinia specific?	YPO3619	292	AraC	23	263	P. aeruginosa PA01	
YPO2593 205 LuxR 51 52 E. coli K12 Yersinia specific? YPO2955 200 LuxR 43 55 S. coelicolor Yersinia specific?	YPO0804	219	-	41	94	V. vulnificus	Yersinia specific?
YPO2955 200 LuxR 43 55 S. coelicolor Yersinia specific?	YPO0736	348	-	26	189	L. anguillarum	Yersinia specific? Possible Response Regulator (doubtfull)
	YPO2593	205	LuxR	51	52	E. coli K12	Yersinia specific?
YPO2337 279 MerR 35 67 C. tetani Yersinia specific?	YPO2955	200	LuxR	43	55	S. coelicolor	Yersinia specific?
	YPO2337	279	MerR	35	67	C. tetani	Yersinia specific?

encoding a putative type III secretion system (Deiwick *et al.*, 1999; Garmendia *et al.*, 2003). The two other systems, YPO2997–2998 and YPO3008–YPO3009 (Table 3d), have no counterparts in the currently available databases. Their function(s) and role(s) in virulence and regulation are thus certainly worth investigating. Interestingly, as for ZraR–ZraS, the latter of these two TCS could not be found in the *Y. enterocolitica* strain 8081 genome using BLAST searches ⁽¹⁾.

Of the five orphan subunits, only YPO0712 has a predictable function. This regulator is similar (51% identity across 70% of the protein) to the *P. aeruginosa* FleR flagellar regulator. The immediate vicinity of genes encoding a flagellar apparatus pleads for a similar contribution in *Yersinia*. However, that fact that YPO0712

(1) Determined by BLAST searches run on the *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and *Y. enterocolitica* genome sequence data available at the websites: http://bbrp.llnl.gov/bbrp/bin/y.pseudotuberculosis_blast http://www.sanger.ac.uk/cgi-bin/blast/submitblast/y_enterocolitica

appears to be truncated at its N-terminus (i.e. the region supposedly encoding the response regulator domain) strongly suggests that it is inactive.

Lastly, four TCS subunits with similarity to UhpB, YojN, BaeS, and EvgS have also been predicted to be inactive in CO92 (Table 3, shown in parentheses) due to frameshifts or IS insertions in their coding sequences (Parkhill *et al.*, 2001). With the exception of EvgS, these elements were also predicted to be inactive in *Y. pestis* KIM also (Deng *et al.*, 2002). In contrast, it has been assumed that all four proteins are functional proteins in the fully-sequenced *Y. pseudotuberculosis* strain ⁽¹⁾. How this may impact the physiological evolution of *Y. pestis* will be discussed below.

Did evolution of the regulators contribute to emergence of Y. pestis?

Y. pestis and Y. pseudotuberculosis are genetically very close but are responsible for very different diseases in

	1			ording to the currently available litera	1	
Locus	CO92	Gene	Modules	Function	DB entry	Relevant references (1)
3a: > 60	0% aminoacid ider	ntity and similar g	enetic organizatio	n		
1	YPO0022	NtrC	RR	Nitrogen assimilation (sigma 54-	P06713	
	YPO0023	NtrB	НК	dependent)	P06712	Ninfa <i>et al.</i> , 1995
2	YPO0073	СрхА	HK	Protein misfolding	P08336	D:0: 4 4 0000
	YPO0074	CpxR	RR		P16244	DiGiuseppe et al., 2003
3	YPO0136	OmpR	RR	Out of the same fall of	P03025	F 4004
	YPO0137	EnvZ	HK	Osmotic regulation	P24242	Forst <i>et al.</i> , 1994
4a	YPO0458	ArcA	RR	A control of the control	P03026	1 11 11 11 1000
4b	YPO3555	ArcB	HK, Hpt	- Aerobic respiration control	P22763	Luchi <i>et al.</i> , 1993
5	YPO0896	CreB	RR	Outstanting and telling	P08368	W 1000
	YPO0895	CreC	HK	- Catabolic regulation.	P08401	Wanner, 1996
6	YPO1633	PhoQ	HK	Ca ²⁺ /Mg ²⁺ metabolism	P23837	0 1 1 1 0000
	YPO1634	PhoP	RR	Virulence	P23836	Oyston <i>et al.</i> , 2000
7a	YPO1666	CheA	Hpt, HK		P07363	
	YPO1667	CheW	HK	Chemotaxis regulation	P07365	Djordjevic <i>et al.</i> , 1998
7b	YPO1680	CheY	RR	-	P06143	
8a	YPO3381	BarA	HK, RR, Hpt	11 4	P26607	December of the control
8b	YPO1865	UvrY	RR	Hydrogen peroxide sensitivity	P07027	Pernestig et al., 2001
9	YPO2308	RstA	RR	Possibly involved in stress	P52108	History and all popular
	YPO2309	RstB	HK	response.	P18392	Hirakawa et al., 2003
10	YPO2688	KdpE	RR	Low turgor pressure-dependent	P21866	Weller by 1000
	YPO2689	KdpD	HK	potassium transport	P21865	Walderhaug <i>et al</i> ., 1992
11	(YPO2851)	BaeS	HK	Resistance to extracellular	P30847	D. W
	YPO2853	BaeR	RR	stresses	P30846	Raffa et al., 2002
12	YPO2914	YfhA	RR		P21712	
	YPO2916	YfhK	HK	sigma 54-dependent regulation	P52101	
13	YPO3204	PhoR	HK		P08400	T / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
	YPO3205	PhoB	RR	Control of phosphate regulon	P08402	Tommassen <i>et al.</i> , 1982
2660	0/ aminagaid idan	titus for one of the	TCC automita hu	t similar sanatia arganization		
<i>3b.</i> <60 14a	YPO1217	RcsC		t similar genetic organization	P14376	
14a 14b			HK, RR RR	_		
140	YPO1218	RcsB	+	Control of exopolysaccharide biosynthesis?	P14374	Stout <i>et al.</i> , 1990
110	(YPO1219)	YojN	HK, HPt		P39838 P14374	
14c 15	YPO2449	RcsA	RR SK		P14374 P09835	
10	(YPO4008)	UhpB	+	- Sugar phosphate transport		Island <i>et al</i> ., 1992
16	YPO4012	UhpA PmrA	RR		P10940	
16	YPO3507	PmrA	RR	Possibly involved in Fe ³⁺ -induced regulation	P30843 P30844	Roland <i>et al.</i> , 1993
17	YPO3508	PmrB ZraR	SK RR	J	P30844 P14375	
17	see text		+	Response to zinc and lead.		Leonhartsberger et al., 20
		ZraS	SK		P14377	
3c: <60	% aminoacid iden	tity for both TCS	subunits but simil	ar genetic organization		
18	YPO0255	SsrB	RR	Possible pathogenicity island AE0700	Garmandia et al. 2002	
	YPO0256	SsrA	HK, RR	regulating system	AD0700	Garmendia et al., 2003
19a	(YPO1923)	EvgS	HK, RR, Hpt	Possible regulator of virulence in	P30855	Masuda et al., 2002
	(2)			response to diverse environmen-		
19b	YPO1925	EvgA/FimZ	RR	tal signals	P21502	
20	YPO2000	CopS?	HK	Copper resistance	P76339	Mills <i>et al.</i> , 1993
	YPO2001	CopR	RR		P77380	

iable 3	. Continued					
Locus	CO92	Gene	Modules	Function	DB entry	Relevant references (1)
3d: unk	known					
21	YPO2997	-	HK	Unknown function	-	-
	YPO2998	-	RR		-	
22	YPO3008	-	SK	Halan of a fire	-	-
	YPO3009	-	RR	Unknown function.	-	
3e: Pos	ssible TCSs reco	onstructed in silic)			
23a	YPO1959	NarX	HK	Nitrate-nitrite metabolism	P10956	Rabin <i>et al.</i> , 1993
23b	YPO3041	NarP	RR	Nitiate-nitite metabolism	P31802	Rabill et al., 1995
24a	YPO3943	YehU	HK	Unknown function.	P33357	Hirakawa et al., 2003
24b	YPO3287	YehT	RR	Offichiown function.	P33356	
3f: Orpi	hans					
25	YPO3965	CvgSY?	HK, RR	Unknown function	-	-
26	YPO3958	-	RR	Unknown function	-	-
27	YPO2173	RssB	RR	RpoS regulator (MviA, Hnr)	P37055	Bearson et al., 1996
28	YPO0712	FleR	RR	Sigma 54-dependent flagellar regulatory protein (partial)	P17899	Ritchings et al., 1995

Pseudogenes in CO92 are shown between parentheses.

terms of severity. Compared to its Y. pseudotuberculosis ancestor, Y. pestis displays a restricted ability to grow outside the host but, on the other hand, has become highly pathogenic. According to recent analyses, this change in lifestyle took place very recently on the evolutionary time scale - 20,000 years ago at most (Achtman, et al., 1999). The switch from a bimodal (environment + host) to a host-restricted lifestyle is the easiest to explain because it is consistent with the ongoing reductive evolution of the Y. pestis genome: this latter phenomenon probably constitutes the most salient information that has emerged from both CO92 and KIM genome analyses. One can reasonably expect the inactivation of certain regulators to greatly accelerate this evolutionary process, since the silencing of regulons is likely to be as dramatic as complete gene-block deletions. On the other hand. inactivation of transcriptional repressors leading to gene overexpression may account (at least partially) for an increase in pathogenicity. However, the issue of how regulator inactivation may have directed the evolution of Y. pestis physiology will never be clear until the regulons have been comprehensively characterised.

Around 150 genes are thought to be pseudogenes, due mainly to IS insertions, frameshift or nonsense mutations, in *Y. pestis* but not in *Y. pseudotuberculosis*. Fourteen encode transcriptional regulators, and inactivation of at least seven of these could have effects on bacterial phenotype. Of these seven regulators, three are parts of TCS sensors with high similarity to *E. coli* BaeS, UphB and YojN, respectively. In view of the situation in *E. coli*, the *Yersinia* BaeR-BaeS-like TCS may act as an envelope stress adaptive system, distinct from those controlled by sigma E and the CpxR-CpxA TCS: in other words, it is probably an as yet uncharacterised stress

response system. In E. coli, YojN is a phosphotransfer intermediate associated with RcsC-RcsB, a TCS required for colanic acid biosynthesis assumed to play a role in the remodeling of the bacterial surface (Ferrieres and Clarke, 2003). Again, the inactivation of YoiN observed in the CO92 and KIM strains may argue for the evolution of Y. pestis from an environmental lifestyle towards a strictly parasitic role. Evidence for changes in the regulation of certain metabolic pathways is also consistent with this evolutionary scenario. Firstly, inactivation in Y. pestis of the third TCS mutated subunit (UphB) probably causes downregulation of genes involved in the uptake and metabolism of hexose phosphates. Secondly, frameshifts in sorC and rafR homologues (YP00414 and YPO1728) may possibly lead to deficiencies in utilization of sorbose and raffinose. Thirdly (and potentially of greater impact) is the inactivation of YPO3583 (an YhbH-like σ^{54} modulator). which probably results in dramatically altered regulation of the σ^{N} -dependent genes in the two species (for review on σ^{N} , see Reitzer and Schneider, 2001).

How, then, might evolution of the regulator pool have contributed to the increase of *Y. pestis* pathogenicity? The recurrent questions concerning the determinism of and reasons for the very rapid evolution of a contrasting virulence phenotype in *Y. pestis* can only be partially explained by the acquisition of the pPCP1 (9.6 Kb) and pMT1 (102 Kb) plasmid-harboured, virulence determinant-encoding genes. Acquisition of chromosomal fragments of exogenous origin (predicted to have been a frequent occurrence) can hardly account for this dramatic evolution either, since it is thought that all the known fragments were acquired prior to *Y. pestis* speciation (Hinchliffe *et al.*, 2003). An additional, simple explanation may be provided by the selective deregulation of genes common

⁽¹⁾ not necessarily princeps publication

⁽²⁾ Pseudogene in CO92, but not in KIM.




Fig. 2. Putative two-component systems of *Y. pestis, Y. pseudotuberculosis,* and *Y. enterocolitica*. Sensors and regulators are represented in white and black boxes respectively along with their their possible functions and input signals (when known) deduced by similarity to regulation networks studied in other enterobacteria. Elements which are parts of the signaling pathways but are not TCS subunits *per se* are represented in gray. Sensors with names in parentheses are thought to be inactive in CO92. For clarity, only the major direct regulations (plain arrows) or cross-regulations (dotted arrows) between these systems are represented. For the same reason, transcriptional regulation of two-component systems by other two-component systems are not included. Additional references: Ogino *et al.*, 1998; Brzostek *et al.* 2003; Hirakawa *et al.* 2003; Masuda and Church, 2002; Shin and Park, 1995.

to both species. This will be discussed below through one example. Conversely, gene silencing through the inactivation of regulators may also be of great importance. particularly in the case of physiological functions that are necessary for the Y. pseudotuberculosis lifecycle but not for that of Y. pestis in a similar manner to what has been demonstrated previously with other pathogens (Parish et al., 2003). At least one repressor inactivation may have promoted virulence in Y. pestis. FlhD is encoded by the flagellar master operon (flhDC). As mentioned in the first part of this review, transcription of this polycistronic unit is essential for initiating transcription of most flagellar subunits and their subsequent assembly into a motile organelle. In both the sequenced Y. pestis strains, FlhD was found to be inactivated by a nonsense mutation leading to the production of a truncated protein (60% of its wild-type length). Inactivation of this regulator in Y. enterocolitica led to partial derepression of Yop expression (Bleves et al., 2002). Besides loss of flagella, expression of these molecules at higher levels may confer a selective advantage to Y. pestis during its course of infection.

By analogy with other bacterial pathogens, the FIhDC complex may co-ordinately regulate the expression of other as yet unknown virulence factors (Pruss *et al.* 2001; 2003). Hence, it is worth investigating the impact of FIhD inactivation on *Y. pestis*.

Conclusion: the limitations and pitfalls of in silico analysis Attempts to explain phenotypic differences between Y. pestis and Y. pseudotuberculosis by the evolution of their regulators, based on comparing a limited amount of genomic sequences, must never be considered as more than a starting point for experimental investigations. This is true for several reasons, the most evident of which is that strain-to-strain differences may exist within a given species, as illustrated below by two examples. For example, the ZraS-ZraR TCS, although found in Y. pestis strain 32953 and at least some biovar Antiqua strains, was apparently lost in both CO92 and KIM strains. Similarly, EvgS (one of the four TCS sensor subunits) is not active in CO92 but is predicted to be functional in the sequenced KIM strain. A second major reason is that predicting

function in terms of similarity is often very speculative. By anticipating the role of a given regulator based on what is known about its role in other bacterial species. one assumes that the element controls a similar regulon and that its inactivation has the same physiological consequences, which in fact depend on the presence or integrity of other potential co-regulatory systems (i.e. independent, overlapping or cross-regulatory systems). One striking example is the role of YPO3223, designated as crl by the two Y. pestis annotation teams in light of the 62.1% identity of its product with the E. coli curlin genes transcriptional activatory protein. Unexpectedly, none of the genomes of the three pathogenic Yersinia species harbour genes (csgA and B-like) coding for such appendages⁽¹⁾. One may then suppose that either these genes have been eliminated or that YPO3223 may serve other purposes. Similarly, the presence of the Rsc-YoiN TCS suggests the synthesis of exopolysaccharide in Yersinia. However, nothing is known about this antigen in Yersinia, and some genes thought to be essential for its biosynthesis have not been detected by Y. pestis genome annotation or by BLAST searches of the genomes of the two other pathogenic species. In other, even more complex cases, target regulons have been identified but are seen to differ among the three species, despite the fact that the regulators share > 90% identity at the peptide level. The comparative analysis of the respective roles of the CtrA response regulator in Caulobacter crescentus and Brucella abortus reported by Bellefontaine et al. (2002) perfectly illustrates the possibility of regulation network plasticities when comparing one bacterial species to another.

Computer-assisted identification of operating regions in sequenced bacterial genomes may be useful in refining prediction of co-regulated genes and thus the regulated physiological function. Although this is extremely difficult most of the time due to the low degree of sequence conservation in prokaryotic operating regions. some apparently successful attempts (based on the simultaneous analysis of several gamma proteobacterial genomes) have been reported already for regulons of highly structurally conserved regulators, including Fur. In Y. pestis, the presence of upstream Fur-boxes has lead to the identification of several previously characterized Furcontrolled operons (including, very surprisingly, the psaEF operon that is discussed above), as well as potentially new operons (Panina et al, 2001a). Similar studies have also been performed in Y. pestis with other regulators, such those of aromatic amino acid, ribose, arabinose, and xylose metabolism (Laikova et al., 2001; Panina et al., 2001b).

Ackowledgements

I would like to thank M.L. Rosso for the critical reading of this article, J.A. Bengoechea for the careful proof-reading of the O-antigen regulation section, and R.D. Perry for the preprint of his publication and for helpful discussions. Lastly, I am very grateful to P. Chain and E. Garcia for permission to use the *Y. pseudotuberculosis* genome sequence before publication.

References

- Achtman, M., Zurth, K., Morelli, G., Torrea, G., Guiyoule, A., and Carniel, E. 1999. *Yersinia pestis*, the cause of plague, is a recently emerged clone of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 96: 14043–14048.
- Al-Hendy, A., Toivanen, P., and Skurnik, M. 1991. The effect of growth temperature on the biosynthesis of *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:3 lipopolysaccharide: temperature regulates the transcription of the rfb but not of the rfa region. Microb. Pathog. 10: 81–86.
- Angerer, A., Enz, S., Ochs, M., and Braun, V. 1995. Transcriptional regulation of ferric citrate transport in *Escherichia coli* K-12. Fecl belongs to a new subfamily of sigma 70-type factors that respond to extracytoplasmic stimuli. Mol. Microbiol. 18: 163–174.
- Atkinson, S., Throup, J.P., Stewart, G.S., and Williams, P. 1999. A hierarchical quorum-sensing system in *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* is involved in the regulation of motility and clumping. Mol. Microbiol. 33: 1267–1277.
- Badger, J.L., and Miller, V.L. 1995. Role of RpoS in survival of *Yersinia enterocolitica* to a variety of environmental stresses. J. Bacteriol. 177: 5370–5373.
- Badger, J.L., and Miller, V.L. 1998. Expression of invasin and motility are coordinately regulated in *Yersinia enterocolitica*. J. Bacteriol. 180: 793–800.
- Baichoo, N., and Helmann, J.D. 2002. Recognition of DNA by Fur: a reinterpretation of the Fur box consensus sequence. J. Bacteriol. 184: 5826–5832.
- Baker, S.J., Gunn, J.S., and Morona, R. 1999. The *Salmonella typhi* melittin resistance gene *pqaB* affects intracellular growth in PMA-differentiated U937 cells, polymyxin B resistance and lipopolysaccharide. Microbiology 145: 367–378.
- Balsalobre, C., Juarez, A., Madrid, C., Mourino, M., Prenafeta, A., and Munoa, F.J. 1996. Complementation of the hha mutation in *Escherichia coli* by the *ymoA* gene from *Yersinia enterocolitica*: dependence on the gene dosage. Microbiology 142: 1841–1846.
- Bartlett, D.H., Frantz, B.B., and Matsumura, P. 1988. Flagellar transcriptional activators FlbB and Flal: gene sequences and 5' consensus sequences of operons under FlbB and Flal control. J. Bacteriol. 170: 1575–1581.
- Bearden, S.W., Fetherston, J.D., and Perry, R.D. 1997. Genetic organization of the yersiniabactin biosynthetic region and construction of avirulent mutants in *Yersinia pestis*. Infect. Immun. 65: 1659–1668.
- Bearden, S.W., Staggs, T.M., and Perry, R.D. 1998. An ABC transporter system of *Yersinia pestis* allows utilization of chelated iron by *Escherichia coli* SAB11. J. Bacteriol. 180: 1135–1147.
- Bearden, S.W., and Perry R.D. 1999. The Yfe system of *Yersinia pestis* transports iron and manganese and is required for full virulence of plague. Mol. Microbiol. 32: 403–414.
- Bearson, S.M., Benjamin, W.H. Jr, Swords, W.E., and Foster, J.W. 1996. Acid shock induction of RpoS is mediated by the mouse virulence gene mviA of *Salmonella typhimurium*. J. Bacteriol. 178: 2572–2579.
- Bellefontaine, A.F., Pierreux, C.E., Mertens, P., Vandenhaute, J., Letesson, J.J., and De Bolle, X. 2002.

- Plasticity of a transcriptional regulation network among alpha-proteobacteria is supported by the identification of CtrA targets in *Brucella abortus*. Mol. Microbiol. 43: 945–960.
- Bengoechea, J.A., and Skurnik, M. 2000. Temperatureregulated efflux pump/potassium antiporter system mediates resistance to cationic antimicrobial peptides in *Yersinia*. Mol. Microbiol. 37: 67–80.
- Bengoechea, J.A., Zhang, L., Toivanen, P., and Skurnik, M. 2002. Regulatory network of lipopolysaccharide Oantigen biosynthesis in *Yersinia enterocolitica* includes cell envelope-dependent signals. Mol. Microbiol. 44: 1045–1062.
- Bertin, P., Hommais, F., Krin, E., Soutourina, O., Tendeng, C., Derzelle, S. and Danchin, A. 2001. H-NS and H-NS-like proteins in Gram-negative bacteria and their multiple role in the regulation of bacterial metabolism. Biochimie 83: 235–241.
- Bishop, R.E., Gibbons, H.S., Guina, T., Trent, M.S., Miller, S.I., and Raetz., C.R. 2000. Transfer of palmitate from phospholipids to lipid A in outer membranes of Gramnegative bacteria. EMBO J. 19: 5071–5080.
- Bleves, S., Marenne, M.N., Detry, G., and Cornelis, G.R. 2002. Up-regulation of the *Yersinia enterocolitica* yop regulon by deletion of the flagellum master operon flhDC. J. Bacteriol. 184: 3214–3223.
- Boland, A., Sory, M.P., Iriarte, M., Kerbourch, C., Wattiau, P., and Cornelis, G.R. 1996. Status of YopM and YopN in the *Yersinia* Yop virulon: YopM of *Y.enterocolitica* is internalized inside the cytosol of PU5–1.8 macrophages by the YopB, D, N delivery apparatus. EMBO J. 15: 5191–5201.
- Bölin, I., Forsberg, A., Norlander, L., Skurnik, M.and Wolf-Watz, H. 1988. Identification and mapping of the temperature-inducible, plasmid-encoded proteins of *Yersinia* spp. Infect. Immun. 56: 343–348.
- Brown, L., and Elliott, T. 1997. Mutations that increase expression of the rpoS gene and decrease its dependence on hfq function in *Salmonella typhimurium*. J. Bacteriol. 179: 656–662.
- Brzostek, K., Raczkowska, A., and Zasada, A. 2003. The osmotic regulator OmpR is involved in the response of *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:9 to environmental stresses and survival within macrophages. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 228: 265–271.
- Cambronne, E.D., Cheng, L.W., and Schneewind, O. 2000. LcrQ/YscM1, regulators of the *Yersinia* yop virulon, are injected into host cells by a chaperone dependent mechanism. Mol. Microbiol. 37: 263–273
- Campos, A., and Matsumura, P. 2001. Extensive alanine scanning reveals protein-protein and protein-DNA interaction surfaces in the global regulator FlhD from Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 39: 581–594.
- Carniel, E., Mercereau-Puijalon, O., and Bonnefoy, S. 1989. The gene coding for the 190,000-dalton iron-regulated protein of Yersinia species is present only in the highly pathogenic strains. Infect. Immun. 57: 1211–1217.
- Carniel, E., Guiyoule, A., Guilvout, I., and Mercereau-Puijalon, O. 1992. Molecular cloning, iron-regulation and mutagenesis of the irp2 gene encoding HMWP2, a

- protein specific for the highly pathogenic *Yersinia*. Mol. Microbiol. 6: 379–388.
- Carniel, E. 2001. The Yersinia high-pathogenicity island: an iron-uptake island. Microbes Infect. 3: 561–569.
- Chain, P.S., Carniel, E., Larimer, F.W., Lamerdin, J., Stoutland, P.O., Regala, W.M., Georgescu, A.M., Vergez, L.M., Land, M.L., Motin, V.L., Brubaker, R.R., Fowler, J., Hinnebusch, J., Marceau, M., Medigue, C., Simonet, M., Chenal-Francisque, V., Souza, B., Dacheux, D., Elliott, J.M., Derbise, A., Hauser, L.J., and Garcia, E. 2004. Insights into the evolution of *Yersinia pestis* through whole-genome comparison with *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 101: 13826–13831.
- Chatfield, S.N., Strahan, K., Pickard, D., Charles, I.G., Hormaeche, C.E., and Dougan, G. 1992. Evaluation of *Salmonella typhimurium* strains harbouring defined mutations in htrA and aroA in the murine salmonellosis model. Microb. Pathog. 12: 145–151.
- Cheng, L.W., and Schneewind, O. 2000. *Yersinia enterocolitica* TyeA, an intracellular regulator of the type III machinery, is required for specific targeting of YopE, YopH, YopM, and YopN into the cytosol of eukaryotic cells. J. Bacteriol. 182: 3183–3190.
- Chilcott, G.S., and Hughes, K.T. 2000. Coupling of flagellar gene expression to flagellar assembly in Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium and *Escherichia coli*. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 64: 694–708.
- Cornelis, G., Vanootegem, J.C., and Sluiters, C. 1987. Transcription of the yop regulon from *Y. enterocolitica* requires trans acting pYV and chromosomal genes. Microb. Pathog. 2: 367–379.
- Cornelis, G.R., Sluiters, C., Lambert de Rouvroit, C.L., and Michiels, T. 1989. Homology between virF, the transcriptional activator of the *Yersinia* virulence regulon, and AraC, the *Escherichia coli* arabinose operon regulator. J. Bacteriol. 171: 254–262.
- Cornelis, G.R., Sluiters, C., Delor, I., Geib, D., Kaniga, K., Lambert de Rouvroit, C., Sory, M.P., Vanooteghem, J.C. and Michiels, T. 1991. ymoA, a *Yersinia enterocolitica* chromosomal gene modulating the expression of virulence functions. Mol. Microbiol. 5: 1023–1034.
- Crosa, J.H. 1997. Signal transduction and transcriptional and posttranscriptional control of iron-regulated genes in bacteria. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 61: 319–336.
- Crosa, J.H., and Walsh, C.T. 2002. Genetics and assembly line enzymology of siderophore biosynthesis in bacteria. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 66: 223–249.
- Cunning, C., Brown, L., and Elliott, T. 1998. Promoter substitution and deletion analysis of upstream region required for rpoS translational regulation. J. Bacteriol. 180: 4564–4570.
- Darwin A.J., and Miller, V.L. 2001. The psp locus of *Yersinia enterocolitica* is required for virulence and for growth *in vitro* when the Ysc type III secretion system is produced. Mol. Microbiol. 39: 429–444.
- Deiwick, J., Nikolaus, T., Erdogan, S., and M. Hensel. 1999. Environmental regulation of *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2 gene expression. Mol. Microbiol. 31: 1759–1774.
- de Lorenzo, V., Wee, S., Herrero, M., and Neilands, J.B. 1987. Operator sequences of the aerobactin operon of

- plasmid CoIV-K30 binding the ferric uptake regulation (fur) repressor. J. Bacteriol. 169: 2624–2630.
- Delor, I., Kaeckenbeeck, A., Wauters, G., and Cornelis, G.R. 1990. Nucleotide sequence of yst, the Yersinia enterocolitica gene encoding the heat-stable enterotoxin, and prevalence of the gene among pathogenic and nonpathogenic yersiniae. Infect. Immun. 58: 2983– 2988.
- Deng, W., Burland, V., Plunkett, G. 3rd, Boutin, A., Mayhew, G.F., Liss, P., Perna, N.T., Rose, D.J., Mau, B., Zhou, S., Schwartz, D.C., Fetherston, J.D., Lindler, L.E., Brubaker, R.R., Plano, G.V., Straley, S.C., McDonough, K.A., Nilles, M.L., Matson, J.S., Blattner, F.R., and Perry, R.D. 2002. Genome sequence of *Yersinia pestis* KIM. J. Bacteriol. 184: 4601–4611.
- DiGiuseppe, P.A., and Silhavy, T.J. 2003. Signal detection and target gene induction by the CpxRA two-component system. J. Bacteriol. 185: 2432–2440.
- Djordjevic, S., and Stock, A.M. 1998. Structural analysis of bacterial chemotaxis proteins: components of a dynamic signaling system. J. Struct. Biol. 124: 189–200.
- Dube, P.H., Handley, S.A., Revell, P.A., and Miller, V.L. 2003. The rovA mutant of *Yersinia enterocolitica* displays differential degrees of virulence depending on the route of infection Infect. Immun. 71: 3512–3520.
- El Tahir, Y.,and Skurnik, M. 2001. YadA, the multifaceted Yersinia adhesin. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 291: 209–218.
- Escolar, L., Perez-Martin, J., and de Lorenzo, V. 1998. Binding of the Fur (ferric uptake regulator) repressor of *Escherichia coli* to arrays of the GATAAT sequence. J. Mol. Biol. 283: 537–547.
- Escolar, L., Perez-Martin, J., and de Lorenzo, V. 1999. Opening the iron box: transcriptional metalloregulation by the Fur protein. J. Bacteriol. 181: 6223–9.
- Elzer, P.H., Phillips, R.W., Robertson, G.T., and Roop, R.M. 2nd. 1996. The HtrA stress response protease contributes to resistance of *Brucella abortus* to killing by murine phagocytes. Infect. Immun. 64: 4838–4841.
- Feodorova, V.A., and Devdariani, Z.L. 2001. New genes involved in *Yersinia pestis* fraction I biosynthesis. J. Med. Microbiol. 50: 969–978.
- Ferrieres, L., and Clarke, D.J. 2003. The RcsC sensor kinase is required for normal biofilm formation in *Escherichia coli* K-12 and controls the expression of a regulon in response to growth on a solid surface. Mol. Microbiol. 50: 1665–1682.
- Fetherston, J.D., Schuetze, P., and Perry, R.D. 1992. Loss of the pigmentation phenotype in *Yersinia pestis* is due to the spontaneous deletion of 102 kb of chromosomal DNA which is flanked by a repetitive element. Mol. Microbiol. 6: 2693–2704.
- Fetherston, J.D, Bearden, S.W, and Perry, R.D. 1996. YbtA, an AraC-type regulator of the *Yersinia pestis* pesticin/yersiniabactin receptor. Mol. Microbiol. 22: 315–325.
- Fetherston, J.D., Bertolino, V.J., and Perry, R.D. 1999. YbtP and YbtQ: two ABC transporters required for iron uptake in *Yersinia pestis*. Mol. Microbiol. 32: 289–299.
- Forsberg, A., and Wolf-Watz, H. 1988. The virulence protein Yop5 of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* is regulated at transcriptional level by plasmid-plB1-encoded trans-

- acting elements controlled by temperature and calcium. Mol. Microbiol. 2: 121–133.
- Forsberg, A., Viitanen, A.-M., Skurnik, M., and Wolf-Watz, H. 1991. The surface-located YopN protein is involved in calcium signal transduction in *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. Mol. Microbiol. 5: 977–986.
- Forst, S.A., and Roberts, D.L. 1994. Signal transduction by the EnvZ-OmpR phosphotransfer system in bacteria. Res. Microbiol. 145: 363–373.
- Francez-Charlot, A., Laugel, B., Van Gemert, A., Dubarry, N., Wiorowski, F., Castanie-Cornet, M.P., Gutierrez, C., and Cam, K. 2003. RcsCDB His-Asp phosphorelay system negatively regulates the flhDC operon in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 49: 823–832.
- Francis, M.S., Lloyd, S.A., and Wolf-Watz, H. 2001. The type III secretion chaperone LcrH co-operates with YopD to establish a negative, regulatory loop for control of Yop synthesis in *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. Mol. Microbiol. 42: 1075–1093.
- Fraser, G.M., Claret, L., Furness, R., Gupta, S., and Hughes, C. 2002. Swarming-coupled expression of the *Proteus mirabilis* hpmBA haemolysin operon. Microbiology 148: 2191–2201.
- Galán, J.E., and Curtiss, R., III. 1989. Virulence and vaccine potential of phoP mutants of *Salmonella typhimurium*. Microb. Pathog. 6: 433–443.
- García Véscovi, E., Soncini, F.C., and Groisman, E.A. 1996. Mg2+ as an extracellular signal: environmental regulation of Salmonella virulence. Cell. 84: 165–174.
- Garmendia, J., Beuzon, C.R., Ruiz-Albert; J., and Holden, D.W. 2003. The roles of SsrA-SsrB and OmpR-EnvZ in the regulation of genes encoding the *Salmonella typhimurium* SPI-2 type III secretion system. Microbiology 149: 2385–2396.
- Gegner, J.A., Graham, D.R., Roth, A.F., and Dahlquist, F.W. 1992. Assembly of an MCP receptor, CheW, and kinase CheA complex in the bacterial chemotaxis signal transduction pathway. Cell. 70: 975–82.
- Gehring, A.M., Demoll, E., Fetherston, J.D., Mori, I., Mayhew, G.F., Blattner, F.R., Walsh, C.T., and Perry, R.D. 1998. Iron acquisition in plague modular logic in enzymatic biogenesis of yersiniabactin by *Yersinia pestis*. Chem. Biol. 5: 573–586.
- Gillen, K.L., and Hughes, K.T. 1991. Molecular characterization of flgM, a gene encoding a negative regulator of flagellin synthesis in *Salmonella typhimurium*. J. Bacteriol. 173: 6453–6459.
- Givaudan, A, and Lanois, A. 2000. flhDC, the flagellar master operon of *Xenorhabdus nematophilus*: requirement for motility, lipolysis, extracellular hemolysis, and full virulence in insects. J. Bacteriol. 182: 107–115.
- Goguen, J.D., Yother, J., and Straley, S.C. 1984. Genetic analysis of the low calcium response in *Yersinia pestis* mu d1(Ap lac) insertion mutants. J. Bacteriol. 160: 842–848.
- Grabenstein, J.P., Marceau, M., Pujol, C., Simonet, M., and Bliska, J.B. 2004. The response regulator PhoP of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* is important for replication in macrophages and for virulence. Infect. Immun. 72: 4973–4984.

- Groisman, E. A., Kayser, J., and Soncini, F.C. 1997. Regulation of polymyxin resistance and adaptation to low-Mg2+ environments. J. Bacteriol. 179: 7040–7045.
- Gunn, J.S., Lim, K.B., Krueger, J., Kim, K., Guo, L., Hackett, M., and Miller S. I. 1998. PmrA-PmrB-regulated genes necessary for 4-aminoarabinose lipid A modification and polymyxin resistance. Mol. Microbiol. 27: 1171–1182.
- Guo, L., Lim, K.B., Poduje, C.M., Daniel, M., Gunn, J.S., Hackett, M., and Miller, S.I. 1998. Lipid A acylation and bacterial resistance against vertebrate antimicrobial peptides. Cell 95: 189–198.
- Harrison, S.C., and Aggarwal, A.K. 1990. DNA recognition by proteins with the helix-turn-helix motif. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 59: 933–969.
- Halliwell, B., and Gutteridge, J.M. 1984. Role of iron in oxygen radical reactions. Methods Enzymol. 105: 47– 56.
- Helmann, J.D. 1999. Anti-sigma factors. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 2: 135–141.
- Helmann, J.D. 2002. The extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors. Adv. Microb. Physiol. 46: 47–110.
- Hengge-Aronis, R. 2002. Signal transduction and regulatory mechanisms involved in control of the sigma(S) (RpoS) subunit of RNA polymerase. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 66: 373–395.
- Hess, J.F., Oosawa, K., Kaplan, N., and Simon, M.I. 1988. Phosphorylation of three proteins in the signaling pathway of bacterial chemotaxis. Cell 53: 79–87.
- Hirakawa, H., Nishino, K., Hirata, T., Yamaguchi, A. 2003. Comprehensive studies of drug resistance mediated by overexpression of response regulators of twocomponent signal transduction systems in *Escherichia* coli. J. Bacteriol. 185: 1851–1856.
- Hinchliffe, S.J., Isherwood, K.E., Stabler, R.A., Prentice, M.B., Rakin, A., Nichols, R.A., Oyston, P.C., Hinds, J., Titball, R.W., and Wren, B.W. 2003. Application of DNA microarrays to study the evolutionary genomics of *Yersinia pestis* and *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. Genome Res. 13: 2018–2029.
- Hitchen, P.G., Prior, J.L., Oyston, P.C., Panico, M., Wren, B.W., Titball, R.W., Morris, H.R., and Dell, A. 2002. Structural characterization of lipo-oligosaccharide (LOS) from *Yersinia pestis*: regulation of LOS structure by the PhoPQ system. Mol. Microbiol. 44: 1637–1650.
- Hoe, N.P., and Goguen, J.D. 1993. Temperature sensing in *Yersinia pestis*: translation of the LcrF activator protein is thermally regulated. J. Bacteriol. 175: 7901–7909.
- Hughes, K.T., Gillen, K.L., Semon, M.J., and Karlinsey, J.E. 1993. Sensing structural intermediates in bacterial flagellar assembly by export of a negative regulator. Sciences 262: 1277–1280.
- Hung, D.L., Raivio, T.L., Jones, C.H., Silhavy, T.J., and Hultgren, S.J. 2001. Cpx signaling pathway monitors biogenesis and affects assembly and expression of P pill. EMBO J. 20: 1508–1518.
- Iriarte, M., Cornelis, G.R. 1995a. MyfF, an element of the network regulating the synthesis of fibrillae in *Yersinia enterocolitica*. J. Bacteriol. 177: 738–744.
- Iriarte, M., Stainier, I., and Cornelis, G.R. 1995b. The rpoS gene from *Yersinia enterocolitica* and its influence on expression of virulence factors. Infect. Immun. 63: 1840–1847.

- Iriarte, M., Stainier, I., Mikulskis; A.V., and Cornelis, G.R. 1995c. The fliA gene encoding sigma 28 in *Yersinia enterocolitica*. J. Bacteriol. 177: 2299–2304.
- Iriarte, M., Sory, M.P., Boland, A., Boyd, A.P., Mills, S.D., Lambermont, I., and Cornelis, G.R. 1998. TyeA a protein involved in control of Yop release and in translocation of *Yersinia* Yop effectors. EMBO J. 17: 1907–1918.
- Isberg, R,R., Swain; A., and Falkow, S. 1988. Analysis of expression and thermoregulation of the *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* inv gene with hybrid proteins. Infect. Immun. 56:2133–2138.
- Island, M.D., Wei, B.Y., and Kadner, R.J. 1992. Structure and function of the uhp genes for the sugar phosphate transport system in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium*. J. Bacteriol. 174: 2754–2762.
- Jacobi, C.A., Gregor, S., Rakin, A., and Heesemann, J. 2001. Expression analysis of the yersiniabactin receptor gene fyuA and the heme receptor hemR of *Yersinia enterocolitica in vitro* and *in vivo* using the reporter genes for green fluorescent protein and luciferase. Infect. Immun. 69: 7772–7782.
- Jones, C.H., Danese, P.N., Pinkner, J.S., Silhavy, T.J., and Hultgren, S.J. 1997. The chaperone-assisted membrane release and folding pathway is sensed by two signal transduction systems. EMBO J. 16: 6394– 6406
- Jones, H.A., Lillard, J.W. Jr, and Perry, R.D. 1999. HmsT, a protein essential for expression of the haemin storage (Hms+) phenotype of *Yersinia pestis*. Microbiology 145: 2117–2128.
- Kapatral, V., and Minnich, S.A. 1995. Co-ordinate, temperature-sensitive regulation of the three *Yersinia enterocolitica* flagellin genes. Mol. Microbiol. 17: 49–
- Kapatral, V., Olson, J.W., Pepe, J.C., Miller, V.L., and Minnich, S.A. 1996. Temperature-dependent regulation of *Yersinia enterocolitica* Class III flagellar genes. Mol. Microbiol. 19: 1061–1071.
- Karlyshev, A.V., Galyov; E.E., Abramov; V.M., and Zav'yalov; V.P. 1992. Caf1R gene and its role in the regulation of capsule formation of Y. pestis. FEBS. Lett. 305: 37–40.
- Laikova, O.N., Mironov, A.A., and Gelfand, M.S. 2001. Computational analysis of the transcriptional regulation of pentose utilization systems in the gamma subdivision of Proteobacteria. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 205: 315–322
- Lambert de Rouvroit, C., Sluiters, C., and Cornelis, G.R. 1992. Role of the transcriptional activator, VirF, and temperature in the expression of the pYV plasmid genes of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. Mol. Microbiol. 6: 395–409.
- Lange, R., and Hengge-Aronis, R. 1991. Identification of a central regulator of stationary-phase gene expression in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 5: 49–59.
- Lange, R., and Hengge-Aronis, R. 1994. The cellular concentration of the $\sigma^{\rm S}$ subunit of RNA-polymerase in *Escherichia coli* is controlled at the levels of transcription, translation and protein stability. Genes Dev. 8: 1600–1612.
- Lange, R., Fischer, D., and Hengge-Aronis, R. 1995. Identification of transcriptional start sites and the role of ppGpp in the expression of rpoS, the structural gene for

- the sigma S subunit of RNA polymerase in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 177: 4676–4680.
- Lease, R.A., Cusick, M.E., and Belfort, M. 1998. Riboregulation in *Escherichia coli*: DsrA RNA acts by RNA:RNA interaction at multiple loci. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 95: 12456–12461.
- Lee, V. T., Mazmanian, S. K., and Schneewind, O. 2001. A program of *Yersinia enterocolitica* type III secretion reactions is triggered by specific host signals. J. Bacteriol. 183: 4970–4978.
- Leonhartsberger, S., Huber, A., Lottspeich, F., and Bock, A. 2001. The hydH/G Genes from *Escherichia coli* code for a zinc and lead responsive two-component regulatory system. J. Mol. Biol. 307: 93–105.
- Li, S.R., Dorrell, N., Everest, P.H., Dougan, G., and Wren, B.W. 1996. Construction and characterization of a *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:8 high-temperature requirement (htrA) isogenic mutant. Infect. Immun. 64: 2088–2094.
- Lillard, J.W. Jr, Bearden; S.W., Fetherston, J.D., and Perry, R.D. 1999. The haemin storage (Hms+) phenotype of Yersinia pestis is not essential for the pathogenesis of bubonic plague in mammals. Microbiology 145: 197– 209.
- Lindler, L.E., Klempner, M.S., and Straley, S.C. 1990. Yersinia pestis pH 6 antigen: genetic, biochemical and virulence characterization of a protein involved in the pathogenesis of bubonic plague. Infect. Immun. 58: 2569–2577.
- Luchi, S., and Lin, E.C.C. 1993. Adaptation of Escherichia coli to redox environments by gene expression. Mol. Microbiol. 9: 715–727.
- Macnab, R.M. 1996. Flagella and motility. In: Neidhardt, F.C., Curtis, R., III, Ingraham, J.L., Lin, E.C.C., Low, K.B., Magasanik, B., Reznikoff, W.S., Riley, M., Schaechter, M., and Umbarger, H.E., Editors. Escherichia coli and Salmonella: Cellular and Molecular Biology (2nd edit. ed.). American Society for Microbiology. Washington, DC. 123–145.
- Marceau, M., Sebbane, F., Ewann, F., Collyn, F., Lindner, B., Campos, M.A., Bengoechea, J.A., and Simonet, M. 2004. The pmrF polymyxin-resistance operon of Yersinia pseudotuberculosis is upregulated by the PhoP–PhoQ two-component system but not by PmrA-PmrB, and is not required for virulence. Microbiology 150: 3947–3957.
- Masuda, N., and Church, G.M. 2002. *Escherichia coli* gene expression responsive to levels of the response regulator EvgA. J. Bacteriol. 184: 6225–6234.
- McHugh, J.P., Rodriguez-Quinones, F., Abdul-Tehrani, H., Svistunenko, D.A., Poole, R.K., Cooper, C.E., and Andrews, S.C. Global iron-dependent gene regulation in *Escherichia coli*. Anew mechanism for iron homeostasis. J. Biol. Chem. 278: 29478–29486.
- Michiels, T., Vanooteghem, J.C., Lambert de Rouvroit, C.L., China, B., Gustin, A., Boudry, P.and Cornelis, G. R.. 1991. Analysis of virC, an operon involved in the secretion of Yop proteins by *Yersinia enterocolitica*. J. Bacteriol. 173: 4994–5009.
- Mikulskis, A.V., and Cornelis, G.R. 1994. A new class of proteins regulating gene expression in enterobacteria. Mol. Microbiol. 11: 77–86.

- Miller, S.I., Kukral, A.M., and Mekalanos, J.J. 1989. A twocomponent regulatory system (PhoP–PhoQ) controls *Salmonella typhimurium* virulence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 86: 5054–5058.
- Miller, S. I., and J. J. Mekalanos. 1990. Constitutive expression of the phoP regulon attenuates *Salmonella* virulence and survival within macrophages. J. Bacteriol. 172: 2485–2490.
- Mills, S.D., Jasalavich, C.A., and Cooksey, D.A. 1993. A two-component regulatory system required for copper-inducible expression of the copper resistance operon of *Pseudomonas syringae*. J. Bacteriol. 175: 1656–1664.
- Missiakas, D., and Raina, S. 1997. Signal transduction pathways in response to protein misfolding in the extracytoplasmic compartments of *E. coli*: role of two new phosphoprotein phosphatases PrpA and PrpB. EMBO J. 16: 1670–1685.
- Mizuno, T. 1997. Compilation of all genes encoding twocomponent phosphotransfer signal transducers in the genome of *Escherichia coli*. DNA Res. 28: 161–168.
- Muffler, A., Fischer, D., Altuvia, S. Storz, G. and Hengge-Aronis, R. 1996. The response regulator RssB controls stability of the σS subunit of RNA polymerase in *Escherichia coli*. EMBO J. 15: 1333–1339.
- Mukhopadhyay, S., Audia, J.P., Roy, R. N., and Schellhorn, H.E. 2000. Transcriptional induction of the conserved alternative sigma factor RpoS in *Escherichia coli* is dependent on BarA, a probable two-component regulator. Mol. Microbiol. 37: 371–381.
- Nagel, G., Lahrz, A., and Dersch, P. 2001. Environmental control of invasin expression in *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* is mediated by regulation of RovA, a transcriptional activator of the/Hor family. Mol. Microbiol. 41: 1249–1269.
- Nakao, H, Watanabe, H, Nakayama, S, and Takeda, T. 1995. yst gene expression in *Yersinia enterocolitica* is positively regulated by a chromosomal region that is highly homologous to *Escherichia coli* host factor 1 gene (hfq). Mol. Microbiol. 18: 859–865.
- Neyt, C., Iriarte, M., Thi, V.H., and Cornelis, G.R. 1997. Virulence and arsenic resistance in *Yersiniae*. J. Bacteriol. 179: 612–619.
- Nickerson, C.A., and Curtiss, R., III. 1997. Role of sigma factor RpoS in initial stages of *Salmonella typhimurium* infection. Infect. Immun. 65: 1814–1823.
- Nieto, J.M., Madrid, C., Miquelay, E., Parra, J.L., Rodriguez, S., and Juarez, A. 2002. Evidence for direct protein-protein interaction between members of the enterobacterial Hha/YmoA and H-NS families of proteins. J. Bacteriol. 184: 629–635.
- Ninfa, E.G., Stock, A., Mowbray, S., and Stock, J. 1991. Reconstitution of the bacterial chemotaxis signal transduction system from purified components. J. Biol. Chem. 266: 9764–9770.
- Ninfa, A.J., Atkinson, M.R., Kamberov, E. S., Feng, J., and Ninfa, E.G. 1995. Control of nitrogen assimilation by the NRI-NRII two-component system of enteric bacteria, p.67–88. In J.A. Hoch and T.J. Silhavy (ed.), Two-component signal transduction. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Norte, VA, Stapleton, MR, and Green, J. 2003. PhoPresponsive expression of the Salmonella enterica

- serovar typhimurium slyA gene. J. Bacteriol. 185: 3508–3514.
- Ogino, T., Matsubara, M., Kato, N., Nakamura, Y., and Mizuno, 1998. An *Escherichia coli* protein that exhibits phosphohistidine phosphatase activity towards the HPt domain of the ArcB sensor involved in the multistep His-Asp phosphorelay. Mol. Microbiol. 27: 573–585.
- Ohnishi, K., Kutsukake, K., Suzuki, H., and Lino, T. 1992. A novel transcriptional regulation mechanism in the flagellar regulon of *Salmonella typhimurium*: an antisigma factor inhibits the activity of the flagellum-specific sigma factor, sigma F. Mol. Microbiol. 6: 3149–3157.
- Oyston, P.C., Dorrell, N., Williams, K., Li, S.R., Green, M., Titball, R.W., and Wren, B.W. 2000. The response regulator PhoP is important for survival under conditions of macrophage-induced stress and virulence in *Yersinia pestis*. Infect. Immun. 68: 3419–3425.
- Pai, C.H., and Mors, V. 1978. Production of enterotoxin by *Yersinia enterocolitica*. Infect. Immun. 19: 908–911.
- Pallen, M.J., and Wren, B.W. 1997. The HtrA family of serine proteases. Mol. Microbiol. 26: 209–221.
- Panina, E.M., Mironov, A.A., and Gelfand, M.S. 2001a. Comparative analysis of FUR regulons in gammaproteobacteria. Nucleic Acids Res. 29: 5195–5206.
- Panina, E.M, Vitreschak, A.G, Mironov, A.A, and Gelfand, M.S. 2001b. Regulation of aromatic amino acid biosynthesis in gamma-proteobacteria. J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 3: 529–543.
- Parish, T., Smith, D.A., Kendall, S., Casali, N., Bancroft, G.J., and Stoker, N.G. 2003. Deletion of twocomponent regulatory systems increases the virulence of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Infect. Immun. 71: 1134–1140.
- Parkhill, J., Wren, B.W., Thomson, N.R., Titball, R.W., Holden, M.T., Prentice, M.B., Sebaihia, M., James, K.D., Churcher, C., Mungall, K.L., Baker, S., Basham, D., Bentley, S.D., Brooks, K., Cerdeno-Tarraga, A.M., Chillingworth, T., Cronin, A., Davies, R.M., Davis, P., Dougan, G., Feltwell, T., Hamlin, N., Holroyd, S., Jagels, K., Karlyshev, A.V., Leather, S., Moule, S., Oyston, P.C., Quail, M., Rutherford, K., Simmonds, M., Skelton, J., Stevens, K., Whitehead, S., and Barrell, B.G. 2001. Genome sequence of *Yersinia pestis*, the causative agent of plague. Nature 413: 523–527.
- Pedersen, L.L., Radulic, M., Doric, M., and Abu Kwaik, Y. 2001. HtrA homologue of Legionella pneumophila: an indispensable element for intracellular infection of mammalian but not protozoan cells. Infect. Immun. 69: 2569–2579.
- Pepe; J.C., and Miller, V.L. 1993. *Yersinia enterocolitica* invasin: a primary role in the initiation of infection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 90: 6473–6477.
- Pepe, J.C., Badger, J.L., and Miller, V.L. 1994. Growth phase and low pH affect the thermal regulation of the *Yersinia enterocolitica* inv gene. Mol. Microbiol. 11: 123–135.
- Perez-Rueda, E., and Collado-Vides, J. 2000. The repertoire of DNA-binding transcriptional regulators in *Escherichia coli* K-12. Nucleic Acids Res. 28: 1838–1847.
- Pernestig, A.K., Melefors, O., and Georgellis, D. 2001. Identification of UvrY as the cognate response regulator

- for the BarA sensor kinase in *Escherichia coli*. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 225–231.
- Perry, R.D., Pendrak, M.L., and Schuetze, P. 1990. Identification and cloning of a hemin storage locus involved in the pigmentation phenotype of *Yersinia pestis*. J. Bacteriol. 172:5929–5937.
- Perry, R.D., and Fetherston, J.D. 1997. Yersinia pestis, the etiologic agent of plague. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 10: 35–66.
- Perry, R.D., Bobrov, A.G., Kirillina, O., Jones, H.A., Pedersen, L., Abney, J., and Fetherston, J.D. 2004. Temperature regulation of the Hemin storage (Hms*) phenotype of *Yersinia pestis* is posttranscriptional. J. Bacteriol. 186: 1638–1647.
- Pettersson, J., Nordfelth, R., Dubinina, E., Bergman, T., Gustafsson, M., Magnusson, K.E., and Wolf-Watz, H. 1996. Modulation of virulence factor expression by pathogen target cell contact. Science 273: 1231–1233.
- Poole, K., and McKay, G.A. 2003. Iron acquisition and its control in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: many roads lead to Rome. Front. Biosci. 8: 661–686.
- Pratt, L.A., and Silhavy, T.J. 1996. The response regulator, SprE, controls the stability of RpoS. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 93: 2488–2492.
- Pruss, B.M., Liu, X., Hendrickson, W., and Matsumura, P. 2001. FlhD/FlhC-regulated promoters analyzed by gene array and lacZ gene fusions. FEMS. Microbiol. Lett. 197: 91–97.
- Pruss, B.M., Campbell. J.W., Van Dyk, T.K., Zhu, C., Kogan, Y., and Matsumura, P. 2003. FlhD/FlhC is a regulator of anaerobic respiration and the Entner-Doudoroff pathway through induction of the methylaccepting chemotaxis protein Aer. J. Bacteriol. 185: 534–43.
- Rabin, R.S., and Stewart, V. 1993. Dual response regulators (NarL and NarP) interact with dual sensors (NarX and NarQ) to control nitrate- and nitrite-regulated gene expression in *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. 175: 3259–3268.
- Radnedge, L., Agron, P.G., Worsham, P.L., and Andersen, G.L. 2002. Genome plasticity in *Yersinia pestis*. Microbiology 148: 1687–1698.
- Raffa, R.G., and Raivio, T.L. 2002. A third envelope stress signal transduction pathway in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 45: 1599–1611.
- Raivio, T.L., and Silhavy, T.J. 2001. Periplasmic stress and ECF sigma factors. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 55: 591–624.
- Ramamurthy, T., Yoshino, K., Huang, X., Balakrish Nair, G., Carniel, E., Maruyama, T., Fukushima, H., and Takeda, T. 1997. The novel heat-stable enterotoxin subtype gene (ystB) of *Yersinia enterocolitica*: nucleotide sequence and distribution of the yst genes. Microb. Pathog. 23: 189–200.
- Reitzer, L., and Schneider, B.L. 2001. Metabolic context and possible physiological themes of sigma(54)dependent genes in *Escherichia coli*. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 65: 422–444.
- Revell, P.A., and Miller, V.L. 2000. A chromosomally encoded regulator is required for expression of the *Yersinia enterocolitica* inv gene and for virulence. Mol. Microbiol. 35: 677–685.

- Ritchings, B.W., Almira, E.C., Lory, S., and Ramphal, R. 1995. Cloning and phenotypic characterization of fleS and fleR, new response regulators of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* which regulate motility and adhesion to mucin. Infect. Immun. 63: 4868–4876.
- Robins-Browne, R.M., Still, C.S., Miliotis, M.D., and Koornhof, H.J. 1979. Mechanism of action of *Yersinia enterocolitica* enterotoxin. Infect. Immun. 25: 680–684.
- Rohde, J.R., Fox, J.M., and Minnich, S.A. 1994. Thermoregulation in *Yersinia enterocolitica* is coincident with changes in DNA supercoiling. Mol. Microbiol. 12: 187–199
- Rohde, J.R., Luan, X.S., Rohde, H., Fox, J.M., and Minnich, S.A. 1999. The *Yersinia enterocolitica* pYV virulence plasmid contains multiple intrinsic DNA bends which melt at 37 degrees C. J. Bacteriol. 181: 4198– 4204.
- Roland, K.L., Martin, L.E., Esther, C.R., and Spitznagel, J.K. 1993. Spontaneous pmrA mutants of *Salmonella typhimurium* LT2 define a new two-component regulatory system with a possible role in virulence. J. Bacteriol. 175: 4154–4164.
- Rosqvist, R., Magnusson, K.-E., and Wolf-Watz, H. 1994. Target cell contact triggers expression and polarized transfer of *Yersinia* YopE cytotoxin into mammalian cells. EMBO J. 13: 964–972.
- Rossi, M.S., Fetherston, J.D., Letoffe, S., Carniel, E., Perry, R.D., Ghigo, J.M. 2001. Identification and characterization of the hemophore-dependent heme acquisition system of *Yersinia pestis*. Infect. Immun. 69: 6707–6717.
- Saken, E., Rakin; A., and Heesemann, J. 2000. Molecular characterization of a novel siderophore-independent iron transport system in *Yersinia*. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 290: 51–60.
- Schmiel, D.H., Young, G.M., and Miller, V.L. 2000. The *Yersinia enterocolitica* phospholipase gene yplA is part of the flagellar regulon. J. Bacteriol. 182:2314–2320.
- Schmitt, C.K., Darnell, S.C., Tesh, V.L., Stocker, B.A., and O'Brien, A.D. 1994. Mutation of flgM attenuates virulence of *Salmonella typhimurium*, and mutation of fliA represses the attenuated phenotype. J. Bacteriol. 176: 368–377.
- Schmitt, C.K., Darnell, S.C., and O'Brien, A.D. 1996. The attenuated phenotype of a Salmonella typhimurium flgM mutant is related to expression of FliC flagellin. J. Bacteriol. 178: 2911–2915.
- Schweder, T., Lee, K.-H., Lomovskaya, O., and Matin, A. 1996. Regulation of *Escherichia coli* starvation sigma factor (σS) by ClpXP protease. J. Bacteriol. 178: 470–476.
- Shapiro, L. 1995. The bacterial flagellum: from genetic network to complex architecture. Cell 80: 525–527.
- Shiba, T., Tsutsumi, K., Yano, H., Ihara, Y., Kameda, A., Tanaka, K., Takahashi, H., Munekata, M., Rao, N. N., and Kornberg, A.. 1997. Inorganic polyphosphate and the induction of rpoS expression. Proc. Natl. Acac. Sci. USA. 94: 11210–11215.
- Shin, S., and Park, C. 1995. Modulation of flagellar expression in *Escherichia coli* by acetyl phosphate and the osmoregulator OmpR. J. Bacteriol. 177: 4696– 4702.

- Skrzypek, E., and Straley, S.C. 1993. LcrG, a secreted protein involved in negative regulation of the low-calcium response in *Yersinia pestis*. J. Bacteriol. 175: 3520–3528.
- Skurnik, M., and Toivanen, P. 1992. LcrF is the temperature-regulated activator of the yadA gene of *Yersinia enterocolitica* and *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. J. Bacteriol. 174: 2047–2051.
- Soncini, F.C., García Véscovi, E., and Groisman, E.A. 1995. Transcriptional autoregulation of the *Salmonella typhimurium* phoPQ operon. J. Bacteriol. 177: 4364–4371
- Soncini, F.C., García Véscovi, E., Solomon, F., and Groisman, E.A. 1996. Molecular basis of the magnesium deprivation response in *Salmonella typhimurium*: identification of PhoP-regulated genes. J. Bacteriol. 178: 5092–5099.
- Sory, M.P., and Cornelis, G.R. 1994. Translocation of a hybrid YopE-adenylate cyclase from *Yersinia enterocolitica* into HeLa cells. Mol. Microbiol. 14: 583–594.
- Staggs, T.M., and Perry, R.D. 1991. Identification and cloning of a fur regulatory gene in *Yersinia pestis*. J. Bacteriol. 173: 417–425.
- Staggs, T.M., and Perry, R.D. 1992. Fur regulation in *Yersinia* species. Mol. Microbiol. 6: 2507–2516.
- Staggs, T.M., Fetherston, J.D., and Perry, R.D. 1994. Pleiotropic effects of a Yersinia pestis fur mutation. J. Bacteriol. 176: 7614–7624.
- Stainier, I., Iriarte, M., and Cornelis, G.R. 1997. YscM1 and YscM2, two *Yersinia enterocolitica* proteins causing downregulation of yop transcription. Mol. Microbiol. 26: 833–843.
- Stewart, V. 1994. Dual interacting two-component regulatory systems mediate nitrate- and nitrite-regulated gene expression in *Escherichia coli*. Res. Microbiol. 145: 450–454.
- Stout, V, and Gottesman, S. 1990. RcsB and RcsC: a two-component regulator of capsule synthesis in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 172: 659–669.
- Straley, S.C., Plano, G.V., Skrzypek, E., Haddix, P.L., and Fields, K.A. 1993. Regulation by Ca2+ in the *Yersinia* low-Ca2+ response. Mol. Microbiol. 8: 1005–1010.
- Taylor, B.L., and Zhulin, I.B. 1999. PAS domains: internal sensors of oxygen, redox potential, and light. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 63: 479–506.
- Thompson, J.M., Jones, H.A, and Perry R.D. 1999. Molecular characterization of the hemin uptake locus (hmu) from *Yersinia pestis* and analysis of hmu mutants for hemin and hemoprotein utilization. Infect. Immun. 67: 3879–3892.
- Tommassen, J., de Geus, P., Lugtenberg, B., Hackett J., and Reeves, P. 1982. Regulation of the pho regulon of *Escherichia coli* K-12. Cloning of the regulatory genes phoB and phoR and identification of their gene products. J. Mol. Biol. 157: 265–274.
- Venturi V. 2003. Control of rpoS transcription in *Escherichia coli* and pseudomonas: why so different? Mol. Microbiol. 49: 1–9.
- Walderhaug, M.O., Polarek, J.W., Voelkner, P., Daniel, J.M., Hesse, J.E., Altendorf, K., and Epstein, W. 1992. KdpD and KdpE, proteins that control expression of the

- kdpABC operon, are members of the two-component sensor-effector class of regulators. J. Bacteriol. 174: 2152–2159.
- Wanner, B.L. 1996. Phosphorus assimilation and control of the phosphate regulon. In Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium: Cellular and Molecular biology. Neidhardt, F.C., Curtiss, R, III, Ingraham, J.L., Lin, E.C.C., Low, K.B., Jr, and Magasanik, B., et al (eds). Washington DC: American Society for Microbiology Press
- Wattiau, P., and Cornelis, G.R. 1993. SycE, a chaperonelike protein of *Yersinia enterocolitica* involved in the secretion of YopE. Mol. Microbiol. 8: 123–131.
- Wattiau, P., and Cornelis, G.R. 1994. Identification of DNA sequences recognized by VirF, the transcriptional activator of the *Yersinia* yop regulon. J. Bacteriol. 176: 3878–3884.
- Weinberg, E.D. 1978. Iron and infection. Microbiol. Rev. 42: 45–66.
- Williams, A.W., and Straley, S.C. 1998. YopD of Yersinia pestis plays a role in negative regulation of the low-calcium response in addition to its role in translocation of Yops. J. Bacteriol. 180: 350–358.
- Williams, K., Oyston, P.C., Dorrell, N., Li, S., Titball, R.W., and Wren, B.W. 2000. Investigation into the role of the serine protease HtrA in Yersinia pestis pathogenesis. FEMS. Microbiol. Lett. 186: 281–286.
- Wosten, M.M., Kox, L.F., Chamnongpol, F.S., Soncini, F.C., and Groisman, E.A. 2000. A signal transduction system that responds to extracellular iron. Cell 103: 113–125.
- Wren, B.W., Olsen, A.L., Stabler, R., and Li, S.R. 1995. A PCR-based strategy for the construction of a defined *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:8 htrA mutant. Contrib. Microbiol. Immunol. 13: 290–293.
- Wu, R.Y., Zhang, R.G., Zagnitko, O., Dementieva, I., Maltzev, N., Watson, J.D., Laskowski, R., Gornicki, P., and Joachimiak, A. 2003. Crystal structure of *Enterococcus faecalis* SlyA-like transcriptional factor. J. Biol. Chem. 278: 20240–20244.
- Yamamoto, T., Hanawa, T., Ogata, S., and Kamiya, S. 1996. Identification and characterization of the *Yersinia enterocolitica* gsrA gene, which protectively responds to intracellular stress induced by macrophage phagocytosis and to extracellular environmental stress. Infect. Immun. 64: 2980–2987.
- Yamamoto, T., Hanawa, T., Ogata, S., and Kamiya, S. 1997. The Yersinia enterocolitica GsrA stress

- protein, involved in intracellular survival, is induced by macrophage phagocytosis. Infect. Immun. 65: 2190–2196.
- Yamashino, T., Ueguchi, C., and Mizuno, T. 1995. Quantitative control of the stationary phase-specific sigma factor, σS, in *Escherichia coli*: involvement of the nucleoid protein H-NS. EMBO J. 14: 594–602.
- Yang, Y., Merriam, J.J., Mueller, J.P., and Isberg, R.R. 1996. The psa locus is responsible for thermoinducible binding of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* to cultured cells. Infect. Immun. 64: 2483–2489.
- Yang, Y., and Isberg, R.R. 1997. Transcriptional regulation of the Yersinia pseudotuberculosis pH6 antigen adhesin by two envelope-associated components. Mol. Microbiol. 24: 499–510.
- Yother, J., and Goguen, J.D. 1985. Isolation and characterization of Ca2+-blind mutants of *Yersinia pestis*. J. Bacteriol. 164: 704–711.
- Yother, J., Chamness, T.W., and Goguen, J.D. 1986. Temperature-controlled plasmid regulon associated with low calcium response in *Yersinia pestis*. J. Bacteriol. 165: 443–447.
- Young, G.M., Smith, M.J., Minnich, S.A, and Miller, V.L. 1999a. The Yersinia enterocolitica motility master regulatory operon, flhDC, is required for flagellin production, swimming motility, and swarming motility. J. Bacteriol. 181:2823–2833.
- Young, G.M., Schmiel, D.H., and Miller, V.L. 1999b. A new pathway for the secretion of virulence factors by bacteria: the flagellar export apparatus functions as a protein-secretion system. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 96: 6456–6461.
- Young, G.M., Badger, J.L., Miller, V.L. 2000. Motility is required to initiate host cell invasion by *Yersinia* enterocolitica. Infect. Immun. 68: 4323–4326.
- Young, B.M., and Young, G.M. 2002. YplA is exported by the Ysc, Ysa, and flagellar type III secretion systems of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. J. Bacteriol. 184: 1324–1334.
- Zhang, A., Altuvia, S., Tiwari, A. Argaman, L., Hengge-Aronis, R. and Storz, G. 1998. The OxyS regulatory RNA represses rpoS translation and binds the Hfq (HF-I) protein. EMBO J. 17: 6061–6068.
- Zheng, M., Doan, B., Schneider, T.D., and Storz, G. 1999. OxyR and SoxRS regulation of fur. J. Bacteriol. 81: 4639–4643.

Further Reading

Caister Academic Press is a leading academic publisher of advanced texts in microbiology, molecular biology and medical Full details of all our publications at caister.com

- MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry in Microbiology Edited by: M Kostrzewa, S Schubert (2016)
- Aspergillus and Penicillium in the Post-genomic Era Edited by: RP Vries, IB Gelber, MR Andersen (2016) www.caister.com/aspergillus2
- The Bacteriocins: Current Knowledge and Future Prospects Edited by: RL Dorit, SM Roy, MA Riley (2016) www.caister.com/bacteriocins
- **Omics in Plant Disease Resistance** Edited by: V Bhadauria (2016)
- Acidophiles: Life in Extremely Acidic Environments Edited by: R Quatrini, DB Johnson (2016)
- Climate Change and Microbial Ecology: Current Research and Future Trends

Edited by: J Marxsen (2016)

• Biofilms in Bioremediation: Current Research and Emerging Technologies

Edited by: G Lear (2016)

- Microalgae: Current Research and Applications Edited by: MN Tsaloglou (2016)
- Gas Plasma Sterilization in Microbiology: Theory, Applications, Pitfalls and New Perspectives Edited by: H Shintani, A Sakudo (2016)
- Virus Evolution: Current Research and Future Directions Edited by: SC Weaver, M Denison, M Roossinck, et al. (2016)
- Arboviruses: Molecular Biology, Evolution and Control Edited by: N Vasilakis, DJ Gubler (2016)
- Shigella: Molecular and Cellular Biology Edited by: WD Picking, WL Picking (2016)
- Aquatic Biofilms: Ecology, Water Quality and Wastewater


Edited by: AM Romaní, H Guasch, MD Balaguer (2016)

 Alphaviruses: Current Biology Edited by: S Mahalingam, L Herrero, B Herring (2016)

• Thermophilic Microorganisms Edited by: F Li (2015)


www.caister.com/thermophile

















- Flow Cytometry in Microbiology: Technology and Applications Edited by: MG Wilkinson (2015)
- Probiotics and Prebiotics: Current Research and Future Trends Edited by: K Venema, AP Carmo (2015) www.caister.com/probiotics
- Epigenetics: Current Research and Emerging Trends Edited by: BP Chadwick (2015)
- Corynebacterium glutamicum: From Systems Biology to Biotechnological Applications Edited by: A Burkovski (2015)
- Advanced Vaccine Research Methods for the Decade of

Edited by: F Bagnoli, R Rappuoli (2015)

• Antifungals: From Genomics to Resistance and the Development of Novel

Edited by: AT Coste, P Vandeputte (2015)

- Bacteria-Plant Interactions: Advanced Research and Future Trends Edited by: J Murillo, BA Vinatzer, RW Jackson, et al. (2015)
- Aeromonas Edited by: J Graf (2015)
- Antibiotics: Current Innovations and Future Trends Edited by: S Sánchez, AL Demain (2015)
- Leishmania: Current Biology and Control Edited by: S Adak, R Datta (2015) www.caister.com/leish2
- Acanthamoeba: Biology and Pathogenesis (2nd edition) Author: NA Khan (2015) www.caister.com/acanthamoeba2
- Microarrays: Current Technology, Innovations and Applications Edited by: Z He (2014)
- Metagenomics of the Microbial Nitrogen Cycle: Theory, Methods and Applications Edited by: D Marco (2014)