



Article Indole-Acrylonitrile Derivatives as Potential Antitumor and Antimicrobial Agents—Synthesis, In Vitro and In Silico Studies

Anita Kornicka^{1,*}, Karol Gzella¹, Katarzyna Garbacz², Małgorzata Jarosiewicz², Maria Gdaniec³, Joanna Fedorowicz¹, Łukasz Balewski¹, Jakub Kokoszka¹, and Anna Ordyszewska⁴

- ¹ Department of Chemical Technology of Drugs, Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University of Gdansk, 80-416 Gdansk, Poland; karolgzella@gmail.com (K.G.); joanna.fedorowicz@gumed.edu.pl (J.F.); lukasz.balewski@gumed.edu.pl (Ł.B.); kokjaks@gumed.edu.pl (J.K.)
- ² Department of Oral Microbiology, Medical Faculty, Medical University of Gdansk, 80-204 Gdansk, Poland; katarzyna.garbacz@gumed.edu.pl (K.G.); malgorzata.jarosiewicz@gumed.edu.pl (M.J.)
- ³ Faculty of Chemistry, Adam Mickiewicz University, 61-614 Poznań, Poland; maria.gdaniec@amu.edu.pl
- ⁴ Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry and Advanced Materials Centers, Gdańsk University of Technology, Narutowicza 11/12, 80-233 Gdansk, Poland; anna.ordyszewska@pg.edu.pl
- * Correspondence: anita.kornicka@gumed.edu.pl

Abstract: A series of 2-(1H-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitrile derivatives, 2a-x, 3, 4a-b, 5a-d, 6a-b, and 7, were synthesized as potential antitumor and antimicrobial agents. The structures of the prepared compounds were evaluated based on elemental analysis, IR, ¹H- and ¹³NMR, as well as MS spectra. X-ray crystal analysis of the representative 2-(1H-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitrile 2l showed that the acrylonitrile double bond was Z-configured. All compounds were screened at the National Cancer Institute (USA) for their activities against a panel of approximately 60 human tumor cell lines and the relationship between structure and in vitro antitumor activity is discussed. Compounds of interest 21 and 5a-d showed significant growth inhibition potency against various tumor cell lines with the mean midpoint GI₅₀ values of all tests in the range of 0.38–7.91 μ M. The prominent compound with remarkable activity (GI₅₀ = $0.0244-5.06 \mu$ M) and high potency (TGI = $0.0866-0.938 \mu$ M) against some cell lines of leukemia (HL-60(TB)), non-small cell lung cancer (NCI-H522), colon cancer (COLO 205), CNS cancer (SF-539, SNB-75), ovarian cancer ((OVCAR-3), renal cancer (A498, RXF 393), and breast cancer (MDA-MB-468) was 3-[4-(dimethylamino)phenyl]-2-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (5c). Moreover, the selected 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles 2a-c and 2e-x were evaluated for their antibacterial and antifungal activities against Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens as well as Candida albicans. Among them, 2-(1H-indol-2-yl)-3-(1H-pyrrol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (2x) showed the most potent antimicrobial activity and therefore it can be considered as a lead structure for further development of antimicrobial agents. Finally, molecular docking studies as well as drug-likeness and ADME profile prediction were carried out.

Keywords: indole-acrylonitrile derivatives; cell growth inhibition; antimicrobial activity; molecular docking; ADME

1. Introduction

Since the indole motif is a key building block of pharmacologically active natural as well as synthetic molecules, there has been increasing interest in the synthesis and biological research of indole derivatives [1–5]. The importance of the indole skeleton to date has led to the development of diverse bioactive compounds that have been identified with anti-inflammatory [6,7], antioxidant and cytoprotective [8,9], antidepressant [10], anti-migraine [11], antihypertensive [12], antidiabetic [13,14] or antiviral [15] effects and as antitubercular agents [16]. Moreover, indole derivatives represent a significant source of novel antibacterial agents that may exhibit their biological activity through the inhibition of



Citation: Kornicka, A.; Gzella, K.; Garbacz, K.; Jarosiewicz, M.; Gdaniec, M.; Fedorowicz, J.; Balewski, Ł.; Kokoszka, J.; Ordyszewska, A. Indole-Acrylonitrile Derivatives as Potential Antitumor and Antimicrobial Agents—Synthesis, In Vitro and In Silico Studies. *Pharmaceuticals* **2023**, *16*, 918. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ph16070918

Academic Editor: Nuno Manuel Xavier

Received: 10 May 2023 Revised: 16 June 2023 Accepted: 20 June 2023 Published: 22 June 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). efflux pumps, biofilm or filamentous temperature-sensitive protein Z (FtsZ), and methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* pyruvate kinase [17–22].

Particular attention has been paid to indole-containing compounds with anticancer properties, which exert their activity by affecting numerous biological targets [23–25]. For example, the tubulin inhibitors *vincristine* and *vinblastine* isolated from *Catharanthus roseus* are used in the treatment of various cancers [26], whereas *dacomitinib* is a well-known antitumor agent that blocks the activity of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) [27]. Furthermore, indole derivatives have been identified as potent myeloid cell leukemia-1 (Mcl-1) [28] or Pim kinase [29] inhibitors. Antiproliferative effects of the indole-based compounds may also result from the selective inhibition of silent information regular sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) protein, a highly conserved NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase belonging to the sirtuin family [30], as well as σ_2 receptors, the expression of which is increased in tumor cells with respect to quiescent cells. The σ_2 receptor ligands can rapidly internalize into tumor cells and induce apoptosis through multiple pathways [31]. On the other hand, an indole-derived histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor, *panobinostat*, has been approved for the treatment of multiple myeloma [32]. Recently, some indole derivatives of ursolic acid have been described as promising DNA topoisomerase II inhibitors with anticancer activity [33].

In addition, 2,3-disubstituted acrylonitriles containing a heteroaromatic core at position 2 of the acrylonitrile moiety have also gained much interest due to their versatile biological activities [34]. These compounds have been shown to possess anti-inflammatory [35], antioxidant [36], antihyperglycemic [37], antiviral [38,39], antimalarial [40] and antimy-cobacterial [41] properties as well as the ability to inhibit acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity [42,43]. More recently, some benzazole acrylonitrile-based compounds I (Figure 1) were found to be active against both *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The antibacterial activity of these compounds is related to the inhibition of penicillin-binding protein (PBP) and/or β -lactamase enzyme [44].



Figure 1. Structures of heteroaryl-acrylonitriles reported and studied in this work.

Otherwise, several studies have shown the anticancer potential of heteroaryl-acrylonitriles [34]. For example, some acrylonitriles bearing *N*-substituted benzimidazole **II** (Figure 1) [45,46], benzotriazole **III** (Figure 1) [47], or triazolo [4,5-*b*]pyridine **IV** (Figure 1) [48] fragment are able to exert antiproliferative effects against tumor cell lines by interacting with tubulin in the colchicine-binding site. Other heteroaryl-acrylonitrile-based compounds such as 3-aryl-2-(benzimidazol-2-yl)acrylonitriles **V** (Figure 1) have shown significant interaction with ct-DNA, supporting the fact that their antitumor properties could be the consequence of DNA binding [49]. Meanwhile, some of the compounds obtained in our laboratory, such as 3-aryl-2-(1*H*-benzimidazol-2-yl)acrylonitriles, have been identified as potential caspase-9 activators, possessing cytotoxic activity against human cancer cell lines. The most active compound, 2-(benzimidazol-2-yl)-3-(5-nitrothiophen-2-yl)acrylonitrile (**VI**), was on average 10- and 3-fold more potent than *cisplatin* and *etoposide*, respectively, in inhibiting cancer cell growth [50]. In turn, the antiproliferative activity of *N*-alkylindole-substituted 2-(pyrid-3-yl)-acrylonitrile **VII** is probably due to the inhibition of EGFR and VEGFR-2 tyrosine kinases [51], while 2-(indol-3-yl)acrylonitrile (*paprotrain*) and its analogs have been reported as kinesin-like protein 2 (MKLP-2) inhibitors, which could be valuable tools to treat tumors overexpressing MKLP-2 [52,53].

Despite the importance of indole [23] and acrylonitrile [34] scaffolds in the design and discovery of new anticancer agents, indoles substituted at position 2 with the acrylonitrile group have remained unexplored for their biological activities.

In this context, and in connection with a research program on the chemistry and biological activities of 3-aryl-2-heteroaryl-acrylonitriles undertaken in our laboratory years ago [50,54], we considered that 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)acrylonitriles of type **A** (Figure 1) may act as potential anticancer agents. These compounds were evaluated for their antimicrobial activity against selected microbial species. In addition, to investigate the importance of the acrylonitrile moiety on biological activity, analogs lacking double bonds were prepared. Furthermore, molecular docking techniques were carried out to rationalize the possible mechanism of action of the most active compounds.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Chemistry

All 2-indolyl-3-acrylonitriles **2a**–**x** were synthesized by the Knoevenagel condensation of 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)acetonitrile (**1**) [55] with the appropriate aromatic and heteroaromatic aldehydes. It is worth noting that despite various procedures [56], the Knoevenagel reaction is one of the most useful approaches for the preparation of heteroaryl-acrylonitriles [34,57,58].

As described in Scheme 1, 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)acrylonitriles **2a–s** were prepared upon treatment of a methanolic solution of 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)acetonitrile (**1**) and aldehydes with sodium methoxide solution at ambient temperature (method A). In turn, 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles **2t–x** were synthesized by reacting acetonitrile **1** with the corresponding aldehydes in ethanol at ambient temperature in the presence of triethylamine as a catalyst (method B).

It should be mentioned that 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles **2a** [59–63] and **2b** [61–63] have been previously reported. However, there have been no reports of their biological activities.

Compound **1** was then subjected to reaction with *p*-nitrosodimethylaniline in anhydrous methanol in the presence of sodium methoxide to yield the desired iminoacetonitrile **3** (Scheme 1).



Compd	R	Method	Compd	R	Method	Compd	R	Method
2a		Α	2 i		Α	2q		Α
2b		Α	2j	-Ci-Ci	Α	2r		Α
2c		Α	2k	—————Br	Α	2s	\sqrt{s}	Α
2d		Α	21		H ₃₎₂ A	2t	s↓ _{CI}	В
2e		Α	2m		G ₂ H ₅)₂ A	2u		В
2f		Α	2n		2 A	2v		В
2g	—	Α	20		Α	2w	√CI	в
2h		Α	2р		Α	2x	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	в

Method A: MeONa, MeOH (2a-s); Method B: Et₃N, EtOH (2t-x)

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles **2a**–**x** and *N*-(4-(dimethylamino)phenyl)-1*H*-indole-2-carbimidoyl cyanide (**3**).

The identities of the prepared compounds **2a**–**x** and **3** were confirmed by elemental analysis (C, H, N) as well as spectroscopic data (IR, NMR, and MS) presented in the experimental section (see Section 3).

It is worth noting that regular NMR methods could not establish the configuration of the acrylonitrile double bond. Therefore, X-ray crystallography was performed on representative 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)acrylonitrile **2l**. As shown in Figure 2, in crystal form,



compound **2l** adopted a flattened conformation with **a** *Z* configuration at the C10–C11 double bond.

Figure 2. Molecular structure of 21. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

To determine whether readily available compounds lacking the acrylonitrile double bond would retain any activity of the parent compounds **2d**, **2l**, and **2p**, the 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-phenylpropanenitriles **4a–c** were synthesized by selective hydrogenation of the olefinic bond using NaBH₄ in DMF at ambient temperature, according to Scheme 2.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of 2-(1H-indol-2-yl)-3-phenylpropanenitriles 4a-c.

The structures of the compounds **4a**–**c** were confirmed by elemental analysis, IR, NMR, as well as MS spectroscopic data presented in Section 3.

Recently, it has been reported that the introduction of an alkyl or aryl group at position N1 of benzimidazole-derived acrylonitriles can result in promising antiproliferative agents [45,46]. Therefore, to explore the structure–activity relationships of the synthesized 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles in more detail, we turned our attention to their *N*-substituted analogs.

As depicted in Scheme 3, the reaction procedure leading to the target 1-methyl derivatives **5a–d** consisted of the reaction of the corresponding indole-acrylonitrile sodium salts, generated by the treatment of 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles **2b**, **2d**, **2l**, and **2p** with sodium hydride in anhydrous dimethylformamide, with methyl iodide at ambient temperature.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of N-substituted 2-(1H-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles 5a-d, 6a-b, and 7.

In a similar manner, reaction of 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles **2d** and **2l** with acetyl chloride gave rise to the formation of the corresponding 1-acetyl products **6a** and **6b**, respectively (Scheme 3).

Finally, from reaction of the sodium salt of 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitrile **2d** with methanesulfonyl chloride, 1-mesyl derivative **7** was isolated (Scheme 3).

The structures of the newly prepared N-substituted 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles **5a–d**, **6a–b**, and **7** were confirmed by elemental analysis and spectroscopic methods (Section 3).

2.2. Biological Evaluation

2.2.1. In Vitro Anticancer Activity

Evaluation of anticancer activity was performed at the National Cancer Institute (NCI, Bethesda, MD, USA), following the known in vitro disease-oriented antitumor screening program against a panel of approximately 60 human cancer cell lines derived from nine cancer types (leukemia, lung, colon, CNS, melanoma, ovarian, renal, prostate, and breast) [64–67].

Firstly, indole-acrylonitrile derivatives 2a-x, 3, 4a-c, 5a-d, 6a-b, and 7 were subjected to preliminary screening at a single concentration of 10 µM in approximately 60 cell lines within nine tumor type subpanels. Results for each compounds were reported as a mean graph of the percent growth (%GP) of the treated cells relative to the no-drug control. According to the data analysis of the one-dose mean graphs, it is clear that low mean growth values represented better inhibition activity (%GI = 100 - GP) (values between 0 and 100), while negative values corresponded to lethal activity (values less than 0) (Table 1).

Compound	Mean Growth	Most Sensitive Cell Line	Growth Inhibition Percent (%GI) ^b /Lethality ^c
2a	85.56	T-47D (breast cancer)	59.79
2b	49.80	MDA-MB-435 (melanoma)	-5.10 ^c
2c	78.15	K-562 (leukemia)	72.20
2d	30.17	MDA-MB-435 (melanoma)	-32.56 ^c
2e	54.83	MDA-MB-435 (melanoma)	−28.75 ^c
2f	66.94	MDA-MB-435 (melanoma)	87.84
2g	93.62	A549/ATCC (non-small cell lung cancer)	39.97
2h	84.56	CCRF-CEM (leukemia)	-4.12 ^c
2i	84.18	HOP-92 (non-small cell lung cancer)	46.93
2j	93.18	MCF7 (breast cancer)	36.48
2k	91.86	CCRF-CEM (leukemia)	-42.43 ^c
21	26.05	MDA-MB-435 (melanoma)	-25.37 ^c
2m	53.62	MDA-MB-435 (melanoma)	98.20
2n	73.11	K-562 (leukemia)	71.26
20	85.25	T-47D (breast cancer)	43.76
2p	36.99	MDA-MB-435 (melanoma)	−18.97 ^c
2q	83.41	MCF7 (breast cancer)	79.89
2r	88.94	CCRF-CEM (leukemia)	53.33
2s	83.36	T-47D (breast cancer)	84.11
2t	88.41	SNB-75 (CNS cancer)	56.11
2u	92.81	MCF7 (breast cancer)	67.84
2 v	95.03	SNB-75 (CNS cancer)	46.36
2w	91.64	SNB-75 (CNS cancer)	59.89
2x	66.37	MDA-MB-468 (breast cancer)	-3.15 ^c
3	90.03	UO-31 (renal cancer)	45.43
4a	87.87	CCRF-CEM (leukemia)	-27.23 ^c
4b	94.94	UO-31 (renal cancer)	36.32
4c	89.04	CNB-75 (CNS cancer)	60.83

Table 1. Mean growth (%), growth inhibition percent (%GI), and lethality values for the most sensitive cell lines among approximately NCI-60 human cancer cell lines after treatment with the tested compounds at 10 μ M^a.

Compound	Mean Growth	Most Sensitive Cell Line	Growth Inhibition Percent (%GI) ^b /Lethality ^c
5a	32.33	OVCAR-3 (ovarian cancer)	-12.57 ^c
5b	24.19	MDA-MB-435 (melanoma)	-49.06 ^c
5c	25.80	MDA-MB-435 (melanoma)	-26.92 ^c
5d	25.56	SK-MEL-5 (melanoma)	-26.68 ^c
6a	81.55	MDA-MB-435 (melanoma)	90.31
6b	33.80	SNB-75 (CNS cancer)	-6.19 ^c
7	82.53	MDA-MB-435 (melanoma)	93.95

Table 1. Cont.

^a Data obtained from NCI-60 DTP human tumor cell line screen [67]; ^b %GI (growth inhibition percent) = 100 - GP (growth percent); ^c negative values mean lethality of the respective cancer cell line.

The following can be noted with respect to the mean growth data presented in Table 1 for the tested compounds.

In the series of indole-acrylonitriles **2a**–**p** with aromatic substituent **R** at position 3 of the acrylonitrile moiety, the substituents that gave indisputable anticancer activity were 4-methoxyphenyl (compound **2d**), 4-(dimethylamino)phenyl (compound **2l**), and 2-naphthyl (compound **2p**).

The incorporation of the heteroaromatic ring as substituent **R** at position 3 of the acrylonitrile moiety afforded compounds 2q-x with weak activity.

Replacement of the acrylonitrile moiety by imino-acetonitrile led to a dramatic decrease in activity (comparing compounds **2l** and **3**). Additionally, hydrogenation of the acrylonitrile double bond was associated with very poor activity (compounds **4a–c**).

The introduction of a methyl substituent (**5a**–**d**) into position 1 of the indole ring of the parent structure led to compounds with greater or equivalent activity compared with their acrylonitrile counterparts **2b**, **2d**, **2l**, and **2p**. Interestingly, the introduction of an acetyl group into position 1 of the indole ring of the acrylonitrile analogs had a more varied effect on activity than the introduction of a methyl group (comparing compounds **2b** with **6a**, and **2l** with **6b**). Moreover, a decrease in activity was observed when a methylsulfonyl group was attached to the nitrogen atom of the indole scaffold (comparing compounds **2l** and **7**).

From the pattern of the mean growth graph it was apparent that compounds **21** and **5a–d** exerted significant growth inhibition against various cancer cell lines representing different cancer types. Therefore, these compounds were selected by NCI for a secondary screening at five concentration levels ($0.01-100 \mu M$).

Data for the selected indole-acrylonitrile derivatives **2l** and **5a–d** are recorded in Tables 2 and 3 and Figure 3. The antitumor activity of the tested compounds is reported for each cell line by GI_{50} value (GI_{50} = molar concentration of the compound that inhibits 50% net cell growth) and TGI value (TGI = molar concentration of the compound leading to total inhibition). Furthermore, a mean graph midpoint (MG-MID) is depicted for the GI_{50} parameter, giving the averaged activity parameter over all cell lines.

						Comp	ounds				
		2	:1	5	a	5	b	5	ic	5	d
Panel Name	Cell Name	GI ₅₀ ^b	TGI °	GI ₅₀ ^b	۲GI ۹						
	CCRF-CEM	0.813	14.3	0.373	>100	0.333	>100	0.213	>100	0.218	>100
	HL-60(TB)	0.455	>100	0.305	6.64	0.217	0.582	0.103	0.555	0.179	0.703
	K-562	_	_	0.244	>100	0.216	>100	0.0364	>100	0.0406	>100
Leukemia	MOLT-4	0.906	>100	0.507	>100	0.417	16.2	0.338	>100	0.446	>100
	RPMI-8226	0.63	4.91	0.39	>100	0.395	>100	0.296	—	0.285	>100
	SR	0.497	>100	0.269	>100	0.179	0.94	0.0357	1.81	0.0578	>100
	A549/ATCC	1.55	>100	0.438	>100	0.525	>100	0.159	>100	0.174	>100
Non-small	EKVX	2.94	>100	0.549	>100	0.821	>100	0.64	>100	0.442	>100
	HOP-62	1.16	4.54	0.4	>100	0.411	>100	0.206	>100	0.387	>100
	HOP-92	1.42	5.53	0.61	>100	0.633	>100	0.189	5.29	0.0395	>100
cell lung	NCI-H226	1.80	_	0.753	>100	4.26	>100	5.06	>100	0.325	>100
cancer	NCI-H23	3.21	>100	0.536	>100	0.739	>100	0.734	>100	0.361	>100
	NCI-H322M	2.88	>100	0.722	>100	0.57	>100	0.671	>100	_	>100
	NCI-H460	0.476	>100	0.366	>100	0.397	21.3	0.307	_	0.182	>100
	NCI-H522	0.542	>100	0.259	4.57	0.19	0.554	0.0244	0.0866	0.0277	_
	COLO 205	0.566	7.39	0.405	>100	0.321	1.04	0.134	0.498	0.29	>100
	HCC-2998	6.6	>100	0.522	>100	1.05	5.86	0.323	>100	0.308	>100
<u> </u>	HCT-116	0.456	>100	0.367	>100	0.409	>100	0.0954	>100	0.042	>100
Colon cancer	HCT-15	0.509	>100	0.328	>100	0.359	>100	0.0587	>100	0.064	>100
	HT29	0.375	15.9	0.351	>100	0.336	11.6	0.0491	1.43	0.153	_
-	KM12	0.495	>100	0.431	>100	0.504	>100	0.285	>100	0.0922	>100
	SW-620	0.39	61.9	0.389	>100	0.366	>100	0.0825	>100	0.1	>100

Table 2. Overview of the results of the in vitro antitumor screening for compounds 21 and $5a-d^{a}$.

						Comp	ounds				
		2	:1	5	a	5	b	5	c	5	d
Panel Name	Cell Name	GI ₅₀ ^b	TGI °								
	SF-268	2.52	>100	4.02	>100	1.19	>100	1.9	>100	_	>100
	SF-295	0.61	7.33	0.285	1.46	0.403	7.94	0.0828	1.28	0.0599	_
CNS concor	SF-539	1.97	35.1	0.313	1.09	0.283	0.891	0.17	0.548	0.0613	0.552
CINS tunter	SNB-19	2.23	>100	0.448	>100	0.487	>100	0.344	>100	0.207	>100
	SNB-75	1.31	4.59	0.232	_	0.15	0.514	0.0193	0.196	0.0554	85.9
	U251	0.913	18.6	0.389	>100	0.551	>100	0.299	>100	0.167	>100
	LOX IMVI	0.692	>100	0.532	>100	0.654	>100	0.471	>100	0.0705	>100
	MALME-3M	2.32	>100	_	>100	0.36	>100	0.0725	>100	-	>100
Melanoma	M14	0.551	>100	0.203	_	0.338	>100	0.0855	_	0.0666	>100
	MDA-MB- 435	0.228	0.641	0.0726	0.254	0.153	0.403	0.0285	_	0.0318	0.103
	SK-MEL-2	0.454	>100	_	>100	0.417	>100	0.328	>100	72.9	>100
	SK-MEL-28	2.63	>100	_	>100	6.96	_	_	>100	16.6	>100
	SK-MEL-5	1.36	32.8	0.717	>100	0.446	14.3	0.326	_	0.455	>100
	UACC-257	4.86	>100	>100	>100	0.679	>100	2.98	>100	>100	>100
	UACC-62	0.679	>100	0.34	>100	0.54	>100	0.163	>100	0.0436	>100
	IGROV1	1.6	>100	0.555	>100	0.505	>100	0.165	>100	0.15	>100
	OVCAR-3	0.409	4.06	0.333	2.24	0.302	0.955	0.142	0.663	0.179	_
	OVCAR-4	3.75	>100	_	>100	0.67	>100	0.521	>100	>100	>100
Ovarian cancer –	OVCAR-5	3.80	>100	0.607	>100	0.995	>100	0.428	>100	0.329	>100
	OVCAR-8	2.35	>100	0.584	>100	0.577	>100	0.338	>100	0.392	>100
	NCI/ADR- RES	0.49	>100	0.379	>100	0.317	8.24	0.0736	>100	0.0607	>100
	SK-OV-3	2.28	>100	_	>100	0.517	>100	0.377	>100	_	>100

Table 2. Cont.

						Comp	ounds				
		2	:1	5	a	5	b	5	c	5	d
Panel Name	Cell Name	GI ₅₀ ^b	TGI °	GI ₅₀ ^b	TGI °	GI ₅₀ ^b	۲GI ۵	GI ₅₀ ^b	۲GI ۲	GI ₅₀ ^b	TGI °
	786-0	2.52	>100	0.676	>100	_	_	_	_	0.306	>100
	A498	0.391	6.61	0.235	0.816	0.277	0.867	0.0795	0.938	0.03	0.418
	ACHN	2.42	>100	0.763	>100	0.629	>100	0.39	>100	0.0605	>100
Renal	CAKI-1	1.6	>100	0.329	>100	0.444	>100	0.0736	>100	0.0545	>100
cancer	RXF 393	_	_	0.243	_	0.217	0.751	0.133	0.549	0.0475	_
	SN12C	2.37	>100	0.69	>100	0.508	>100	0.392	>100	0.362	>100
	TK-10	2.66	>100	>100	>100	0.902	>100	_	>100	>100	>100
	UO-31	2.01	>100	0.792	>100	0.514	>100	0.786	>100	0.0731	>100
Prostate	PC-3	1.15	31.5	0.431	>100	0.432	>100	0.166	>100	0.2	>100
cancer	DU-145	3.41	35.4	0.469	>100	0.529	>100	0.488	>100	0.45	>100
	MCF7	0.416	>100	0.356	>100	0.317	>100	0.0309	>100	0.0692	>100
	MDA-MB- 231/ATCC	1.63	>100	0.713	>100	0.615	>100	0.428	>100	0.406	>100
_	HS 578T	1.63	9.49	0.484	>100	0.375	>100	0.427	>100	0.201	>100
Breast cancer	BT-549	3.86	>100	0.65	7.12	0.704	_	0.806	>100	0.251	_
enneer	T-47D	0.585	>100	0.631	>100	0.31	>100	0.0764	>100	>100	>100
	MDA-MB-468	0.373	4.2	0.406	>100	0.236	0.826	0.0384	0.501	0.55	>100

Table 2. Cont.

^a Data obtained from the NCI in vitro disease-oriented human tumor cell lines screen in μ M [67]; ^b GI₅₀—the molar concentration that inhibits 50% net cell growth; ^c TGI—the molar concentration leading to total growth inhibition; —not tested.

Table 3. GI₅₀ mean-graph midpoint (MG-MID) ^a of subpanel cancer cell lines for compounds **21** and **5a–d**.

Subpanel Cancer			Compounds		
Cell Line	21	5a	5b	5c	5d
Leukemia	0.66	0.35	0.29	0.17	0.20
Non-small cell lung cancer	1.78	0.51	0.95	0.89	0.24
Colon cancer	1.34	0.40	0.48	0.15	0.15
CNS cancer	1.59	0.95	0.51	0.47	0.11
Melanoma	1.53	>16.98	1.17	0.56	23.77
Ovarian cancer	2.10	0.49	0.55	0.29	16.85
Renal cancer	2.00	>12.97	0.50	0.31	12.62
Prostate cancer	2.28	0.45	0.48	0.33	0.33
Breast cancer	1.42	0.54	0.43	0.30	16.91
Full panel MG-MID ^b	1.63	>3.74	0.60	0.38	>7.91

^a Median value calculated based on the data from the NCI in vitro cytotoxicity screening for each cancer type cell line; ^b GI_{50} full panel mean-graph midpoint (MG-MID) is the average GI_{50} value against all cancer cell lines.



Figure 3. Comparison of the mean GI₅₀ values per panel displayed by compounds 2l and 5a-d.

As shown in Table 2, indole-acrylonitrile **2l** bearing 4-(dimethylamino)phenyl as substituent **R** was characterized by relatively high inhibitory activity, with GI₅₀ values in the range of 0.228–6.6 μ M. In addition, derivative **2l** was found to exert a significant cytostatic effect against some cell lines of leukemia (CCRF-CEM, RPMI-8226), lung cancer (HOP-62, HOP-92), colon cancer (COLO 205, HT29), CNS cancer (SF-295, SNB-75, U251), and melanoma (MDA-MB-435), with TGI values between 0.641 and 18.6 μ M. In regard to the effect in the entire panel of tumor cell lines, compound **2l** demonstrated pronounced antiproliferative activity with GI₅₀ MG-MID values ranging from 0.66 to 2.28 μ M, especially against leukemia cells (GI₅₀ MG-MID = 0.66 μ M) (Table 3, Figure 3).

As expected, *N*-methyl-substituted analogue **5c** showed improved antitumor properties in comparison with its indole-acrylonitrile counterpart **2l** (GI₅₀ = 0.0193–5.06 μ M vs. 0.228–6.6 μ M) (Table 2). In addition, compound **5c** exhibited remarkable cytostatic activity at low TGI level < 5.3 μ M towards 12 cancer cell lines, being particularly effective against 9 various cell lines out of 7 subpanels with TGI values in the submicromolar range of 0.0866–0.938 μ M. It was observed that non-small cell lung cancer cell line NCI-H522 was the most susceptible cell line with TGI = 0.0866 μ M (Table 2). On the other hand, the highest overall sensitivity to this compound was found for the subpanels of leukemia and colon cancer cell lines, for which GI₅₀ MG-MID values were 0.17 and 0.15 μ M, respectively (Table 3, Figure 3). Notably, compared with its counterpart **2l**, derivative **5c** was 3–9-fold more potent in individual subpanels of cancer cell lines (GI₅₀ MG-MID = 0.15–0.56 μ M vs. GI₅₀ MG-MID = 0.66–2.28 μ M) (Table 3, Figure 3).

Moreover, *N*-methyl-substituted compound **5b** with 4-methoxyphenyl as substituent **R** also demonstrated significant antitumor activity against all of the tested cell lines, with GI₅₀ values ranging from 0.153 to 6.96 μ M (Table 2). It is worth noting that derivative **5b** acted as a potent inhibitor against 18 human tumor cell lines of 8 subpanels, with calculated TGI values in the range of 0.403–21.3 μ M. Submicromolar TGI values were found for HL-60(TB) and SR leukemia (TGI = 0.582 and 0.94 μ M, respectively), NCI-H522 non-small cell lung cancer (TGI = 0.554 μ M), SNB-75 CNS cancer (TGI = 0.514 μ M), MDM-MB-435 melanoma (TGI = 0.403 μ M), OVCAR-3 ovarian cancer (TGI = 0.955 μ M), A498 and RXF 393 renal cancer (TGI = 0.867 and 0.751 μ M, respectively), and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer (TGI = 0.826 μ M) cell lines (Table 2). Nevertheless, concerning overall activity, it should be noted that compound **5b** proved to be slightly less potent than derivative **5c** (GI₅₀ MG-MID = 0.29–1.17 μ M vs. 0.15–0.56 μ M) (Table 3, Figure 3). A further decrease in potency was observed when 4-(dimethylamino)phenyl as substituent **R** in compound

5c was replaced with 4-methylphenyl moiety in analogue **5a** (GI₅₀ = 0.0193–5.06 μ M vs. 0.0726–100 μ M and GI₅₀ MG-MID = 0.15–0.56 μ M vs. 0.35–16.98 μ M) (Tables 2 and 3, Figure 3). However, the latter derivative still retained pronounced growth inhibitory properties especially against certain cell lines, including HL-60(TB) leukemia, NCI-H522 non-small cell lung cancer, SF-295 and SF-539 CNS cancer, MDA-MB-435 melanoma, OVCAR-3 ovarian cancer, A498 renal cancer, and BT-549 breast cancer, with TGI values in the range of 0.254–7.12 μ M (Table 2).

Another modification of **5c**, consisting of replacement of 4-(dimethylamino)phenyl as substituent **R** at position 3 of the acrylonitrile moiety with a 2-naphthyl group, resulted in compound **5d**, which generally exhibited higher activity than **5c** against cell lines from the non-small cell lung cancer and CNS cancer subpanels (GI₅₀ MG-MID = 0.24 and 0.11 μ M vs. 0.89 and 0.47 μ M, respectively) (Table 3, Figure 3). In addition, compound **5d** acted selectively as a potent inhibitor against HL-60(TB) leukemia (GI₅₀ = 0.179 μ M, TGI = 0.703 μ M), SF-539 CNS cancer (GI₅₀ = 0.0613 μ M, TGI = 0.552 μ M), MDA-MB-435 melanoma (GI₅₀ = 0.0318 μ M, TGI = 0.103 μ M), and A498 renal cancer (GI₅₀ = 0.03 μ M, TGI = 0.418 μ M) cell lines (Table 2). On the other hand, taking into account overall potency, compound **5d** proved to be the least active in this series (full panel GI₅₀ MG-MID > 7.91 μ M) (Table 3).

From these results it was concluded that the combination of the *N*-methyl group in the indole ring with the 4-dimethylaminophenyl group at position 3 of the acrylonitrile moiety resulted in derivative **5c** with optimal properties (full panel GI_{50} MD-MIG = 0.38 μ M vs. 0.60–7.91 μ M) (Table 3).

2.2.2. Antimicrobial Activity against Reference Microbial Strains

The synthesized indole-acrylonitriles **2a–c** and **2e–x** were evaluated for their in vitro antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive bacteria (MSSA *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538, American Type Culture Collection, USA, *Staphylococcus epidermis* PMC 2118, Polish Collection of Microorganisms, Poland, *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 11420), Gram-negative bacteria (*Escherichia coli* ATCC 11229, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 15442), as well as a fungal species (*Candida albicans* ATCC 10231). The tests were performed using a serial dilution method, allowing the determination of the minimal concentration inhibiting bacterial growth (MIC), minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC), and minimal fungicidal concentration (MFC). The obtained results are presented in Table 4.

Most of the investigated compounds showed no or negligible antimicrobial activity; their MIC, MBC, and MFC values were between \geq 128 and \geq 256 µg/mL. On the other hand, in the series of indole-acrylonitriles **2a**–**p** with aromatic substituent **R** in position 3 of the acrylonitrile moiety, 3-chlorophenyl derivative **2i** was characterized by relatively high antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacteria *S. aureus* ATCC 6538 and *S. epidermis* PMC 2118, with MIC and MBC values ranging from 8 to 16 µg/mL (Table 4). Interestingly, replacement of the 3-chlorophenyl group in **2i** for either 2-chlorophenyl (compound **2h**) or 4-chlorophenyl (compound **2j**) resulted in a severe reduction in activity (MIC = 128–256 µg/mL, MBC/MFC \geq 256 µg/mL, Table 4). In turn, indole-acrylonitrile **2n** bearing 4-nitrophenyl as substituent **R** exhibited moderate bacteriostatic activity against *S. aureus* ATCC 6538 (MIC = 64 µg/mL), while its bactericidal effect against this strain was weak (MBC = 128 µg/mL, Table 4).

In the series of heteroaromatic analogs 2q-x, promising antimicrobial activity against some bacterial species was demonstrated by compounds 2q, 2s, and 2x (Table 4). Thus, derivative 2q containing pyridine as substituent **R** in position 3 of the acrylonitrile moiety was found to have pronounced potential against two bacterial Gram-positive strains: *S. aureus* ATCC 6538 and *S. epidermis* PMC 2118. The MIC and MBC values obtained for this compound against both strains were 8 and 16 µg/mL, respectively (Table 4). Changing the pyridine ring of 2q to a thiazole (compound 2s) led to a slight decrease in activity against the same bacterial strains (MIC = 16-32 µg/mL, MBC = 32 µg/mL) (Table 4). Otherwise, thiazole derivative 2s displayed significant antifungal activity against *C. albicans* with an MIC value of 16 μ g/mL (Table 4). However, the highest activity among all the tested compounds was exhibited by 3-pyrole derivative **2x**. Thus, compound **2x** displayed relatively high antibacterial potency against all Gram-positive bacteria tested, with MIC values in the range of 8–32 μ g/mL and MBC values of 32 μ g/mL. Furthermore, it was the only compound that was found to be effective against Gram-negative bacteria, presenting antibacterial activity against *E. coli* with MIC and MBC values of 32 μ g/mL. In addition, 3-pyrole derivative **2x** was characterized by great antifungal activity against *C. albicans*, with MIC and MFC values of 4 and 8 μ g/mL, respectively (Table 4).

Table 4. Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) of **2a–x** against Gram-positive and Gram-negative reference bacteria as well as minimal fungicidal concentration (MFC) against *Candida albicans*.

						Str	ain					
Compound	S. aı ATCO	ureus 2 6538	S. epid PCM	ermidis I 2118	E. fa ATCC	ecalis 2 11420	E. ATCC	coli 2 11229	P. aeru ATCC	iginosa 2 15442	C. all ATCC	bicans 2 10231
-	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MFC
						(µg/	mL)					
2a	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	128	256
2b	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	128	256
2c	128	>256	128	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	128	128
2e	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	256	256
2f	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	256	256
2g	128	>256	128	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	128	128
2h	>256	>256	128	256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	128	256
2i	16	16	8	16	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	128	256
2j	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	256	256
2k	128	>256	128	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	256	256
21	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	128	256
2n	64	128	128	256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	256	256
20	>256	>256	128	256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	256	256
2p	128	>256	128	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256
2q	8	8	16	16	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	128	256
2r	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	128	256
2s	16	32	32	32	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	16	128
2t	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128
2u	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128
2 v	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128
2w	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128
2x	8	32	8	32	32	32	32	32	>256	>256	4	8

It is notable that despite testing a large number of compounds, it was not possible to derive a relationship between structure and activity in the studied series of indoleacrylonitrile derivatives **2a–c** and **2e–x**. On the other hand, compounds **2i**, **2q**, **2s**, and **2x** with interesting antimicrobial activity did not exhibit antitumor effects against the tested cancer cell lines.

2.2.3. Antibacterial Activity against Clinical Staphylococcus Aureus Strains

The most pronounced compounds **2i**, **2q**, **2s**, and **2x** were further evaluated for their bacteriostatic and bactericidal activities against a panel of clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* (79, 124, 128, 143, 177, 220 and 244) derived from various human infections. The MIC and MBC values of the tested compounds are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) of **2i**, **2q**, **2s**, and **2x** against clinical *S. aureus* strains.

							Str	ain						
C 1	7	'9	1	24	128		14	43	1	77	2	20	244	
Compound	MIC	MBC												
							(µg/	mL)						
2i	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128
2q	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256
2s	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128
2x	16	64	16	32	16	32	16	32	16	32	16	32	16	32

As revealed by the data in Table 5, indole-acrylonitriles **2i**, **2q**, and **2s** were inactive against the tested *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from clinical specimens; their MIC and MBC values were between >128 and >256 μ g/mL. On the other hand, satisfactory MIC and MBC values were obtained for compound **2x**. It was shown that this compound had ability to inhibit the growth of the clinical isolates at a low concentration of 16 μ g/mL. In addition, indole-acrylonitrile **2x** was characterized by relatively strong or moderate bactericidal activity, with MBC values of 32 and 64 μ g/mL.

Further studies also indicated relatively high and moderate bactericidal activities of compound 2x against both clinical methicillin-resistant and -sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* strains (MRSA 1–5, MSSA 6–10), with MIC and MBC values of 16 and 64 µg/mL, respectively (Table 6). It should be noted that both MRSA and MSSA strains exhibited similar sensitivity to the tested compound 2x, while MRSA strains are generally more resistant to antibiotics and antimicrobial compounds than MSSA strains [68].

Table 6. Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) of **2x** against clinical methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA) and methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) strains.

	MIC	MBC
Strain	(με	g/mL)
	Comp	ound 2x
MSSA		
S. aureus 1	16	64
S. aureus 2	16	64
S. aureus 3	16	64
S. aureus 4	16	64
S. aureus 5	16	64
MRSA		
S. aureus 6	16	64
S. aureus 7	16	64
S. aureus 8	16	64
S. aureus 9	16	64
S. aureus 10	16	64

2.3. Docking Studies

2.3.1. Docking to Anticancer Targets

In order to rationalize the experimentally assessed antiproliferative properties of the synthesized compounds against cancer cell lines, computational analysis was undertaken. As mentioned above, heteroaryl-acrylonitriles can exhibit antiproliferative effects by inhibiting tubulin polymerization due to their ability to bind to the colchicine-binding site [45–48]. Although some synthetic compounds that bind to the colchicine site have been evaluated in clinical trials [69], none have been approved for cancer therapy to date. Therefore, this binding site still offers challenging opportunities for drug development [70].

The caspase signaling pathway has also generated considerable attention as a promising cancer therapeutic strategy [71]. Previously our research group identified a series of 3-aryl-2-(1*H*-benzimidazol-2-yl)acrylonitriles as potential caspase-3 and -9 activators with cancer cell growth inhibitory properties [50]. On the basis of these results, we considered the induction of the activity of apoptotic enzymes such as caspase-3 and -9.

With the above in mind, molecular docking studies of the obtained series of compounds were performed in the binding pockets of the following proteins: caspase-3, caspase-9, and tubulin (PDB codes: 2xyp [72], 2ar9 [73], and 5eyp [74], respectively).

From the obtained FRED Chemgauss4 scores (Table S1, Supplementary Materials), it was concluded that most of the proposed ligands exhibited significant affinity to caspase-3, including the active derivatives **21**, **5c**, and **5d** (Chemgauss4 scores ranging from -5.32 to -6.09 for the top ranked poses). Furthermore, the active compounds **21** and **5d** were ranked relatively high for the caspase-9 binding pocket (Chemgauss4 scores of -3.57 and -3.05, respectively). On the other hand, the potent analogs **5a–c** as well as **5d** were characterized by relatively high affinity for the tubulin binding pocket (Chemgauss4 scores ranging from -11.53 to -13.30).

The highest ranked poses of the most potent compound **5c** docked in the target proteins are presented in Figure 4, while 2D diagrams of the interactions of the active derivatives **2l** and **5a–d** can be found in the Supplementary Materials (Tables S2–S4).

As shown in Figure 4A, in the binding site of caspase-3, the aromatic rings of ligand **5c** made hydrophobic contacts with the Met61 and Cys163 residues present in the p17 subunit as well as Arg207 in the p12 subunit. Side chains of His121 and Tyr204 from p17 and p12, respectively, formed π - π stacking interactions with the ligand core. Van der Waals forces were created with Thr64, Gly122, Glu123, and Phe128 in p17 as well as Tyr204, Ser205, Trp206, and Arg207 in p12.

In the case of caspase-9 (Figure 4B), van der Waals interactions were formed between ligand **5c** and Thr181, Asp356, Trp362, Gly395, Ile396, and Tyr397. The NH group from the main chain of Arg355 formed a hydrogen bond with a length of 2.6 A with a nitrile nitrogen atom of **5c**. Hydrophobic contacts between the ligand and Trp354 as well as Pro357 were also found.

According to Figure 4C, ligand **5c** created van der Waals interactions with Gln11, Asn101, Ser178, Thr179, Tyr224, and Asn249 from α -tubulin as well as Leu248, Ala250, Lys254, Leu255, Asn258, Thr314, Val315, and Asn350 from β -tubulin in the colchicinebinding site of tubulin. Hydrophobic contacts were formed with Ala180 and Val181 from α -tubulin as well as Met259, Ala316, and Lys352 from β -tubulin. Glu183 from α -tubulin interacted via anion- π contact.



Figure 4. The highest-scored poses of compound **5c** (cyan sticks) docked in the active site of: caspase-3 (p17 and p12 subunits in green and orange, respectively), (**A**), caspase-9 (**B**), and tubulin (α - and β -tubulin in green and orange, respectively), (**C**); graphic representation of compound **5c** fitted into the ligand-based pharmacophore (**D**). Hydrogen bonds are indicated as black dotted lines. For clarity, only relevant amino acids are presented. (**A**–**C**) were prepared using PyMOL 1.5.0.3., and (**D**) was generated by BIOVA Discovery Studio Visualizer (for interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article).

Based on the above results, it was concluded that the most important features of the pharmacophore were the two aromatic rings separated by two carbon atoms connected via double bound along with the nitrile moiety, which served as a hydrogen bond acceptor, as shown in Figure 4D. Bulky substituents were not allowed on the indole nitrogen atom, since only compounds without a substituent (2l) or bearing a methyl group (5a–d) presented pronounced activity. For a more beneficial effect, the additional pendant aromatic ring should be 2-naphthyl or *para*-substituted phenyl. Especially advantageous was the introduction of a dimethylamine moiety, which was consistent with literature data [45,46].

2.3.2. Docking to Antibacterial Targets

The antibacterial activity of the acrylonitrile-based compounds could be potentially associated with their affinity to bacterial enzymes involved in the synthesis of peptidoglycan, which is the major component of bacterial cell walls, i.e., penicillin-binding protein 4 (PBP4) and/or β -lactamase [44]. Thus, the novel ligands were docked in the active sites of the aforementioned proteins from *E. coli* (PDB codes 2ex8 [75] and 1fqg [76], respectively). As revealed by the FRED Chemgauss4 scores (Table S1, Supplementary Materials), some of the ligands were ranked higher than the original ligand in the crystal structures, penicillin G. For example, the active derivatives **2i** and **2x** were bound more strongly in the PBP4 active site than benzylpenicillin (-5.90 and -6.00 vs. -5.66, respectively). In the β -lactamase-binding pocket, the ligand **2i** also achieved a greater score than the original ligand (-9.63 vs. -9.55), while the most potent ligand **2x** was ranked lower than penicillin G with Chemgauss4 score of -7.75. The molecular structure of the most active derivative **2x** docked in the active pockets of the analyzed proteins is presented in Figure 5, while the 2D diagrams of interactions are included in Supplementary Materials (Tables S5 and S6).



Figure 5. The highest-scored poses of compound **2x** (cyan sticks) docked in the active site of *E. coli* enzyme (green), PBP4 (**A**), and β -lactamase (**B**). Hydrogen bonds are indicated as black dotted lines. For clarity, only relevant amino acids are presented. The figure was prepared using PyMOL 1.5.0.3. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article).

Within PBP4 (Figure 5A), van der Waals interactions were formed between ligand **2x** and Ser62, Phe160, Ser306, Arg361, Ser398, Arg402, Thr418, Leu421, Gln422, and Arg459. The indole NH group of the ligand created a hydrogen bond with a length of 1.6 A with the oxygen atom of the carboxyl group from the main chain of Ser420. However, the hydroxyl group present in the side chain of this amino acid residue formed an unfavorable hydrogen donor–donor type interaction with the NH group of the pyrrole ring present within the ligand structure (length of 1.7 A).

In the binding site of β -lactamase (Figure 5B), Ala237 formed hydrophobic contacts with the indole ring of the derivative **2x**. The main chain of this amino acid formed a hydrogen bond of 2.2 A with the NH group of the indole ring. Another hydrogen bond was created between the nitrile nitrogen atom and the Asp170 residue (3.0 A). Van der Waals forces were detected with Ser70, Tyr105, Met129, Ser130, Asn132, Pro167, Val216, Ser235, Gly236, Gly238, Glu239, and Arg243.

2.4. In Silico Physicochemical, Pharmacokinetic and Drug-Likeness Predictions

The free available SwissADME web tool (http://www.swissadme.ch (accessed on 9 May 2023)) accessed on 16 February 2023 was employed to evaluate the physicochemical characteristics and predict the pharmacokinetic and drug-likeness properties of the most potent 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles **2l**, **2x**, and **5a–d** [77]. The results are presented in Table 7 and Figures 6 and 7 (see Table S7 in the Supplementary Materials for more details).

	Physic	cochemic	al Prope	erties		Lipophilicity	Water Solubility	Pharmaco	kinetics	Drug-Likeness	
	mol. wt. (g/mol)	ROTB (n)	HBA (n)	HBD (n)	TPSA	CLogP o/w	Solubility Class	GI Absorption	BBB Permeant	Lipinski Filter	BS
Rule	<500	<10	<10	<5	-	<5	-	-	-	-	-
21	287.36	3	1	1	42.82	3.49	Soluble (m)	High	Yes	Yes (0)	0.55
2x	233.27	2	1	2	55.37	2.61	Soluble	High	Yes	Yes (0)	0.55
5a	272.34	2	1	0	28.72	3.83	Soluble (m)	High	Yes	Yes (0)	0.55
5b	288.34	3	2	0	37.95	3.50	Soluble (m)	High	Yes	Yes (0)	0.55
5c	301.38	3	1	0	31.96	3.51	Soluble (m)	High	Yes	Yes (0)	0.55
5d	308.38	2	1	0	28.72	4.41	Soluble (m)	High	Yes	Yes (0)	0.55

Table 7. Predicted physicochemical, pharmacokinetic, and drug-likeness properties of compounds 21, 2x, and 5a–d.

mol. wt.—molecular weight; n—number, ROTB—rotable bonds; HBA—hydrogen bond acceptors; HBD hydrogen bond donors; TPSA—topological polar surface area calculated in Å²; CLogPo/w—consensus logarithm of partition coefficient between *n*-octanol and water; m—moderate; Lipinski filter with number of violations in bracket; GI—gastrointestinal absorption; BBB—blood–brain barrier; BA—bioavailability score.



Figure 6. Oral bioavailability radar charts for the studied compounds **2l**, **2x**, and **5a–d**. In bioavailability radar, the pink area represents the optimal range for each physicochemical property of oral bioavailability (LIPO—lipophilicity, SIZE—size, POLAR—polarity, INSOLU—solubility, INSATU—saturation and FLEX—flexibility), while the red lines represent compounds: **(A) 2l**, **(B) 2x**, **(C) 5a**, **(D) 5b**, **(E) 5c**, and **(F) 5d**.



Figure 7. BOILED-Egg plot for the studied compounds 21, 2x, and 5a-d.

As can be seen from the data in Table 7, the tested molecules were characterized by reasonable polarity (TPSA values in the range of 28.78–55.37 $Å^2$) and suitable lipophilicity (ClogP values ranging from 3.49 to 4.41), so they were expected to be soluble or moderately soluble in water.

Moreover, according to Table 7, the bioavailable radar charts in Figure 6, and the BOILED-Egg plot in Figure 7, the investigated compounds were predicted to possess high gastrointestinal tract (GI) absorption and blood–brain barrier (BBB) permeability. In this regard, all of the tested molecules showed the same bioavailability score of 0.55, which suggested desirable pharmacokinetic properties (Table 7). Additionally, as shown in Table 7, compounds **2l**, **2x**, and **5a–d** met all the criteria according to Lipinski's "rule of five" as one of the key drug-likeness characteristics [78].

Other drug-likeness predictions, namely Caco-2 cell and MDCK cell permeabilities, were calculated using the PreADMET online server (http://preadmet.bmdrc.kr (accessed on 9 May 2023)) accessed on 1 June 2023. The in vitro Caco-2 cell permeability results classified indole-acrylonitriles **2l**, **2x**, and **5a–d** as moderate permeability compounds (12.20–57.65 nm/s), with **2x** possessing the worst predicted permeability (12.20 nm/s). On the other hand, the in vitro MDCK permeability was more varied: moderate permeability was obtained for compounds **2x**, **5a**, and **5d** (46.73–54.34 nm/s), compound **5b** showed low permeability (14.80 nm/s), while analogs **2l** and **5c** bearing the dimethylamino moiety could be characterized as poorly permeable compounds (0.09 and 0.16 nm/s, respectively) (Table S8 in Supplementary Materials).

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Chemistry

3.1.1. General Information

Melting points were measured using a Boetius apparatus (VEB Analytik Dresden, Germany) and are uncorrected. IR spectra were obtained in KBr pellets using a Nicolet 380 FTIR 1600 spectrometer. Magnetic resonance spectra (NMR) (Agilent, Karlsruhe, Germany) were recorded using a Varian Mercury-VX 300 or Bruker Avance III HD 400 spectrometer. ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm relative to the residual solvent signals at 2.50 and 39.5 ppm (DMSO- d_6). Coupling constants (*J*) are given in hertz (Hz). The mass spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu LCMS-2010 EV (Tokyo, Japan) spectrometer equipped with an electrospray source. The ESI-MS spectra were registered in positive- or negative-ion mode.

Diffraction data for **2l** were collected at room temperature using an Oxford Diffraction SuperNova diffractometer (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) with Cu K α radiation and processed using CrysAlisPro software version 1.171.33.48 [79]. The structure was solved using the program SHELXT [80] and refined using the full-matrix least-squares method on F^2 with SHELXL-2018/3 [81] with Olex2 software version 1.5 [82].

Preparative thin-layer chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 PF254 containing gypsum (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, FRG) with the aid of a Chromatotron[®] using the reported solvent systems. 2-(1*H*-Indol-2-yl)acetonitrile (1) was obtained according to the published method [55].

3.1.2. General Procedure for the Preparation of 2-(1H-Indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles 2a-s

To a solution of 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)acetonitrile (1) (312 mg, 2.0 mmol) in anhydrous methanol (10 mL) was added dropwise a solution of sodium methoxide (60 mg of sodium in 6 mL of anhydrous methanol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, and then the appropriate aldehyde was added (4.0 mmol). After stirring overnight at ambient temperature, the product that precipitated was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with methanol, and if necessary subjected to silica gel column chromatography with dichloromethane as the eluent. In this manner, the following compounds were obtained.

2-(1*H*-Indol-2-yl)-3-phenylacrylonitrile (**2a**). Yield: 39% (yellow solid); m.p. 197–199 °C (m.p. 173–174 °C [63]); IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3360, 3085, 3058, 3023, 2224, 1433, 1341, 1302, 914, 782, 726, 676; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.74 (s, 1H, NH), 7.92 (s, 1H, CH), 7.87 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.62–7.45 (m, 4H, 4 × C-H_{arom}), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.04 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 138.6, 138.2, 134.0, 133.7, 130.9, 129.6 (two overlapping signals), 129.2 (two overlapping signals), 128.2, 123.9, 121.3, 120.4, 117.5, 111.8, 104.2, 103.7; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 243 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₁₇H₁₂N₂ (244.29) (%): C, 83.58; H, 4.95; N, 11.47. Found: C, 83.32; H, 5.01; N, 11.67.

2-(1*H*-Indol-2-yl)-3-(*p*-tolyl)acrylonitrile (**2b**). Yield: 62% (yellow solid); m.p. 223–224 °C (192–194 °C [63]); IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3356, 3045, 2918, 2223, 1611, 1435, 1302, 898, 798, 782, 730, 607; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.72 (s, 1H, NH), 7.90 (s, 1H, CH), 7.80 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.60 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.43–7.37 (m, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.20 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.05 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.79 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 2.39 (s, 3H, CH₃); MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 257 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₁₈H₁₄N₂ (258.32) (%): C, 83.69; H, 5.46; N, 10.84. Found: C, 83.57; H, 5.25; N, 11.18.

2-(1*H*-Indol-2-*y*l)-3-(4-isopropylphenyl)acrylonitrile (**2c**). Yield: 21% (yellow solid); m.p. 176–178 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3327, 2956, 2869, 2233, 1606, 1417, 1302, 897, 827, 784, 747, 730, 613; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.74 (s, 1H, NH), 7.91 (s, 1H, CH), 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.60 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.45–7.42 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 7.20 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.06 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 3.02–2.91 (m, 1H, CH), 1.24 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 6H, 2 × CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 151.8, 138.6, 138.2, 133.9, 131.7, 129.4 (two overlapping signals), 128.3, 127.7 (two overlapping signals), 123.8, 121.2, 120.4, 117.7, 111.8, 103.8, 102.7, 33.9, 24.0 (two overlapping signals); MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 285 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₁₈N₂ (286.37) (%): C, 83.88; H, 6.34; N, 9.78. Found: C, 83.96; H, 6.29; N, 9.75.

2-(1*H*-Indol-2-yl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)acrylonitrile (2d). Yield: 67% (yellow solid); m.p. 210–212 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3352, 3045, 3009, 2949, 2927, 2831, 2222,1609, 1589, 1506, 1261, 1181, 1035, 814, 779, 729, 606; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.67 (s, 1H, NH), 7.88 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 3H, 2 × C-H_{arom} + CH), 7.58 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.23–7.13 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 7.04 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.75 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 3.86 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 161.5, 138.6, 138.1, 134.1, 131.1 (two overlapping signals), 128.3, 126.6, 123.6, 121.1, 120.4, 118.0, 115.2 (two overlapping signals), 111.7, 103.2, 100.7, 55.9; MS (ESI) *m/z*:

273 $[M - H]^-$. Anal. calcd for C₁₈H₁₄N₂O (274.32): C, 78.81; H, 5.14; N, 10.21. Found: C, 78.75; H, 5.27; N, 10.19.

3-(4-Ethoxyphenyl)-2-(1H-indol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (2e). Yield: 58% (yellow solid); m.p. 174–175 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3344, 3046, 2976, 2925, 2876. 2223, 1608, 1588, 1505, 1259, 1183, 1051, 779, 726; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.67 (s, 1H, NH), 7.86–7.88 (m, 3H, C-H_{arom} + CH), 7.58 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.18 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.11 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.04 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.74 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 4.12 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 1.36 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 160.8, 138.6, 138.1, 134.2, 131.2 (two overlapping signals), 128.4, 126.4, 123.5, 121.1, 120.3, 118.0, 115.6 (two overlapping signals), 111.7, 103.1, 100.6, 63.9, 15.0; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 287 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₁₉H₁₆N₂O (288.34): C, 79.14; H, 5.59; N, 9.72. Found: C, 78.95; H, 5.49; N, 9.85.

3-(*Benzo*[*d*][1,3]*dioxo*1-5-*y*1)-2-(1*H*-*indo*1-2-*y*1)*acry*1*onitrile* (**2f**). Yield: 15% (yellow solid); m.p. 233–234 °C; IR v_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3354, 3082, 3044, 2897, 2222, 1501, 1453, 1256, 1049, 782, 733, 622; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.67 (s, 1H, NH), 7.83 (s, 1H, CH), 7.59 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.55 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.19 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.12 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.05 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.75 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 6.16 (s, 2H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 149.8, 148.5, 138.5, 138.2, 134.0, 128.3, 128.2, 126.0, 123.7, 121.1, 120.4, 117.9, 111.7, 109.5, 107.4, 103.4, 102.5, 101.2; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 287 [M - H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₁₈H₁₂N₂O₂ (288.30) (%): C, 74.99; H, 4.20; N, 9.72. Found: C, 74.92; H, 4.32; N, 9.68.

3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-(1H-indol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (**2g**). Yield: 50% (yellow solid); m.p. 203–205 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3349, 3062, 2224, 1603, 1593, 1504, 1242, 1164, 892, 818, 781, 729, 605; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.73 (s, 1H, NH), 7.96–7.93 (m, 3H, 2 × C-H_{arom} + CH), 7.61 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.44–7.40 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 7.21 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.06 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.82 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 163.39 (d, *J*_(C-F) = 250.0 Hz), 138.3, 137.5, 133.6, 131.59 (d, *J*_(C-F) = 8.0 Hz, two overlapping signals), 130.66 (d, *J*_(C-F) = 3.0 Hz), 128.2, 123.9, 121.3, 120.5, 117.5, 116.81 (d, *J*_(C-F) = 22.0 Hz, two overlapping signals), 111.8, 104.1, 103.6; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 261 [M – H]⁻; Anal. calcd for C₁₇H₁₁FN₂ (262.28): C, 77.85; H, 4.23; N, 10.68. Found: C, 77.96; H, 4.25; N, 10.83.

3-(2-*Chlorophenyl*)-2-(1*H*-*indol*-2-*yl*)*acrylonitrile* (**2h**). Yield: 49% (yellow solid); m.p. 201–203 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3355, 3059, 2225, 1593, 1433, 1340, 1304, 1258, 1045, 785, 747, 731, 611; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.94 (s, 1H, NH), 8.05 (s, 1H, CH), 8.02–7.98 (m, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.65–7.59 (m, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.52–7.46 (m, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.42 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.21 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.05 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.86 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 138.5, 134.6, 133.8, 133.0, 132.6, 132.1, 130.3, 130.0, 128.1, 128.0, 124.4, 121.6, 120.6, 116.8, 111.9, 107.9, 105.4; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 277 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₁₇H₁₁ClN₂ (278.74) (%): C, 73.25; H, 3.98; Cl, 12.72; N, 10.05. Found: C, 73.29; H, 3.82; N, 10.15.

3-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-(1H-indol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (2i). Yield: 22% (yellow solid); m.p. 188–189 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3351, 3056, 2223, 1562, 1481, 1416, 1339, 1303, 1208, 1082, 784, 732, 674; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.74 (s, 1H, NH), 7.89 (m, 2H, C-H_{arom} + CH), 7.82–7.77 (m, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.60–7.53 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 7.42 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.19 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.04 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.83 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 138.4, 136.7, 136.1, 134.2, 133.4, 131.5, 130.4, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 124.2, 121.5, 120.5, 117.1, 111.9, 105.3, 104.8; MS (ESI) *m/z*: 277 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₁₇H₁₁ClN₂ (278.74) (%): C, 73.25; H, 12.72; N, 10.05. Found: C, 73.17; H, 3.86; N, 10.12.

3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-(1H-indol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (2j). Yield: 67% (yellow solid); m.p. 241–242 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3357, 3082, 3050, 3015, 2225, 1597, 1585, 1488, 1409, 1101, 806, 787, 732; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.73 (s, 1H, NH), 7.89–7.85 (m, 3H, 2 × C-H_{arom} + CH), 7.62–7.57 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H,

C-H_{arom}), 7.19 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.04 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.81 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 138.3, 137.1, 135.3, 133.5, 132.9, 130.8 (two overlapping signals), 129.7 (two overlapping signals), 128.2, 124.1, 121.4, 120.5, 117.3, 111.8, 104.5, 104.3; MS (ESI) m/z: 274 [M – H][–]. Anal. calcd for C₁₇H₁₁ClN₂ (278.74) (%): C, 73.25; H, 3.98; N, 10.05. Found: C, 73.43; H, 3.75; N, 9.85.

3-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-(1H-indol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (**2k**). Yield: 46% (yellow solid); m.p. 239–241 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3358, 3082, 3056, 3019, 2225, 1581, 1485, 1406, 1078, 1010, 894, 803, 782, 733, 608; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.76 (s, 1H, NH), 7.90 (s, 1H, CH), 7.83–7.76 (m, 4H, 4 × C-H_{arom}), 7.61 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.43 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.22 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.06 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.84 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 138.3, 137.2, 133.6, 133.2, 132.7 (two overlapping signals), 131.0 (two overlapping signals), 128.2, 124.2, 124.1, 121.4, 120.5, 117.3, 111.9, 104.6, 104.4; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 322 [M – H]⁻; Anal. calcd for C₁₇H₁₁BrN₂ (323.19): C, 63.18; H, 3.43; N, 8.67. Found: C, 62.97; H, 3.59; N, 8.53.

3-[4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl]-2-(1H-indol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (**2**I). Yield: 37% (brown solid); m.p. 234–236 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3334, 3079, 3013, 2989, 2814, 2211, 1611, 1575, 1364, 1198, 810, 788, 746; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.55 (s, 1H, NH), 7.80 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.75 (s, 1H, CH), 7.55 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.02 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.84 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 6.64 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 3.03 (s, 6H, 2 × CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 152.1, 139.4, 138.0, 135.0, 131.1 (two overlapping signals), 128.6, 123.0, 121.2, 120.7, 120.2, 118.9, 112.3 (two overlapping signals), 111.5, 101.7, 96.3, 40.1 (two overlapping signals); MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 286 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₁₉H₁₇N₃ (287.36) (%): C, 79.41; H, 5.96; N, 14.62. Found: C, 79.31; H, 5.87; N, 14.82.

Crystal data for **2l**: C₁₉H₁₇N₃ (M = 287.35 g/mol), monoclinic, space group P2₁/n, a = 6.76580(10) Å, b = 21.9867(4) Å, c = 10.2650(2) Å, $\beta = 93.006(2)^{\circ}$, $V = 1524.90(5) \text{ Å}^3$, Z = 4, μ (Cu K α) = 0.587 mm⁻¹, $D_{calc} = 1.252 \text{ g/cm}^3$, 6428 reflections measured, 3114 unique ($R_{int} = 0.0141$, $R_{sigma} = 0.0179$), which were used in all calculations. The final R_1 was 0.0412 (I > 2 σ (I)) and wR_2 was 0.1225 (all data).

3-[4-(*Diethylamino*)*phenyl*]-2-(1*H-indo*]-2-*y*]*acrylonitrile* (**2m**). Yield: 7% (brown solid); m.p. 183–185 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3336, 3047, 2967, 2925, 2866, 2219, 1609, 1584, 1512, 1356, 1200, 812, 799, 731; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.54 (s, 1H, NH), 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.72 (s, 1H, CH), 7.54 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.14 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.02 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.81 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 6.62 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 3.44 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 4H, 2 × CH₂), 1.14 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 6H, 2 × CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 149.6, 139.4, 137.9, 135.1, 131.5 (two overlapping signals), 128.6, 122.9, 120.7, 120.4, 120.2, 119.0, 111.8 (two overlapping signals), 111.5, 101.5, 95.5, 44.3 (two overlapping signals), 12.9 (two overlapping signals); MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 314 [M – H]⁻; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 316 [M + H]⁺. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₂₁N₃ (315.41) (%): C, 79.97; H, 6.71; N, 13.32. Found: C, 79.75; H, 6.87; N, 13.38.

2-(1*H*-Indol-2-*y*l)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)acrylonitrile (**2n**). Yield: 27% (orange solid); m.p. 210–212 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3344, 2227, 1595, 1578, 1512, 1341, 1111, 787, 753, 684; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.83 (s, 1H, NH), 8.36 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H, C-H_{arom}), 8.05 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 8.00 (s, 1H, CH), 7.61 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.42 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.22 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.05 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.91 (s, 1H, C3-H, indole); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 148.0, 140.2, 138.6, 135.6, 133.3, 130.2 (two overlapping signals), 128.1, 124.7, 124.6, 121.7, 120.7, 115.8, 114.9, 112.0, 107.2, 105.9; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 288 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₁₇H₁₁N₃O₂ (289.29) (%): C, 70.58; H, 3.83; N, 14.53. Found: C, 70.67; H, 3.65; N, 14.87.

2-(1*H*-Indol-2-yl)-3-(naphthalen-1-yl)acrylonitrile (**2o**). Yield: 46% (yellow solid); m.p. 218–220 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3372, 3058, 3013, 2921, 2847, 2222, 1587, 1431, 1301, 882, 777, 728, 674; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.98 (s, 1H), 8.67 (s, 1H, CH),

8.31 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 8.13–8.05 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 7.71–7.64 (m, 4H, 4 × C-H_{arom}), 7.48 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.25 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.09 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.89 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 138.4, 136.4, 133.7, 133.7, 131.6, 131.2, 131.0, 129.3, 128.2, 127.6, 127.2, 126.9, 126.1, 124.3, 124.2, 121.5, 120.5, 117.4, 111.8, 107.1, 104.8; MS (ESI) m/z: 293 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₁₄N₂ (294.35) (%): C, 85.69; H, 4.79; N, 9.52. Found: C, 85.58; H, 4.82; N, 9.32.

2-(1*H*-*Indol*-2-*yl*)-3-(*naphthalen*-2-*yl*)*acrylonitrile* (**2p**). Yield: 50% (yellow solid); m.p. 229–230 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3364, 3052, 2920, 2224, 1594, 1424, 1342, 915, 818, 769, 734, 673; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.83 (br. s, 1H, NH), 8.35 (s, 1H, CH), 8.11–8.09 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 8.06–8.03 (m, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 8.01–7.98 (m, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.66–7.60 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 7.47–7.44 (m, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.22 (t, *J* = 7,1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.07 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.87 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 138.5, 138.4, 133.9, 133.2, 131.7, 130.2, 129.2, 129.1, 128.3, 128.24, 128.20, 127.6, 125.1, 124.0, 121.4, 120.5, 117.7, 111.9, 104.3, 103.9; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 293 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₁₄N₂ (294.35) (%): C, 85.69; H, 4.79; N, 9.52. Found: C, 85.75; H, 4,67; N, 9.58.

2-(1*H*-Indol-2-yl)-3-(pyridin-2-yl)acrylonitrile (**2q**). Yield: 24% (yellow solid); m.p. 179–181 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3318, 3056, 3008, 2924, 2229, 1577, 1423, 1305, 1149, 895, 787, 723, 608; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.81 (s, 1H, NH), 8.73 (m, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.98–7.90 (m, 2H, C-H_{arom} + CH), 7.67 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.60 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.45–7.40 (m, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.20 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.04 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.90 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 152.0, 150.3, 138.5, 137.8, 136.4, 133.9, 128.2, 125.7, 125.0, 124.3, 121.5, 120.5, 117.0, 111.9, 106.3, 105.5; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 244 [M – H]⁻; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 246 [M + H]⁺. Anal. calcd for C₁₆H₁₁N₃ (245.28) (%): C, 78.35; H, 4.52; N, 17.13. Found: C, 78.27; H, 4.38; N, 17.35.

2-(1*H*-Indol-2-yl)-3-(quinolin-2-yl)acrylonitrile (**2r**). Yield: 17% (orange solid); m.p. > 300 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3329, 3048, 2923, 2853, 2225, 1587, 1548, 1343, 886, 829, 796, 751, 728; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.93 (s, 1H, NH), 8.53 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 8.11 (s, 1H, CH), 8.06 (t, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.89–7.84 (m, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.71–7.65 (m, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.25 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.09 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.00 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole); MS (ESI) *m/z*: 294 [M – H]⁻; MS (ESI) *m/z*: 296 [M + H]⁺. Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₁₃N₃ (295.34) (%): C, 81.34; H, 4.44; N, 14.23. Found: C, 81.42; H, 4.32; N, 14.26.

2-(1*H*-Indol-2-*y*])-3-(thiophen-2-*y*])acrylonitrile (**2s**). Yield: 21% (yellow solid); m.p. 178– 180 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3351 3107, 3040, 2924, 2853, 2220, 1577, 1225, 1408, 1301, 885, 779, 691, 607; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.70 (s, 1H, NH), 8.13 (s, 1H, CH), 7.92 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.68 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.59 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.41 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.28–7.30 (m, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.19 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.05 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}) 6.76 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 138.3, 137.8, 133.6, 133.4, 131.8, 131.7, 128.8, 128.4, 123.8, 121.2, 120.5, 117.7, 111.7, 103.8, 100.1; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 249 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₁₅H₁₀N₂S (250.32) (%): C, 71.97; H, 4.03; N, 11.19. Found: C, 71.85; H, 3.97; N, 11.27.

3.1.3. General Procedure for the Preparation of 2-(1H-Indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles 2t-x

To a stirred solution of 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)acetonitrile (1) (312 mg, 2.0 mmol) in anhydrous ethanol (2 mL) was added the appropriate carboxaldehyde (3.0 mmol) and ten drops of triethylamine. Stirring was continued under reflux for 15 min and then at ambient temperature for 48 h. The precipitate thus obtained was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with anhydrous ethanol, and dried. In this manner, the following compounds were obtained.

3-(5-*Chlorothiophen-2-yl*)-2-(1*H-indol-2-yl*)*acrylonitrile* (**2t**). Yield: 33% (green solid); m.p. 259–261 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3348, 3054, 2218, 1501, 1433, 1414, 1308, 1228, 786, 741, 608; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.70 (s, 1H, NH), 8.03 (s, 1H, CH), 7.59 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.51–7.49 (m, 1H, CH_{arom}), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.34–7.32 (m, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.19 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.05 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H),

6.77 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole); MS (ESI) m/z: 155 [M – H][–]. Anal. calcd for C₁₅H₉ClN₂S (284.76) (%): C, 63.27; H, 3.19; N, 9.84. Found: C, 63.15; H, 3.21; N, 9.72.

3-(*Furan*-2-*y*)-2-(1*H*-*indo*)-2-*y*)*acrylonitrile* (**2u**). Yield: 53% (yellow solid); m.p. 185–186 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3321, 3056, 2924, 2231, 1607, 1469, 1346, 1303, 1019, 884, 781, 724; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.71 (s, 1H, NH), 8.02 (s, 1H, CH), 7.76 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.58 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.19 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.15 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.05 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.82–6.74 (m, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 149.9, 146.7, 138.3, 133.5, 128.3, 124.7, 123.9, 121.2, 120.5, 117.3, 116.4, 113.8, 111.7, 104.0, 99.6; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 233 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₁₅H₁₀N₂O (234.25) (%): C, 76.91; H, 4.30; N, 11.96. Found: C, 76.83; H, 4.38; N, 11.86.

2-(1*H*-Indol-2-*y*l)-3-(5-*methylfuran*-2-*y*l)acrylonitrile (**2v**). Yield: 45% (yellow solid); m.p. 190–191 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3322, 3053, 2920, 2850, 2226, 1517, 1539, 1489, 1290, 1025, 781, 748; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.64 (s, 1H, NH), 7.67 (s, 1H, CH), 7.56 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.17 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.08–7.01 (m, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 6.73 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 6.43 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 2.41 (s, 3H, CH₃); MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 247 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₁₆H₁₂N₂O (248.28) (%): C, 77.40; H, 4.87; N, 11.28. Found: C, 77.46; H, 4.75; N, 11.32.

3-(5-Chlorofuran-2-yl)-2-(1H-indol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (**2w**). Yield: 26% (yellow solid); m.p. 223–228 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3333, 3045, 2227, 1519, 1469, 1345, 1020, 876, 774, 729; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.71 (s, 1H, NH), 7.67 (s, 1H, CH), 7.59 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.23–7.16 (m, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.05 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.82 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole); MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 267 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd. for C₁₅H₉ClN₂O (268.70) (%): C, 67.05; H, 3.38; N, 10.43. Found: C, 67.17; H, 3.46; N, 10.37.

2-(1*H*-Indol-2-*y*l)-3-(1*H*-pyrrol-2-*y*l)acrylonitrile (**2x**). Yield: 8% (brown, solid); m.p. 134–136 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3427, 3331, 3113, 3014, 2924, 2215, 1596, 1402, 1342, 1038, 786, 748, 663, 544; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.62 (s, 1H, NH), 11.54 (s, 1H, NH), 7.64 (s, 1H, CH), 7.54 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.16–7.12 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 7.02 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.62 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 6.36 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 138.0, 134.4, 129.4, 128.6, 127.6, 124.2, 122.9, 120.6, 120.2, 118.8, 112.9, 111.7, 111.6, 101.6, 95.2; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 232 [M – H]⁻; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 234 [M + H]⁺. Anal. calcd for C₁₅H₁₁N₃ (233.27) (%): C, 77.23; H, 4.75; N, 18.01. Found: C, 77.31; H, 4.83; N, 17.86.

3.1.4. Synthesis of N-[4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl]-1H-indole-2-carbimidoyl cyanide (3)

To a solution of 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)acetonitrile (1) (312 mg, 2.0 mmol) in anhydrous methanol (10 mL) was added dropwise a solution of sodium methoxide (60 mg of sodium in 6 mL of anhydrous methanol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min. Then, 4-nitroso-*N*,*N*-dimethylaniline (600 mg, 4.0 mmol) was added and stirring was continued at ambient temperature for 5 h. The product **3** that precipitated was filtered, washed with methanol, and dried. Yield: 42% (brown solid); m.p. 181–183 °C; IR vmax (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3399, 3049, 2888, 2805, 2220, 1608, 1510, 1361, 1339, 1220, 1177, 824, 785, 743, 688; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.91 (s, 1H, NH), 7.69 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.48–7.46 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 7.27 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.14 (s, 1H, C3-H, indole), 7.09 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.85 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 3.02 (s, 6H, 2 × CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 150.8, 138.9, 136.9, 135.4, 127.9, 125.4, 124.5 (two overlapping signals), 124.3, 122.3, 120.7, 113.2, 112.7, 112.5 (two overlapping signals), 108.6, 40.4 (two overlapping signals); MS (ESI) m/z: 287 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₁₈H₁₆N₄ (288.35) (%): C, 74.98; H, 5.59; N, 19.43. Found: C, 75.02; H, 5.51; N, 19.47.

3.1.5. General Procedure for the Preparation of 2-(1H-Indol-2-yl)-3-phenylpropanenitriles **4a-c**

To a suspension of sodium borohydride (57 mg, 1.5 mmol) in a mixture of dimethylformamide (4 mL) and methanol (1 mL) was added the appropriate 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3acrylonitrile **2d**, **2l**, or **2p** (0.75 mmol). The mixture was stirred overnight and then diluted with water, neutralized with hydrochloric acid, and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic phase was dried (Na₂SO₄), concentrated under vacuum, and subjected to preparative thin-layer chromatography eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:2 v/v). In this manner, the following compounds were obtained.

2-(1*H*-Indol-2-yl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propanenitrile (4a). Yield: 73% (white solid); m.p. 151–153 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3397, 3044, 3009, 2935, 2839, 2242, 1612, 1512, 1242, 1177, 1032, 800, 739, 667; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.43 (s, 1H, NH), 7.50 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.00 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 6.39 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 4.72–4.65 (m, 1H, CH), 3.73 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.32–3.18 (m, 2H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 158.7, 136.9, 133.2, 130.6 (two overlapping signals), 129.3, 127.8, 122.0, 120.5, 120.4, 119.7, 114.2 (two overlapping signals), 111.7, 100.8, 55.4, 37.7, 33.0; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 275 [M – H][–]. Anal. calcd for C₁₈H₁₆N₂O (276.33): C, 78.24; H, 5.84; N, 10.14; O, 5.79. Found: C, 78.32; H, 5.92; N, 10.06.

3-[4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl]-2-(1H-indol-2-yl)propanenitrile (**4b**). Yield: 75% (beige solid); m.p. 180–183 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3400, 3355, 3048, 2923, 2857, 2810, 2240, 1613, 1524, 1357, 1344, 1191, 796, 752, 743, 667; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 11.42 (s, 1H, NH), 7.50 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.14–7.09 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 7.00 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.67 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 6.40 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 4.60–4.64 (m, 1H, CH), 3.28–3.14 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.86 (s, 6H, 2 × CH₃); MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 288 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₁₉H₁₉N₃ (289.37) (%): C, 78.86; H, 6.62; N, 14.52. Found: C, 78.76; H, 6.58; N, 14.66.

2-(1*H*-Indol-2-*y*l)-3-(naphthalen-2-*y*l)propanenitrile (4c). Yield: 63% (beige solid); m.p. 164–166 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3392, 3046, 2924, 2242, 1455, 1426, 1290, 802, 752, 743, 667; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 11.50 (s, 1H, NH), 7.88–7.83 (m, 4H, 4 × C-H_{arom}), 7.51–7.38 (m, 5H, 5 × C-H_{arom}), 7.10 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.98 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.42 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 4.90–4.85 (m, 1H, CH), 3.58–3.41 (m, 2H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 136.9, 135.1, 133.3, 133.1, 132.5, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 126.7, 126.3, 122.0, 120.5, 120.3, 119.7, 111.7, 100.9, 38.6, 32.6; MS (ESI) *m/z*: 295 [M – H]⁻. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₁₆N₂ (296.37) (%): C, 85.11; H, 5.44; N, 9.45. Found: C, 85.23; H, 5.38; N, 9.39.

3.1.6. General Procedure for the Preparation of 2-(1-Methyl-1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles **5a**–**d**

To a stirred solution of the appropriate 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitrile derivative **2b**, **2d**, **2l**, or **2p** (0.75 mmol) in anhydrous dimethylformamide (5 mL) was added sodium hydride (35 mg, 0.9 mmol, 60% oil dispersion) in one portion at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 30 min at ambient temperature. Then, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and treated with methyl iodide (124 mg, 54 μ L, 0.9 mmol). After stirring overnight at ambient temperature, the mixture was diluted with water and the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water, and dried. In this manner, the following compounds were obtained.

2-(1-*Methyl*-1*H*-*indol*-2-*yl*)-3-(*p*-*tolyl*)*acrylonitrile* (**5a**). Yield: 77% (beige solid); m.p. 90–92 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3040, 2914, 2854, 2223, 1468, 1359, 1343, 1187, 815, 785, 750, 731; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 7.89 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.73 (s, 1H, CH), 7.61 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.80 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.80 (s, 1H, C-

C₃-H, indole), 3.87 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.40 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 146.9, 141.7, 139.1, 135.4, 131.2, 130.1 (two overlapping signals), 129.7 (two overlapping signals), 127.2, 123.3, 121.1, 120.6, 118.2, 110.8, 104.0, 101.0, 31.7, 21.6. Anal. calcd for C₁₉H₁₆N₂ (272.34) (%): C, 83.79; H, 5.92; N, 10.29. Found: C, 83.73; H, 5.86; N, 10.41.

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (**5b**). Yield: 96% (yellow solid); m.p. 113–114 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3050, 3000, 2924, 2853, 2210, 1601, 1506, 1461, 1253, 1180, 1031, 828, 798, 754, 744; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.69 (s, 1H, CH), 7.60 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.52 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.25 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.15–7.11 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 6.76 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 3.86 (s, 6H, NCH₃ + OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 161.9, 146.8, 139.0, 135.6, 131.7 (two overlapping signals), 127.3, 126.5, 123.1, 121.0, 120.6, 118.5, 115.1 (two overlapping signals), 110.8, 103.6, 98.9, 56.0, 31.7. Anal. calcd for C₁₉H₁₆N₂O (288.34) (%): C, 79.14; H, 5.59; N, 9.72. Found: C, 79.22; H, 5.51; N; 9.68.

3-[4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl]-2-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (**5c**). Yield: 92% (brown solid); m.p. 131–132 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3106, 3035, 2887, 2804, 2218, 1608, 1588, 1361, 1197, 818, 792, 732; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 7.89 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.57 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.52–7.49 (m, 2H, C-H_{arom} + CH), 7.22 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.09 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.83 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 6.67 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 3.84 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.04 (s, 6H, 2 × CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 152.4, 147.6, 138.7, 136.5, 131.7 (two overlapping signals), 127.4, 122.6, 121.1, 120.7, 120.4, 119.4, 112.1 (two overlapping signals), 110.6, 102.7, 93.9, 40.1 (two overlapping signals), 31.6; MS (ESI) m/z: 302 [M + H]⁺. Anal. calcd. for C₂₀H₁₉N₃ (301.38) (%): C, 79.70; H, 6.35; N, 13.94. Found: C, 79.76; H, 6.27; N, 13.97.

2-(1-*Methyl*-1*H*-*indol*-2-*yl*)-3-(*naphthalen*-2-*yl*)*acrylonitrile* (**5d**). Yield: 84% (yellow solid); m.p. 160–163 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3054, 2925, 2853, 2207, 1599, 1461, 1356, 931, 803, 791, 744; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 8.46 (s, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 8.17 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 8.10 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 8.02 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.94 (s, 1H, CH), 7.69–7.60 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.28 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.13 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.87 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 3.93 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 146.6, 139.2, 135.3, 134.2, 133.1, 131.6, 131.1, 129.2, 129.1, 128.5, 128.3, 127.6, 127.2, 125.3, 123.4, 121.2, 120.7, 118.2, 110.9, 104.3, 102.5, 31.9. Anal. calcd for C₂₂H₁₆N₂ (308.38) (%): C, 85.69; H, 5.23; N, 9.08. Found: C, 85.75; H, 5.31; N, 8.94.

3.1.7. General Procedure for the Preparation of 2-(1-Acetyl-1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles **6a** and **6b** and 3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-(1-(methylsulfonyl)-1*H*-indol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (7)

To a stirred solution of the appropriate 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitrile derivative (2d or 2l) (0.75 mmol) in anhydrous dimethylformamide (5 mL) was added sodium hydride (36 mg, 0.9 mmol, 60% oil dispersion) in one portion at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 30 min at ambient temperature. Then, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and treated with acetyl chloride (71 mg, 64 μ L, 0.9 mmol) or methanesulfonyl chloride (103 mg, 70 μ L, 0.9 mmol). After stirring overnight at ambient temperature, the mixture was diluted with water and the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water, and dried. Thus, the obtained crude products 6a, 6b, and 7 were purified on silica gel by column chromatography with dichloromethane as the eluent. In this manner, the following compounds were obtained.

2-(1-Acetyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)acrylonitrile (**6a**). Yield: 42% (beige solid); m.p. 119–122 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3112, 3085, 3022, 2962, 2932, 2836, 2207, 1702, 1604, 1515, 1450, 1366, 1306, 1263, 1189, 1027, 837, 827, 740; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 8.01 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.94 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.68 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.60 (s, 1H, CH), 7.42 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.33 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.05 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 3.86 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.80 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 170.4, 161.9, 144.8, 136.5, 135.4, 131.6 (two overlapping signals), 129.1, 126.3, 126.0, 124.0, 121.9, 118.0, 115.6, 115.1 (two overlapping signals), 113.3, 101.7, 56.0, 27.3. Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₁₆N₂O₂ (316.35) (%): C, 75.93; H, 5.10; N, 8.86. Found: C, 75.85; H, 4.88; N, 8.94. 2-(1-Acetyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-3-[4-(dimethylamino)phenyl]acrylonitrile (**6b**). Yield: 31% (yellow solid); m.p. 164–166 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3115, 2899, 2817, 2204, 1692, 1612, 1579, 1524, 1450, 1367, 1303, 1169, 809, 761, 742; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 8.04 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.85 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 7.65 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.47 (s, 1H, CH), 7.39 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.31 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 6.97 (s, 1H, C₃-H, indole), 6.83 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H, 2 × C-H_{arom}), 3.05 (s, 6H, 2 × CH₃), 2.76 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 170.6, 152.5, 146.0, 136.7, 136.1, 131.6 (two overlapping signals), 129.1, 125.7, 124.0, 121.5, 120.8, 115.6, 112.6, 112.1 (two overlapping signals), 112.0, 96.5, 49.1 (two overlapping signals), 27.3; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 330 [M + H]⁺. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₁₉N₃O (329.40) (%): C, 76.57; H, 5.81; N, 12.76. Found: C, 76.65; H, 5.75; N, 12.82.

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-(1-(methylsulfonyl)-1H-indol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (7). Yield: 22% (white solid); m.p. 169–171 °C; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3109, 3075, 3003, 2972, 2922, 2214, 1608. 1512, 1369, 1167, 1070, 833, 773, 747, 556; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 7.95–7.93 (m, 3H, 3 × C-H_{arom}), 7.74–7.71 (m, 2H, C-H_{arom} + CH), 7.47 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.39 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H, C-H_{arom}), 7.16–7.14 (m, 3H, 2 × C-H_{arom} + C₃-H indole), 3.86 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.31 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm): 162.1, 147.0, 137.3, 135.7, 131.8 (two overlapping signals), 129.5, 126.3, 126.1, 124.8, 122.2, 118.1, 115.1 (two overlapping signals), 115.0, 114.0, 99.7, 56.0, 40.9. Anal. calcd for C₁₉H₁₆N₂O₃S (352.41) (%): C, 64.76; H, 4.58; N, 7.95. Found: C, 64.68; H, 4.64; N, 7.87.

3.2. Biology

3.2.1. Evaluation of In Vitro Antiproliferative Activity

The in vitro anticancer assay was conducted at the National Cancer Institute (NCI) in Bethesda, USA against approximately 60 cancer cell lines [64–67].

The one-dose data were reported as a mean graph of the percent growth of treated cells. The number reported for the one-dose assay was the growth relative to the no-drug control and relative to the number of cells at time zero. This allowed detection of both growth inhibition (values between 0 and 100) and lethality (values less than 0). For example, a value of 100 meant no growth inhibition. A value of 40 would mean 60% growth inhibition. A value of 0 meant no net growth over the course of the experiment. A value of -40 would mean 40% lethality. A value of -100 meant all cells were dead.

The human tumor cell lines of the cancer screening panel were grown in RPMI 1640 medium containing 5% fetal bovine serum and 2 mM L-glutamine. For a typical screening experiment, 100 µL of cells was inoculated into 96-well microtiter plates at plating densities ranging from 5000 to 40,000 cells/well depending on the doubling time of the individual cell lines. After cell inoculation, the microtiter plates were incubated at 37 °C, under 5% CO₂ and 95% air, and 100% relative humidity for 24 h prior to the addition of the tested compounds. After 24 h, two plates of each cell line were fixed in situ with TCA to represent a measurement of the cell population for each cell line at the time of sample addition (Tz). The tested compounds were solubilized in dimethyl sulfoxide at 400-fold the desired final maximum test concentration and stored frozen prior to use. At the time of compound addition, an aliquot of frozen concentrate was thawed and diluted to twice the desired final maximum test concentration with complete medium containing 50 μ g/mL gentamicin. Additional 4-fold, 10-fold, or $\frac{1}{2}$ log serial dilutions were made to provide a total of five compound concentrations plus the control. Aliquots of 100 μ L of these different compound dilutions were added to the appropriate microtiter wells already containing 100 µL of medium, resulting in the required final compound concentrations. Following compound addition, the plates were incubated for an additional 48 h at 37 °C, under 5% CO₂ and 95% air, and 100% relative humidity. For adherent cells, the assay was terminated by the addition of cold TCA. Cells were fixed in situ by the gentle addition of 50 μ L of cold 50% (w/v) TCA (final concentration, 10% TCA) and incubated for 60 min at 4 °C. The

supernatant was discarded, and the plates were washed five times with tap water and air dried. Sulforhodamine B (SRB) solution (100 μ L) at 0.4% (w/v) in 1% acetic acid was added to each well, and plates were incubated for 10 min at room temperature. After staining, unbound dye was removed by washing five times with 1% acetic acid and the plates were air dried. Bound stain was subsequently solubilized with 10 mM Trizma Base, and the absorbance was measured using an automated plate reader at a wavelength of 515 nm. For cells in suspension, the methodology was the same except that the assay was terminated by fixing the settled cells at the bottom of the wells by gently adding 50 μ L of 80% TCA (final concentration, 16% TCA). Using seven absorbance measurements [time zero, (Tz), control growth, (C), and growth in the presence of a test compound at five concentration levels. Percentage growth was calculated as:

 $[(Ti - Tz)/(C - Tz)] \times 100$ for concentrations for which Ti >/= Tz

$[(Ti - Tz)/Tz] \times 100$ for concentrations for which Ti < Tz

Three dose–response parameters were calculated for each tested compound. Growth inhibition of 50% (GI₅₀) was calculated from $[(Ti - Tz)/(C - Tz)] \times 100 = 50$, which refers to the compound concentration resulting in 50% reduction in the net protein increase (as measured by SRB staining) in control cells during the compound incubation. The compound concentration resulting in total growth inhibition (TGI) was calculated from Ti = Tz. The LC₅₀ (concentration of compound resulting in 50% reduction in the measured protein at the end of the drug treatment as compared to that at the beginning) indicating a net loss of cells following treatment was calculated from $[(Ti - Tz)/Tz] \times 100 = -50$. Values were calculated for each of these three parameters if the level of activity was reached; however, if the effect was not reached or was exceeded, the value for that parameter was expressed as greater or less than the maximum or minimum concentration tested. Furthermore, a mean graph midpoint (MG-MID) was calculated for each of the MG-MID, insensitive cell lines were included with the highest concentration tested.

3.2.2. In Vitro Antimicrobial Activity

Antimicrobial activity was tested using the following reference strains: *Staphylococ*cus aureus ATCC 6538, Staphylococcus epidermidis PCM 2118, Escherichia coli ATCC 11229, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 15442, Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 11420, and Candida albicans ATCC 10231. Antibacterial activity of selected compounds (2i, 2q, 2s, and 2x) was evaluated against clinical S. aureus strains isolated from various clinical samples (nasal mucus, saliva, sputum, pus, and blood) derived from the Department of Oral Microbiology collection. Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) for tested compounds were determined using a broth dilution method as recommended by the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [83]. Polypropylene 96-well plates with the investigated compounds serially diluted in Mueller Hinton Broth 2 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) (or in Sabouraud Dextrose Broth (BD Difco) for *C. albicans*) and initial inoculum 5×10^{5} CFU/mL were incubated at 37 °C for 18 h (or 24–48 h for *C. albicans*). MIC was taken as the lowest compound concentration at which observable growth was inhibited. Minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) was determined in a sample taken from each test tube in which no growth was observed in the MIC assay. A loopful (10 μ L) of the tested sample was transferred to Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA, BD Difco) (or Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (Sigma-Aldrich) for *C. albicans*) and incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. MBC was taken as the lowest concentration of tested compound that resulted in more than 99.9% reduction of the initial inoculum. Solutions of compounds were made fresh on the day of the assay. All experiments were performed in triplicate. The reference strains were stored at -80 °C in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB, Oxoid, England) supplemented with 15% glycerol.

3.3. Computational Studies

3.3.1. Preparation of Ligands and Proteins for Modeling

Crystal structures of the enzyme–DNA complexes were obtained from the Protein Data Bank [84]. In the study, the following proteins were used: caspase-3 from *Homo sapiens* (PDB code 2xyp) [72], caspase-9 from *H. sapiens* (PDB code 2ar9) [73], tubulin from *Ovis aries* (PDB code 5eyp) [74], penicillin-binding protein 4 from *E. coli* (PDB code 2ex8) [75], and β -lactamase from *E. coli* (PDB code 1fqg) [76]. The proteins were prepared using MAKE RECEPTOR software [85–87]. The pocket around the ligand bound in the crystal structure was generated automatically and was not adjusted, which resulted in grid boxes of various sizes (specifically 4946, 2022, 6206, 4292, and 4491 Å for 2xyp, 2ar9, 5eyp, 2ex8, and 1fqg, respectively). A slow and effective "Molecular" method was used for "Cavity detection," i.e., detection of binding sites. Outer and inner contours of the grid box were also calculated automatically using the "Balanced" settings for the "Site Shape Potential" calculation, which once more resulted in different outer contour sizes depending on the bound ligand, specifically 1645, 1219, 1339, 1562, and 1520 Å, respectively. The inner contours were disabled. No constraints for docking calculations were used.

The structures of compounds were prepared in SMILES notation. A library of conformers was generated with the OMEGA default settings, which resulted in a maximum of 200 conformers per ligand [87,88].

3.3.2. Molecular Docking

The compounds were docked using the FRED algorithm [85,86]. The docking resolution was set to high while the other settings were set as default. Ten docking solutions were inspected visually and the best-ranked HYBRID-calculated conformations were used for analysis and representation. The docking protocols were validated by re-docking the co-crystallized ligands with RMSD values below 2 Å for each binding pocket.

3.3.3. ADME/Drug-Likeness Calculation

The physicochemical, pharmacokinetic, and drug-likeness properties of compounds **2l**, **2x**, and **5a–d** were predicted using the SwissADME web tool and PreADMET server, which are available online [89,90].

4. Conclusions

A series of 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles **2a**–**x** and their derivatives **3**, **4a**–**c**, **5a**–**d**, **6a**–**b**, and **7** were synthesized and evaluated in terms of their anticancer and antimicrobial properties.

Analysis of the structure–activity relationship for the antiproliferative activity of all of the prepared compounds against a panel of approximately 60 human cancer cell lines revealed that heterocyclic replacement of the aromatic ring at position 3 of the acrylonitrile moiety led to a strong decrease in activity. The acrylonitrile moiety was required for cell growth inhibition because either replacing this moiety with an imino-acetonitrile group or its saturation yielded compounds with poor or lacking activity. Otherwise, the introduction of a methyl group at position 1 of the 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitrile scaffold improved antitumor potency. The 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles **21** and **5a**–**d** were the most potent of all tested derivatives, exhibiting significant activity against the tumor cell lines investigated (full panel GI₅₀ MD-MIG = 0.38–7.91 μ M). Particularly, compound **5c** bearing a methyl group at position 1 of the indole ring and a 4-(dimethylamino)phenyl group at position 3 of the acrylonitrile moiety demonstrated optimal properties (full panel GI₅₀ MD-MIG = 0.38 μ M and TGI = 0.0866–5.06 μ M against 13 cell lines of different cancer subpanels) and therefore may serve as a useful scaffold for further development of more potent antitumor agents.

Moreover, 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles **2a–c** and **2e–x** were tested against Grampositive and Gram-negative bacterial strains, namely *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus* *epidermi*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, as well as fungal species *Candida albicans*. The majority of the tested 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-acrylonitriles were found to be rather inactive; however, compounds **2i**, **2q**, **2s**, and **2x** showed promising potential against some bacterial species. An interesting compound is 2-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)-3-(1*H*-pyrrol-2-yl)acrylonitrile (**2x**), which exhibited relatively high antibacterial activity against all Gram-positive bacteria tested (MIC = 8–32 µg/mL, MBC = 32 µg/mL). Furthermore, it was the only compound among those tested that showed activity against Gram-negative bacteria, e.g., *E. coli* (MIC = MBC = 32 µg/mL). The analogue **2x** also effectively inhibited the growth of various strains of *S. aureus* isolated from clinical specimens (MIC values of 16 µg/mL). In addition, derivative **2x** was found to have pronounced antifungal activity against *C. albicans* (MIC = 4 µg/mL, MFC = 8 µg/mL). Based on these findings, compound **2x** seems to be leading compound for further development as an antimicrobial agent.

The results of the docking studies suggested that, like other heteroaryl-acrylonitriles, the obtained compounds may exert their cancer cell growth inhibitory effects through interaction with tubulin in the colchicine-binding site and/or apoptotic caspase-3 as well as caspase-9, whereas their antibacterial activity may be related to interaction with PBP4 and/or β -lactamase. However, it is too early to speculate on the mode of action of these indole-acrylonitriles. For example, a number of mechanisms could be responsible for their antitumor effects, e.g., specific interaction with cellular receptors and enzymes or 1,4-nucleophilic addition of thiol to the acrylonitrile double bond [49,50]. As Michael acceptors, acrylonitriles react in vitro or in vivo with sulfur-containing nucleophiles such as glutathione (GSH) and proteins. It is worth noting that acrylonitrile-based Michael acceptors activated by aryl or heteroaryl electron-withdrawing groups have been reported as reversible, cysteine-targeted kinase inhibitors [91]. It is well known that such covalent, electrophilic "warheads" targeting cysteine residues constitute a promising approach in drug development [92]. Therefore, it remains a challenge to explore in depth the mode of action and the pharmacodynamic features of these compounds, which will be the next goal of this project.

According to the predicted ADME/drug-likeness properties, the most active compounds **2l**, **2x**, and **5a–d** were shown to be drug-likeness molecules.

Supplementary Materials: CCDC 2130049 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving. html (or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: +44-1223-336033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk) (accessed on 1 January 2023). The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ph16070918/s1, Table S1: FRED Chemgauss4 scores of the docked ligands 1, 2a-x, 3, 4a-c, 5a-d, 6a-b, and 7; Table S2: Two-dimensional diagrams of interactions created by compounds 2a and 5a-d in the active site of caspase-3 (2xyp) generated by BIOVA Discovery Studio Visualizer and Pose View; Table S3: Two-dimensional diagrams of interactions created by compounds 2l and 5a–d in the active site of caspase-9 (2ar9) generated by BIOVA Discovery Studio Visualizer and Pose View; Table S4: Two-dimensional diagrams of interactions created by compounds 2l and 5a-d in the active site of tubulin (5eyp) generated by BIOVA Discovery Studio Visualizer and Pose View; Table S5: Two-dimensional diagrams of interactions created by compound 2x in the active site of penicillin-binding protein 4 (2ex8) generated by BIOVA Discovery Studio Visualizer and Pose View; Table S6. Two-dimensional diagrams of interactions created by compound 2x in the active site of β-lactamase (1fqg) generated by BIOVA Discovery Studio Visualizer and Pose View; Table S7: Predicted physicochemical, pharmacokinetic, and drug-likeness properties of compounds 21, 2x, and 5a-d; Table S8: Predicted human intestinal absorption, Caco-2 cell and MDCK cell permeabilities for compounds 2l, 2x, and 5a-d.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.K.; methodology, A.K., K.G. (Katarzyna Garbacz) and M.G.; software, J.F. and J.K.; validation, A.K., K.G. (Katarzyna Garbacz) and K.G. (Karol Gzella); formal analysis, A.K., K.G. (Katarzyna Garbacz), M.G. and Ł.B.; investigation, A.K., K.G. (Karol Gzella), M.J., M.G., J.F., J.K. and A.O.; writing—original draft preparation, A.K., M.G., J.F. and Ł.B.; writing—review and editing, A.K. and K.G. (Katarzyna Garbacz); visualization, A.K., M.G., J.F., Ł.B.

and J.K.; supervision, A.K.; project administration, A.K.; funding acquisition, A.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was founded by the Statutory Activity of the Medical University of Gdansk (ST 01-50023/0004931/01/513/513/0/2023).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and Supplementary Materials.

Acknowledgments: NMR spectra were partially acquired at The Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy Laboratory, Collegium Medicum, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland. X-ray structure analysis was carried out in The Structural Research Laboratory, Faculty of Chemistry, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland. The research in this work was supported by Gdańsk University of Technology (DEC-2/2021/IDUB/V.6/Si grant under the SILICIUM—'Excellence Initiative—Research University' program) (A.O.). The authors are grateful to Joel Morris, Drug Synthesis and Chemistry Branch (DSCB), US National Cancer Institute (Bethesda, MD, USA), for the in vitro screening. We thank OpenEye Scientific Software, Santa Fe, NM., for free academic licenses for the use of their software issued to Jarosław Sączewski.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Kumar, S.; Ritika. A brief review of the biological potential of indole derivatives. Future J. Pharm. Sci. 2020, 6, 121. [CrossRef]
- Sravanthi, T.V.; Manju, S.L. Indoles—A promising scaffold for drug development. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 2016, 91, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Chadha, N.; Silakari, O. Indoles as therapeutics of interest in medicinal chemistry: Bird's eye view. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, *134*, 159–184. [CrossRef]
- Kumaria, A.; Singh, R.K. Medicinal chemistry of indole derivatives: Current to future therapeutic prospectives. *Bioorg. Chem.* 2019, *89*, 103021. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, D.; Sharma, S.; Kalra, S.; Singh, G.; Monga, V.; Kumar, B. Medicinal perspective of indole derivatives: Recent developments and structure-activity relationship studies. *Curr. Drug Targets* 2020, *21*, 864–891.
- 6. Ferreira, S.H.; Moncada, S.; Vane, J.R. Indomethacin and aspirin abolish prostaglandin release from the spleen. *Nature* **1971**, 231, 237–239. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Mazzotta, S.; Frattaruolo, L.; Brindisi, M.; Ulivieri, C.; Vanni, F.; Brizzi, A.; Carullo, G.; Cappello, A.R.; Aiello, F. 3-Amino-alkylated indoles: Unexplored green products acting as anti-inflammatory agents. *Future Med. Chem.* **2020**, *12*, 5–17. [CrossRef]
- 8. Jasiewicz, B.; Kozanecka Okupnik, W.; Przygodzki, M.; Warżajtis, B.; Rychlewska, U.; Pospieszny, T.; Mrówczyńska, L. Synthesis, antioxidant and cytoprotective activity evaluation of C-3 substituted indole derivatives. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 15425. [CrossRef]
- Gurer-Orhan, H.; Karaaslan, C.; Ozcan, S.; Firuzi, O.; Tavakkoli, M.; Saso, L.; Suzen, S. Novel indole-based melatonin analogues: Evaluation of antioxidant activity and protective effect against amyloid β-induced damage. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2016, 24, 1658–1664. [CrossRef]
- Kerzare, D.R.K.; Menghani, S.S.; Khedekar, P.B. Synthesis, characterization, antidepressant activity and docking studies of some novel indole bearing azetidinone derivatives. *Drugs* 2018, 52, 110–120. [CrossRef]
- Gras, J.; Llenas, J.; Jansat, J.M.; Jáuregui, J.; Cabarrocas, X.; Palacios, J.M. Almotriptan, a new anti-migraine agent: A review. CNS Drug Rev. 2002, 8, 217–234. [CrossRef]
- 12. Tan, C.; Yang, S.-J.; Zhao, D.-H.; Li, J.; Yin, L.-Q. Antihypertensive activity of indole and indazole analogues: A review. *Arab. J. Chem.* **2022**, *15*, 103756. [CrossRef]
- Muhammad Taha, M.; Alrashedy, A.S.; Almandil, N.B.; Iqbal, N.; Anouar, E.H.; Nawaz, M.; Uddin, N.; Chigurupati, S.; Wadood, A.; Rahim, F.; et al. Synthesis of indole derivatives as diabetics II inhibitors and enzymatic kinetics study of α-glucosidase and α-amylase along with their in-silico study. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2021, *190*, 301–318. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, Y.; Zhao, J.; Luo, L.; Gao, Y.; Bao, H.; Li, P.; Zhang, H. Research progress of indole compounds with potential antidiabetic activity. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2021, 223, 113665. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Dorababu, A. Indole—A promising pharmacophore in recent antiviral drug discovery. *RSC Med. Chem.* **2020**, *11*, 1335–1353. [CrossRef]
- 16. Reddy, G.S.; Manojit Pal, M. Indole derivatives as anti-tubercular agents: An overview on their synthesis and biological activities. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2021**, *28*, 4531–4568. [CrossRef]
- 17. Nieto, M.J.; Lupton, H.K. Indole and indoline scaffolds in antimicrobials: Overview, synthesis and recent advances in antimicrobial research. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2021**, *28*, 4828–4844. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Liu, Y.; Cui, Y.; Lu, L.; Gong, Y.; Han, W.; Piao, G. Natural indole-containing alkaloids and their antibacterial activities. *Arch. Pharm.* **2020**, 353, e2000120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 19. Zhang, Y.; Rosado-Lugo, J.D.; Datta, P.; Sun, Y.; Cao, Y.; Banerjee, A.; Yuan, Y.; Parhi, A.K. Evaluation of a conformationally constrained indole carboxamide as a potential efflux pump inhibitor in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antibiotics* **2022**, *11*, 716. [CrossRef]
- Xuecheng, C.; Liang, H.; Yanpeng, X.; Yurong, Z.; Yue, L.; Yalan, P.; Zhong, C.; Jie, Z.; Zhijian, Y.; Shiqing, H. Development of 2-alkyl-5-((phenylsulfonyl)oxy)-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylate derivatives as potential anti-biofilm agents. *ChemistrySelect* 2023, *8*, e202204226. [CrossRef]
- Yuan, W.; Yu, Z.; Song, W.; Li, Y.; Fang, Z.; Zhu, B.; Li, X.; Wang, H.; Hong, W.; Sun, N. Indole-core-based novel antibacterial agent targeting FtsZ. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 2019, 12, 2283–2296. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Qin, H.-L.; Liu, J.; Fang, W.-Y.; Ravindar, L.; Rakesh, K.P. Indole-based derivatives as potential antibacterial activity against methicillin-resistance *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2020, 194, 112245. [CrossRef]
- Wan, Y.; Li, Y.; Yan, Y.; Yan, M.; Tang, Z. Indole: A privileged scaffold for the design of anti-cancer agents. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2019, 183, 111691. [CrossRef]
- 24. Dadashpour, S.; Emami, S. Indole in the target-based design of anticancer agents: A versatile scaffold with diverse mechanisms. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2018**, 150, 9–29. [CrossRef]
- Mehra, A.; Sharma, V.; Verma, A.; Venugopal, S.; Mittal, A.; Singh, G. Indole derived anticancer agents. *ChemistrySelect* 2022, 7, e202202361. [CrossRef]
- Almagro, L.; Fernández-Pérez, F.; Pedreño, M.A. Indole alkaloids from *Catharanthus roseus*: Bioproductiom and their effect on human health. *Molecules* 2015, 20, 2973–3000. [CrossRef]
- 27. Shirley, M. Dacomitinib: First global approval. Drugs 2018, 78, 1947–1953. [CrossRef]
- Amin, S.A.; Ghosh, K.; Mondal, D.; Jha, T.; Gayen, S. Exploring indole derivatives as myeloid cell leukaemia-1 (Mcl-1) inhibitors with multi-QSAR approach: A novel hope in anti-cancer drug discovery. *New J. Chem.* 2020, 44, 17494–17506. [CrossRef]
- 29. Alnabulsi, S.; Al-Hurani, E.A. Pim kinase inhibitors in cancer: Medicinal chemistry insights into their activity and selectivity. *Drug Discov.* **2020**, 25, 2062–2069. [CrossRef]
- Panathur, N.; Gokhale, N.; Dalimba, U.; Koushik, P.V.; Yogeeswari, P.; Dharmarajan, S. New indole–isoxazolone derivatives: Synthesis, characterisation and in vitro SIRT1 inhibition studies. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2015, 25, 2768–2772. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xie, F.; Kniess, T.; Neuber, C.; Deuther-Conrad, W.; Mamat, C.; Lieberman, B.P.; Liu, B.; Mach, R.H.; Brust, P.; Steinbach, J.; et al. Novel indole-based sigma-2 receptor ligands: Synthesis, structure-affinity relationship and antiproliferative activity. *Med. Chem. Commun.* 2015, *6*, 1093–1103. [CrossRef]
- 32. Atadja, P. Development of the pan-DAC inhibitor panobinostat (LBH589): Successes and challenges. *Cancer Lett.* 2009, 280, 233–241. [CrossRef]
- 33. Li, A.-L.; Hao, Y.; Wang, W.-Y.; Liu, Q.-S.; Sun, Y.; Gu, W. Design, synthesis, and anticancer evaluation of novel indole derivatives of ursolic acid as potential topoisomerase II inhibitors. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 2876. [CrossRef]
- 34. Tan, O.U.; Zengin, M. Insights into the chemistry and therapeutic potential of acrylonitrile derivatives. *Arch. Pharm.* 2022, 355, e2100383.
- Sivaramakarthikeyan, R.; Iniyaval, S.; Saravanan, V.; Lim, W.-M.; Mai, C.-W.; Ramalingan, C. Molecular hybrids integrated with benzimidazole and pyrazole structural motifs: Design, synthesis, biological evaluation, and molecular docking studies. ACS Omega 2020, 209, 10089–10098. [CrossRef]
- 36. Ahamed, J.I.; Priya, M.; Vinothkumar, P.; Sathyamoorthy, K.; MuraliManohar, P.; Liu, J.; Valan, M.F. A combined experimental and DFT computations study of novel (*E*)-3-(benzofuran-2-yl)-2-(thiophen-2-yl)acrylonitrile(TACNBNF): Insight into the synthesis, single crystal XRD, NMR, vibrational spectral analysis, in vitro antioxidant and in silico molecular docking investigation with human peroxiredoxin 5 protein. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2020**, *1202*, 127241.
- Solangi, M.; Kanwal; Khan, K.M.; Saleem, F.; Hameed, S.; Iqbal, J.; Shafique, Z.; Qureshi, U.; Ul-Haq, Z.; Taha, M.; et al. Indole acrylonitriles as potential anti-hyperglycemic agents: Synthesis, α-glucosidase inhibitory activity and molecular docking studies. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2020, 28, 115605. [CrossRef]
- Han, Y.-S.; Penthala, N.R.; Oliveira, M.; Mespléde, T.; Xu, H.; Quan, Y.; Crooks, P.A.; Wainberg, M.A. Identification of resveratrol analogs as potent anti-dengue agents using a cell-based assay. J. Med. Virol. 2017, 89, 397–407. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pan, T.; He, X.; Chen, B.; Chen, H.; Geng, G.; Luo, H.; Zhang, H.; Bai, C. Developmnent of benzimidazole derivatives to inhibit HIV-1 replication through protecting APOBEC3G protein. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2015, 95, 500–513. [CrossRef]
- Sharma, K.; Shrivastava, A.; Mehra, R.N.; Deora, G.S.; Alam, M.M.; Zaman, M.S.; Akhter, M. Synthesis of novel benzimidazole acrylonitriles for inhibition of *Plasmodium falciparum* growth by dual target inhibition. *Arch. Pharm. Chem. Life Sci.* 2017, 351, e1700251. [CrossRef]
- 41. Sirim, M.M.; Krishna, V.S.; Sriram, D.; Tan, O.U. Novel benzimidazole-acrylonitrile hybrids and their derivatives: Design, synthesis and antimycobacterial activity. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2020**, *188*, 112010. [CrossRef]
- 42. De-la-Torre, P.; Saavedra, L.A.; Caballero, J.; Quiroga, J.; Alzate-Morales, J.H.; Cabrera, M.G.; Trilleras, J. A novel class of selective acetylcholinesterase inhibitors: Synthesis and evaluation of (*E*)-2-(benzo[*d*]thiazol-2-yl)-3-heteroarylacrylonitriles. *Molecules* **2012**, 17, 12072–12085. [CrossRef]
- De-la-Torre, P.; Treuer, A.V.; Gutierrez, M.; Poblete, H.; Alzate-Morales, J.H.; Trilleras, J.; Astudillo-Saavedra, L.; Caballero, J. Synthesis and in silico analysis of the quantitative structure-activity relationship of heteroaryl-acrylonitriles as AChE inhibitors. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* 2016, 59, 45–60. [CrossRef]

- AlNeyadi, S.; Salem, A.A.; Ghattas, M.A.; Atatrech, N.; Abdou, I. Antibacterial activity and mechanism of action of the benzazole acrylonitrile-based compounds: In vitro, spectroscopic, and docking studies. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2017, 136, 270–282. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Perin, N.; Hok, L.; Beč, A.; Vanstreels, E.; Daelemans, D.; Vianello, R.; Hranjec, M. N-substituted benzimidazole acrylonitryles as in vitro tubulin polymerization inhibitors: Synthesis, biological activity and computational analysis. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2021, 211, 113003. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Beč, A.; Hok, L.; Persoons, L.; Vanstreels, E.; Daelemans, D.; Vianello, R.; Hranjec, M. Synthesis, computational analysis, and antiproliferative activity of novel benzimidazole acrylonitriles as tubulin polymerization inhibitors: Part 2. *Pharmaceuticals* 2021, 14, 1052. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Riu, F.; Sanna, L.; Ibba, R.; Piras, S.; Bordono, V.; Scorciapino, M.A.; Lai, M.; Sestito, S.; Bagella, L.; Carta, A. A comprehensive assessment of a new series of 5',6'-difluorobenzotriazole-acrylonitrile derivatives as mictrotubule targeting agents (MTAs). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2021, 222, 113590. [CrossRef]
- Briguglio, I.; Laurini, E.; Pirisi, M.A.; Piras, S.; Corona, P.; Fermeglia, M.; Pricl, S.; Carta, A. Triazolopyridinyl-acrylonitrile derivatives as antimicrotubule agents: Synthesis, in vitro and in silico characterization of antiproliferative activity, inhibition of tubulin polymerization and binding thermodynamics. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2017, 141, 460–472. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hranjec, M.; Pavlović, G.; Marjanović, M.; Kralj, M.; Kaminska-Zamola, G. Benzimidazole derivatives related to 2,3-acrylonitriles, benzimidazo[1,2-a]quinolines and fluorenes: Synthesis, antitumor evaluation in vitro and crystal structure determination. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2010, 45, 2405–2417. [CrossRef]
- 50. Sączewski, F.; Reszka, P.; Gdaniec, M.; Grünert, R.; Bednarski, P.J. Synthesis, X-ray crystal structures, stabilities, an in vitro cytotoxic activities of new heteroarylacrylonitriles. *J. Med. Chem.* 2004, 47, 3438–3449. [CrossRef]
- 51. Oberhuber, N.; Ghosh, H.; Nitzsche, B.; Dandawate, P.; Höpfner, M.; Schobert, R.; Biersack, B. Synthesis and anticancer evaluation of new indole-based tyrphostin derivatives and their (*p*-cymene)dichloridoruthenium(II) complexes. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 854. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Tcherniuk, S.; Skoufias, D.A.; Labriere, C.; Rath, O.; Gueritte, F.; Guillou, C.; Kozielski, F. Relocation of Aurora B and surviving from centromeres to the central spindle impaired by a kinesin-specific MKLP-2 inhibitor. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 8228–8231. [CrossRef]
- 53. Labriere, C.; Talapatra, S.K.; Thoret, S.; Bougeret, C.; Kozielski, F.; Guillou, C. New MKLP-2 inhibitors in the paprotrain series: Design, synthesis and biological evaluations. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *24*, 721–734. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sączewski, F.; Stencel, A.; Bieńczak, A.M.; Langowska, K.A.; Michaelis, M.; Werel, W.; Hałasa, R.; Reszka, P.; Bednarski, P.J. Structure-activity relationships of novel heteroaryl-acrylonitriles as cytotoxic and antibacterial agents. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2008, 43, 1847–1857. [CrossRef]
- 55. Baciocchi, E.; Muraglia, E.; Sleiter, G. Homolytic substitution reactions of electron-rich pentatomic heteroaromatics by electrophilic carbon-centered radicals. Synthesis of α-heteroarylacetic acids. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 6817–6820. [CrossRef]
- 56. Jiang, Y.; Wang, B.; Liu, D.; Xia, D.; Liu, Z.; Li, L.; Deng, G.; Yang, X. Aryl acrylonitriles synthesis enable by palladium-catalyzed α-alkenylation of arylacetonitriles with vinyl halides/triflates. *Front. Chem.* **2022**, *10*, 1091566. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Treuer, A.V.; De-La-Torre, P.; Gutiérrez, M.I. Synthesis of new (E)-2-(1H-indole-3-ylcarbonyl)-3-heteroaryl-acrylonitriles via microwave-assisted Knoevenagel condensation. J. Chem. 2017, 2017, 8418930. [CrossRef]
- 58. Schaller, E.; Ma, A.; Gosch, C.L.; Klefenz, A.; Schaller, D.; Goehringer, N.; Kaps, L.; Schuppan, D.; Volkamer, A.; Schobert, R.; et al. New 3-aryl-2-(2-thienyl)acrylonitriles with high activity against hepatoma cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 2243. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ying, A.; Wang, L.; Qiu, F.; Hu, H.; Yang, J. Magnetic nanoparticle supported amine: An efficient and environmental benign catalyst for versatile Knoevenagel condensation under ultrasound irradiation. *Comptes Rendus Chim.* 2015, 18, 223–232. [CrossRef]
- 60. Wilkens, J.; Kühling, A.; Blechert, S. Hetero-Cope rearrangements-VI. Short and stereoselective synthesis of 2-vinylindoles by a tandem process. *Tetrahedron* **1987**, *43*, 3237–3246. [CrossRef]
- 61. Ying, A.; Qiu, F.; Wu, C.; Hu, H.; Yang, J. Ionic tagged amine supported on magnetic nanoparticles: Synthesis and application for versatile catalytic Knoevenagel condensation in water. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 33175–33183. [CrossRef]
- 62. Ying, A.; Ni, Y.; Xu, S.; Liu, S.; Yang, J.; Li, R. Novel DABCO based ionic liquids: Green and efficient catalysts with dual catalytic roles for aqueous Knoevenagel condensation. *Int. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *53*, 5678–5682. [CrossRef]
- 63. Gao, X.; Gao, C.; Gao, R. Knoevenagel condensation reaction using ionic liquid [ADPQ][CF₃SO₃] as green and reusable catalyst. *Asian J. Chem.* **2015**, *27*, 2145–2148. [CrossRef]
- 64. Grever, M.R.; Schepartz, S.A.; Chabner, B.A. The National Cancer Institute: Cancer drug discovery and development program. *Semin. Oncol.* **1992**, *19*, 622–638. [PubMed]
- 65. Boyd, M.R.; Paull, K.D. Some practical considerations and applications of the National Cancer Institute in vitro anticancer drug discovery screen. *Drug Dev. Res.* **1995**, *34*, 91–109. [CrossRef]
- 66. Shoemaker, R.H. The NCI60 human tumour cell line anticancer drug screen. Nat. Rev. 2006, 6, 813-823. [CrossRef]
- 67. NCI-60 Screening Methodology. Available online: https://dtp.cancer.gov/discovery_development/nci-60/methodology.htm (accessed on 27 December 2022).

- Horváth, A.; Dobay, O.; Sahin-Tóth, J.; Juhász, E.; Pongrácz, J.; Iván, M.; Fazakas, E.; Kristóf, K. Characterisation of antibiotic resistance, virulence, clonality and mortality in MRSA and MSSA bloodstream infections at a tertiary-level hospital in Hungary: A 6 year retrospective study. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 2020, *19*, 17. [CrossRef]
- 69. McLoughlin, E.C.; O'Boyle, N.M. Colchicine-binding site inhibitors from chemistry to clinic: A review. *Pharmaceuticals* **2020**, *13*, 8. [CrossRef]
- 70. Sun, K.; Sun, Z.; Zhao, F.; Shan, G.; Meng, Q. Recent advances in research of colchicine binding site inhibitors and their interaction modes with tubulin. *Future Med. Chem.* **2021**, *13*, 839–858. [CrossRef]
- 71. Carneiro, B.A.; El-Deiry, W.S. Targeting apoptosis in cancer therapy. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2020, 17, 395–417. [CrossRef]
- Ganesan, R.; Jelakovic, S.; Mittl, P.R.E.; Caflisch, A.; Grutter, M.G. In silico identification and crystal structure validation of caspase-3 inhibitors without a P1 aspartic acid moiety. *Acta Cryst.* 2011, *F67*, 842–850.
- Chao, Y.; Shiozaki, E.N.; Srinivasula, S.M.; Rigotti, D.J.; Fairman, R.; Shi, Y. Engineering a dimeric caspase-9: A re-evaluation of the induced proximity model for caspase activation. *PLoS Biol.* 2005, *3*, e183. [CrossRef]
- 74. Ahmad, S.; Pecqueur, L.; Dreie, B.; Hamdane, H.; Aumont-Nicaise, L.; Plückthun, A.; Knossow, M.; Gigant, B. Destabilizing an interacting motif strengthens the association of adesigned ankyrin repeat protein with tubulin. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 28922. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Kishida, H.; Unzai, S.; Roper, D.I.I.; Lloyd, A.; Park, S.-Y.; Tame, J.R.H. Crystal Structure of Penicillin Binding Protein 4 (dacB) from *Escherichia coli*, both in the Native Form and Covalently Linked to Various Antibiotics. *Biochemistry* 2006, 45, 783–792. [CrossRef]
- 76. Strynadka, N.C.J.; Adachi, H.; Jensen, S.E.; Johns, K.; Sielecki, A.; Betzel, C.; Sutoh, K.; James, M.N.G. Molecular structure of the acyl-enzyme intermediate in β-lactam hydrolysis at 1.7 Å resolution. *Nature* **1992**, 359, 700–705. [CrossRef]
- 77. Daina, A.; Michielin, O.; Zoete, V. SwissADME: A free web tool to evaluate pharmacokinetics, drug-likeness and medicinal chemistry friendliness of small molecules. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, *7*, 42717. [CrossRef]
- 78. Lipinski, C.A.; Lombardo, F.; Dominy, B.W.; Feeney, P.J. Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2012**, *64*, 4–17. [CrossRef]
- 79. Rigaku Oxford Diffraction. CrysAlisPro Software System; Version 1.171.38.46; Rigaku Corporation: Oxford, UK, 2015.
- 80. Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXT-Integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination. *Acta Cryst.* **2015**, *A71*, 3–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 81. Sheldrick, G.M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Cryst. 2015, C71, 3-8.
- 82. Dolomanov, O.V.; Bourhis, L.J.; Gildea, R.J.; Howard, J.A.K.; Puschmann, H. OLEX2: A complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program. *J. Appl. Cryst.* 2009, 42, 339–341. [CrossRef]
- 83. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing;* Twenty-Second Informational Supplement; Document M100-S22; Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute: Wayne, PA, USA, 2017.
- 84. RCBS Protein Data Bank. Available online: https://www.rcsb.org/ (accessed on 3 April 2023).
- 85. *Release* 4.1.2.2; OpenEye Scientific Software, Inc.: Santa Fe, NM, USA; Available online: www.eyesopen.com (accessed on 3 April 2023).
- McGann, M.R.; Almond, H.R.; Nicholls, A.; Grant, J.A.; Brown, F.K. Gaussian docking functions. *Biopolymers* 2003, 68, 76–90. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McGaughey, G.B.; Sheridan, R.P.; Bayly, C.I.; Culberson, J.C.; Kreatsoulas, C.; Lindsley, S.; Maiorov, V.; Truchon, J.-F.; Cornell, W.D. Comparisan of topological, shape, and docking methods in virtual screening. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 2007, 47, 1504–1519. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 88. *Release* 4.2.0.1; OpenEye Scientific Software, Inc.: Santa Fe, NM, USA; Available online: www.eyesopen.com (accessed on 3 April 2023).
- SwissADME: A Free Web Tool to Compute Physicochemical Descriptors as Well as to Predict ADME Parameters, Pharmacokinetic Properties, Druglike Nature and Medicinal Chemistry Friendliness of One or Multiple Small Molecules to Support Drug Discovery. Available online: http://www.swissadme.ch (accessed on 16 February 2023).
- 90. PreADMET: A Free Web Server. Available online: http://preadmet.bmdrc.kr (accessed on 1 June 2023).
- 91. Krishnan, S.; Miller, R.M.; Tian, B.; Mullins, R.D.; Jacobson, M.P.; Taunton, J. Design of reversible, cysteine-targeted Michael acceptors guided by kinetic and computational analysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 12624–12630. [CrossRef]
- 92. Huang, F.; Han, X.; Xiao, X.; Zhou, J. Covalent warheads targeting cysteine residue: The promising approach in drug development. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 7728. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.