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#### Abstract

The use of p53-MDM2 inhibitors is a prospective strategy in anti-cancer therapy for tumors expressing wild type p53 protein. In this study, we have applied a simple approach of two-step synthesis of imidazoline-based alkoxyaryl compounds, which are able to efficiently inhibit p53-MDM2 protein-protein interactions, promote accumulation of p53 and p53-inducible proteins in various cancer cell lines. Compounds $\mathbf{2 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 k}$ cause significant upregulation of p53 and p53-inducible proteins in five human cancer cell lines, one of which possesses overexpression of MDM2.
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## 1. Introduction

p53 is a "guardian of genome", which is important for maintenance of genomic stability in normal and pathological conditions. This multidomain protein is a transcription factor, which plays a pivotal role in regulation of programmed cell death (PCD), the cell cycle and cellular responses to various stresses [1]. TP53 is one of the most frequently mutated genes in cancer tissues. The majority of its mutations lead to the loss of oncosuppressive functions of wild-type p53 protein. Moreover, these mutations commonly cause tumor progression and chemoresistance $[2,3]$. The MDM2 (mouse double-minute 2) protein is a negative regulator of p 53 , which promotes proteasome-dependent degradation of the last thorough E3 ubiquitin ligase activity. Additionally, MDM2 can inhibit p53 via physical occlusion and activation of its nuclear export [4].

The chemical compounds, which are able to block p53-MDM2 protein-protein interaction (PPI) and induce p53 activation, have a high potential for the treatment of different tumors expressing wild-type p53 [5,6]. An accumulation of p53 leads to the cell cycle arrest, the PCD activation and an initiation of DNA repair [7]. Nutlins, cis-substituted imidazolines, were first reported as the potent and specific small molecule inhibitors of p53-MDM2 PPI [8,9]. Nowadays, after the optimization of their structure, two compounds, RG7112 and RG7388, are being evaluated in several clinical trials (NCT00623870, NCT02670044, NCT02407080) [10-12].

Notably, a specific feature of the p53-MDM2 PPI is the high hydrophobicity of the binding surface. Hydrophobic molecules, which are able to destroy this PPI, are hard to use in clinical applications due to undesirable pharmacological properties [13-15]. The core of nutlins is highly hydrophobic so the whole molecules are insoluble in water. In addition, cis-imidazoline core is able to oxidize to simple aromatic imidazole that leads
to loss of activity, and methoxy groups in the Nutlin-3a are able to hydrolyze during metabolism [10]. These drawbacks were remarkably solved in the design of RG7112 molecule [11]. Nevertheless, the core has remained without significant changes in haloaryl substituents that occur due to the reluctance to change the active center of the molecule, because para-chlorophenyl substituents perfectly occupy the pockets of Leu26 and Trp23 and the synthesis of other vicinal diamines as precursors of imidazoline core is complicated.

Previously, we have shown that the replacement of halogen in the imidazoline core with a methoxy group significantly improved its water solubility and novel compounds were also able to increase the level of p53 [16]. The most promising cores among alkoxyaryl compounds were the 2,4-dimethoxyphenyl-based derivatives, although the effect of p53 stabilization remained rather weak [17]. Herein, we propose a novel modification of previously found imidazolines in order to increase their biological effect using the 2,4,5tris(alkoxyphenyl)imidazoline core. The synthesis of 2,4,5-trimethoxyphenylimidazolines in one step from the corresponding arylaldehydes makes this approach attractive for the synthetic strategy and atom economy.

## 2. Results

### 2.1. Chemical Synthesis

There are two principal approaches to synthesize nutlin derivatives. A starting compound in both approaches is a vicinal diamine with the required erythro-configuration. In the case of nutlin-3a, it was obtained using the previously described method via the interaction of aldehydes with a source of ammonia [18]. In the case of the analogue RG7112 [10], the starting diamine was a butane derivative (Figure 1). Further, the first approach assumes the ring closure with the formation of an imidazoline ring followed by modification at the nitrogen atom. The second, in turn, is based on the selective modification of amino groups with substituents followed by cyclization of the obtained diamides. Both approaches involve different substituents at the positions of the imidazoline core but possess a low overall yield. For example, the original creation of RG7112 involves the synthesis of the imidazoline core from diamine with $18 \%$ yield. Scaling up the synthesis of the imidazoline core from diamines and the corresponding benzoic acid derivative were presented in [19].

A fundamentally different synthetic approach, described by us earlier [16,17,20], involved the direct preparation of the cis-imidazoline core from aldehydes by their reaction with ammonia in the appropriate solvents. This method allowed us to obtain diazapentadiene 5 (Figure 1D) followed by disrotatory closure in the presence of a base that led to a synthesis of the imidazoline core 6 . The reaction yields at this stage reach up to $95 \%$. The unreacted aldehyde can be regenerated. Despite the fact that this approach makes it possible to obtain only imidazolines with the same substituents, these compounds have been shown to retain the ability of nutlins to inhibit the p53-MDM2 interaction and can serve as their cheap analogs for further modification.

Previously, we synthesized a series of cis-imidazolines and determined the most active of them, the 2,4-dimethoxyphenyl derivative. The modification of the nitrogen atom by sulfonic derivatives has been carried out [16]. Here, we performed the further modification of imidazoline derivatives at the nitrogen atom using triphosgene and appropriate amines with good yields (Figure 1D, Table 1) [21,22]. To compare both synthetic features and biological activity, we synthesized not only 2,4-dimethoxyphenyl derivatives, but also a number of other alkoxy and halogen derivatives.

B. Principal synthesis approaches of nutlin derivatives.

$R_{1}=H$ or $\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ar}_{1}$ predominantly halogenphenyl substitutes, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2}$ alkoxy/alkylphenyl substitutes, $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ aliphatic substituents



Figure 1. (A). The structures of novel p53-MDM2 interaction inhibitors $\mathbf{2 l}$ and $\mathbf{2 k}$ and known reference molecules Nutlin-3a (1) and RG7112 (3). (B). The principal synthesis approaches for nutlin derivatives. (C). The described approach for the synthesis of RG7112 [10]. (D). The developed approach.

Table 1. Synthesized 2,4,5-triaryl cis-imidazoline derivatives.


| ID | R1 | R2 | Yield, \% | ID | R1 | R2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 37 | 20 |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. Cont.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | R1 | R2 | Yield, \% | ID | R1 | R2 | Yield, \% |
| 2b |  |  | 40 | 2p |  |  | 14 |
| 2c |  |  | 42 | 2 q |  |  | 30 |
| 2d |  |  | 75 | 2 r |  |  | 40 |
| 2e |  | ! | 40 | 2s |  |  | 35 |
| 2 f |  |  | 41 | 2 t |  | ! | 29 |
| 2 g |  |  | 38 | 2 u |  |  | 47 |
| 2h |  |  | 37 | 2v |  |  | 40 |
| 2 i |  | ! | 37 | 2w |  |  | 26 |
| 2 j | $C_{0}$ |  | 39 | 2x |  | + | 37 |

Table 1. Cont.


### 2.2. In Silico Studies

To clarify the binding of cis-imidazolines with amido-substituents to MDM2 protein, molecular docking of its p53 binding site was performed for 2,4-dimethoxyphenyl-based imidazolines $\mathbf{2 i} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{m}$ and 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl-based compound 2n (Table 1, Figure 2 and Supplementary Figures S1-S3). Molecular dynamics simulations were carried out for active compounds $2 \mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{2 l}$ to refine binding modes in a solvating vicinity (water filled box) and to test the stability of the obtained positions. As a result, the selected positions (Figure 2) were assessed as stable for more than 5 ns based on time-dependent convergence of coordinate RMSD (root-mean-square deviation). The binding profile of nutlin-3a to MDM2 protein (PDB 4HG7) was used for all performed computations.

All selected compounds were able to dispose in the p53 binding region of MDM2 with appropriate estimated energies (Figure 2). They occupied the same binding pockets and had roughly the same docking pose as nutlin-3a, but these slight differences allowed them to interact with other amino acid residues, such as Tyr67 or Phe91. This feature gave us an additional hydrogen bond or $\pi-\pi$ stacking for our compounds, whereas for nutlin-3a, this interaction was constrained because of its bulky isopropyl-substituent. On the other hand, bigger substituents in position 1 and in aromatic rings in positions 4,5 (e.g., -OMe in 21 compared to -Cl in nutlin-3a) could attenuate an important interaction with His96, increasing the distance between the stacking aromatic systems. Thus, 21 interacted with His96 through a hydrogen bond with a structural water molecule. Alkoxyaryl groups in the external part of the site allowed solvent interactions, while internal methoxyphenyl groups provided hydrophobic interactions (e.g., with Val53, Phe55 and Tyr56). Moreover, different methoxy groups and the nitrogen atom in position 3 of imidazoline ring participated in
numerous hydrogen bonds with structural water that was a significant advantage of the proposed scaffold compared to nutlin-3a.

The docking poses of $\mathbf{2 k}$ and $\mathbf{2 l}$ (Figure 2) are based on an overall great geometric resemblance due to their structural similarity. Compound 21 demonstrated weaker interactions with His96 and Tyr67 at distances, which could not be considered as a full $\pi-\pi$ or hydrogen bond. Probably, the methyl substituent in 21 was too bulky for the corresponding hydrophobic pocket near Tyr67. This feature might result in some instability of the binding site, altering the interactions of the derivate with MDM2 and slightly changing the biological activity.


Figure 2. Docked poses for $\mathbf{2 k}(\mathbf{A})$ and 21 (B) (green), in p53 binding site of MDM2 protein, in comparison to nutlin-3a (grey). Ligand-protein interaction map for $\mathbf{2 k}$ (C) and $\mathbf{2 1}$ (D) in p53 binding site of MDM2 protein.

### 2.3. Biology

To analyze the biological activity of the synthesized compounds, we have tested the ability of these molecules to stabilize p53 and induce expression of p53-dependent genes $-C D K N 1 A$ and BBC3 encoding p21 and Puma (p53 upregulated modulator of apoptosis), correspondently [23,24]. p21, a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, plays an important role in the cell cycle arrest and protects cells from different stressful stimuli including DNA damage [25]. Moreover, p21 takes part in regulation of apoptosis, a form of PCD. Puma, a pro-apoptotic member of the Bcl-2 family proteins, is able to inhibit anti-apoptotic proteins
of this family that result in apoptosis activation via intrinsic pathway [24,26]. Importantly, Nutlin-3 interrupts p53-MDM2 PPI and leads to stabilization of p53 protein that, in turn, causes an increase in p21 and Puma levels.

We have selected 22 compounds, whose structures were delineated in Table 1, for screening of biological activity in colon carcinoma cell line RKO expressing wild-type p53 [27]. A series of derivatives containing the 2,4-dimethoxyphenyl fragment was selected for screening due to previously shown promising results [17]. Other compounds from this group were chosen to assess the structure-property relationship.

RKO cells were treated with these compounds in the concentrations of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ since earlier we had found that it was the most efficient concentration for the alkoxy-derivates developed by us [17]. After 24 h incubation, p53 levels in the treated cells were estimated using Western blot (WB). Among all the compounds (Figure 3), two derivatives ( $\mathbf{2 l}$ and $\mathbf{2 k}$ ) have demonstrated promising results. Their administration led to 4.82-(21) and 5.12-fold (2k) increase in p53 compared to non-treated cells (Figure 3). These compounds were selected for further investigation. According to the MTT-test, $\mathbf{2 l}$ and $\mathbf{2 k}$ were comparable to Nutlin-3a IC50 [28] (Supplementary Table S1).


Figure 3. Western Blot analysis of total cellular lysates from RKO cells upon treatment with compounds: $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{2 f}), \mathrm{B}(\mathbf{2 n}, \mathbf{2} \mathbf{o}, 2 \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{2 r}, \mathbf{2 t}), \mathrm{C}(\mathbf{2 u}-\mathbf{2 w}), \mathrm{D}(\mathbf{2 i}-\mathbf{2 l}), \mathrm{E}(\mathbf{2 h}, \mathbf{2 m}, \mathbf{2 x}, \mathbf{2 z})$. GAPDH was used as a loading control. The concentrations of all derivatives- $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$. PARP-poly (ADP-ribose)-polymerase; GAPDH—glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; p53/GAPDH—densitometric analysis of p53 bands normalized to GAPDH, h—hours.

Next, we have analyzed the biological activity of 21 and $\mathbf{2 k}(20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in RKO cells compared to Nutlin-3a $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ [29] and RG7388 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), which were used at micromolar concentrations as the positive controls of p53-MDM2 inhibition.

We have found that $\mathbf{2 l}$ and $\mathbf{2 k}$ were able to effectively stimulate an increase in p53 and p21 levels in RKO cells. The statistically significant increase in p53 level (up to more than 7) was comparable to Nutlin-3 and RG7388. Moreover, $\mathbf{2 k}$ caused the significant accumulation of p21 (Figure 4A,B).

Furthermore, we have tested lower concentrations of $2 \mathbf{l}$ and $\mathbf{2 k}$ in RKO cells. The 21 derivative was able to stabilize p53 level in concentration range $500 \mathrm{nM}-10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ : from $1.31(500 \mathrm{nM})$ to 3.8 -fold $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The treatment with 2 k compound led to effective accumulation of p53 only at 5 and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Both compounds caused a growth of p21 level starting from 500 nM (Figure 5A). Additionally, we have compared these molecules with low concentrations of Nutlin-3a, RG7112 and RG7388. Importantly, RG7112 and RG7388 demonstrated high efficacy from 100 nM , while Nutlin-3a-only up to 500 nM (Figure 5B). Moreover, 500 nM of Nutlin-3a and 21 derivative demonstrated similar efficacy (Figure 5).


Figure 4. (A). Western Blot analysis of total cellular lysates from RKO cells upon the treatment with compounds $\mathbf{2 l}$, $2 \mathbf{k}$ (both- $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), Nutlin-3a ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and RG7388 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). (B)-Densitometric analysis of p53 bands normalized to GAPDH. Data are presented as mean $+/-$ SD from three independent experiments. (C)-The histograms of flow cytometry (FC) analysis data for RKO cells: sub-G1 assay (up), \%-percent of Sub-G1 population and Annexin V-FITC/PI staining (below), \% viable cells-cells negative for both Annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide (PI). Data from $\mathrm{n}=3$ biological replicates are shown as mean $\pm$ s.d., * $p<0.05$, n.s.-not significant. PARP—poly (ADP-ribose)-polymerase; GAPDH—glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; p53/GAPDH—densitometric analysis of p53 bands normalized to GAPDH, h—hours, N—Nutlin-3a (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ), R—RG7388 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).


Figure 5. Western Blot analysis of total cellular lysates from RKO cells upon treatment with 21, 2k (A); Nutlin-3a, RG7112 and RG7388 (B). GAPDH was used as a loading control. Designations: GAPDHglyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; p53/GAPDH and p21/GAPDH-densitometric analysis of p53 or p21 bands normalized to GAPDH.

To evaluate whether synthesized compounds caused cell death, the cleavage of PARP, which is a well-known apoptotic marker, was estimated using WB analysis [30]. Among all tested compounds, only 21 caused a slight accumulation of the cleaved PARP fragment (Figures 3 and 4). To confirm the obtained data, we performed flow cytometric analysis using double-staining with Annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide (PI) which allowed us to evaluate the population of apoptotic and necrotic cells [31]. The cell death assay using Annexin V-FITC/PI staining revealed that only 21 attenuated cell viability to some degree
compared to non-treated RKO cells (Figure 4C). Similar results were demonstrated using another flow cytometric analysis, sub-G1 assay (Figure 4C), in which the percentage of sub-G1 population reflects the number of apoptotic cells [32].

It should be noted that Nutlin-3a ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and RG7388 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) did not decrease cell viability of RKO cells (Figure 4C). However, we decided to assess whether nutlins were able to induce cell death at high concentrations in RKO cells similar to 21 compound. According to WB analysis, RG7112 and RG7388 (both—at $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) led to the pronounced accumulation of cleaved PARP (Supplementary Figure S4A). These data were confirmed by the flow cytometric analysis using Annexin V-FITC/PI staining: RG7388 and RG7112 caused the decrease of cell viability to $73.3 \%$ and $57.5 \%$, respectively (Supplementary Figure S4B).

Next, we tested our compounds in two neuroblastoma cell lines, SK-N-SH and SHSY5Y. Besides the analysis of p53 and p21, we have also evaluated the level of another p53inducible protein, Puma. We have found that both compounds significantly upregulated levels of p53 (up to 4.11-21), p21 (up to 5.47-21) and Puma (up to 1.7-2k) (Figure 6A,B) in SK-N-SH cells. Moreover, we have shown that both derivatives also significantly upregulated levels of p53 (up to 6.83-21), p21 (up to 11.2—2l) and Puma (up to 2.83-21) (Figure 6D,E) in SH-SY5Y cells. According to the sub-G1 assay, $\mathbf{2 l}$ and $\mathbf{2 k}$ did not decrease cell viability in contrast to Nutlin-3a and RG7388 in both cell lines (Figure 6C,F).


Figure 6. Western Blot analysis of total cellular lysates from SK-N-SH (A) and SH-SY5Y (D) cells upon the treatment with compounds 2l, 2k (both-20 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ), Nutlin-3a ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and RG7388 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). (B,E)Densitometric analysis of p53, p21 and Puma bands normalized to GAPDH in SK-N-SH (B) and SH-SY5Y (E) cells. Data are presented as mean $+/-$ SD from three independent experiments. (C,F)The histogram of flow cytometry (FC) analysis data for SK-N-SH cells using sub-G1 assay, \%-percent of Sub-G1 population. Data from $\mathrm{n}=3$ biological replicates are shown as mean $\pm$ s.d., * $p<0.05$, n.s.-not significant. PARP—poly (ADP-ribose)-polymerase; GAPDH—glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; p53/GAPDH, p21/GAPDH and Puma/GAPDH—densitometric analysis of p53P, p21P and Puma bands normalized to GAPDH, h—hours, N—Nutlin-3a (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ), R—RG7388 (5 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ).

Finally, we have analyzed the biological activity of two compounds $\mathbf{2 l}$ and $\mathbf{2 k}$ in osteosarcoma cell line SJSA-1 and prostate cancer line LNCaP. Notably, SJSA-1 is characterized by amplification of the MDM2 gene [33]. The treatment of cells with 21 derivate triggered an increase in p53 level (up to 3.1), p21 (up to 13.7) and Puma (up to 4.22) in both cancer cell lines (Supplementary Figure S5). Despite amplified MDM2 in SJSA-1 cells, 21 was able to increase p53 and its target levels. Taken together, the compound $\mathbf{2 l}$ was more effective than
$\mathbf{2 k}$ and caused the high accumulation of p53, p21 and Puma levels in the studied cancer cell lines expressing wild-type p53.

## 3. Discussion

Thus, we have developed a simple approach for obtaining new p53-MDM2 inhibitors based on 2,4,5-tris(methoxyphenyl)imidazolines. This two-step approach allows us to synthesize the compound 21 in gram scale with a total yield of 55 percent. The binding poses with the active site of MDM2 were shown using the method of molecular modeling. We have found that the treatment with 21 caused the significant upregulation of p53 and p53-inducible proteins in 5 human cancer cell lines. Our results have demonstrated that the nutlin's core could be modified to optimize its water solubility without loss of the biological activity. Moreover, the developed synthetic strategy allowed us to reduce the number of steps and might help to improve the pharmacological properties of nutlins. We have demonstrated that the efficacy of the hit compound 21 was comparable with Nutlin3a in nanomolar concentrations in RKO cells. Moreover, this agent was able to stabilize p53 in SJSA-1 cell line characterized by an amplification of MDM2 gene and subsequent overexpression of the protein. This fact indicated that the compound $\mathbf{2 l}$ possessed essential biological activity. Thus, these agents and the synthetic strategy can be applied to a further design of new water-soluble nutlin family members.

## 4. Materials and Methods

### 4.1. Chemistry

All solvents were used as received without further purification. The reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) carried out on Merck TLC silica gel plates (60 F254), using UV light for visualization and basic aqueous potassium permanganate or iodine fumes as developing agent. Flash column chromatography purifications were carried out using silica gel 60 (particle size $0.040-0.060 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 298 K on Bruker Avance 300 spectrometer with operating frequencies of 400 and 100 MHz , respectively, and calibrated using residual $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(\delta \mathrm{H}=7.26 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\delta \mathrm{C}=77.16 \mathrm{ppm})$ or $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{5}(\delta \mathrm{H}=2.50 \mathrm{ppm})$ and DMSO-d ${ }_{6}(\delta \mathrm{C}=39.52 \mathrm{ppm})$ as internal references. NMR data were presented as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ), multiplicity ( $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublet, $\mathrm{t}=\operatorname{triplet}, \mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br} .=$ broad), coupling constant $(\mathrm{J})$ in Hertz (Hz), integration.

High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured on a Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap instrument using nanoelectrospray ionization (nano-ESI). Aromatic aldehydes were provided by Merk. The starting imidazolines were obtained according to the previously published procedure [17].

### 4.2. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 2a-2aa

An amount of $0.7656 \mathrm{~g}(2.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triphosgene was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and slowly added dropwise to a solution of an imidazoline derivative ( 1 mmol ) and triethylamine $0.975 \mathrm{~mL}(7.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min , then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The solid residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, added dropwise to a solution of amine ( 19.4 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min . The reaction mixture was then washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution followed by water and brine. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using EtOAc/light petroleum ether (1:1) (or EtOAc/light petroleum ether (1:2) for 3,5-dimethoxy derivatives) as eluent.

Cis- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diethyl-2,4,5-tris(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole-1-carboxamide (2a). White solid, $37 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.62. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, mixture of two conformers, single conformer was described): $\delta 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-3.13$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 12.60,41.10,55.03,55.07,55.35,70.40,113.13,113.89,128.73,128.80$, $130.07,158.51,158.81,162.11,163.69$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 488.2544; found: 488.2545.

4-\{[Cis-2,4,5-tris(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl]carbonyl\}morpholine (2b). White solid, $40 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.50. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : ס 3.19-3.24 (m, 4H), 3.30-3.38 (m, 4H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 5.48 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 47.08,54.96,55.01,55.32,65.96,66.45,70.31,113.13,113.28,113.92,127.35$, $128.47,128.66,129.05,129.98,155.40,158.51,158.82,162.16,162.76$. ESI-HRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 502.2336$; found: 502.2342.

Piperidin-1-yl(cis-2,4,5-tris(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methanone (2c). White solid, $42 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.60. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ):反 1.22-1.30 (m, 4H), 1.39-1.45 (m, 2H), 3.15-3.17 (m, 4H), $3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 23.91,25.21,46.09,55.01,55.07,55.37$, $70.43,71.38,113.15,113.22,113.87,127.70,128.52,128.74,129.35,130.07,155.34,158.51$, 158.75, 162.08, 163.03. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 500.2544$; found: 500.2533 .
(4-Methylpiperidin-1-yl) (cis-2,4,5-tris(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methanone (2d). White solid, $75 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.75. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 0.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 21.50,30.37,33.19,33.41,45.23,45.68,55.03,55.08,55.40,70.47,113.20$, $113.24,113.96,127.29,128.63,128.69,129.02,130.25,154.88,158.58,158.84,162.33,163.23$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 514.2700$; found: 514.2686.

Pyrrolidin-1-yl(cis-2,4,5-tris(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methanone (2e). White solid, $40 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.45. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, mixture of two conformers, single conformer was described): $\delta 1.62-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-3.24$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.47-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.82-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : 25.46, 47.83, 55.02, 55.08, 55.38, 69.73, 71.13, $113.18,113.22,113.94,127.53,128.44,128.76,130.07,154.17,158.60,158.74,162.35,163.08$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 486.2387$; found: 486.2379.

Azepan-1-yl(cis-2,4,5-tris(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methanone (2f). White solid, $41 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc/} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.60. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 1.34-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $5.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 100 MHz ): 27.34, 27.89, 47.61, 55.01, 55.07, $55.35,70.25,71.53,113.15,113.20,113.92,127.57$, $128.76,128.82,129.37,129.95,158.59,158.79,162.16,163.50$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 514.2700; found: 514.2689.
tert-Butyl 4-(cis-2,4,5-tris(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole-1-carbonyl) piperazine-1-carboxylate (2g). White solid, $37 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}^{\mathrm{EtOAc}} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.64. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $7.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 28.23,43.21,44.99,55.02,55.06$, $55.35,70.41,72.27,80.23,113.14,113.34,113.90,127.83,128.37,128.75,129.39,129.86,154.22$,
156.04, 158.49, 158.78, 161.95, 162.40. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 601.3021; found: 601.3014.

Piperidin-1-yl(cis-2,4,5-tris(2-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methanone (2h). White solid, 37\% yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1) 0.68 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 1.01-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.5(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59$ (br. s, 1H), 6.73 (br. s, 1H), 6.79 (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31$ (br. s, 1H), $7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $23.95,25.05,46.41,54.48,55.02,55.40,64.89,108.90,109.35,110.64,119.01,119.44,120.53$, $127.80,128.12,130.71,158.92,157.34$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 500.2544; found: 500.2530.

Cis-N,N-diethyl-2,4,5-tris(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole-1-carboxamide (2i). White solid, $37 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} E t \mathrm{OAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.50. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 0.75-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.08-6.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40-6.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 0.94,12.40,40.73,54.75,54.91,55.10,55.17,55.41,55.47,97.09,97.20$, 98.48, 103.16, 103.18, 104.76, 128.80, 131.95, 158.39, 159.66. ESI-HRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 578.2861; found: 578.2867.

4-\{[Cis-2,4,5-tris(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl]carbonyl\}morpholine (2j). White solid, 39\% yield. Rf EtOAc/Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.34. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 3.07-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.48$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00$ (br. s, 1H), 6.05-6.13 (m, 2H), 6.17 (br. s, 1 H$), 6.29$ (dd, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68$ (br. s, 1H), 7.11 (br. s, 1H), $7.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 45.75,54.65,55.06,55.15,55.45,66.08$, $66.14,97.18,97.30,98.40,103.17,103.22,103.98,104.22,104.76,128.47,131.93,158.59,159.70$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 592.2653$; found: 592.2664.

Piperidin-1-yl(cis-2,4,5-tris(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl) methanone (2k). White solid, 66\% yield. Rf EtOAc/Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.60. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.08-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97$ (br. s, 1H), 6.05 (d, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14$ (br. s, 1H), 6.27 (dd, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40-6.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4, J=2.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ (br. s, 1H), 7.10 (br. s, 1 H ), 7.67 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 24.10,25.21,46.37,45.80,54.65,55.06,55.15,55.33,55.41,65.09,97.11$, $97.21,103.13,104.49,118.26,120.04,128.66,131.69,157.31,157.75,158.58,159.51,160.53$, 162.48. ESI-HRMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 590.2861$; found: 590.2875.
(4-Methylpiperidin-1-yl)(cis-2,4,5-tris(2,4-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methanone (21). White solid, 55\% yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.50. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 0.50-0.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 6.00 (br. s, 1H), 6.07 (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16$ (br. s, 1H), 6.29 (dd, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36-6.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4, J=2.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68$ (br. s, 1H), 7.11 (br. s, 1H), 7.67 $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 21.69,30.45,33.10,33.63,45.66,45.80,54.65$, $55.16,55.24,55.35,55.43,97.15,97.22,98.23,103.15,103.95,104.07,104.61,128.62,129.12$, 131.78, 131.90, 158.64, 159.58. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 604.3017$; found: 604.3038 .
tert-Butyl 4-(cis-2,4,5-tris(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole-1-carbonyl) piperazine-1-carboxylate (2m). White solid, 20\% yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.45. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.62$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 28.24,42.92$,
45.40, 54.67, 55.07, 55.16, 55.45, 80.08, 97.20, 98.39, 103.15, 103.23, 104.79, 112.32, 118.76, $129.03,132.05,132.69,154.26,158.54,159.73$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 691.3338$; found: 691.3335 .

Cis- $N$, $N$-diethyl-2,4,5-tris(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro- $1 H$-imidazole-1-carboxamide (2n). White solid, 15\% yield. Rf $E t O A c / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (99:1) 0.20. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.57-6.59 (m, 2H), 6.63-6.64 (m, 1H), $6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 12.70,41.09,55.44,55.47,55.60,55.66$, $55.84,55.99,70.41,110.12,110.19,110.46,110.75,111.08,119.96,120.02,121.37,128.00,129.82$, 148.04, 148.19, 148.31, 148.83, 151.68, 164.05. ESI-HRMS (m/z): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ 578.2861; found: 578.2863.

4-\{[Cis-2,4,5-tris(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl]carbonyl\}morpholine (2o). White solid, $33 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.15. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz})$ : $3.24-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.93$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 45.47,55.53,55.65,55.72,55.92,56.08,66.15,70.54,110.30,110.48,110.53$, $111.04,111.16,119.85,119.91,121.33,127.94,129.65,148.16,148.41,148.43,148.48,148.97$, 151.81, 163.19. ESI-HRMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8} 592.2653$; found: 592.2657.

Piperidin-1-yl(cis-2,4,5-tris(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl) methanone (2p). White solid, $14 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.20. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 400 MHz ): 1.30 (br. s, 4 H ), 1.39-1.48 (m, 2H), 3.20 (br. s, 4H), 3.51 (s, 3H), 3.56 (s, 3H), 3.76 $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 23.76,25.25,46.01,55.45,55.48$, $55.58,55.66,55.89,56.09,70.60,110.17,110.31,110.55,110.70,111.05,111.24,119.73,120.23$, 121.81, 129.23, 148.13, 148.23, 148.34, 148.42, 148.84, 152.08, 163.78. ESI-HRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} 590.2861$; found: 590.2862.
(4-Methylpiperidin-1-yl)(cis-2,4,5-tris(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1yl)methanone (2q). White solid, $30 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.25. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): 0.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): 21.50, 30.36, 33.36, 33.48, 45.16, 45.57, 55.43, 55.45, 55.58, 55.66, $55.86,55.97,70.54,72.58,110.21,110.42,110.47,110.93,111.09,119.86,119.95,121.26,122.69$, 128.26, 129.94, 147.98, 148.24, 148.28, 148.79, 151.47, 155.92, 163.26. ESI-HRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 604.3017$; found 604.3010.

Pyrrolidin-1-yl(cis-2,4,5-tris(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl) methanone (2r). White solid, $40 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.20. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, 400 MHz ): 1.68 (br. s, 4H), 3.20 (br. s, 4H), 3.51 (s, 3H), $3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 30.20,34.10$, $47.40,55.51,55.64,55.73,55.91,56.13,56.14,69.80,110.30,110.52,111.13,111.21,119.76$, $119.95,121.41,125.38,128.11,135.66,148.15,148.26,148.28,148.41,148.91,151.38,163.23$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 576.2704$; found 576.2701.

Azepan-1-yl(cis-2,4,5-tris(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methanone (2s). White solid, $35 \%$ yield. Rf $E t O A c / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.25. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 400 MHz ): 1.35-1.62 (m, 8H), 3.07-3.34 (m, 4H), 3.49 (s, 3H), 3.56 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H),
3.76 (s, 3H), $3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 27.36,27.92,47.64$, $55.48,55.61,55.70,55.89,56.05,70.32,110.12,110.27,110.48,110.73,110.98,111.09,120.02$, $121.34,129.86,148.07,148.16,148.26,148.35,148.85,151.71,163.79$. ESI-HRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 604.3017$; found 604.3023 .
(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)(cis-2,4,5-tris(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methanone (2t). White solid, 29\% yield. Rf EtOAc/Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.03. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, 400 MHz ): 2.10-2.16 (br. s, 3H), 2.52-2.60 (m, 4H), 3.24-3.32 (m, 4H), 3.50 (s, 3H), 3.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ (dd, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 44.52$, $45.53,54.10,55.55,55.53,55.64,55.71,55.88,55.99,70.51,73.91,110.27,110.36,110.38,110.52$, $110.86,111.22,119.60,120.07,120.96,123.36,128.62,130.14,148.02,148.31,148.35,148.40$, 148.91, 151.40, 156.70, 162.77. ESI-HRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 605.2970; found 605.2983 .

Cis-N,N-diethyl-2,4,5-tris(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole-1-carboxamide (2u). White solid, $47 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.75. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 0.94(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.84$ (s, 6H), 5.44 (br. s, 1H), $5.65(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.13-6.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.17-6.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 12.70,14.13,21.00$, $41.22,55.15,55.57,60.33,70.61,99.81,100.04,104.13,105.58,105.84,106.23,139.21,155.57$, 160.20, 160.21, 160.70, 164.88. ESI-HRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 578.2861; found 578.2863.

4-\{[Cis-2,4,5-tris(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl]carbonyl\}morpholine (2v). White solid, $40 \%$ yield. Rf EtOAc/Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.55. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 400 MHz ): $\delta 3.27-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.42$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.18-6.22 (m, 2H), 6.61-6.63 (m, 1H), 6.97-6.99 (m, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 45.55$, $55.10,55.14,55.53,66.11,70.59,99.56,99.86,103.42,105.27,105.89,106.17,138.15,139.39$, 156.11, 160.18, 160.36, 160.75, 163.29. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 592.2653; found 592.2665.
(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)(cis-2,4,5-tris(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methanone (2w). White solid, $26 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.60. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 2.16-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.33$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.17(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 44.56,45.53,54.02,55.14,55.52,70.58,74.32,99.53,99.74$, 103.16, 105.12, 105.91, 106.03, 132.64, 138.60, 139.70, 156.49, 160.15, 160.36, 160.73, 163.09. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 605.2970$; found 605.2982.

Piperidin-1-yl(cis-2,4,5-tris(4-chlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methanone (2x). White solid, $37 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.82. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta$ $1.04-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.80$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 13.05,23.14$, $24.94,45.79,69.29,68.91,127.99,129.15,129.48,130.20,131.12,130.80,132.55,133.15,133.44$, 138.90, 149.74, 165.98. ESI-HRMS ( $m / z$ ): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 512.1058; found 512.1043 .

Cis-N,N-diethyl-2,4,5-tris(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole-1-carboxamide (2y). White solid, $56 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1)$ 0.90. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .13 \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 12.48,41.14,63.98,69.81,126.56$, 126.66, 127.27, 128.68, 129.19, 129.89, 130.30, 130.71, 131.20, 132.68, 133.97, 134.32, 136.62, 162.01. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 601.9889; found 601.9878.

4-\{[Cis-2,4,5-tris(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl]carbonyl\}morpholine (2z). White solid, $40 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(99: 1) 0.90 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): 3.13-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 100 MHz ): $45.78,47.57,63.85,66.23,66.64,126.70,127.49,128.89,129.46,130.07,130.44$, 133.89, 134.35. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 615.9681$; found 615.9669.
tert-Butyl 4-(cis-2,4,5-tris(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole-1-carbonyl) piperazine-1-carboxylate (2aa). White solid, 70\% yield. $\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{EtOAc}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (99:1) 0.90. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): 30.89, $45.78,47.5763 .85,66.23,66.64,80.06,126.70,126.83,127.49$, 128.89, 129.46, 130.07, 130.44, 133.89, 134.35. ESI-HRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 715.0365$; found 715.0353.

### 4.3. In Silico Studies

The calculation was conducted using Schrodinger software suite. The presented results were obtained using «Induced Fit» (Glide + PrimeX modules) scoring method, which allowed us to refine docking poses previously obtained by screening in the Glide module alone. Molecular dynamics (MD) solvation/stability testing was conducted using the Desmond module. In all in silico studies, OPLS3e force field was used for docking, and in MD simulations, TIP4PD potential was used for interaction with water molecules. The trajectory in MD simulations was 5 ns . Maximum distance, where direct water (MD) and aminoacid (PrimeX) interactions with the ligand were considered significant, was set to 10 Å.

### 4.4. Cell Culture and Experimental Procedures

The osteosarcoma cell line SJSA-1, prostate cell line LNCaP, colon carcinoma cell lines RKO and HCT116, and neuroblastoma cell lines SH-SY5Y and SK-N-SH were used in this study. Cells were grown in a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator ( $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) in DMEM high glucose or RPMI1640 medium containing $4.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ glucose (Gibco), with $10 \% \mathrm{FBS}$ (Gibco) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in the presence of a mixture of antibiotics and antimycotics (Gibco). The cells in the logarithmic growth phase were used for experiments. Before the treatment with synthesized derivatives or Nutlin-3a (Sigma) and RG7388 (Roche), the culture medium was removed, and cells were washed with PBS. Fresh medium containing the above-noted agents in the selected concentration was added to the cells.

### 4.5. Gel Electrophoresis and Western Blot (WB) Analysis

After the indicated time of treatment, cells were removed from culture dishes using a cell scraper. Next, cells were centrifuged ( $500 \mathrm{rcf}, 5 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and washed with ice-cold PBS (Paneco). Then, the cell pellet was lysed in RIPA buffer ( 25 mM Tris- HCl ( pH 7.4 ), 150 mM $\mathrm{NaCl}, 0.1 \%$ SDS, $0.5 \%$ sodium deoxycholate, $1 \%$ NP-40, cOmplete ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche)) for 20 min on ice. Lysates were centrifuged ( $16,000 \mathrm{rcf}, 20 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and a part of the supernatant was taken for the protein concentration assay, and another part was used for Western Blot analysis, as previously described [14]. The densitometric analysis was performed using Image Lab Software or ImageJ 4.1.

The following primary antibodies were used for WB: anti-GAPDH (\#2118), antip21 (\#2947), anti-Puma (\#4976) (all from Cell Signaling Technology), anti-PARP (\#137653) (Abcam) and anti-p53 (012M4795) (Sigma) antibodies. HRP-linked goat anti-mouse and
anti-rabbit antibodies (\#97046 and \#97200, respectively; both from Abcam) were used as secondary antibodies.

### 4.6. Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting Analysis (FACS-Analysis)

After 24 h incubation with all agents, cells were removed from the culture dishes using $0.05 \%$ trypsin-EDTA (Gibco) and transferred to a conditioned medium. A total of $10^{5}$ cells were taken for analysis, centrifuged ( $1000 \mathrm{rcf}, 4 \mathrm{~min},+4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), washed with PBS solution, and centrifuged again ( $1000 \mathrm{rcf}, 4 \mathrm{~min},+4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The cell pellet was resuspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Annexin-binding buffer (BD Biosciences) and $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Annexin V-FITC (Invitrogen) were added. Then samples were incubated in the dark at room temperature for 15 min . Immediately before the measurement, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of propidium iodide ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) (BD Biosciences) were added to each sample, and the samples were analyzed by the BD FACSCanto II cell analyzer (BD Biosciences). Flow cytometry data were processed using BD FACSDiva software 7.0 (BD Biosciences).

### 4.7. Sub-G1 Test

After the indicated time of treatment, cells were collected as described above and fixed in $70 \%$ ethanol for 1 h at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, cells were washed of ethanol and re-suspended in PBS, supplemented with $1 \%$ RNase A and stained with $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ propidium iodide for 15 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After staining, cells were examined using the FACSCanto II cell analyzer (BD Biosciences). Flow cytometry data were processed using BD FACSDiva software 7.0 (BD Biosciences).

### 4.8. Cytotoxic Assay

The half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) of the synthesized compounds against several human cell lines was determined by the MTT method. Cells were cultured at $1.0 \times 10^{4}$ cells $/ 200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in 96-well plates in DMEM or RPMI1640 medium. After 24 h , the various concentrations of the tested compounds $(1.56-100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ were added to each well, and cells were incubated for 72 h . All compounds were dissolved in DMSO. The final DMSO concentration in each well did not exceed $0.1 \%$ and was not toxic for the cells. After incubation, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ MTT reagent [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide, $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ] was added to each well, and the cells were incubated for 2 h . The medium was removed, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DMSO was added to each well. The optical density was measured at 530 nm using the Victor3 (PerkinElmer) microplate reader. Concentrations (IC50) were calculated according to the dose-dependent inhibition curves using OriginPro 9.0 software. The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

### 4.9. Statistical Analysis

The data were presented as mean $\pm$ SD values from at least three independent experiments. For the statistical analysis, all data were tested for homogeneity of variance and normality using Levene's and Shapiro-Wilk tests, respectively. For normally distributed data, a Student's t-test was performed to analyze statistically significant differences between groups. The value representing of $p<0.05$ was considered statistically significant. The graphs were performed using Microsoft Excel software.

## 5. Conclusions

In this study we have performed a two-step synthetic approach to obtain imidazolinebased alkoxyaryl derivatives. These compounds are able to block p53-MDM2 PPIs. The treatment of several cancer cell lines with them led to accumulation of p53 and p53inducible proteins. Suggested synthetic strategy can be used for design of new anti-cancer agents in future.
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