



Review

# Mercury Toxicity and Detection Using Chromo-Fluorogenic Chemosensors

Vinita Bhardwaj<sup>1</sup>, Valeria M. Nurchi<sup>2,\*</sup>  and Suban K. Sahoo<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry, Sardar Vallabhbhai National Institute of Technology (SVNIT), Surat 395007, India; bhardwajvinita1@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e dell'Ambiente, Università di Cagliari, Cittadella Universitaria, 09042 Monserrato-Cagliari, Italy

\* Correspondence: nurchi@unica.it (V.M.N.); sks@chem.svnit.ac.in (S.K.S.)

**Abstract:** Mercury (Hg), this non-essential heavy metal released from both industrial and natural sources entered into living bodies, and cause grievous detrimental effects to the human health and ecosystem. The monitoring of Hg<sup>2+</sup> excessive accumulation can be beneficial to fight against the risk associated with mercury toxicity to living systems. Therefore, there is an emergent need of novel and facile analytical approaches for the monitoring of mercury levels in various environmental, industrial, and biological samples. The chromo-fluorogenic chemosensors possess the attractive analytical parameters of low-cost, enhanced detection ability with high sensitivity, simplicity, rapid on-site monitoring ability, etc. This review was narrated to summarize the mercuric ion selective chromo-fluorogenic chemosensors reported in the year 2020. The design of sensors, mechanisms, fluorophores used, analytical performance, etc. are summarized and discussed.

**Keywords:** fluorescent sensors; colorimetric sensors; mercuric ions; mercury toxicity



**Citation:** Bhardwaj, V.; Nurchi, V.M.; Sahoo, S.K. Mercury Toxicity and Detection Using Chromo-Fluorogenic Chemosensors. *Pharmaceuticals* **2021**, *14*, 123. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ph14020123>

Academic Editor: Mary Meegan  
Received: 17 January 2021  
Accepted: 3 February 2021  
Published: 5 February 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.




**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Metals like Na, K, Mg, Ca, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Mo are well-known to play important roles in human physiological functions. However, the excessive as well as deficiency of these essential metals in human body can cause serious detrimental effects. Similarly, several non-essential metals entered into the human body from different sources can also cause grievous toxic effects even at trace quantity. Therefore, there is an exponential growth in the development of facile and cost-effective analytical techniques for the on-site and real-time detection of both essential and non-essential metal ions [1–5]. Among the various analytical techniques, the chromogenic and fluorogenic chemosensors are extensively developed for the detection of metal ions because of their high selectivity and sensitivity, easy-to-design, low-cost, simplicity, real-time, and on-site detection ability. The chromo-fluorogenic chemosensors are designed and developed by considering three important things: (i) signaling unit, (ii) recognition unit, and (iii) mechanism (Figure 1). The signaling unit may be an organic fluorophore, chromophore, or optically active nanoparticles. When the recognition unit selectively recognize the target analyte, the mechanism based on electron/charge/energy transfer occurred in the sensor can alter the electronic properties of the signaling unit that gives detectable optical response [6].

Mercury (Hg), one of the non-essential heavy metal can cause serious toxicity to human health and ecosystem. Because of the high affinity to S-containing ligands, the accumulation of mercury in human body can affect the normal functioning of proteins and enzymes leading to the wide variety of diseases related to kidney, brain, reproductive disturbance, central nervous system, etc. [7]. Considering the toxicity, the acceptable limit of inorganic mercury in drinking water was prescribed as 2 µg L<sup>-1</sup> (10 nM) by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Also, the inorganic mercury can be converted into organic mercury (like methylmercury) that affects the brain and cause

other neurotoxic effects, and therefore, the intake of 1.6  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  body weight per week of methylmercury was recommended by Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) [8]. The associated toxicity even at a trace amount of mercury resulted an expedite growth in the design of novel analytical methods, including optically active chemosensors for the detection of mercuric ions. Literature survey supported the reports of several reviews on mercuric ions sensing and toxicity [9–15]. In this review, the mercury toxicity and important chelates available for mercury intoxication will be discussed first, and then the chemosensors reported in the year 2020 will be summarized. The fluorophores used for the designing of sensors, the sensing mechanisms and the detection performance will be summarized and discussed.



**Figure 1.** Schematic representation showing the design of a chemosensor.

## 2. Mercury Toxicity and Intoxication

Mercury, a silvery colored metal, liquid at room temperature, is characterized by atomic number 80 in group 12 of the periodic table of elements, standard atomic weight 200.59 g/mol. Mercury can assume the three oxidation states 0, +1, +2. It presents a high density 13.53 g/mL, and a relatively high vapor pressure (0.0017 torr at 25 °C, corresponding to a concentration of 20 mg/m<sup>3</sup>). It is monoatomic in vapor phase, and is highly soluble in polar and non-polar solvents (a mercury water solution can reach the concentration of 0.6  $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$  at 25 °C).

The use of mercury is reported since the ancient times, mainly as the pigment cinnabar. The mined amount of mercury has been almost constant over the centuries until 1500, when huge amounts were produced in Spain (Almaden) to be shipped to Spanish South America for silver extraction. A paper by Hylander and Meili [16], takes into account the trend in mercury production from this period to 2000. The discovery of gold in California in 1850 produced a jump in mercury production, as well as its use in chlor-alkali plants in the 20th century. The consumption of explosives in the war industry contributed to the large production of mercury during World Wars I and II. The increasing awareness of mercury toxicity has led in the years to its banning from different applications (amalgamation in China in 1985 and in Russia in 1990, pesticides in USA in 1993, batteries in USA in 1996), until the *Protocol on Heavy Metals* (cadmium, lead and mercury), signed in 1998 by different

countries, the 2005 *EU Mercury Strategy*, and finally the *Minamata Convention on Mercury* in 2013. At the fifth session of the Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee in Geneva, Switzerland, on 19 January 2013, it was agreed the *Minamata Convention on Mercury*, a global treaty to protect human health and the environment from the adverse effects of mercury. The major highlights of the Minamata Convention on Mercury include a ban on new mercury mines, the phase-out of existing ones, control measures on air emissions, and the international regulation of the informal sector for artisanal and small-scale gold mining [17]. Despite the above legislative controls, mercury remains one of the major toxicants in the world [18] and deserves a careful consideration about its environmental quantification, its toxic action, and the strategy for the clinical treatment of intoxication.

Mercury presents in the environment mainly in three chemical forms, i.e., elemental mercury (liquid or vapor  $\text{Hg}^0$ ), inorganic mercuric compounds ( $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ ), and organic mercury compounds (methylmercury,  $\text{MeHg}$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{Hg}$  and ethyl mercury  $\text{EtHg}$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{Hg}$ ) [19]. Toxicity of mercury in humans can be related to any of these three forms, absorbed in different ways: inhalation, oral, and dermal. The kind and the degree of intoxication is highly specific for any of these three chemical species, as well as the symptoms and the consequences [20]. Table 1 presents the main sources of exposure of the different forms of mercury and the affected organs [21].

**Table 1.** Some representative sources of exposure of the different forms of mercury, and the main affected organs [21].


Species	Occupational Exposure	Environmental Exposure	Routes of Exposure	Affected Organs
Elemental mercury, $\text{Hg}$	Chlor-alkali plants, gold extraction, incineration of wastes, coal burning, dental amalgam handling	Dental amalgam	Inhalation	Nervous system
Organic mercury, $\text{CH}_3\text{Hg}^+$	-	Food (Fish and seafood)	Ingestion	Nervous system
Inorganic mercury, $\text{Hg}^{2+}$	-	Medicinal uses, dermatological creams	Ingestion, transdermal	Kidneys

The exposure of mercury by human body can be occurred via ingestion or inhalation [7]. The extensive utilization of elemental mercury in a number of industrial processes has led the involved personnel exposed to gaseous mercury. To have a quantitative evaluation of this exposure, we remember that in presence of liquid  $\text{Hg}^0$  the surrounding non-ventilated air can reach a concentration of  $20 \text{ mg/m}^3$  of monoatomic mercury vapors. Since a person inhales  $15\text{--}20 \text{ m}^3$  of air daily, a worker who stays about 8 h in a mercury saturated place inhales  $5\text{--}6.6 \text{ m}^3$  of air, i.e.,  $100\text{--}135 \text{ mg}$  of  $\text{Hg}^0$ . Mercury vapors are efficiently absorbed by lungs due to their high liposolubility producing severe pulmonary injuries. Elemental mercury in the lungs enters the blood flow, where a certain amount is oxidized to  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and excreted in urine, and some, due its high liposolubility, passes through the blood–brain barrier (BBB) and enters in the central nervous system.

Various forms of inorganic mercury in water are converted by microorganisms to methyl mercury that accumulates in fish and pass to humans through the food chain. In humans, inhaled or ingested methyl mercury is well absorbed and is found in red blood cells, liver, kidneys, and above all in the brain (including the fetal brain, since methyl mercury can cross the placental barrier), where it causes severe, cumulative, and irreversible injuries to the central nervous system. Its retention time in the human body varies from months to years, and the appearance of symptoms can be delayed for many years. Symptoms of methylmercury intoxication include mental retardation, cerebral blindness, deafness, palsy, and dysarthria, particularly in children exposed in utero. It is important to emphasize that methylmercury exposure mainly affects people whose diet includes the consumption of high amounts of fish.

Inorganic mercury compounds were largely used in the chemical industry, and were the cause of heavy occupational exposure. Inorganic salts are poorly absorbed, and kidneys represent their main target. From a chemical point of view, mercury toxicity depends primarily from the mercuric ion ability to form covalent bonds with sulfur atoms, substituting hydrogen atoms in sulfhydryl groups of proteins to form mercaptides. This can deactivate a number of essential enzymes, completely altering their regular biological functions [21–23].

Chelation therapy is used for the treatment of all forms of mercury intoxication. In clinical use, chelating agents remove metal ions from the biological ligands in the organism, where they exert their toxic action, through the formation of metal complexes that are successively excreted. Characteristics of a good chelator should be great solubility in both water and lipids, resistance to biotransformation, capacity to reach the sites of metal accumulation, high stability of the complexes at the pH of body fluids, and toxicity of the formed complexes lower than that of the free metal ions [21]. Most of the chelating agents in use today are not able to cross the BBB and this limits their ability to remove the toxic metal ions from the brain. The main chelating agents used in the treatment of mercury intoxication are summarized in Figure 2.



**Figure 2.** Molecular structure of the chelating agents used for mercury intoxication.

The dithiol chelating agent 2,3-dimercaptopropan-1-ol (BAL) was originally synthesized for the treatment of the effects of the war gas Lewisite. It competes successfully with protein SH groups forming stable chelates with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  metal ions. For several decades after its synthesis, it was recommended for the treatment of inorganic mercury poisoning, but it presents severe adverse effects, including painful intramuscular injections, high blood pressure and tachycardia, and predisposition to redistribute the complexed toxic elements to the brain. At any rate, it is contraindicated in the treatment of alkyl-Hg intoxication. In most cases, it has been replaced by DMSA and DMPS in the treatment of metal poisoning [24].

The chelating agent *meso*-dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA) or simply called succimer is the water-soluble dithiol. DMSA can be administered as intravenous and oral preparations, being DMSA a hydrophilic chelator. When administered orally, about 20% is absorbed in the gut, and about 95% of the absorbed amount is bound to plasma albumin, presumably by one SH group to a cysteine residue, being the second SH group free for binding metal ions. The action of DMSA is limited to the extracellular space. It increases Hg excretion in the urine. DMSA is considered the drug of choice for the treatment of organic-Hg intoxication. Even if DMSA does not pass the BBB, it indirectly reduces the brain burden of methyl mercury presumably by changing the brain/blood equilibrium. The derivative monoisoamyl 2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid (MiADMSA) is at the moment under evaluation. Differently from DMSA, which removes extracellularly distributed metal ions [25], MiADMSA is also able to chelate intracellularly distributed metal ions [26].

The structure of 2,3-dimercaptopropane-1-sulfonic acid (DMPS), also known as unithiol is shown in Figure 2. DMPS is a drug produced in Germany and registered for the treatment of mercury intoxication. It is not an approved drug in the USA, unless the FDA gives a special permission. The daily dose is usually 3–10 mg DMPS/kg body weight. DMPS is believed the optimal remedy in poisoning by inorganic mercury [27], while it is less effective than DMSA for organic mercury [28]. DMPS can be administered both intravenously and orally; less than 40% of orally administered DMPS is effectively adsorbed [29]. DMPS, which is a hydrophilic chelating agent, is mainly distributed in the extracellular space, but a small fraction is found in the intracellular compartments [30]. DMPS scavenges mercury from kidneys more effectively than DMSA, and is considered the drug of choice for the treatment of acute intoxication by inorganic mercury [30,31].

The  $\alpha$ -lipoic acid ((*R*)-5-(1,2-dithiolan-3-yl)pentanoic acid, LA) is the sulfur containing organic compound known as thioctic acid, presented in Figure 2. LA, essential for aerobic metabolism, is ordinarily produced in the body. Its reduced form, called dihydrolipoic acid (DHLA), contains a couple of -SH groups; it is characterized by high affinity for mercuric ion and has been recently proposed as an effective mercury chelator [31].

### 3. Chromo-Fluorogenic Chemosensors

Because of the potential toxicity of mercuric ions to living systems, there is an expedite growth in the design of optically activity chemosensors. In the year 2020, more than 100 Hg<sup>2+</sup> selective chromo-fluorogenic chemosensors were reported, which can be classified in three different categories based on the optical responses, i.e., (i) fluorescence, (ii) colorimetric, and (iii) dual-mode chemosensors. The fluorescence chemosensors are discussed based on the fluorescence changes, i.e., turn-off, turn-on and ratiometric (Table 2). The fluorescent chemosensors are more sensitive than the colorimetric sensors with high visual effects that allowed for different bioimaging and diagnosis applications. The most dominating mechanisms for Hg<sup>2+</sup> sensing are complexation-induced change in the optical properties due to electron/energy/charge transfer or the sensor possess a reactive group that undergoes Hg<sup>2+</sup>-catalyzed chemical transformation. The sensing mechanisms and other experimental parameters (such as solvent medium, pH and concentration of sensors etc.) important in fabricating a suitable chemosensors are discussed.

**Table 2.** Analytical parameters for the fluorescent sensors 1 to 84.

Sensors	Medium	$\lambda_{exc}/\lambda_{em}$ (nm)	LOD	Applications	Ref.
1	H <sub>2</sub> O	350/464	0.16 $\mu$ M	Real water sample analysis	[32]
2	H <sub>2</sub> O	500/632	39.2 nM	-	[33]
3	H <sub>2</sub> O	316/416	0.1243 $\mu$ M	Real water sample analysis	[34]
4	THF:H <sub>2</sub> O (1:49, v/v)	380/500	22.8 ppb	-	[35]
5	CH <sub>3</sub> CN:H <sub>2</sub> O (1:1, v/v)	270/380	0.68 $\mu$ M	Real water analysis and pH sensing	[36]
6	EtOH	410/485	172 nM	Living cell imaging	[37]
7	CH <sub>3</sub> CN:HEPES buffer (2:8, v/v)	369/490	1 nM	Live-cell imaging	[38]
8	H <sub>2</sub> O	490/574	312 nM	Real water sample analysis	[39]
9	Tris-HCL buffer	358/445	4.40 $\mu$ M	Real water sample analysis and live cell imaging	[40]
10	HEPES buffer	330/550	7.59 nM	Real water samples and live cell imaging	[41]
11	CH <sub>3</sub> CN:H <sub>2</sub> O (6:4 v/v)	375/485	1.26 nM	Test paper strip and real water analysis	[42]
12	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	420/603	20 ppb	Removal from water	[43]
13	DMF	325/463	17 nM	Air and real water samples	[44]
14	MeOH	280/436	0.01 $\mu$ M	-	[45]
15	H <sub>2</sub> O	495/521	0.34 $\mu$ M	Industrial effluents and paper strip	[46]
16	H <sub>2</sub> O	430/512	5.02 mM	Real water analysis	[47]

Table 2. Cont.

Sensors	Medium	$\lambda_{exc}/\lambda_{em}$ (nm)	LOD	Applications	Ref.
17	MeOH:H <sub>2</sub> O (1/4, v/v)	340/455	3.12 nM	Live cell imaging	[48]
18	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	440/492	200 nM	Real water sample analysis	[49]
19	DMF:H <sub>2</sub> O (1:1, v/v)	337/378	16 nM	-	[50]
20	H <sub>2</sub> O:DMSO (95:5, v/v)	360/540	0.51 $\mu$ M	-	[51]
21	H <sub>2</sub> O	285/364,464	7.41 nM	Bioimaging	[52]
22	DMSO:HEPES buffer (9:1, v/v)	355/455	8.12 nM	Live cell imaging	[53]
23	H <sub>2</sub> O	359/495	22 nM	Real water analysis and bioimaging	[54]
24	MeOH:H <sub>2</sub> O (4:1, v/v)	305/387	0.28 ppb	Real water analysis	[55]
25	DMF:HEPES buffer (1:1, v/v)	315/495	0.645 $\mu$ M	Real water analysis and live cell imaging	[56]
26	Acetonitrile:HEPES buffer (1:1, v/v)	401/520	1.01 $\mu$ M	Real water analysis	[57]
27	Acetonitrile:HEPES buffer (1:1, v/v)	405/525	1.98 $\mu$ M	-	[57]
28	THF:H <sub>2</sub> O (9:1, v/v)	480/675	13.1 nM	Live cell imaging	[58]
29	H <sub>2</sub> O:DMSO (99.6: 0.4, v/v)	495/543	19.2nM	Test color strips and bio-imaging	[59]
30	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/:H <sub>2</sub> O (4:6, v/v)	520/587	3.9 ppb	Drinking water, live cells and plant tissues	[60]
31	CH <sub>3</sub> CN:HEPES (1:9, v/v)	470/530	27 nM	Live cell imaging	[61]
32	MeOH:H <sub>2</sub> O (4:1, v/v)	360/590	4.8 $\mu$ M	Test paper strips, bioimaging	[62]
33	DMSO:H <sub>2</sub> O mixture	360/453	406 nM	Adsorption of H <sub>2</sub> S	[63]
34	DMSO:H <sub>2</sub> O (2:8, v/v)	-/600	30 nM	Real food samples and live cell imaging	[64]
35	HEPES buffer (pH 7.4)	480/518	3 nM	Real water and biological analysis	[65]
36	EtOH:H <sub>2</sub> O mixture	366/491	750 nM	Real water sample analysis	[66]
37	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/H <sub>2</sub> O (0.2:99.8, v/v)	366/463	2.1 nM	-	[67]
38	DMF:buffer (8:2, v/v)	315/529	2.40 $\mu$ M	Live cell imaging	[68]
39	DMF:buffer (8:2, v/v)	470/621	2.86 $\mu$ M	Live cell imaging	[68]
40	DMF:buffer (8:2, v/v)	470/614	0.22 $\mu$ M	Live cell imaging	[68]
41	H <sub>2</sub> O	480/532	8.619 nM	Real water analysis and live cell imaging	[69]
42	PBS buffer	460/515	0.4 nM	Real water analysis and live cell Imaging	[70]
43	CH <sub>3</sub> CN:HEPES buffer (1:9, v/v).	545/580	-	-	[71]
44	DMSO	520/606	0.13 $\mu$ M	INHIBIT logic gate	[72]
45	CH <sub>3</sub> CN:HEPES buffer(2:8, v/v)	580/691	1.5 nM	Living cells imaging	[73]
45	EtOH:HEPES buffer (1:1 v/v)	590/664	1.87 ppb	Real water sample analysis	[74]
46	H <sub>2</sub> O	335/574	$3.55 \times 10^{-13}$ mL <sup>-1</sup>	-	[75]
47	DMF:H <sub>2</sub> O (7/3, v/v)	390/504,613	0.2 $\mu$ M	Living cells	[76]
48	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	510/573	28.5 nM	-	[77]
49	DMSO:H <sub>2</sub> O (6:4, v/v)	505/585	16.9 nM	-	[78]
50	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	520/585	16 nM	Real water sample analysis	[79]
51	DMSO:H <sub>2</sub> O (1:1; v/v)	500/562	26 nM	Real water sample analysis	[80]
52	DMSO:H <sub>2</sub> O (7/3, v/v)	490/581	14.9 nM	-	[81]
53	CH <sub>3</sub> CN:H <sub>2</sub> O (7/3, v/v)	520/604	0.38 $\mu$ M	Test color strips and biosensing	[82]
54	DMF:Tris-HCl buffer (1:1, v/v)	562/557	1.61 nM	Bio-sensing and live cell imaging	[83]
55	H <sub>2</sub> O:THF (4:1, v/v)	565/590	16 nM	Live cell imaging	[84]
56	DMSO:H <sub>2</sub> O (7:3, v/v)	480/582	13.4 nM	Test color strips and live cell imaging	[85]
57	DMSO:H <sub>2</sub> O (7:3, v/v)	480/578	15.6 nM	Test color strips and live cell imaging	[85]

Table 2. Cont.


Sensors	Medium	$\lambda_{exc}/\lambda_{em}$ (nm)	LOD	Applications	Ref.
58	DMSO:H <sub>2</sub> O (7:3, v/v)	-/560	16.1 nM	Test paper strips and real water analysis	[86]
59	H <sub>2</sub> O	-	120 nM	Real water sample analysis	[87]
60	HEPES buffer	330/550	23.0 nM	Test color strips and sensing of biothiols	[88]
61	HEPES buffer	310/430,548	1.3 nM	Environmental water samples	[89]
62	HEPES buffer	330/545	22.65 nM	Biosensing	[90]
63	DMSO:HEPES medium (1:99, v/v)	340/390	14.7 nM	Real water sample analysis	[91]
64	EtOH:H <sub>2</sub> O (9:1, v/v)	500/385,447	0.22 $\mu$ M	-	[92]
65	CH <sub>3</sub> CN:DMSO (99:1, v/v)	340/395	8.11 nM	IMPLICATION logic gates	[93]
66	H <sub>2</sub> O	390/455	7.9	River water and live cell imaging	[94]
67	EtOH	300 580	1.10 $\mu$ M	Test color strips and real water analysis	[95]
68	DMSO:H <sub>2</sub> O (1:3, v/v)	321/444,644	48.79 nM	Real water and beverages samples	[96]
69	EtOH:H <sub>2</sub> O (2:8, v/v)	332/475	146 nM	Real waste water analysis	[97]
70	EtOH:HEPES buffer (1:9, v/v)	450/495,600	1.6 nM	Real water analysis and live cell imaging	[98]
71	H <sub>2</sub> O	300/443	39.28 nM	Test color strips and real water analysis	[99]
72	THF:H <sub>2</sub> O (1:9, v/v)	490/667	33 nM	Biological sample and live cell imaging	[100]
73	HEPES-DMSO (99:1, v/v)	325/630	15.1 $\mu$ M	Bioimaging	[101]
74	PBS buffer (pH 7.4)	390/445	21.2 nM	Real water analysis and live cell imaging	[102]
75	DMSO:PBS buffer (1:99, v/v)	380/475	36 nM	Real water analysis and live cell imaging	[103]
76	DMSO:PBS buffer (1:99, v/v)	365/518	19.3 nM	Real sample analysis, test color strips and cell imaging	[104]
77	H <sub>2</sub> O	370/470	19 nM	Real water, seafood, human urine samples, test color strips and bio-imaging	[105]
78	PBS buffer	414/510	40 nM	Living cell imaging	[106]
79	THF:H <sub>2</sub> O (1/99, v/v)	353/477	20 nM	Test color strips and real water analysis	[107]
80	H <sub>2</sub> O	390/461	1.11 $\mu$ M	-	[108]
81	H <sub>2</sub> O	390/464	1.89 $\mu$ M	-	[108]
82	DMF:H <sub>2</sub> O (1:1, v/v)	330/549	0.21 $\mu$ M	-	[109]
83	DMF:H <sub>2</sub> O (1:1, v/v)	331/550	0.63 $\mu$ M	-	[109]
84	DMF:H <sub>2</sub> O (1:1, v/v)	335/559	0.19 $\mu$ M	-	[109]

### 3.1. Fluorescent Chemosensors

#### 3.1.1. Fluorescent Turn-Off Chemosensors

The heavy metal ions like Hg<sup>2+</sup> greatly influence the fluorescence of a sensor after complexation leading to the fluorescence quenching via energy or electron transfer mechanism. Ebru et al. [32] have reported the pyrazoline based fluorogenic sensor **1** for the detection of Hg<sup>2+</sup> in aqueous medium. Sensor **1** (Figure 3) showed a fluorescence maxima at 464 nm ( $\lambda_{exc}$  = 350 nm), but the fluorescence intensity was decreased upon addition of Hg<sup>2+</sup> with the sensitivity limit of 0.16  $\mu$ M (Figure 4). The electrostatic interaction between **1** and the heavy metal ion Hg<sup>2+</sup> caused the fluorescence quenching. This reversibility sensor **1** formed a complex with Hg<sup>2+</sup> in 2:1 stoichiometry. The fluorescent turn-off sensor **2** was


introduced for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in water medium [33]. Sensor 2 (Figure 3) showed an absorption band at 525 nm while fluorescence maxima at 632 nm. Prominent fluorescence quenching accompanied by 25 nm red-shift was observed upon binding with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  leading to the solution color turned from pink to pale violet due to the intramolecular charge transfer occurred between  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and the N-atoms of 2. Sensor 2 formed complex with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:2 stoichiometry, and the estimated LOD was reported to be 39.2 nM.



**Figure 3.** Molecular structures of the sensors 1 to 4.

The  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  selective fluorescent turn-off sensor 3 (Figure 3) using the Zn-based metal organic framework (Zn-MOF) was prepared by reacting the ligand 5-aminoisophthalic acid with  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  [34]. The Zn-MOF formed a 3D supramolecular network having uncoordinated carboxylic atoms and pores size of 8.2 Å. Addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the fluorescence of Zn-MOF at 416 nm ( $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 316$  nm) was quenched with a sensitivity limit of 0.1243  $\mu\text{M}$  due to the complexation-induced inhibition of intermolecular energy transfer. In another work, the triarylamine-based covalent organic framework (COF) polymer 4 (Figure 3) was converted into nanosphere via Suzuki polymerization under mini-emulsion condition, which showed


selective fluorescence turn-off response for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in mixed aqueous medium. The blue-green fluorescence of **4** is quenched upon complexation of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with the sulfur atom. The sensor was immobilized successfully over macroporous sponge for facile detection and removal of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  [35].



**Figure 4.** (a) Fluorescence spectra of **1** ( $K_1 = 1$ ) and (b) photographs of **1** ( $B = 1$ ) under UV in the absence and presence of 20  $\mu\text{M}$  metal ions in water. Reproduced with permission from [32]. Copyright 2020 Elsevier.

The benzimidazole derived fluorescent sensor **5** (Figure 5) showed an excellent selectivity towards  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1:1,  $v/v$ ). Sensor **5** exhibited a fluorescence emission at 380 nm when excited at 270 nm. In the fluorescence experiments, only  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  caused significant fluorescence quenching (85%) of **5** by forming a complex in 1:1 stoichiometry (Figure 6). Sensor **5** showed a LOD of 0.68  $\mu\text{M}$ , and no interference with other tested metal ions. The complex  $[\text{5-Hg}^{2+}]$  emits in an acidic environment whereas quenched in an alkaline environment, which can also be used for pH sensing [36]. The triazole-bridged coumarin conjugated quinoline sensor **6** (Figure 5) was developed for the fluorescent turn-off detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The complexation of **6** with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  at the tridentate coordination site created by the quinolone and triazole stimulates the unusual PET process, and caused the fluorescence quenching at 485 nm with the sensitivity limit of 172 nM. The  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  sensing ability of **6** was further studied in live U-2-OS cells [37]. Murugan et al. [38] have reported


the tetraazamacrocyclic derivative appended with the salicylaldehyde **7** (Figure 5) for the selective fluorescent turn-off sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{HEPES}$  buffer (2:8, *v/v*). The fluorescence emission of **7** at 490 nm was quenched upon complexation with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:1 ratio due to chelation enhancement quenching (CHEQ) effect. The quenched fluorescence is recovered with the addition of KI. The lowest limit of detection for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  is 1 nM. In addition, the sensor **7** showed fluorescence turn-on response for the detection of  $\text{HSO}_4^-$ .




**Figure 5.** Molecular structures of the sensors **5** to **8**.

The marine cyanobacterium based natural protein C-phycoerythrin **8** (Figure 5) was applied for the fluorescent turn-off detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Sensor **8** showed an intense yellow orange fluorescence at 574 nm due to the phycoerythrobilin (PEB), a linear tetrapyrrole. Upon interaction of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with the amino acid side chain and thioether bridges in the protein **8**, the fluorescence is quenched. The complexation of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  caused indirect charge transfer that quenched the fluorescence of **8**. The LOD of **8** for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  was estimated as 312 nM [39].

The acridine-based chemosensor **9** (Figure 7) possessing two S-donor atoms was developed for the fluorescent turn-off detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in Tris-HCl buffer. The fluorescence of **9** at 445 nm was quenched upon complexation with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:1 binding stoichiometry. Sensor **9** showed a LOD of 4.40  $\mu\text{M}$ , and applied for the monitoring of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in real water samples and bioimaging ability in living cells [40]. Adopting the complexation-induced fluorescence quenching approach, the dansyl-peptide based sensor **10** was developed by the conjugating two serines and dansyl groups. Sensor **10** (Figure 7) exhibited sensitivity towards  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  through fluorescence quenching at 550 nm in HEPES buffer solutions. Upon complexation of sensor **10** with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 2:1 stoichiometry, the heavy atom effect and the electron transfer caused the fluorescence quenching. With nanomolar detection limit (7.59 nM), sensor **10** was successfully applied for monitoring  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ions in real water samples (lake and tap water) and living LNCaP cells [41].



**Figure 6.** (A) Coordination mode of **5** with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , and (B) the UV absorption (a) and fluorescence (b) spectra of sensor **5** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1:1,  $v/v$ ,  $\text{pH} = 7.4$ ) upon the addition of different cations. Reproduced with permission from [36]. Copyright 2020 Elsevier.



**Figure 7.** Molecular structures of the sensors **9** to **13**.


Thiocarbohydrazide based Schiff base **11** (Figure 7) was introduced for the colorimetric and fluorescent sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Sensor **11** showed AIE behaviour in a mixture of acetonitrile and water. The emission intensity was found to increase gradually with the addition of water up to 40%, and the cyan fluorescence was clearly developed from aggregates. Upon interaction of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with the AIE active **11** led to the color change from colorless to yellow. The quenching in fluorescence intensity was attributed to combine effect of chelation enhanced fluorescence quenching (CHEQ) and photo-induced electron transfer (PET). The limit of detection for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  is 1.26 nM. Sensor **11** was applied for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  by using test paper strip and in various real water samples [42].

Yanxin et al. [43] reported a covalent organic frameworks (COFs) **12** (Figure 7) with extended hydrazone-linked  $\pi$ -conjugation by condensing two different monomers for the detection and removal of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in acetonitrile. Sensor **12** showed an absorption peak at 350 nm while emission band at 603 nm due to the ESIPT. Addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ion, the color change from orange to light blue with the significant fluorescence quenching due to the inhibition of the ESIPT process. Limit of detection is calculated as 20 ppb without any significant interference with other ions. Moreover, sensor **12** was applied for the effective  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  removal from water. The pyrene-based COFs **13** (Figure 7) was introduced for the simultaneous detection and removal of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in DMF. After interaction with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the fluorescence of COFs **13** at 463 nm was quenched with the sensitivity limit of 17 nM, and the blue-emitting **13** turned to colorless. Fluorescence quenching of **13** is attributed to a PET process from sensor to the  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Sensor **50** was applied for removing  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  from both air and water [44]. The microporous porphyrinic zirconium-based MOF **14** was developed by using meso-tetra(4-carboxyphenyl)porphyrin as a ligand for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in methanol medium [45]. Sensor **14** fluorescence at 436 nm was quenched upon addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ions with a fast response rate under <1 min and sensitivity limit of 0.01  $\mu\text{M}$ . The quenching efficiency was explain by donor-acceptor (D-A) electron transfer mechanism. Also, sensor was applied for the detection of DMF.

Reena et al. [46] have reported a phenylalaninol-fluorescein conjugated Schiff base receptor **15** (Figure 8) for the colorimetric and fluorescence detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in pure aqueous medium. Upon gradual addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the emission at 521 nm is quenched and slightly red-shift, while the absorption showed a hypsochromic shift of 30 nm at 430 nm causing color change from green to light pink. The lowest limit of detection for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  is 0.34  $\mu\text{M}$ . The job's plot supported the 1:1 binding stoichiometry between **15** and  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Sensor **15** is applicable for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  detection in industrial effluents and paper strip visualization with the irreversible mode. The curcumin and  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin inclusion complex **16** (Figure 8) was applied for the chromo-fluorogenic sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in aqueous medium. The supramolecular system **16** complexed with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  after deprotonation of aliphatic hydroxy group caused apparent color change from yellow to colorless [47]. The absorbance of **16** at 482 nm was quenched and blue-shifted to 379 nm. Also, the fluorescence emission at 512 was significantly diminished and blue-shifted to 502 nm. With the fluorescence change, the concentration of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  can be detected down to 5.02  $\mu\text{M}$ , and applied to quantify  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  concentration in real water samples. In another work, the fluorescent turn-off sensor **17** was developed for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in MeOH/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1/4, v/v) solvent [48]. The emission at 455 nm is red-shifted to 485 nm and quenched with the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  due to the chelation enhancement quenching effect (CHEQ). Without responding with other ions, sensor **17** can be applied to detect  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  down to 3.12 nM. In addition, the absorption band of **17** at 292 nm was quenched whereas the band at 337 nm red-shifted to 355 nm. The absorbance and fluorescence changes occurred in **17** after the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  were recovered with the addition of iodide ions.

Ashwani et al. [49] reported an anthrapyridone-based receptor **18** (Figure 8) for the sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ . The fluorescence emission at 492 nm of sensor **18** was quenched in presence of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with the LOD of 200 nM. In UV-vis absorption study, the absorption peak of **18** at 445 nm was quenched and a red-shifted absorption band appeared at 630 nm leading to the color change from yellow-green to green. Similar spectral changes

of **18** were also observed with  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ . The quinoline-based benzimidazole derivative **19** (Figure 8) was developed for the dual-mode chemosensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in DMF/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [50]. Sensor **19** formed gel in DMF and converted to sol with the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , and also the fluorescence at 378 nm was quenched. This gel-sol transition based sensor showed the 16 nM LOD for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Sensor **19** also showed naked-eye detectable color change for  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  from white to dark pink in the gel state.



**Figure 8.** Molecular structures of the sensors **15** to **22**.

The multi-analytes selective bis-thiosemicarbazone based receptor **20** (Figure 8) was developed for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{DMSO}$  (95:5 *v/v*) [51]. Sensor **20** showed an intense emission at 540 nm, while addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the fluorescence was quenched and red-shifted to 578 nm with the color changed from yellow to reddish-brown ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 360$  nm). Color and fluorescence changes were attributed by intra-ligand fluorescence and influence of coordination of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  to the receptor. The LOD for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  was estimated as 0.51  $\mu\text{M}$ . With  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$ , the fluorescence of **20** was blue-shifted and enhanced respectively at 488 and 470 nm. The tryptophan-based polymer **21** (Figure 8) was reported for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  [52]. Sensor **21** showed dual emissions at 364 nm and 464 nm with the yellow colored fluorescence in aqueous medium at physiological pH. Upon complexation with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}/\text{Cu}^{2+}$ , sensor **21** showed significant quenching at 464 nm without any change at 364 nm. The quenching was attributed by PET process from the tryptophan donor to the pyridine acceptor unit. With **21**, the concentration of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  can be detected down to 7.41 nM and 4.94 nM, respective, and applied for the bioimaging of intracellular  $\text{Hg}^{2+}/\text{Cu}^{2+}$  in live CP3 cells. The isocoumarin based sensor **22** (Figure 8) was

developed for the fluorescent turn-off sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  in DMSO/HEPES buffer solution (9/1, *v/v*, pH 7.0) [53]. After complexation of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$  with **22** in 1:2 stoichiometry, significant fluorescence quenching was observed at 455 nm. Sensor **22** showed the sensitivity limit of 8.12 nM and 5.51 nM for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ , respectively. Sensor **22** was applied to imaging intracellular  $\text{Hg}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$  in live HepG2 cells.

### 3.1.2. Fluorescent Turn-On and Ratiometric Chemosensors

The fluorescent turn-on and ratiometric sensors are more advantageous than fluorescent turn-off sensors for biological applications because of the facile measuring of low-concentration contrast in compared to a 'dark' background, reduction in the false positive signals and enhancement in the sensitivity. Therefore, more numbers of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  selective fluorescent turn-on and ratiometric sensors were reported and applied for the monitoring of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in real samples and bioimaging intracellular  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in live cells. The micellar based  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  selective fluorescent turn-on sensor **23** (Figure 9) was developed by organizing the fluorophore 10-methylacridinium perchlorate, sulfur-containing ligand *N,N*-bis(2-hydroxyethylthio-1-ethyl)dodecylamine and the surfactant sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) [54]. Under micellar condition, the ligand decorated with the SDS formed complex with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  that enhanced the fluorescence of 10-methylacridinium due to the inhibition of PET from the ligand to the excited fluorophore. The turn-on fluorescence is observed at  $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 495$  nm ( $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 359$  nm) with the limit of detection of 22 nM  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The pyridyl-based sensor **24** (Figure 9) containing multiple binding sites was developed for fluorescent turn-on sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in aqueous solution with a limit of detection of 0.28 ppb [55]. The broad fluorescence emission spectrum of sensor **24** with maxima at 387 nm showed about 5-fold emission enhancement upon addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  due to the complexation-induced inhibition of PET and C=N isomerization. The applicability of sensor **24** was assessed in real water samples. The ESIPT and PET based sensor **25** (Figure 9) was developed for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in DMF/HEPES solution (1:1, *v/v*) medium [56]. After interaction with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , sensor **25** showed fluorescence enhancement at 495 nm with 180 nm Stokes shift. The complex formation between **25** and  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:1 stoichiometry inhibited both the ESIPT and PET processes (Figure 10), which caused significant fluorescence enhancement. The limit of detection of **25** was  $6.45 \times 10^{-7}$  M  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and applied in environmental and biological samples for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  quantification. In addition, the in situ generated complex of **25** with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  was applied as a secondary sensor for the detection of  $\text{S}^{2-}$ .

Two polystyrene solid-phase sensors **26** and **27** (Figure 9) were synthesized with different lengths of the linker [57]. The fluorescence intensity was determined with an excitation wavelength of 401 nm for **26** and 405 nm for **27**. These naphthalimide-piperazine-pyridine based sensors **26** and **27** showed fluorescence enhancement at 520 nm and 525 nm upon the incremental addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in HEPES buffer (pH 7.2), respectively. The detection mechanism involving the  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  sensing is chelation-induced inhibition of PET. Sensor **26** showed a higher fluorescence response than **27** with the LOD of 1.01  $\mu\text{M}$   $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Also, sensor **26** was successfully applied to monitor  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in tap water and lake water.

The near-infrared (NIR) fluorescent receptor **28** (Figure 11) containing a donor-acceptor structure was reported for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in THF- $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (9:1, *v/v*), where the triphenylamine-benzothiadiazole acts as a fluorophore and the rhodanine-3-acetic acid as metal ion recognition unit [58]. The complexation of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with **28** in 1:1 stoichiometry at the S and O donor atoms of rhodanine-3-acetic acid enhanced the electron-donating ability and blocked the intermolecular charge transfer process, which caused significant fluorescence enhancement at 675 nm with the sensitivity limit of 13.1 nM. This low cytotoxic sensor **28** was applied for the imaging of intracellular  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in live A549 cells and zebrafish larvae. The chemosensor **29** (Figure 11) based on the piperazine derivative was developed for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ion in mixed aqueous DMSO. In UV-vis spectral analysis, sensor **29** showed a strong ICT band at 495 nm that significantly blue-shifted (13 nm), while the emission band at 543 nm was greatly enhanced in the presence of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with LOD of 19.2 nM. The sensing mechanism was explained by the aspect that after addition of

$\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the electron donating ability of aniline group was reduced that suppressed the PET process in sensor resulting fluorescent turn-on detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  (Figure 12A) along with the naked-eyes colorimetric change from orange to yellow. Sensor **29** was also applied for the potential application in paper strip visualization and bioimaging (Figure 12B) [59]. Another PET based sensor **30** (Figure 11) containing NBD fluorophore and thiophene ionophore was applied for the fluorescent turn-on sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Sensor **30** showed weak emission at 587 nm in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}:\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (4:6 *v/v*), while the fluorescence enhanced by 50 folds upon complexation with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:1 binding stoichiometry. The fluorescence enhancement was observed due to complexation-induced inhibition of PET. The limit of detection of 3.9 ppb  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  was estimated for sensor **30**, and was applied to detect  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in drinking water, live cells and plant tissues [60].





Figure 9. Molecular structures of the sensors **23** to **27**.

Xiaobo et al. [61] recently introduced a bismacrocycle polyamine-based chemosensor **31** (Figure 11) containing two 4-nitro-1,2,3-benzoxa-diazole molecules for the selective detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{HEPES}$  (1:9, *v/v*). Sensor **31** showed a fluorescence enhancement at 530 nm upon addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with the sensitivity limit of 27 nM. The binding stoichiometry of **31**- $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  complex was 1:1 determined by Job's plot and ES-MS. Sensor **31** was applied to monitor exogenous  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in living HeLa cells. Furthermore, the complex **31**- $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  was applied for the detection of glutathione (GSH) in FBS and human serum.


Madhusmita et al. [62] reported a dual-mode sensor **32** (Figure 11) containing a styrylpyridinium dye for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in mixed methanol- $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (4:1, *v/v*) medium. Orange color solution of **32** turned to colorless under daylight, whereas started emitting yellow-color under UV light after adding of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The weak fluorescence band of **32** at 590 nm showed a remarkable blue-shift and enhancement at 566 nm with the LOD of 4.8  $\mu\text{M}$ . Complexation of **32** with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:1 binding stoichiometry inhibited the PET and increase the conformational rigidity that caused the chelation enhanced fluorescence

(CHEF) at 590 nm. Sensor **32** was applied for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  detection in test paper strips, bioimaging in *E. coli* DH5- $\alpha$  cells and mimicking INHIBIT molecular logic gate.



**Figure 10.** (A) Proposed binding mode and responding mechanism of **25** with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . (B) Confocal fluorescence images of HeLa cells incubated by **25** (a, d, and g), **25- $\text{Hg}^{2+}$**  (b, e, and h) and **25- $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  +  $\text{S}^{2-}$**  (c, f, and i), respectively. Reproduced with permission from [56]. Copyright 2020 Elsevier.

Guilin et al. [63] reported a terpyridine-based probe **33** (Figure 11) for detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in aqueous solution. Probe showed the aggregation-induced emission (AIE) property in mixed DMSO/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  mixture. In acidic medium (pH = 2), significant fluorescent enhancement was noticed in presence of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with the red-shifting from 453 nm to 521 nm. The fluorescence enhancement is due to the complexation of **33** with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 2:1 ratio followed by coordination-triggered self-assembly of **33**. Additionally, probe displayed highly efficient removal of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ions from solution by rapid precipitation. In another approach, the triphenylamine (TPA) based NIR fluorescent sensor **34** was developed for the sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Sensor **34** (Figure 11) showed AIE properties with the red-emitting fluorescence at 639 nm in DMSO/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1:99 v/v) mixed media. Sensor **34** is weakly emissive in 80%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ -DMSO mixed solvent, but with the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  caused significant fluorescence enhancement with the spectral shift from 600 nm to 639 nm. The strong fluorescence appeared due to the  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ -directed aggregation of **34** with the sensitivity limit of 30 nM. Sensor **34** was applied for the bio-imaging in HepG-2 cells [64].




**Figure 11.** Molecular structures of the sensors 28 to 34.


Hai-Ling et al. reported a Cu(II)-based three-dimensional zwitterionic MOF and then functionalized with carboxyfluorescein labeled thymine-rich (T-rich) DNA 35 for the sequential detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and biothiols. The non-fluorescent hybrid MOF 35 showed fluorescence enhancement at 518 nm due to the formation of hairpin-like T- $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ -T structure with the sensitivity limit of 3 nM. The formation of rigid complex, the MOF is separated that recovered the fluorescence of dye. This MOF based sensing approach was applied on the environmental water and serum samples for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and homocysteine recovery [65].

İnal et al. [66] reported a salicylaldehyde derived sensor 36 (Figure 13) for the determination of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  in ethanol-aqueous medium. The formation of 36- $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , 36- $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ , and 36- $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  complexes resulted significant fluorescence enhancement at 491, 452, and 474 nm, respectively. Sensor 36 formed complexes with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  in 2:1 ratio whereas with  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  in 1:1 ratio. Sensor 36 showed LOD of 270, 750, and 600 nM towards  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$ , respectively. In another work, the quinolone-based sensor 37 (Figure 13) was introduced for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with the fluorescence method. In presence of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the weakly emissive 37 at 463 nm undergoes large fluorescence enhance-

ment at 490 nm, and the fluorescent color changed from faint blue to green [67]. The sensing mechanism was attributed to complex formation between 37 and  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  which inhibited the PET and the excited-state intramolecular proton-transfer (ESIPT). The detection limit of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  is 2.1 nM. The binding stoichiometry between 37 and  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  is 1:1 performed by job's plot. Sensor 37 gives the reversible response with the addition of  $\text{NaBH}_4$ . In addition, sensor 37 showed selective changes in the presence of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ .



**Figure 12.** (A) Proposed binding mode and responding mechanism of 29 with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . (B) Fluorescence images of three-day-old zebrafish incubated with 29 (20  $\mu\text{M}$ ) at 28  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 min (a–c), subsequent treatment with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  (40  $\mu\text{M}$ ) for 30 min (d–f) and further incubation with  $\text{I}^-$  (40  $\mu\text{M}$ ) for 30 min (g–i).  $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 488 \text{ nm}$ ; yellow channel:  $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 500\text{--}600 \text{ nm}$ ; scale bar: 500  $\mu\text{m}$ . Reproduced with permission from [59]. Copyright 2020 Elsevier.




**Figure 13.** Molecular structures of the sensors 36 to 40.

Xiao et al. [68] reported the boron dipyrromethene (BODIPY) based monomeric **38** and polymeric sensors (**39** and **40**) for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in DMF/buffer (8:2, pH = 7.0) (Figure 13). Emission band of **38** at 529 nm was enhanced after addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  due to the complexation-induced inhibition of PET with a sensitivity limit of 2.40  $\mu\text{M}$ , and also the color of sensor solution turned from orange to orange-green. Similar to **38**, the polymeric sensors **39** and **40** also showed high selectivity towards  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , and their fluorescence enhanced respectively at 621 and 614 nm. The LOD of sensors **39** and **40** to  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  was estimated as 2.86  $\mu\text{M}$  and 0.22  $\mu\text{M}$ , respectively. The polymeric sensors **39** and **40** also showed the colorimetric response from colorless to pink after  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  addition. These low cytotoxicity sensors **38–40** showed good cell permeability and applied successfully to monitor intracellular  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in live A549 cells and zebrafish.

Rhodamines are extensively applied for the designing of fluorescent turn-on sensors, where the colorless and non-fluorescent ring-closed spirolactam form turned to ring-opened form upon interaction with target analyte that caused significant fluorescent enhancement and color change from colorless to an intense color. The complexation-promoted ring-opening of rhodamines is widely used for the designing of many  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  selective fluorescent turn-on sensors. With some exceptions, majority of the sensors discussed here showed dual-mode chromo-fluorogenic response but the fluorescence changes of the sensors are discussed because of their high sensitivity than the UV–vis method with possible appli-

cation in bioimaging. The rhodamine B based fluorescent organic nanoparticles (FONs) **41** (Figure 14) was prepared via the reprecipitation technique. Upon addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the fluorescence of **41** was enhanced at 532 nm ( $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 480$  nm) due to the chelation-enhanced fluorescence (CHEF) phenomenon that open the spirolactum ring. Sensor **41** with the LOD of 8.619 nM was successfully applied to quantify  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in environmental samples (tap and river water) and for intracellular  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  imaging [69]. With the spirolactum ring opening mechanism, several  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  selective fluorescent turn-on sensors were reported. The polyacrylamide-fluorescein based sensor **42** was reported for the fluorescent turn-on sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ( $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 515$  nm,  $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 460$  nm) in PBS buffer (pH = 7.0) [70]. Sensor **42** (Figure 14) showed turn-on fluorescent response to  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  due to the complexation-induced opening of spirolactum ring with the detection limit of 0.4 nM. Sensor **42** showed biological compatibility and cell permeability and successfully applied for turn-on fluorescent determination of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  both in aqueous samples (lake and tap water) and living cells.



**Figure 14.** Molecular structures of the sensors **41** to **44**.

Kaijie et al. [71] reported the rhodamine-based sensor **43** (Figure 14) for the selective detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ -HEPES buffer (1:9, *v/v*). After addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , sensor **43** showed fluorescence enhancement at 580 nm due to the coordination between **43** and  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 2:1 binding stoichiometry followed by opening of rhodamine spirolactam ring. In addition, the sensor **43** showed colorimetric response for the detection of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ . Heng et al. [72] reported a diarylethene and triazole-linked rhodamine B based sensor **44**

(Figure 14) for the recognition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in DMSO ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 520 \text{ nm}$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 606 \text{ nm}$ ). Sensor **44** showed a 88-fold fluorescence enhancement at 606 nm with the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  due to the complexation-induced opening of the rhodamine-spirolactam ring. This sensor showed a detection limit of  $0.13 \mu\text{M}$  and applied to mimic the INHIBIT logic gate by taking  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and TFA as two molecular inputs.

Jin et al. reported a near-infrared fluorescent sensor **45** (Figure 15) for the selective detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH = 7.4, containing 20%  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ ), where the thiosemicarbazide moiety served as a recognition site [73]. Sensor **45** showed the potential response towards  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  by absorption and fluorescence spectra with the detection limit as low as 1.5 nM with fast response times (3 min). Sensor **45** showed fluorescence enhancement at 691 nm with the large Stokes shift (78 nm), while in the absorption spectra, sensor gives the intense absorption at 613 nm after binding with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:1 stoichiometry leading to the color change from colorless to dark blue was observed. Sensor **45** also applied as an efficient organelle-targeting sensor for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in mitochondria of living cells imaging. In another work, the same sensor **45** was applied for the sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in HEPES buffer solution (10 mM, pH 7.4, containing 50% EtOH [74]. Sensor **45** showed the specific fluorescence enhancement at 664 nm ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 590 \text{ nm}$ ) with large Stokes-shift after addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with the fluorescent color change from colorless to deep red. Sensor also showed the colorimetric response with changing the color from colorless from dark blue with naked-eyes. The determined LOD for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  is 1.87 ppb. Mechanism of colorimetric and fluorescence response were explained by desulfurization-cyclization reaction promoted by mercury ions, resulting in the formation of spirolactum ring-opening products. Sensor **45** was also applied in different real water samples.

Asif et al. [75] reported a water soluble *p*-sulphonatocalix[4]arene derived sensor **46** appended with a rhodamine dye for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in aqueous medium (Figure 15). Sensor gives spectral changes after addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with 'turn-on' fluorescent response at 574 nm with the specific color change from colorless to pink. The detection limit for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  sensing was  $3.55 \times 10^{-13} \text{ mL}^{-1}$ . The sensing mechanism was explained by inhibition of PET and the fluorescence enhanced due to chelation-enhanced fluorescence (CHEF) after forming a complex **46**- $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:1 binding stoichiometric. Zifan et al. [76] reported a sensor **47** (Figure 15) containing conjugated dyad quinolone-benzothiazole and rhodamine for the ratiometric detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in DMF- $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (7/3, *v/v*). Upon excitation at 390 nm, the emission band at 504 nm was decreased with the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , while the rhodamine emission intensity at 613 nm was gradually increased due to the FRET with the sensitivity limit of  $0.2 \mu\text{M}$  (Figure 16). In this process, the conjugated dyad serve as a donor and the rhodamine as an acceptor. Sensor **47** was also successfully applied in living cells. In addition, significant color change from colorless to pink attributed by  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  induced by the opening of spirolactum ring.

Saswati et al. [77] reported a rhodamine coupled copillar[5]arene sensor **48** (Figure 17) for the selective sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ions in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  medium. Sensor **48** was non-fluorescent, while showed strong fluorescence enhancement at 573 nm with the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , and the color changed from colorless to pink due to the complexation-induced spirolactam ring opening mechanism. Sensor **48** formed a complex with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:1 stoichiometry, and can be applied to detect  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  down to 28.5 nM. Jian-Peng et al. [78] reported a supramolecular sensor **49** via host-guest inclusion complexation between the host rhodamine hydrazone functionalized pillar[5]arene and the guest bis-pyridinium derivative (Figure 17). Sensor **49** showed both chromogenic and fluorogenic for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in DMSO/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (6:4, *v/v*). The absorbance and fluorescence respective at 562 and 585 nm was enhanced with the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  due to the complexation-induced opening of spirolactam ring. The estimated LOD with the UV-vis and fluorescence methods were  $4.07 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M}$  and  $1.69 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}$ , respectively. In addition, the inductively coupled plasma data supported the ability of sensor to remove  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ .

Jiwen et al. [79] reported a rhodamine B based sensor **50** (Figure 17) for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Sensor was synthesized by combining rhodamine B fluorophore with the thiophene-

triazole unit as an ionophore. With the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , sensor **50** showed significant fluorescence enhancement at 585 nm and also the absorbance increased at 560 nm that turned the colorless solution of **50** in to red. With high sensitivity, the fluorescence enhancement of **50** can be applied to detect  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  down to 16 nM. Sagar et al. [80] reported the sensor **51** (Figure 17) containing two rhodamine units linked with 2,6-pyridinedicarboxaldehyde for the selective detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in DMSO:H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1; v/v). After addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the absorption band at 530 nm enhanced significantly with a visual color change from colorless to pink. While in emission spectra, new fluorescence band appeared at 562 nm due to the conversion of closed form of spirolactum ring of rhodamine to its ring opened form on both side of pyridine ring. The detection limit was obtained as 26 nM. Sensor **51** was applied for the real water samples for practical application.






Figure 15. Molecular structures of the sensors 45 to 47.




**Figure 16.** (A) Fluorescence responses of 47 (1 in image represents sensor 47) (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) in the presence of various metal ions; (B) Fluorescence intensity at 613 nm of 47 (red bars: 47 with other metal ions, green bars: 47 with other metal ions and Hg<sup>2+</sup>,  $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 390 \text{ nm}$ ). (C) The color image of 47 (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) with other metal ions. (D) The fluorescence photo of 47 (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) upon addition Hg<sup>2+</sup> (20 eq.) in the existence of other metal ions (20 eq.). (E) The FRET process of 47 detecting Hg<sup>2+</sup>. Reproduced with permission from [76]. Copyright 2020 Elsevier.



**Figure 17.** Molecular structures of the sensors 48 to 51.

Zixiang et al. [81] reported a rhodamine 6G based sensor **52** (Figure 18) for the selective detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in DMSO/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (7/3, *v/v*). The non-fluorescent sensor showed a significant fluorescence enhancement at 581 nm after addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . In UV-vis spectra, the absorption band at 538 nm become stronger after addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with the colorimetric response from colorless to red. The hydrogel of **52** was also prepared and applied for the reversible sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The sensing mechanism was described by the blocking of PET process upon complexation in 1:1 stoichiometry and the rigidity of the sensor promotes to the chelation-enhanced fluorescence (CHEF) effect. The detection limit of probe for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  detection is 14.9 nM. Yuesong et al. [82] have reported a novel rhodamine–naphthalene derivative **53** (Figure 18) for the  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  detection in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ - $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (7/3, *v/v*). Absorption and emission peak of **53** enhanced respectively at 554 and 604 nm upon addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Sensor **53** is non-fluorescent due to the spiroactam structure of rhodamine moiety; however, spiroactam ring was opened in presence of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , and give the colorimetric and fluorescent response (Figure 19). The LOD of sensor **53** for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  detection are 0.12  $\mu\text{M}$  and 0.38  $\mu\text{M}$  by using absorption and emission analysis, respectively. Sensor **53** was also applied for the test strips and biosensing applications. Guohua et al. [83] have reported a triazole-rhodamine conjugate **54** (Figure 18) for the selective detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in DMF/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1:1, *v/v*, Tris-HCl buffer, pH = 7.4). Free rhodamine sensor showed no fluorescence. However, the com-

plexation of **54** with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 2:1 stoichiometry, the emission enhanced at 557 nm with the color changed from colorless to pink due to the spirolactam ring opening mechanism. The calculated LOD is 1.61 nM. UV-vis spectra also support the same process by exhibiting absorbance band at 563 nm. The  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  detection by sensor **54** was applied for fluorescence imaging in HeLa cells. In another approach, Zhao et al. [84] reported a ferrocenyl containing rhodamine B based sensor **55** (Figure 18) for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{THF}$  (4:1, *v/v*). Sensor **55** showed fluorescence off-on response at 590 nm with the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  due to the formation of a complex in 1:1 stoichiometry. Sensor **55** showed a low detection limit of 16 nM and fast response time (<3 min). Mechanism of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  detection is attributed by desulfurization annulation that triggers the spirolactam ring-opening. Sensor **55** was applied for monitoring of intracellular  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ions in living cells.




**Figure 18.** Molecular structures of the sensors **52** to **55**.

Zhong et al. [85,86] have reported three rhodamine 6G derivative **56–58** (Figure 20) for the fluorescent turn-on sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in  $\text{DMSO}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (7:3, *v/v*). Because of the complexation-induced spirolactam ring opening, the weakly emissive **56**, **57**, and **58** showed significant fluorescence enhancement respectively at 582, 578, and 560 nm. The sensors **56**, **57**, and **58** showed the LOD of 13.4, 15.6, and 16.1 nM respectively for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . In addition, the absorption of **56**, **57**, and **58** enhanced respectively at 536, 537, and 534 nm leading to the naked-eye detectable color change from colorless to pink. Recently, Wei et al. [87] applied the fluorescent sensor **59** (Figure 20) encapsulated in the hydrogel microsphere for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  by using a microfluidic device. The non-fluorescent sensor **59** showed fluorescence enhancement with the color change to red upon addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Sensor **59** showed a reversible response with EDTA and KI. The limit of detection for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  is 120 nM. Hydrogel microsphere probe was also applied for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in real water samples.

Xuliang et al. [88] developed a dansyl-peptide based  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  selective sensor (**60**, dansyl-Glu-Cys-Glu-Trp-NH<sub>2</sub>). Sensor **60** showed two emission maxima at 337 and 550 nm due to the tryptophan and dansyl fluorophores. Addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  caused chelation-induced fluorescence enhancement at 550 with a blue-shift to 505 nm, and the FRET from tryptophan (donor) to dansyl (acceptor) caused quenching at 337 nm. Sensor **60** can be applied to detect  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  down to 23.0 nM. Sequentially, the in situ generated **60**- $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  complex was applied for the sensing of biothiols. The lanthanide-complexes of  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  are also applied for the fluorescent sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . For example, the ratiometric sensor **61** (Figure 21) based on

the lanthanide coordination polymers (CPs) between  $Tb^{3+}$ , guanine monophosphate (GMP) and luminol was developed for the detection of  $Hg^{2+}$  [89]. The addition of  $Hg^{2+}$  leads to the decrease of  $Tb^{3+}$  luminescence at 548 nm due to the higher coordination between  $Hg^{2+}$  and GMP, which inhibits energy transfer from GMP to  $Tb^{3+}$ . While, the fluorescence of luminol at 430 nm increased due to the aggregation-induced emission phenomenon. The ratiometric response of **61** for  $Hg^{2+}$  can be detected down to 1.3 nM. Sensor **61** was successfully used for the determination of  $Hg^{2+}$  in tap water.



**Figure 19.** (A) Sensing mechanism of **53** for  $Hg^{2+}$ . (B) Confocal fluorescence images of HeLa cells with an excitation filter of 488 nm. Probe **53** loaded HeLa cells: (a) Bright field image; (b) Dark field image; (c) Merged images of **a** and **b**. Reproduced with permission from [82]. Copyright 2020 Elsevier.

Peng et al. [90] reported a dansyl based sensor **62** (Figure 21) for the fluorescence turn-on detection of  $Hg^{2+}$  in HEPES buffer. The emission of **62** at 545 nm was weak based on a single dansyl group. The formation of a complex **62**- $Hg^{2+}$  in 2:1 stoichiometry resulted conformational adjustment that reduced the distance between two dansyl groups and formed the dansyl dimer (Figure 22). The monomer-excimer mechanism resulted significant fluorescence enhancement at 515 nm with the sensitivity limit of 22.65 nM. Muzey et al. [91] reported a naphthalimide-sulfamethizole conjugated sensor **63** (Figure 21) for the ratiometric detection of  $Hg^{2+}$  in DMSO/HEPES medium (1:99, *v/v*). The strong fluorescence from the monomeric form of **63** at 390 nm was quenched and a new band appeared at 483 nm due to the complexation-induced formation of excimer. Sensor **63**

formed a complex with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 2:1 ratio that bring the naphthalimide close together to form the excimer. Sensor **63** showed LOD of 14.7 nM, and capable to quantify  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  concentration in real water samples. Tapashree et al. [92] reported a pyrene-hydroxyquinoline conjugated azine based Schiff base **64** (Figure 21) for the selective detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in ethanol- $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (9:1 *v/v*) medium. The absorption bands of **64** at 240 and 290 nm were enhanced with the appearance of a new band at 450 nm upon addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The color also changed from lemon yellow to golden yellow attributed to deprotonation ( $-\text{OH}$ ) upon coordination with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:1 stoichiometry. The monomeric and excimer emission bands centered at 385 and 447 nm of **64** were enhanced due to CHEF effect that inhibited the  $\text{C}=\text{N}$  isomerization and suppressed the PET. Sensor **64** showed the LOD of 0.22  $\mu\text{M}$ . The monomer-excimer based fluorescent sensor **65** (Figure 21) was reported for the selective detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{DMSO}$  (99:1) [93]. The pyrene appended calix[4]arene sensor **65** showed monomer emission at 395 nm. Upon complexation with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 2:1 ratio, the excimer emission enhanced at 472 nm with the sensitivity limit of 8.11 nM. Sensor **65** also showed fluorescence response towards  $\text{Ag}^+$ . Using  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Ag}^+$  as two chemical inputs, the changes in the fluorescence of **65** was studied to mimic the INHIBITION and IMPLICATION logic gates.




Figure 20. Molecular structures of the sensors 56 to 59.

### 3.1.3. Reaction-Based Chemosensors

Chemodosimeter, an irreversible reaction based detection approach, where the probe contains a reactive site that interacts with the target analyte and formed a new product that emits differently from the original probe molecule. In compared to the reversible fluorescent sensors, the reaction-based fluorescent sensors showed better selectivity and specificity due to the structural changes occurred upon chemical reactions with the target analyte. The 7-hydroxycoumarin-derived carbonothioate-based sensor **66** (Figure 23) was designed and synthesized by Xiwei et al. [94] for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  at the maximum emission wavelength 455 nm in water medium. Upon interaction with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , sensor **66** showed a large fluorescence enhancement because of the strengthening of the intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) due to the  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  directed hydrolysis of **66** to form  $\text{HgS}$  and phenol. The sensor **66** showed a LOD of 7.9 nM. Sensor **66** was successfully applied to detect  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in different water samples (river water), living cells and in zebrafish. With similar

sensing mechanism, the multi-analytes selective chemodosimeter **67** (Figure 23) based on naphthalene fluorophore was developed for the rapid detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , hydrazine and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  in  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$  [95]. This probe possesses multiple reactive groups phenyl thiobenzoate, carbon-carbon double bond  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketone for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  and hydrazine, respectively (Figure 24). With  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the phenyl thiobenzoate detached from **67** and formed  $\text{HgS}$  and phenol that resulted in the fluorescence quenching at 580 nm. The  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  sensitivity limit of **67** is 1.10  $\mu\text{M}$ , and applied for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in paper test strips and environmental water samples (seawater, tap water, and mineral water). In another work, the naphthalene derived probe **68** (Figure 23) was introduced for the selective chemodosimetric detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in  $\text{DMSO}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1:3, *v/v*) [96]. After addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the fluorescence intensity enhanced at 444 nm and 644 nm due to desulfurization reaction of **68** leading to the formation of  $\text{HgS}$  and phenol. The probe **68** showed a LOD of 48.79 nM for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , and applied successfully for quantifying  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in various environmental and beverages samples. In addition, probe **68** was applied for the detection of hydrazine.




Figure 21. Molecular structures of the sensors **61** to **65**.




Figure 22. Proposed principle of **62** for detecting  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Reproduced with permission from [90]. Copyright 2020 Elsevier.





Figure 23. Molecular structures of the sensors **66** to **73**.



**Figure 24.** Reaction-based sensing mechanism of 67 with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ . Reproduced with permission from [95]. Copyright 2020 Elsevier.

Zhixiu et al. [97] synthesized a coumarin based sensor **69** (Figure 23) with the conjugation of thiourea for turn-on detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in EtOH/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (2:8, *v/v*) over a broad pH range of 1–11. Addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  to the sensor **69** solution induced a hypsochromic shift of the UV–vis absorption band at 360 nm to 340 nm. Additionally, a gradual enhancement in the fluorescence emission intensity was observed at 475 nm with the detection limit of  $1.46 \times 10^{-7}$  M. The sensing mechanism of probe **69** for fluorescent detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ion is proposed as weakly fluorescent probe **69** readily binds with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ion due to the strong interaction between sulphur atom and thiophilic  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ion. Then, a desulfurization and cyclization process occurred to form strong fluorescence. Sensor **69** was applied in real waste water sample for detecting  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The coumarin-based ratiometric fluorescent probe **70** (Figure 23) was reported for the sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ion in ethanol and HEPES buffer (1:9, *v/v*) medium [98]. Probe **70** was synthesized by the catalytic reaction between coumarin-red dye and DL-dithiothreitol. Probe showed two characteristic emission band at 495 nm and 600 nm, while addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the band at 495 nm was disappeared and the second band at 600 nm was significantly enhanced. The detection limit of probe for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  is 1.6 nM. The DL-dithiothreitol moiety of **70** serve as the recognition receptor for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . After recognition, the DL-dithiothreitol moiety detached from **70** and formed the  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketone. This chemodosimeter based probe was applied for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ion in real water sample, and in living cells and zebrafish.

The fluorescent probe **71** (Figure 23) was developed by the reaction of 6-hydroxy-2-naphthaldehyde and dimethylcarbamothioic for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in aqueous medium [99]. After addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the fluorescence of **71** was enhanced at 443 nm due to the  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ -catalyzed desulfurization reaction to form HgS and 6-hydroxy-2-naphthaldehyde. The LOD of **71** for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  was calculated to be 39.28 nM. Probe was applied for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in test paper strips and in real water samples. Probe **71** also showed selective fluorescence response for the detection of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ . The chemodosimetric probe **72** (Figure 23) based on perylene diimide dye was designed for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in THF- $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1:9, *v/v*) [100]. Highly fluorescent **72** showed a significant fluorescence quenching at 667 nm upon interaction with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , where the butyloxy group serve as the reactive site for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  detection. The LOD of 60 nM and 33 nM was determined by UV–vis and emission methods, respectively. Sensor were successfully applied detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in blood serum and urine and bioimaging in MG-63 cells. The thioxothiazolidin-coumarin based chemodosimeter **73** (Figure 23) was introduced for the selective sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in HEPES-DMSO (99/1, *v/v*) [101]. After interaction with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the emission band at 630 nm was blue-shifted

and enhanced significantly at 580 nm. Remarkable changes were obtained in absorption at 530 nm with decreasing intensity and appearance of a new band at 485 nm, and also the color changed from dark to light pink. The detection limit of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  was estimated to be 15.6  $\mu\text{M}$  and 15.1  $\mu\text{M}$  by absorbance and fluorescence methods, respectively. Sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  by sensor 73 is based on the desulfurization reaction of thiocarbonyl to carbonyl, which was supported by  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR and HRMS-ESI analyses. Probe 73 was applied for detecting  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in living cells by bioimaging experiment.

The phenothiazine derived chemodosimetric probe 74 (Figure 25) containing dithioacetal unit was applied for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  [102]. Upon interaction with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the UV-vis absorption band of 74 at 320 nm was shifted to 390 nm with the appearance of yellow color, while the weakly fluorescent 74 showed significant fluorescence enhancement with a remarkable red-shift from 455 nm to 610 nm due to intramolecular charge transfer process (ICT). With high sensitivity, the fluorescence change of 74 was applied to detect  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  down to 21.2 nM. Sensor 74 was applied successfully to detect  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in drinking water and live cells. With the similar sensing mechanisms, the  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  selective fluorescent probes 75–79 were reported. The AIE active chemodosimeter 75 (Figure 25) was reported for the fluorescent turn-on sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in PBS buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4, containing 1% DMSO). Sensor 75 showed a weak emission at 475 nm. With the  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  directed hydrolysis of 75 at the dithioacetal unit to aldehyde enhanced the ICT effect and also restricted the intramolecular rotations that amplified the fluorescence at 495 nm based on AIE effect. The detection limit of sensor 75 for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  is 36 nM. Sensor 75 was applied for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in real water sample and in living cell imaging [103].

Zhonglong et al. [104] reported a camphor based fluorescent turn-on probe 76 (Figure 25) for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with large Stokes shift of 153 nm in 99% PBS buffer medium. Probe 76 exhibited a maximum absorption at 322 nm that reduced remarkably while a new band emerged at about 355 nm after adding  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . With high sensitivity, the fluorescence of 76 was enhanced at 518 nm upon interaction with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The 1,3-dithiane unit of the 76 can be deprotected into a formyl group under the function of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , thus the probe 76 is transformed into compound 77-CHO. The detection limit of 76 is 19.3 nM for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Sensor was applied in cell imaging experiment and to quantify  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in environmental water samples (tap, distilled, and lake water). The bithiophene-based sensor 77 (Figure 25) was reported for the ultra-rapid detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in aqueous medium with the fluorescent color changed from colorless to blue under UV light irradiation [105]. Significant enhancement in emission of 77 at 470 nm was observed due to the  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  induced desulfurization reaction that strengthened the ICT upon conversion of bithiophene moiety in to aldehyde group (Figure 26). With 77, the  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  concentration can be detected down to 19 nM. Sensor 77 also showed selective changes in the absorption by quenching of absorbance at 334 nm and appearance of a new band at 370 nm after the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The fluorescence changes of 77 was applied to quantify  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  detection in water, seafood as well as human urine samples. In addition, Sensor 77 was applied for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  detection by developing test paper strips and performing bio-imaging in HeLa cells.

Meiju et al. [106] reported a fluorescent sensor 78 (Figure 25) based on the naphthalimide derivative for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in PBS buffer. In UV-vis absorption, the sensor 78 showed blue-shift from 461 to 417 nm with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The fluorescence emission enhanced at 510 nm with increasing concentration of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . In the presence of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the sensor 78 reacted specifically with the mercury ion to produce an aldehyde and emitted strong fluorescence, and the yellow color of the solution turned to light green. The detection limit for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  was found to be 40 nM. The sensor 78 was successfully applied to the living cell imaging to detect  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in PC-12 cells. The tetraphenylethylene (TPE) derivative 79 (Figure 25) reported by Long et al. showed aggregation-induced emission features in THF/water mixtures. TPE derivatives maintain AIE activities after grafting on fibers, however, the strong fluorescence emission at 477 nm was gradually weakened after  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  addition due to  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ -initiated cleavage of dithioacetal moieties. The LOD reached as low as 20 nM  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . In addition, the electrospun fibrous strips with grafted TPE and

dithioacetal moieties are designed for the detection of trace  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with the visual change of color strip from green to blue [107].






Figure 25. Molecular structures of the sensors 74 to 79.



**Figure 26.** (A) Proposed sensing mechanism of 77 (2TS = 77) for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . (B) Fluorescence spectra of 77 (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) after addition of 20  $\mu\text{M}$  of various tested ions in 100% aqueous solution; Inset: fluorimetric responses of 77 (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) in 100% aqueous solution after the addition of various tested ions. Reproduced with permission from [105]. Copyright 2020 Elsevier.

Abani et al. [108] reported a trinuclear Zn(II)/Cd(II) Schiff base complexes **80** and **81** for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in aqueous medium via chemodosimetric approach (Figure 27). Two absorption maxima at 388 nm and 390 nm were red-shifted and the colorless solution turned to distinct yellow. Complexes showed emission maxima at  $\lambda_{\text{em}} \sim 461$  nm (**80**) and 464 nm (**81**) were red-shifted to 475 and 472 nm, respectively and undergo considerable decrease in fluorescence intensity. The LOD estimated for complexes **80** and **81** to detect  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  were 1.11 and 1.89  $\mu\text{M}$ , respectively. The most probable chemodosimetric mechanism explained via the cleavage of the imine bond through hydrolysis. Results were confirmed by different spectroscopic techniques including  $^1\text{H}$  NMR titration.



**Figure 27.** Molecular structures of the sensors 80 to 84.

Chunqing et al. [109] reported the monomeric BODIPY based Schiff bases **82** and **83**, and the polymeric derivative **84** for the fluorescent turn-on sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  in DMF/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1:1, *v/v*) (Figure 27). Both the selective metal ions hydrolysed the imine linkage and formed the original BODIPY aldehyde. The emission and absorption peak of the probes were blue-shifted and enhanced. The emission of **82**, **83**, and **84** at 549, 550, and 559 nm was blue-shifted and enhanced respectively at 523, 529, and 528 nm. The probes **82**, **83**, and **84** showed the LOD of 0.21, 0.63, and 0.19  $\mu\text{M}$  respectively for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The polymeric probe **84** showed high sensitivity than the probes **82** and **83**. Similarly, the absorption of **82**, **83**, and **84** at 520, 545, and 548 nm was blue-shifted and enhanced respectively at 490, 499, and 501 nm.

### 3.2. Colorimetric Sensors

The colorimetric sensors provide naked-eyes detectable color change for the cost-effective of target analytes (Table 3). The ruthenium derived complex **85** (Figure 28) was reported for the colorimetric detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , where the coordination mode of  $\text{Ru}^{2+}$  to the C-atom is changed to S-atom with the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  (Figure 29). The  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ -prompted switch in coordination mode in **85** caused a color from dark red to light yellow, and the absorption band at 506 and 730 nm are gradually quenched with the sensitivity limit of 21 nM [110]. With the similar approach, the ruthenium complex based sensor **86** (Figure 28)

was reported for the selective colorimetric detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The color of **86** turned from red to yellow, due to the formation of a new low energy band at 410 nm, while pre-existed band at 503 declined with the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The detection limit of **86** for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  is 0.053  $\mu\text{M}$ . Job's plot confirmed the binding stoichiometry of sensor **86**- $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  complex is 1:1 mode. For the practical applicability, the sensor was grafted into a polymer membrane and applied for the colorimetric detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  [111].

**Table 3.** Analytical parameters of the colorimetric sensors **85** to **102**.

Sensors	Medium	$\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ (with/without $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ )	LOD	Applications	Ref.
85	Aqueous media	Quenching of bands at 506 and 730 nm	21 nM	-	[110]
86	DMSO:HEPES (5:95, v/v)	Quenching at 503 with the new band formation at 610 nm	53 nM	Polymer coated membrane	[111]
87	HEPES buffer	Quenching of bands at 390 and 530 nm	0.27 $\mu\text{M}$	Test color strips	[112]
88	$\text{CH}_3\text{CN}:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (7:3, v/v)	Band at 447 nm shifted to 519 nm	0.473 $\mu\text{M}$	Test color strips and real water analysis	[113]
89	DMSO: $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (4:1 v/v)	Band at 502 nm shifted to 395 nm	6.1 $\mu\text{M}$	Cellulose test strips, Logic Gate Operation	[114]
90	BufferDMF (98:2, v/v).	Quenching of bands at 350 and enhancement at 400 nm	0.11 $\mu\text{M}$	Real water sample analysis	[115]
91	Aqueous medium	Enhancement of band at 540 nm	0.100 and 0.180 $\mu\text{g/L}$	Real water sample analysis	[116]
92	Aqueous medium	Enhancement of band at 567 nm	0.22 and 0.61 $\mu\text{g/L}$	Real water sample analysis	[117]
93	$\text{CH}_3\text{CN}:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1:1, v/v)	Band at 448 nm shifted to 523 nm	-	-	[118]
94	$\text{CH}_3\text{OH}:\text{HEPES}$ (7: 3, v/v)	Band at 414 nm shifted to 498 nm	220 nM	Real water analysis, silica coating and test color strips	[119]
95	$\text{CH}_3\text{CN}:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1:1, v/v).	Band at 517 nm shifted to 415 nm	-	Real water analysis	[120]
96	HEPES buffered	Band at 247 nm shifted to 234 nm	40 nM	-	[121]
97	$\text{CH}_3\text{OH}:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1: 1, v/v)	Band at 465 nm shifted to 485 nm	25.7 nM	INHIBIT logic gate	[122]
98	$\text{MeCN}:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1:1, v/v)	Formation of new band at 470 and quenching at 380 nm	0.95 nM	Real water sample analysis	[123]
99	DMSO: $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2:1, v/v)	Enhancement of band at 280 nm	4.89 $\mu\text{M}$	Real water sample analysis	[124]
100	$\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ (9:1, v/v)	Quenching of bands at 319 and 380 nm with the formation of new band at 610 nm	8.5 $\mu\text{M}$	Test color strips and real water sample analysis	[125]
101	Aqueous medium	Band at 335 nm shifted to 305 nm	1 $\mu\text{M}$	Real time application	[126]
102	DMSO: $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (8:2, v/v)	Band at 290 nm shifted and two new bands formed at 255 and 292 nm	0.10 mM	-	[127]




Figure 28. Molecular structures of the sensors 85 to 89.





Figure 29. The possible sensing mechanism of 85 with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Reproduced with permission from [110]. Copyright 2020 The Royal Society of Chemistry.

The *p*-toluenesulfonate salt of merocyanine dye 87 (Figure 28) was reported for the colorimetric sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in HEPES buffer. Sensor 87 showed two absorption bands at 390 nm and 530 nm. After complexation with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , both the absorption bands showed decrease in the intensity with the color change from pink to colorless easily detected by naked eyes. Sensor showed a micromolar detection limit of 0.27  $\mu\text{M}$  and was also applied for the visual detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  by using paper test strip of 87 [112]. The pyrazole-based colorimetric sensor 88 (Figure 28) was developed for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in semi-aqueous medium. The absorption band of 88 at 447 nm was red-shifted to 519 nm with a noticeable color change from yellow to pink upon complexation with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 2:1 stoichiometry. Sensor

**88** showed LOD of  $4.73 \times 10^{-7}$  M, and was applied successfully to quantify  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in various environmental samples [113]. In another work, the azo dye based chromogenic sensor **89** (Figure 28) was studied for selective detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in DMSO- $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (4:1 *v/v*) medium. Sensor **89** showed absorption at 502 nm due to strong intermolecular charge transfer (ICT) transition with the solution color as reddish-pink. Addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  decreased the absorbance at 502 nm and blue-shifted to 395 nm. The complex formation between sensor **89** and  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  restricted the ICT that caused blue-shift in absorbance, and the solution become colorless. Sensor **89** formed complex with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:1 stoichiometric through the salicylaldehyde unit and the complexation reversed with the addition of  $\text{F}^-$  [114].

The conjugated Schiff base receptor **90** (Figure 30) showed colorimetric response for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in buffer/DMF (98:2) [115]. Only  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  showed spectral and color changes from pale yellow to orange. The absorption band at 400 nm was increased with decreasing of **90** band at 350 nm due to the formation a complex **90**- $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 2:1 stoichiometry. The limit of detection of probe for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  is 0.11  $\mu\text{M}$ , and applied to quantify  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in real water samples.



**Figure 30.** Molecular structures of the sensors **90** to **94**.


Thirumalai et al. [116] reported two solid templates, mesoporous silica monoliths (MSMs) and mesoporous polymer monoliths (MPMs), immobilized with the amphiphilic chromo-ionophoric **91** (Figure 30) to develop solid-state sensors for naked-eye colorimetric sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Upon interaction with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the solid-state sensors showed color transition from light orange to deep red due to the metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT). The LOD for **91**-MPM and **91**-MSM sensors was estimated as 0.100 and 0.180  $\mu\text{g/L}$ , respectively. Both the sensors applied successfully in real sample analysis to quantify  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  concentrations. The solid-state sensor **92** (Figure 30) based on rhodamine B hydrazide derivative mobilized in mesoporous silica monolith was developed for the ultra-trace colorimetric detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  from aqueous medium [117]. The absorption of **92** was changed at 567 nm after each addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , and the light pink color turned to deep violet. Sensor **92** can be used to detect  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  down to 0.61  $\mu\text{g/L}$ , and applied successfully for quantifying  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ion in real water samples (ground, lake, and river water).

Hyokyung et al. [118] introduced a Pt complex **93** (Figure 30) coordinated with the ligands 1,2-bis[bis(pentafluorophenyl)phosphino]ethane) and 1,3-dithiole-2-thione-4,5-dithiolate for the selective colorimetric detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1:1, *v/v*). Complex **93** showed  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  selective color change from yellow to vivid red due to the interaction of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  at  $>\text{C}=\text{S}$ , and also the absorbance at 448 nm of **93** was red-shifted to 523 nm. The colorimetric sensor **94** (Figure 30) based on the anthracene moiety was developed for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in HEPES buffered  $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}:\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (7:3) medium. Sensor **94** showed absorbance at 414 nm was disappeared with the formation of a new red-shifted band at 498 nm after the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The complexation-induced spectral change caused due to ICT also showed naked-eye detectable color change from yellow to pink. With sensor **94**, the concentration of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  can be detected down to 220 nM. Sensor **94** was applied for the various practical applications including naked-eyes detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  using paper strips and solid silica gel, and also to quantify  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  concentration in real water samples [119].

The benzopyran based colorimetric sensor **95** (Figure 31) was reported for the visual detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ion in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  medium (1:1, *v/v*). In this sensor, the dicyanomethylene-4H-chromene serve as a fluorophore whereas the dithiadioxo-monoaza crown ether as the recognition unit. Sensor **95** absorption at 517 nm showed hypsochromic shift with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and a new band generated at 415 nm. Color of probe is also changed from rose red to yellow detected by naked eyes. Color and spectral changes mainly attributed by the blocking of ICT process. In fluorescence study, the strong emission peak at 645 nm was significantly quenched with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  due to the complex formation of **95**- $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:1 ratio. The detection limit is 0.14  $\mu\text{M}$ . For practical applications, sensor was applied for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  detection in real aqueous samples and live cell imaging [120].

Zhang et al. [121] reported chemosensor **96** (Figure 31) based on azobenzene for the selective detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in HEPES buffered solution. In UV-vis study, the sensor **96** showed characteristic absorbance at 358 and 247 nm respectively due to  $\pi-\pi^*$  and  $n-\pi^*$  transitions. After interaction with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the  $\pi-\pi^*$  band was suppressed and blue-shifted by 13 nm. Absorption changes arising from the pull-push effect between electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups of the azobenzene chromophore. The nitrobenzoxadiazole-antipyrine conjugate **97** (Figure 31) was studied for the colorimetric sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{CN}^-$  in  $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}:\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1:1, *v/v*). Addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  evolved new absorption band at 530 nm and band at 465 nm was red-shifted to 485 nm leading to the color change from pale yellow to pink due to the formation of a charge-transfer complex between probe and  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 2:1 stoichiometry. Sensor showed reversibility with  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}$  and the LOD of  $2.57 \times 10^{-8}$  M  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  [122]. The colorimetric sensor **98** (Figure 31) was reported for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  in  $\text{MeCN}-\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1:1, *v/v*). The colorless solution of **98** turned brick-red to the naked eye with the addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ , respectively due to the complexation-induced LMCT. The complexation led to the formation of a new absorption at 470 nm and the quenching of sensor band at 380 nm. The estimated detection limit for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  is 0.95 nM, and the sensor applied for real samples analyses [123].

The azo-phenylthiourea based receptor **99** (Figure 31) was applied as a colorimetric sensor for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in  $\text{DMSO}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (2:1, *v/v*). Sensor **99** showed an absorption band centered at 365 nm, attributed to ICT of azo skeleton. Interaction with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:1 stoichiometry, sensor **99** generated a new ICT band at 280 nm with the significant hypsochromic shift of 85 nm. Sensor **99** showed the lower detection limit of 4.89  $\mu\text{M}$  for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , and applied for the monitoring of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in the real samples [124]. In another work, Gargi et al. reported an azo dye based colorimetric sensor **100** (Figure 31) for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 9:1 (*v/v*) aqueous  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ . After addition of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  to the colorless solution of **100** showed a new absorption band at 610 nm that enhance  $\pi$ -delocalization and reduced the energy of  $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$  transition leading to the appearance of greenish-blue color. Job's plot confirmed 1:1 stoichiometry between **100** and  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The limit of detection was 8.5  $\mu\text{M}$ , and the sensor was applied for the real water analysis [125].



**Figure 31.** Molecular structures of the sensors **95** to **102**.

The ninhydrin–thiosemicarbazone based sensor **101** (Figure 31) was developed for the colorimetric sensing of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in aqueous medium [126]. After complexing with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in 1:1 ratio, the absorption band of **101** at 335 nm was shifted to 305 nm without any pH effect, and the solution color turned from yellow to colorless. Addition of strong chelating agent ETDA reversed the color change occurred due to the **101**- $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  complex formation in solution. The LOD of **101** for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  detection was 1  $\mu\text{M}$ . Gurjaspreet et al. [127] prepared an antipyrine based sensor **102** (Figure 31) for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  in DMSO/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (8/2 *v/v*) by UV–vis method. After interaction with  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , the absorption band of sensor at 290 nm was blue-shifted with the evolution of two bands at 255 nm and 292 nm due to the participation of azomethine linkage in the formation of Hg–N bond. The **102** LOD to detect  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  was estimated as 0.10 mM.

#### 4. Conclusions

In this review, we have summarized 102 chromo-fluorogenic chemosensors reported in the year 2020 for the sensing of mercuric ion. Most of the developed sensors are easy-to-prepare, low cost, and showed high selectivity and rapid response. In compared to colorimetric sensors, more focus is given on the development of fluorescent sensors because of the high sensitivity and their utility in monitoring intracellular  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  ions in live cells. The majority of the summarized sensors are based on the well-known sensing mechanisms

like PET, ICT, ESIPT, AIE, FRET, and excimer-monomer. The majority of the fluorescent sensors are either turn-off or turn-on, and there is need of more research on the designing of ratiometric sensors for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Also, there is need of more attention in the designing of sensors applicable in pure aqueous medium over a wide pH range. Despite high sensitivity, the commercialization of sensors for real-world samples detection required great efforts on improving the sensor performance and also on fabrication methods. Therefore, future research may be focused on integrating the fascinating color change shown by the sensors even at low concentration with smartphone and other portable devices for the on-site, real-time and cost-effective detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The paper chips, polymeric or other testing strips of sensors may be developed for the detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . The sensing mechanisms should be properly investigated to provide appropriate future directions to optimize the structure and performance for the designing of sensors. The concepts from nano and supramolecular chemistry may also be incorporated in the designing of novel sensors with improve sensing performance and to minimize the interference from other analytes in complex biological samples. We believe this review will provide new directions for designing novel and cost-effective sensors for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  with improved aqueous solubility, selectivity, and sensitivity.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization: S.K.S. and V.M.N.; Writing—original draft preparation: V.B.; Writing—review and editing, S.K.S. and V.M.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Kaur, B.; Kaur, N.; Kumar, S. Colorimetric Metal Ion Sensors—A Comprehensive Review of the Years 2011–2016. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *358*, 13–69. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Patil, A.; Salunke-Gawali, S. Overview of the Chemosensor Ligands Used for Selective Detection of Anions and Metal Ions ( $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ ). *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2018**, *482*, 99–112. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Sahoo, S.K.; Crisponi, G. Recent Advances on Iron (III) Selective Fluorescent Probes with Possible Applications in Bioimaging. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 3267. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Wu, D.; Sedgwick, A.C.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Akkaya, E.U.; Yoon, J.; James, T.D. Fluorescent Chemosensors: The Past, Present and Future. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46*, 7105–7123. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Sahoo, S.K.; Sharma, D.; Bera, R.K.; Crisponi, G.; Callan, J.F. Iron (III) Selective Molecular and Supramolecular Fluorescent Probes. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 7195–7227. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Houston, M.C. Role of Mercury Toxicity in Hypertension, Cardiovascular Disease, and Stroke. *J. Clin. Hypertens.* **2011**, *13*, 621–627. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Dufault, R.; Schnoll, R.; Lukiw, W.J.; LeBlanc, B.; Cornett, C.; Patrick, L.; Wallinga, D.; Gilbert, S.G.; Crider, R. Mercury Exposure, Nutritional Deficiencies and Metabolic Disruptions may Affect Learning in Children. *Behav. Brain Funct.* **2009**, *5*, 44. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Jayadevimanoranjitham, J.; Narayanan, S.S. 2,4,6-Trimercaptotriazine Incorporated Gold Nanoparticle Modifiedelectrode for Anodic Stripping Voltammetric Determination of Hg (II). *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2018**, *448*, 444–454. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Aderinto, S.O. Fluorescent, Colourimetric, and Ratiometric Probes Based on Diverse Fluorophore Motifs for Mercuric (II) ion ( $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ ) Sensing: Highlights from 2011 to 2019. *Chem. Pap.* **2020**, *74*, 3195–3232. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Culzoni, M.J.; de La Peña, A.M.; Machuca, A.; Goicoechea, H.C.; Babiano, R. Rhodamine and BODIPY Chemodosimeters and Chemosensors for the Detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , Based on Fluorescence Enhancement Effects. *Anal. Methods* **2013**, *5*, 30–49. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Yan, Z.; Yuen, M.F.; Hu, L.; Sun, P.; Lee, C.S. Advances for the Colorimetric Detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in Aqueous Solution. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 48373–48388. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Liu, C.; Chen, X.; Zong, B.; Mao, S. Recent Advances in Sensitive and Rapid Mercury Determination with Graphene-Based Sensors. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2019**, *7*, 6616–6630. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Mahato, P.; Saha, S.; Das, P.; Agarwalla, H.; Das, A. An Overview of the Recent Developments on  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  Recognition. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 36140–36174. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Chen, G.; Guo, Z.; Zeng, G.; Tang, L. Fluorescent and Colorimetric Sensors for Environmental Mercury Detection. *Analyst* **2015**, *140*, 5400–5443. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Hylander, L.D.; Meili, M. 500 Years of Mercury Production: Global Annual Inventory by Region until 2000 and Associated Emissions. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2003**, *304*, 13–27. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Crisponi, G.; Nurchi, V.M. Metal ion toxicity. *Encycl. Inorg. Bioinorg. Chem.* **2011**, 1–14. [[CrossRef](#)]

17. Andrade, V.; Aschner, M.; Dos Santos, A.M. Neurotoxicity of Metal Mixtures. In *Neurotoxicity of Metals*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2017; pp. 227–265.
18. Bjørklund, G.; Dadar, M.; Mutter, J.; Aaseth, J. The Toxicology of Mercury: Current Research and Emerging Trends. *Environ. Res.* **2017**, *159*, 545–554. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Clarkson, T.W.; Magos, L.; Myers, G.J. The Toxicology of Mercury—Current Exposures and Clinical Manifestations. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2003**, *349*, 1731–1737. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Guzzi, G.; La Porta, C.A. Molecular Mechanisms Triggered by Mercury. *Toxicology* **2008**, *244*, 1–12. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
21. Bjørklund, G.; Crisponi, G.; Nurchi, V.M.; Cappai, R.; Buha Djordjevic, A.; Aaseth, J. A Review on Coordination Properties of Thiol-Containing Chelating Agents Towards Mercury, Cadmium, and Lead. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 3247. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Vas, J.; Monestier, M. Immunology of Mercury. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2008**, *1143*, 240–267. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Crisponi, G.; Nurchi, V.M.; Crespo-Alonso, M.; Toso, L. Chelating Agents for Metal Intoxication. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *19*, 2794–2815. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Bakka, A.; Aaseth, J.; Rugstad, H.E. Influence of Certain Chelating Agents on Egress of Cadmium from Cultured Epithelial Cells Containing High Amounts of Metallothionein: A Screening of Cd-Releasing and Toxic Effects. *Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **1981**, *49*, 432–437. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Walker Jr, E.M.; Stone, A.; Milligan, L.B.; Gale, G.R.; Atkins, L.M.; Smith, A.B.; Jones, M.M.; Singh, P.K.; Basinger, M.A. Mobilization of Lead in Mice by Administration of Monoalkyl Esters of Meso-2, 3-Dimercaptosuccinic Acid. *Toxicology* **1992**, *76*, 79–87. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Andersen, O. Principles and Recent Developments in Chelation Treatment of Metal Intoxication. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 2683–2710. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Gersl, V.; Hrdina, R.; Vávrová, J.; Polická, V.; Voglova, J.; Mazurova, Y.; Balgar, J. Effects of Repeated Administration of Dithiol Chelating Agent-Sodium 2, 3-Dimercapto-1-Propanesulphonate (DMPS) on Biochemical and Haematological Parameters in Rabbits. *Acta Med. Hradec Kral.* **1997**, *40*, 3–8.
28. Hurlbut, K.M.; Maiorino, R.M.; Mayersohn, M.; Dart, R.C.; Bruce, D.C.; Aposhian, H.V. Determination and Metabolism of Dithiol Chelating Agents. XVI: Pharmacokinetics of 2,3-Dimercapto-1-Propanesulfonate After Intravenous Administration to Human Volunteers. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1994**, *268*, 662–668.
29. Aaseth, J.; Jacobsen, D.; Andersen, O.; Wickstrøm, E. Treatment of Mercury and Lead Poisonings with Dimercaptosuccinic Acid and Sodium Dimercaptopropanesulfonate. A Review. *Analyst* **1995**, *120*, 853–854. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. Aposhian, H.V. DMSA and DMPS—Water Soluble Antidotes for Heavy Metal Poisoning. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **1983**, *23*, 193–215. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Bjørklund, G.; Aaseth, J.; Crisponi, G.; Rahman, M.M.; Chirumbolo, S. Insights on Alpha Lipoic and Dihydrolipoic Acids as Promising Scavengers of Oxidative Stress and Possible Chelators in Mercury Toxicology. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **2019**, *195*, 111–119. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Bozkurt, E.; Gul, H.I. Selective Fluorometric “Turn-off” Sensing for Hg<sup>2+</sup> with Pyrazoline Compound and Its Application in Real Water Sample Analysis. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2020**, *502*, 119288. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Ergun, E.G.C.; Ertas, G.; Eroglu, D. A Benzimidazole-Based Turn-Off Fluorescent Sensor for Selective Detection of Mercury (II). *J. Photochem. Photobiol.* **2020**, *394*, 112469. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Jiang, J.; Lu, Y.; Liu, J.; Zhou, Y.; Zhao, D.; Li, C. An Acid-Base Resistant Zn-Based Metal-Organic Framework as a Luminescent Sensor for Mercury (II). *J. Solid State Chem.* **2020**, *283*, 121153. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. He, Y.; Wang, X.; Wang, K.; Wang, L. A Triarylamine-Based Fluorescent Covalent Organic Framework for Efficient Detection and Removal of Mercury (II) Ion. *Dye. Pigment.* **2020**, *173*, 107880. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Wang, L.; Lou, C.; Duan, S.; Cheng, D.; Wang, A.; Zhao, B.; Zhao, H.; Yin, G.; Zhao, M. Piperazine-tuned Benzimidazole-based Multifunctional Fluorescent Sensor for the Detection of Mercury (II) Ion and pH. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *119*, 108096. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Mondal, S.; Patra, N.; Nayek, H.P.; Hira, S.K.; Chatterjee, S.; Dey, S. Unusual Absence of FRET in Triazole Bridged Coumarin–Hydroxyquinoline, an Active Sensor for Hg<sup>2+</sup> Detection. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **2020**, *19*, 1211–1221. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Sethupathi, M.; Muthusankar, G.; Thamilarasan, V.; Sengottuvelan, N.; Gopu, G.; Vinita, N.M.; Kumar, P.; Perdih, F. Macrocyclic “Tet a” Derived Colorimetric Sensor for the Detection of Mercury Cations and Hydrogen Sulphate Anions and Its Bio-Imaging in Living Cells. *J. Photochem. Photobiol.* **2020**, *203*, 111739. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Ghosh, T.; Chatterjee, S.; Bhayani, K.; Mishra, S. A Natural Cyanobacterial Protein C-Phycocerythrin as an Hg<sup>2+</sup> Selective Fluorescent Probe in Aqueous Systems. *New J. Chem.* **2020**, *44*, 6601–6609. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Nunes, M.C.; dos Santos Carlos, F.; Fuganti, O.; da Silva, L.A.; Ribas, H.T.; Winnischofer, S.M.B.; Nunes, F.S. A Facile Preparation of a New Water-Soluble Acridine Derivative and Application as a Turn-off Fluorescence Chemosensor for Selective Detection of Hg<sup>2+</sup>. *J. Fluoresc.* **2020**, *30*, 235–247. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Xue, S.; Wang, P.; Chen, K. A Novel Fluorescent Chemosensor For Detection of Mercury (II) Ions Based on Dansyl-Peptide and Its Application in Real Water Samples and Living LNCap Cells. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A* **2020**, *226*, 117616. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Bhaskar, R.; Sarveswari, S. Thiocarbohydrazide Based Schiff Base as a Selective Colorimetric and Fluorescent Chemosensor for Hg<sup>2+</sup> with “Turn-Off” Fluorescence Responses. *ChemistrySelect* **2020**, *5*, 4050–4057. [[CrossRef](#)]

43. Yu, Y.; Li, G.; Liu, J.; Yuan, D. A Recyclable Fluorescent Covalent Organic Framework for Exclusive Detection and Removal of Mercury (II). *Chem. Eng. J.* **2020**, *401*, 126139. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Cui, W.R.; Jiang, W.; Zhang, C.R.; Liang, R.P.; Liu, J.; Qiu, J.D. Regenerable Carbohydrazide-Linked Fluorescent Covalent Organic Frameworks for Ultrasensitive Detection and Removal of Mercury. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2019**, *8*, 445–451. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Moradi, E.; Rahimi, R.; Safarifard, V. Porphyrinic Zirconium-based MOF with Exposed Pyrrole Lewis Base Site as an Efficient Fluorescence Sensing for Hg<sup>2+</sup> ions, DMF small Molecule, and Adsorption of Hg<sup>2+</sup> ions from Water Solution. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2020**, *286*, 121277. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Rathod, R.V.; Bera, S.; Maity, P.; Mondal, D. Mechanochemical Synthesis of a Fluorescein-Based Sensor for the Selective Detection and Removal of Hg<sup>2+</sup> Ions in Industrial Effluents. *ACS Omega* **2020**, *5*, 4982–4990. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
47. Prabu, S.; Mohamad, S. Curcumin/Beta-Cyclodextrin Inclusion Complex as a New “Turn-Off” Fluorescent Sensor System for Sensitive Recognition of Mercury Ion. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2020**, *1204*, 127528. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Gharami, S.; Aich, K.; Ghosh, P.; Patra, L.; Murmu, N.; Mondal, T.K. A Fluorescent “ON–OFF–ON” Switch for the Selective and Sequential Detection of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and I<sup>−</sup> with Applications in Imaging using Human AGS Gastric Cancer Cells. *Dalton Trans.* **2020**, *49*, 187–195. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
49. Kumar, A.; Kumar, S.; Chae, P.S. A Novel Anthrapyridone Diamine-Based Probe for Selective and Distinctive Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Hg<sup>2+</sup> Sensing in Aqueous Solution; Utility as Molecular Logic Gates. *Dye. Pigment.* **2020**, *181*, 108522. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Mandegani, F.; Zali-Boeini, H.; Khayat, Z.; Braun, J.D.; Herbert, D.E. Low-Molecular-Weight Gelators as Dual-Responsive Chemosensors for the Naked-Eye Detection of Mercury (II) and Copper (II) Ions and Molecular Logic Gates. *ChemistrySelect* **2020**, *5*, 886–893. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Kumar, P.S.; Elango, K.P. A Simple Organic Probe for Ratiometric Fluorescent Detection of Zn(II), Cd(II) and Hg(II) Ions in Aqueous Solution via Varying Emission Colours to Distinguish One Another. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A* **2020**, *241*, 118610. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Choudhury, N.; Ruidas, B.; Saha, B.; Srikanth, K.; Mukhopadhyay, C.D.; De, P. Multifunctional Tryptophan-Based Fluorescent Polymeric Probes for Sensing, Bioimaging and Removal of Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Hg<sup>2+</sup> Ions. *Polym. Chem.* **2020**, *11*, 2015–2026. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Elmas, S.N.K.; Dincer, Z.E.; Erturk, A.S.; Bostanci, A.; Karagoz, A.; Koca, M.; Sadi, G.; Yilmaz, I. A Novel Fluorescent Probe Based on Isocoumarin for Hg<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> Ions and Its Application in live-Cell Imaging. *Spectrochim. Acta* **2020**, *224*, 117402. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Germani, R.; Anastasio, P.; Chiodini, M.; del Giacco, T.; Tiecco, M.; Belpassi, L. Fluorescent Signal Transduction in a Self-Assembled Hg<sup>2+</sup> Chemosensor Tuned by Various Interactions in Micellar Aqueous Environment. *J. Photochem. Photobiol.* **2020**, *389*, 112276. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Ngororabanga, J.M.V.; Moyo, C.B.; Tshentu, Z.R. A Novel Multidentate Pyridyl Ligand: A Turn-on Fluorescent Chemosensor for Hg<sup>2+</sup> and Its Potential Application in Real Sample Analysis. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A* **2020**, *242*, 118651. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
56. Bu, F.; Zhao, B.; Kan, W.; Ding, L.; Liu, T.; Wang, L.; Song, B.; Wang, W.; Deng, Q. An ESIPT characteristic “Turn-On” Fluorescence Sensor for Hg<sup>2+</sup> with Large Stokes Shift and Sequential “Turn-Off” Detection of S<sup>2−</sup> as well as the Application in Living Cells. *J. Photochem. Photobiol.* **2020**, *387*, 112165. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Liu, Y.; Zhang, J.; Feng, T.; Li, Y. Synthesis, Structure–Fluorescence Relationships and Density Functional Theory Studies of Novel Naphthalimide–Piperazine–Pyridine-Based Polystyrene Sensors for Hg (II) Detection. *RSC Adv.* **2020**, *10*, 25281–25289. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. He, H.; Meng, X.; Deng, L.; Sun, Q.; Huang, X.; Lan, N.; Zhao, F. A Novel Benzothiadiazole-Based and NIR-Emissive Fluorescent Sensor for Detection of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and Its Application in Living Cell and Zebrafish Imaging. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2020**, *18*, 6357–6363. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
59. Wang, J.H.; Liu, Y.M.; Dong, Z.M.; Chao, J.B.; Wang, H.; Wang, Y.; Shuang, S. New Colorimetric and Fluorometric Chemosensor for Selective Hg<sup>2+</sup> Sensing in a Near-Perfect Aqueous Solution and Bio-Imaging. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2020**, *382*, 121056. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Kraithong, S.; Panchan, W.; Charoenpanich, A.; Sirirak, J.; Sahasithiwat, S.; Swanglap, P.; Promarak, V.; Thamyongkit, P.; Wanichacheva, N. A Method to Detect Hg<sup>2+</sup> in Vegetable via a “Turn-ON” Hg<sup>2+</sup>–Fluorescent Sensor with a Nanomolar Sensitivity. *J. Photochem. Photobiol.* **2020**, *389*, 112224. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Wang, X.; Ma, X.; Wen, J.; Geng, Z.; Wang, Z. A Novel Bimacrocyclic Polyamine-Based Fluorescent Probe for Sensitive Detection of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and Glutathione in Human Serum. *Talanta* **2020**, *207*, 120311. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Tripathy, M.; Subuddhi, U.; Patel, S. A Styrylpyridinium Dye as Chromogenic and Fluorogenic Dual Mode Chemosensor for Selective Detection of Mercuric Ion: Application in Bacterial Cell Imaging and Molecular Logic Gate. *Dye. Pigment.* **2020**, *174*, 108054. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Zhou, G.; Zhang, X.; Ni, X.L. Tuning the Amphiphilicity of Terpyridine-Based Fluorescent Probe in Water: Assembly and Disassembly-Controlled Hg<sup>2+</sup> Sensing, Removal, and Adsorption of H<sub>2</sub>S. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2020**, *384*, 121474. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Wen, X.; Yan, L.; Fan, Z. A novel AIE Active NIR Fluorophore Based Triphenylamine for Sensing of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and CN<sup>−</sup> and Its Multiple Application. *Spectrochim. Acta* **2020**, *241*, 118664. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
65. Chen, H.L.; Li, R.T.; Wu, K.Y.; Hu, P.P.; Zhang, Z.; Huang, N.H.; Zhang, W.H.; Chen, J.X. Experimental and Theoretical Validations of a One-Pot Sequential Sensing of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and Biothiols by a 3D Cu-Based Zwitterionic Metal–Organic Framework. *Talanta* **2020**, *210*, 120596. [[CrossRef](#)]

66. Inal, E.K. A Fluorescent Chemosensor Based on Schiff Base for the Determination of  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Hg^{2+}$ . *J. Fluoresc.* **2020**, *30*, 891–900. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
67. Jiang, H.; Tang, D.; Li, Z.; Li, J.; Liu, H.; Meng, Q.; Han, Q.; Liu, X. A Dual-Channel Chemosensor Based on 8-Hydroxyquinoline for Fluorescent Detection of  $Hg^{2+}$  and Colorimetric Recognition of  $Cu^{2+}$ . *Spectrochim. Acta* **2020**, *243*, 118784. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Xiao, L.; Sun, Q.; Zhao, Q.; Cheng, X. Highly Sensitive and Selective Fluorescent Monomer/Polymer Probes for  $Hg^{2+}$  and  $Ag^+$  Recognition and Imaging of  $Hg^{2+}$  in Living Cells. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2020**, *412*, 881–894. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
69. Mahajan, P.G.; Shin, J.S.; Dige, N.C.; Vanjare, B.D.; Han, Y.; Choi, N.G.; Kim, S.J.; Seo, S.Y.; Lee, K.H. Chelation Enhanced Fluorescence of Rhodamine Based Novel Organic Nanoparticles for Selective Detection of Mercury Ions in Aqueous Medium and Intracellular Cell Imaging. *J. Photochem. Photobiol.* **2020**, *397*, 112579. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Wei, G.; Yan, Z.; Tian, J.; Zhao, G.; Guang, S.; Xu, H. Efficient Polymer Pendant Approach toward High Stable Organic Fluorophore for Sensing Ultratrace  $Hg^{2+}$  with Improved Biological Compatibility and Cell Permeability. *Anal. Chem.* **2020**, *92*, 3293–3301. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Wang, K.; Kong, Q.; Chen, X.; Yoon, J.; Swamy, K.; Wang, F. A Bifunctional Rhodamine Derivative as Chemosensor for Recognizing  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Hg^{2+}$  Ions via Different Spectra. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *31*, 1087–1090. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Zhao, H.; Kang, H.; Fan, C.; Liu, G.; Pu, S. A New Multi-Functional Fluorescent Mercuric Ion Sensor Based on Diarylethene with Triazole-Linked Rhodamine B Unit. *Tetrahedron* **2020**, *76*, 131393. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Gong, J.; Liu, C.; Jiao, X.; He, S.; Zhao, L.; Zeng, X. A Novel Near-Infrared Fluorescent Probe with an Improved Stokes Shift for Specific Detection of  $Hg^{2+}$  in Mitochondria. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2020**, *18*, 5238–5244. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Chen, S.; Zhang, S.; Ruhan, A.; Han, Y. A New Rhodamine Probe with Large Stokes Shift for  $Hg^{2+}$  Detection and Its Application in Real Sample Analysis. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2020**, *61*, 152077. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Bhatti, A.A.; Oguz, M.; Yilmaz, M. New water soluble p-Sulphonatocalix [4] Arene Chemosensor Appended with Rhodamine for Selective Detection of  $Hg^{2+}$  ion. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2020**, *1203*, 127436. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Zhu, Z.; Ding, H.; Wang, Y.; Fan, C.; Tu, Y.; Liu, G.; Pu, S. A Ratiometric and Colorimetric Fluorescent Probe for the Detection of Mercury Ion Based on Rhodamine and Quinoline–Benzothiazole Conjugated Dyad. *J. Photochem. Photobiol.* **2020**, *400*, 112657. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Roy, S.G.; Mondal, S.; Ghosh, K. Copillar [5] Arene-Rhodamine Conjugate as a Selective Sensor for  $Hg^{2+}$  Ions. *New J. Chem.* **2020**, *44*, 5921–5928. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Hu, J.P.; He, J.X.; Fang, H.; Yang, H.H.; Zhang, Q.; Lin, Q.; Yao, H.; Zhang, Y.M.; Wei, T.B.; Qu, W.J. A Novel Pillar [5] Arene-Based Emission Enhanced Supramolecular Sensor for Dual-Channel Selective Detection and Separation of  $Hg^{2+}$ . *New J. Chem.* **2020**, *44*, 13157–13162. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Hu, J.; Yu, X.; Zhang, X.; Jing, C.; Liu, T.; Hu, X.; Lu, S.; Uvdal, K.; Gao, H.W.; Hu, Z. Rapid Detection of Mercury(II) Ions and Water Content by a New Rhodamine B-Based Fluorescent Chemosensor. *Spectrochim. Acta* **2020**, *241*, 118657. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
80. Patil, S.K.; Das, D. A novel Rhodamine-Based Optical Probe for Mercury (II) Ion in Aqueous Medium: A Nanomolar Detection, wide pH Range and Real Water Sample Application. *Spectrochim. Acta* **2020**, *225*, 117504. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Qu, Z.; Meng, X.; Duan, H.; Qin, D.; Wang, L. Rhodamine-Immobilized Optical Hydrogels with Shape Deformation and  $Hg^{2+}$ -Sensitive Fluorescence Behaviors. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 7723. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Wang, Y.; Ding, H.; Zhu, Z.; Fan, C.; Tu, Y.; Liu, G.; Pu, S. Selective Rhodamine-Based Probe for Detecting  $Hg^{2+}$  and its Application as Test Strips and Cell Staining. *J. Photochem. Photobiol.* **2020**, *390*, 112302. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Ding, G.; Wu, L.; Feng, H.; Liu, Y.; Li, J.; Si, H.; Yao, X.; He, M.; He, W. The Specific Binding of a New 1, 2, 3-Triazole to Three Blood Proteins and its Appended Rhodamine Complex for Selective Detection of  $Hg^{2+}$ . *Spectrochim. Acta* **2020**, *228*, 117728. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Zhao, M.; Shao, G.K.; Guo, Y.S.; Tang, Y.L.; Liu, J.B.; Guo, D.S. A Reaction-Type Receptor for the Multi-Feature Detection of  $Hg^{2+}$  in Water and Living Cells. *New J. Chem.* **2020**, *44*, 12538–12545. [[CrossRef](#)]
85. Zhong, W.; Wang, L.; Qin, D.; Zhou, J.; Duan, H. Two Novel Fluorescent Probes as Systematic Sensors for Multiple Metal Ions: Focus on Detection of  $Hg^{2+}$ . *ACS Omega* **2020**, *5*, 24285–24295. [[CrossRef](#)]
86. Zhong, W.; Wang, L.; Fang, S.; Qin, D.; Zhou, J.; Yang, G.; Duan, H. Two Novel Colorimetric Fluorescent Probes:  $Hg^{2+}$  and  $Al^{3+}$  in the Visual Colorimetric Recognition Environment. *RSC Adv.* **2020**, *10*, 3048–3059. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. Li, W.; Jiang, C.; Lu, S.; Wang, F.; Zhang, Z.; Wei, T.; Chen, Y.; Qiang, J.; Yu, Z.; Chen, X. A Hydrogel Microsphere-Based Sensor for Dual and Highly Selective Detection of  $Al^{3+}$  and  $Hg^{2+}$ . *Sens. Actuators* **2020**, *321*, 128490. [[CrossRef](#)]
88. Pang, X.; Dong, J.; Gao, L.; Wang, L.; Yu, S.; Kong, J.; Li, L. Dansyl-Peptide Dual-Functional Fluorescent Chemosensor for  $Hg^{2+}$  and Biothiols. *Dye. Pigment.* **2020**, *173*, 107888. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Lv, P.; Cao, Y.; Liu, Z.; Wang, R.; Ye, B.; Li, G. Dual Luminescent Lanthanide Coordination Polymers for Ratiometric Sensing and Efficient Removal of  $Hg^{2+}$ . *Anal. Methods* **2020**, *12*, 91–96. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Wang, P.; An, Y.; Wu, J. Highly Sensitive Turn-On Detection of Mercury (II) in Aqueous Solutions and Live Cells with a Chemosensor Based on Tyrosine. *Spectrochim. Acta* **2020**, *230*, 118004. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Muzey, B.; Naseem, A. An AIEE active 1, 8-Naphthalimide-Sulfamethizole Probe for Ratiometric Fluorescent Detection of  $Hg^{2+}$  Ions in Aqueous Media. *J. Photochem. Photobiol.* **2020**, *391*, 112354. [[CrossRef](#)]

92. Mondal, T.; Mondal, I.; Biswas, S.; Mane, M.V.; Panja, S.S. Mechanistic Insight into Selective Sensing of Hazardous  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and Explosive Picric Acid by Using a Pyrene-Azine-Hydroxyquinoline Framework in Differential Media. *ChemistrySelect* **2020**, *5*, 9336–9349. [[CrossRef](#)]
93. Rodríguez-Lavado, J.; Lorente, A.; Flores, E.; Ochoa, A.; Godoy, F.; Jaque, P.; Saitz, C. Elucidating Sensing Mechanisms of a Pyrene Excimer-Based Calix [4] Arene for Ratiometric Detection of Hg (II) and Ag(I) and Chemosensor Behaviour as INHIBITION or IMPLICATION Logic Gates. *RSC Adv.* **2020**, *10*, 21963–21973. [[CrossRef](#)]
94. Li, X.; Duan, Q.; Yu, Y.; Wang, K.; Zhu, H.; Zhang, X.; Liu, C.; Jia, P.; Li, Z.; Sheng, W. A Coumarin-Based Fluorescent Probe for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and Its Application in Living Cells and Zebrafish. *Luminescence* **2020**, *35*, 941–946. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
95. Wu, X.; Li, Y.; Yang, S.; Tian, H.; Sun, B. A Multiple-Detection-Point Fluorescent Probe for the Rapid Detection of Mercury (II), Hydrazine and Hydrogen Sulphide. *Dye. Pigment.* **2020**, *174*, 108056. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Wu, X.; Li, Y.; Yang, S.; Tian, H.; Sun, B. Discriminative Detection of Mercury (II) and Hydrazine Using a Dual-Function Fluorescent Probe. *Luminescence* **2020**, *35*, 754–762. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
97. Pan, Z.; Xu, Z.; Chen, J.; Hu, L.; Li, H.; Zhang, X.; Gao, X.; Wang, M.; Zhang, J. Coumarin Thiourea-Based Fluorescent Turn-On  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  Probe that can be Utilized in a Broad pH Range 1–11. *J. Fluoresc.* **2020**, *30*, 505–514. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Yu, Y.; Liu, C.; Tian, B.; Cai, X.; Zhu, H.; Jia, P.; Li, Z.; Zhang, X.; Sheng, W.; Zhu, B. A Novel Highly Selective Ratiometric Fluorescent Probe with Large Emission Shift for Detecting Mercury Ions in Living Cells and Zebrafish. *Dye. Pigment.* **2020**, *177*, 108290. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Wu, X.; Duan, N.; Li, Y.; Yang, S.; Tian, H.; Sun, B. A Dual-Mode Fluorescent Probe for the Separate Detection of Mercury (II) and Hydrogen Sulfide. *J. Photochem. Photobiol.* **2020**, *388*, 112209. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. Singh, P.; Kumar, K.; Kaur, N.; Kaur, S.; Kaur, S. Perylene Diimide Dye Threaded with Dual-React able Sites for Detection of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  and  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ : Diagnostic Kit and Cell Imaging. *Dye. Pigment.* **2020**, *180*, 108448. [[CrossRef](#)]
101. Aliaga, M.E.; Gazitua, M.; Rojas-Bolaños, A.; Fuentes-Estrada, M.; Durango, D.; García-Beltrán, O. A Selective Thioxothiazolidin-Coumarin Probe for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  Based on Its Desulfurization Reaction. Exploring its Potential for Live Cell Imaging. *Spectrochim. Acta* **2020**, *224*, 117372. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
102. Sun, Y.; Wang, L.; Zhou, J.; Qin, D.; Duan, H. A New Phenothiazine-Based Fluorescence Sensor for Imaging  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in Living Cells. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2020**, *34*, e5945. [[CrossRef](#)]
103. Huang, S.; Gao, T.; Bi, A.; Cao, X.; Feng, B.; Liu, M.; Du, T.; Feng, X.; Zeng, W. Revealing Aggregation-Induced Emission Effect of Imidazolium Derivatives and Application for Detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . *Dye. Pigment.* **2020**, *172*, 107830. [[CrossRef](#)]
104. Wang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Yin, J.; Yang, Y.; Luo, H.; Song, J.; Xu, X.; Wang, S. A Novel Camphor-Based “Turn-On” Fluorescent Probe with High Specificity and Sensitivity for Sensing Mercury (II) in Aqueous Medium and Its Bioimaging Application. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2020**, *8*, 12348–12359. [[CrossRef](#)]
105. Li, C.; Niu, Q.; Wang, J.; Wei, T.; Li, T.; Chen, J.; Qin, X.; Yang, Q. Bithiophene-Based Fluorescent Sensor for Highly Sensitive and Ultrarapid Detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  in Water, Seafood, Urine and Live Cells. *Spectrochim. Acta* **2020**, *233*, 118208. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
106. Tian, M.; Wang, C.; Ma, Q.; Bai, Y.; Sun, J.; Ding, C. A Highly Selective Fluorescent Probe for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  Based on a 1, 8-Naphthalimide Derivative. *ACS Omega* **2020**, *5*, 18176–18184. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
107. Zhao, L.; Zhang, Z.; Liu, Y.; Wei, J.; Liu, Q.; Ran, P.; Li, X. Fibrous Strips Decorated with Cleavable Aggregation-Induced Emission Probes for Visual Detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2020**, *385*, 121556. [[CrossRef](#)]
108. Sarkar, A.; Chakraborty, A.; Chakraborty, T.; Purkait, S.; Samanta, D.; Maity, S.; Das, D. A Chemodosimetric Approach for Fluorimetric Detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  Ions by Trinuclear Zn (II)/Cd (II) Schiff Base Complex: First Case of Intermediate Trapping in a Chemodosimetric Approach. *Inorg. Chem.* **2020**, *59*, 9014–9028. [[CrossRef](#)]
109. Li, C.; Xiao, L.; Zhang, Q.; Cheng, X. Reaction-Based Highly Selective and Sensitive Monomer/Polymer Probes with Schiff Base Groups for the Detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  Ions. *Spectrochim. Acta* **2020**, *243*, 118763. [[CrossRef](#)]
110. Li, X.; Du, K.; Xie, C.; Wu, Y.; Zhang, B.; Tang, D. A Highly Sensitive and Selective Colorimetric Probe Based on a Cycloruthenated Complex: An  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ -Promoted Switch of Thiophene Coordination. *Dalton Trans.* **2020**, *49*, 2024–2032. [[CrossRef](#)]
111. Wu, Y.; Cheng, X.; Xie, C.; Du, K.; Li, X.; Tang, D. A Polymer Membrane Tethered with a Cycloruthenated Complex for Colorimetric Detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  Ions. *Spectrochim. Acta* **2020**, *228*, 117541. [[CrossRef](#)]
112. Kumar, A.; Kumar, A.; Sahoo, P.R.; Kumar, S. A Light Controlled, Reversible, Sensitive and Highly Selective Colorimetric Sensor for Mercuric Ions in Water. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2020**, *1206*, 127702. [[CrossRef](#)]
113. Karuppusamy, P.; Senthilvelan, J.; Vijayakumar, V.; Sarveswari, S. A Pyrazole-Based Highly Selective Colorimetric Chemosensor for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  Ion in Semi-Aqueous Medium. *ChemistrySelect* **2020**, *5*, 49–53. [[CrossRef](#)]
114. Tripathy, M.; Subuddhi, U.; Patel, S. An Azo Dye Based D- $\pi$ -A Chromogenic Probe for Selective Naked-Eye Detection of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  Ion: Application in Logic Gate Operation. *ChemistrySelect* **2020**, *5*, 4803–4815. [[CrossRef](#)]
115. Kim, A.; Kim, S.; Kim, C. A Conjugated Schiff Base-Based Chemosensor for Selectively Detecting Mercury Ion. *J. Chem. Sci.* **2020**, *132*, 82. [[CrossRef](#)]
116. Madhesan, T.; Mohan, A.M. Porous Silica and Polymer Monolith Architectures as Solid-State Optical Chemosensors for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  Ions. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2020**, *412*, 7357–7370. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
117. Kongasseri, A.; Sompalli, N.K.; Rao, B.C.; Nagarajan, S.; Mohan, A.M.; Deivasigamani, P. Solid-State Optical Sensing of Ultra-Trace  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  Ions Using Chromoionophoric Probe Anchored Silica Monolithic Architectures. *Sens. Actuators* **2020**, *321*, 128558. [[CrossRef](#)]

118. Jeon, H.; Ryu, H.; Nam, I.; Noh, D.Y. Heteroleptic Pt (II)-Dithiolene-Based Colorimetric Chemosensors: Selectivity Control for Hg (II) Ion Sensing. *Materials* **2020**, *13*, 1385. [[CrossRef](#)]
119. Kaur, B.; Gupta, A.; Kaur, N. A Novel, Anthracene-Based Naked Eye Probe for Detecting Hg<sup>2+</sup> Ions in Aqueous as well as Solid State Media. *Microchem. J.* **2020**, *153*, 104508. [[CrossRef](#)]
120. Lv, H.; Yuan, G.; Zhang, G.; Ren, Z.; He, H.; Sun, Q.; Zhang, X.; Wang, S. A Novel Benzopyran-Based Colorimetric and Near-Infrared Fluorescent Sensor for Hg<sup>2+</sup> and Its Imaging in Living Cell and Zebrafish. *Dye. Pigment.* **2020**, *172*, 107658. [[CrossRef](#)]
121. Zhang, L.; Tang, Z.; Hou, L.; Qu, Y.; Deng, Y.; Zhang, C.; Xie, C.; Wu, Z. Selective Mercury (II) Detection in Aqueous Solutions Upon the Absorption Changes Corresponding to the Transition Moments Polarized Along the Short Axis of an Azobenzene Chemosensor. *Analyst* **2020**, *145*, 1641–1645. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
122. Anand, T.; Sankar, M. A Dual Colorimetric Chemosensor for Hg (II) and Cyanide Ions in Aqueous Media Based on a Nitrobenzoxadiazole (NBD)–Antipyrine Conjugate with INHIBIT Logic Gate Behaviour. *Anal. Methods* **2020**, *12*, 4526–4533. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
123. Divya, D.; Thennarasu, S. A Novel Isatin-Based Probe for Ratiometric and Selective Detection of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup> Ions Present in Aqueous and Environmental Samples. *Spectrochim. Acta* **2020**, *243*, 118796. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
124. Hosseinjani-Pirdehi, H.; Mahmoodi, N.O.; Nadamani, M.P.; Taheri, A. Novel Synthesized Azo-Benzylidene-Thiourea as Dual Naked-Eye Chemosensor for Selective Detection of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and CN<sup>-</sup> Ions. *J. Photochem. Photobiol.* **2020**, *391*, 112365. [[CrossRef](#)]
125. Dhaka, G.; Jindal, G.; Kaur, R.; Rana, S.; Gupta, A.; Kaur, N. Multianalyte Azo Dye as an On-Site Assay Kit for Colorimetric Detection of Hg<sup>2+</sup> Ions and Electrochemical Sensing of Zn<sup>2+</sup> Ions. *Spectrochim. Acta* **2020**, *229*, 117869. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
126. Raju, V.; Kumar, R.S.; Kumar, S.A.; Madhu, G.; Bothra, S.; Sahoo, S.K. A Ninhydrin-Thiosemicarbazone Based Highly Selective and Sensitive Chromogenic Sensor for Hg<sup>2+</sup> and F<sup>-</sup> Ions. *J. Chem. Sci.* **2020**, *132*, 89. [[CrossRef](#)]
127. Singh, G.; Satija, P.; Singh, A.; Sharma, G. First Report on the Synthesis of Antipyrine Crowned Siloxy Framework: Optical Recognition of Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Hg<sup>2+</sup> Ions. *ChemistrySelect* **2020**, *5*, 8823–8830. [[CrossRef](#)]