



Article Gold Nanoparticles/Nanographene-Based 3D Sensors Integrated in Mini-Platforms for Thiamine Detection

Damaris-Cristina Gheorghe ^{1,2}, Jacobus (Koos) Frederick van Staden ^{1,*}, Raluca-Ioana Stefan-van Staden ^{1,2,*} and Paula Sfirloaga ³

- ¹ Laboratory of Electrochemistry and PATLAB, National Institute of Research for Electrochemistry and Condensed Matter, 202 Splaiul Independentei Str., 060021 Bucharest, Romania
- ² Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnologies, University Politehnica of Bucharest, 060021 Bucharest, Romania
- ³ National Institute for Research and Development in Electrochemistry and Condensed Matter, Dr. Aurel Paunescu Podeanu 144, 300569 Timisoara, Romania
- * Correspondence: koosvanstaden2012@yahoo.com (J.F.v.S.); ralucavanstaden@gmail.com (R.-I.S.-v.S.)

Abstract: Vitamins are essential for sustaining daily activities and perform crucial roles in metabolism, such as preventing vascular events and delaying the development of diabetic nephropathy. The ultrasensitive assessment of thiamine in foods is required for food quality evaluation. A miniplatform utilizing two 3D sensors based on nanographene and gold nanoparticles paste modified with protoporphyrin IX and protoporphyrin IX cobalt chloride is proposed for the detection of thiamine in blueberry syrup, multivitamin tablets, water, and a biological sample (urine). Differential pulse voltammetry was utilized for the characterization and validation of the suggested sensors. The sensor modified with protoporphyrin IX has a detection limit of 3.0×10^{-13} mol L⁻¹ and a quantification limit of 1.0×10^{-12} mol L⁻¹, whereas the sensor modified with protoporphyrin IX cobalt chloride has detection and quantification limits of 3.0×10^{-12} and 1.0×10^{-11} mol L⁻¹, respectively. High recoveries (values greater than 95.00%) and low RSD (%) values (less than 5.00%) are recorded for both 3D sensors when used for the determination of thiamine in blueberry syrup, multivitamin tablets, water, and urine, demonstrating the 3D sensors' and suggested method's high reliability.

Keywords: thiamine; electrochemical sensors; graphene; food and water analysis; biomedical analysis

1. Introduction

Thiamine, also known as vitamin B1, is part of the vitamin B complex and plays a role in the development of the brain and neurons [1]. The human body stores at least 30 g worth of vitamin B1 at any given time [2]. Although it is a water-soluble vitamin, it cannot be stored in the human body because it travels with water and is excreted from the body through urine; therefore, it must be ingested regularly [3]. The deficiency of thiamine can lead to beriberi, which can have detrimental effects on the neuron system. Consuming foods rich in thiamine from any source or supplement may serve as a means of protection against the condition. As vitamin B1 plays such an important role in the human body, researchers developed methods to determine whether or not foods or particular supplements contain it [4]. Methods such as high-performance liquid chromatography [1] and fluorescence [5] are just a few of the methods that have been developed. These techniques are superior in that they have a high accuracy and coefficient of determination, in addition to a low detection limit. Nevertheless, these techniques come with a few drawbacks, such as timeconsuming sample preparation, the need for expensive high-tech equipment, and a high price tag. These issues could be circumvented by employing an electrochemical technique, specifically voltammetry. These techniques have a number of benefits, some of which are listed below: sensitivity, the ability to generate data that can be interpreted even at



Citation: Gheorghe, D.-C.; van Staden, J.F.; Stefan-van Staden, R.-I.; Sfirloaga, P. Gold Nanoparticles/ Nanographene-Based 3D Sensors Integrated in Mini-Platforms for Thiamine Detection. *Sensors* **2023**, *23*, 344. https://doi.org/10.3390/ s23010344

Academic Editors: Ksenia Morozova, Matteo Mario Scampicchio and Antonio Di Bartolomeo

Received: 28 November 2022 Revised: 12 December 2022 Accepted: 26 December 2022 Published: 29 December 2022



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). low concentration levels, speed, ease of use, and a low-cost analysis [6]. Electrochemical detection of thiamine is possible due to the oxidizable nature of the molecule [4,6].

Electrochemical techniques are extremely useful in the development of sensors for health-related applications [7]. Electrochemical sensors measure the current of the working electrode, which is based on the electrochemical redox (oxidation/reduction) process of an analyte. This allows the sensors to record the concentration of an analyte of interest that is present in the electrolyte solution, which in our case is thiamine. The magnitude of the current response is typically proportional to the concentration of the analyte. Amperometry and voltammetry are the two methods of electrochemistry that are employed most frequently today. The two voltammetry processes that are the least complicated are called cyclic voltammetry (CV) and linear scan voltammetry. Square wave voltammetry (SWV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) are two methods that, with the appropriate adjustments to a variety of parameters, are capable of producing results with increased sensitivity [8].

Compared to their bulk counterparts, noble metal nanoparticles (NPs) (e.g., Ag, Au) exhibit distinct chemical and physical properties, namely, electronic, optical, magnetic, and catalytic capabilities [9]. Due to their capacity to facilitate electron transfer processes on the surface of materials used for electrocatalysis [10], and nanostructured substrates for surfaceenhanced Raman scattering (SERS) [11], metal nanoparticles (MNPs) have been widely exploited in the development of electrochemical sensors. The wide applicability of these materials is a result of their biocompatibility with a variety of biomolecules [12], and their ability to provide a suitable microenvironment for the immobilization of biomolecules [13]. Au NPs have strong absorption properties, good stability, and good conductivity [14], which endows them with biosensing capability for enzyme detection [15], and immunosensors [16]; therefore, we selected them for the design of the 3D sensors. Graphene–metal nanostructure composites exhibit enhanced performance compared to NPs and graphene was considered separately due to synergistic effects. Graphene possesses exceptional physical and chemical characteristics and can, therefore, be utilized effectively in various biosensing applications [15], including the applications as matrix for the proposed 3D sensors. Similarly, nanoparticles are extensively researched in the realm of biosensing due to their unique physical and chemical properties, including quantum size and surface effect, etc. Combining NPs and graphene to generate graphene–NPs hybrid affords the hybrid materials significantly enhanced sensing characteristics, enhances the surface area for analyte binding, and boosts electron mobility and conductivity. For chemical reactions, particularly those involving electron transfer, porphyrins [10] are well-known for their electrocatalytic properties [17].

The present study illustrates the design and validation of a simple method for the quantification of thiamine in beverages, pharmaceuticals, water, and biological samples (urine). The novelty of the work is the utilization of a mini-platform that employs two 3D electrochemical sensors based on gold nanoparticles and nanographene (nGr) and modified with two types of porphyrins: protoporphyrin IX (PIX) and protoporphyrin IX cobalt chloride (PIXCoCl). These types of sensors are required due to the fact that food safety is a crucial factor in addressing dangers to human health and population well-being [18,19].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

Thiamine, AuNPs, nGr, PIX, PIXCoCl, monosodium phosphate, disodium phosphate, vitamin B12, ascorbic acid, maltodextrin, fructose, glucose, ammonium chloride, iron (II) sulphate hydrate, and sodium acetate were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI, USA). Fluka supplied the paraffin oil (d420, 0.86 g cm⁻¹) (Buchs, Sweden). Phosphate buffer solution (PBS, 0.1 mol L⁻¹) was made by combining monosodium phosphate and disodium phosphate in a solution-making process. To achieve the desired range of pH values (2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, and 8.0), the pH of the buffer solution was modified by

adding varying amounts of solutions with a concentration of 0.1 mol L^{-1} of either NaOH or HCl.

For the preparation of thiamine solutions, PBS (pH 2.0) was utilized. The stock solution $(10^{-2} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ was prepared only with PBS (pH 2.0) and had a concentration of $10^{-2} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1}$. The remaining solutions $(10^{-3} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1} - 10^{-12} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ were prepared using PBS and 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaNO₃ as supporting electrolytes. When not in use, all solutions were stored in a dark, dry place, at room temperature.

2.2. Methods and Equipment

A mini potentiostat, widely recognized as the EmStat Pico, was connected to a laptop running PSTrace software version 5.9 (PalmSens BV, Houten, The Netherlands) for the purpose of acquiring data in order to carry out electrochemical measurements such as CV, DPV, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). A conventional three-electrode system was implemented by using PIX/AuNPsnGr and PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr as working electrodes, an Ag/AgCl wire (1 mol L⁻¹ KCl) as a reference electrode, and a Pt wire as a counter electrode. A Mettler Toledo pH meter was employed in order to make the necessary pH adjustments.

Every measurement was performed with the instrument at ambient temperature.

A qualitative analysis of the materials that were studied was carried out with the assistance of scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Inspect S), manufactured by FEI Company Netherlands. All of the samples were analyzed using the ETD detector in high-vacuum mode with a high voltage (HV) of 25 kV and a spot value of 2 at 1600 times the magnification. This was performed so that the picture resolution could be obtained.

2.3. Development of the PIX/AuNPsnGr and PIX/AuNPsnGr 3D Sensors' Designs

In order to put together the mini-platform containing the PIX/AuNPsnGr and PIX/AuNPsnGr electrochemical sensors (Scheme 1), 100 mg of nanographene powder, 10 μ L of AuNPs, a suitable quantity of paraffin oil, and 100 μ L of PIX and PIXCoCl were physically mixed together in order to obtain homogenous pastes. Following the placement of the pastes into the plastic tubes, a silver wire was used to establish the electrical contact. Before performing each analysis, the electrodes were rinsed with deionized water to remove any residue. Polishing on aluminum foil until a smooth surface was attained allowed the PIX/AuNPsnGr and PIX/AuNPsnGr surfaces to be refreshed. Whenever they were not in use, the 3D sensors were kept at a temperature between 2 and 8 °C.



Scheme 1. Schematic representation of the mini-platform.

2.4. Procedure: Differential Pulse Voltammetry

Each DPV measurement was carried out within a potential domain that varied from -1.00 V to 1.00 V, a step potential that was 25.0 mV s⁻¹, and a modulation amplitude that was 100 mV. The calibration curve was established by graphing thiamine concentrations ranging from 10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ to 10^{-10} mol L⁻¹ against their respective peak heights with a correlation coefficient higher than 0.991. The DPV peaks were given a baseline adjustment.

2.5. Samples

The created electrochemical sensors were utilized to determine the thiamine concentration in blueberry syrup, multivitamin tablets, water, and a healthy subject's biological sample (urine). After diluting the samples in PBS with a pH of 2.0 using a volume-tovolume ratio of 1:1, a range of thiamine concentrations were added to the samples.

The following were performed in order to prepare the samples: after 1 g of blueberry syrup was measured using an analytical balance, the sample was diluted with 8 mL of PBS with a pH of 2.0 and 1 mL of 0.1 mol L^{-1} of NaNO₃. Before analyzing the water, urine, and multivitamin tablet samples, 1 mL of each sample was diluted with 8 mL of PBS with a pH of 2.0 and 1 mL of 0.1 mol L^{-1} of NaNO₃.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphological Characterization of the Pastes

For the purpose of determining the morphology of the material, SEM microscopy was performed. Figure 1 illustrates the surface morphology of AuNPSnGr (Figure 1a), PIX/AuNPsnGr (Figure 1b), and PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr (Figure 1c) pastes. Figure 1a shows the surface morphology of the paste, which consists of numerous layers of irregularly shaped flakes of varying sizes. It is possible to see that the particles in the pastes that are modified with PIX and PIXCoCl, shown in Figure 1b,c, have an irregular shape and are agglomerated in asymmetric formations.



Figure 1. Representative SEM micrographs of: AuNPsnGr (**a**), PIX/AuNPsnGr (**b**), and PIX-CoCl/AuNPsnGr (**c**).

3.2. Electrochemical Characterization of the 3D Sensors

Electrochemical characterization was performed using two types of methods (CV and EIS) on bare (AuNPsnGr) and modified (PIX/AuNPsnGr and PIX/CoClAuNPsnGr) electrodes. The CV method was applied in order to assess the electrochemical response

of the PIX/AuNPsnGr and PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr. The CVs displayed in Figure 2a were recorded in a solution containing 5.0×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ K₃[Fe(CN)₆] (0.1 mol L⁻¹ KCl) throughout a potential range of -0.6 to 1.0 V, at a scan rate of 0.1 V s^{-1} , using AuNPsnGr, PIX/AuNPsnGr, and PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr as working electrodes. When compared to the unmodified sensor, the modified 3D sensors exhibit a higher conductivity. According to these findings, the modifications with both PIX and PIXCoCl result in an improvement in the electrochemical response.



Figure 2. (a) CVs of the current versus the potential (working conditions: step potential 0.025 V; scan rate 0.1 V s⁻¹) in a 5.0×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ K₃[Fe(CN)₆] (0.1 mol L⁻¹ KCl) solution employing AuNPsnGr (red line), PIX/AuNPsnGr (dark line), and PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr (green line); (b) EISs recorded for AuNPsnGr (red), PIX/AuNPsnGr (dark), and PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr (green) in a 5.0×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ K₃[Fe(CN)₆] (0.1 mol L⁻¹ KCl) solution (working conditions: frequency range between 10^5 to 10^{-1} Hz). Inset: the equivalent circuit diagram of the electrochemical interface used to fit the EIS where R_s is the solution resistance; CPE is the constant phase element; W is the Warburg diffusion resistance; R_{ct} is the electron-transfer resistance; (c) DPVs recorded in pH 2.0 PBS containing 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaNO₃ as supporting electrolyte and 1^{-9} mol L⁻¹ thiamine for AuNPsnGr (red), PIX/AuNPsnGr (dark), and PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr (green).

In order to conduct an analysis of the interfaces of the bare and modified electrodes using the EIS method, the frequency range for the analysis was between 10^5 and 10^{-1} Hz. The experiments were carried out in a solution containing 5.0×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ of K₃[Fe(CN)₆] and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ of KCl. Figure 2b displays the Nyquist plots obtained for AuNPsnGr (colored red), PIX/AuNPsnGr (colored dark), and PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr (colored green). According to the Nyquist plot, AuNPsnGr exhibits a large, well-defined semicircle at low frequencies, which matches to a high electrical resistance (R_{ct} = 1899.0). This is due to the high electrical resistance of the material. Following the modification of AuNPsnGr with PIX and PIXCoCl, a semicircle with a reduced diameter (R_{ct} = 572.9) and a semicircle with a more noticeably reduced diameter (R_{ct} = 299.3), respectively, are recorded. This

modification is successful. As both the diameter of the semicircle and the R_{ct} value are reduced, the electron transfer rate at PIX/AuNPsnGr and PIX/CoClAuNPsnGr surfaces is accelerated. The results of the EIS correspond adequately with the CV measurements.

The electrochemical behavior of AuNPsnGr, PIX/AuNPsnGr, and PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr was further investigated utilizing the DPV method in pH 2.0 PBS containing 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaNO₃ as a supporting electrolyte and 10^{-9} mol L⁻¹ thiamine. In Figure 2c, the oxidation results of PIX/AuNPsnGr and PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr for thiamine are greater than those of AuNPsnGr.

Calculating the electroactive surface area permitted the study of the electrocatalytic activity of the sensors, which was performed by applying the Randles–Sevick equation [20,21] to quasi-reversible redox processes controlled by diffusion. As demonstrated below, the peak current intensity on both the anodic and cathodic peaks is directly proportional to the square root of the scan rate:

$$Ip = \left(2.69 \times 10^5\right) n^{3/2} A C_0 D_R^{1/2} \nu^{1/2}$$

where *Ip* is the peak current (μ A), *n* is the number of transferred electrons (*n* = 1, in this example), *A* is the electrode active surface area (cm²), *C*₀ is the concentration of K₃[Fe(CN)₆] (mol cm⁻³), D_R is the diffusion coefficient (7.60 × 10⁻⁶ cm² s⁻¹), and *v* is the scan rate (V s⁻¹).

The fact that the anodic and cathodic peaks, Ip_a (purple dots) and Ip_c (pink dots), respectively, exhibit a linear dependence on the square root of the scan rate (Figures 3 and 4) while the scan rate varies from 0.010 to 0.100 V s⁻¹ indicates that the redox process is controlled by diffusion. Figures 3a and 4a illustrate the pattern that emerges as the scan rate and current intensity both continue to increase, whereas Figures 3b and 4b depict the linear dependences of the two peaks, Ip_a vs. $v^{1/2}$ and Ip_c vs. $v^{1/2}$, respectively. The sensor based on PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr exhibits the highest active area (7.23 × 10⁻⁴ cm²) when compared to the other modified sensor, PIX/AuNPsnGr (5.58 × 10⁻⁴ cm²), and when compared to the sensor AuNPsnGr that has not been modified (4.53 × 10⁻⁴ cm²).



Figure 3. (a) CVs in a solution of 5.0×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ K₃[Fe(CN)₆] (0.1 mol L⁻¹ KCl) at various scan rates ranging from 0.010 to 0.100 V s⁻¹ using the PIX/AuNPsnGr sensor (working conditions: potential range from -0.6 to 1.0 V; step potential 0.025 V). (b) Dependence of the peak current on the square root of the scan rate for the PIX/AuNPsnGr sensor.



Figure 4. (a) CVs in a solution of 5.0×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ K₃[Fe(CN)₆] (0.1 mol L⁻¹ KCl) at various scan rates ranging from 0.010 to 0.100 V s⁻¹ using the PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr sensor (working conditions: potential range from -0.6 to 1.0 V; step potential 0.025 V). (b) Dependence of the peak current on the square root of the scan rate for the PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr sensor.

3.3. The Optimization of the pH Values and the Supporting Electrolyte

To achieve the best possible results, research was conducted to determine how pH and supporting electrolytes influenced the oxidation of thiamine. Analyses were performed on solutions of PBS with varying pH values, ranging from 1.7 to 8.0, and comprising 10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ of thiamine as part of the study on the influence of pH. The maximum oxidation peak of thiamine is obtained in an acidic medium, as shown in Figures 5a and 6a (pH 2.0). As a result of this, pH 2.0 PBS was utilized for all of the subsequent measurements.



Figure 5. (a) DPVs of 10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ thiamine in PIX/AuNPsnGr in PBS at pH values of 1.7, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, and 8.0; (b) the influence of the supporting electrolyte (0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl, KCl, NaNO₃, and KNO₃) on the electrooxidation of 10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ thiamine (pH 2.0 PBS).



Figure 6. (a) DPVs of 10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ thiamine in the PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr in PBS at pH values of 1.7, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, and 8.0; (b) the influence of the supporting electrolyte (0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl, KCl, NaNO₃, and KNO₃) on the electrooxidation of 10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ thiamine (pH 2.0 PBS).

To determine the effect of the supporting electrolyte on the electrooxidation of thiamine, different electrolyte solutions (0.1 mol L⁻¹ of NaCl, KCl, NaNO₃, and KNO₃) were added to a solution of pH 2.0 PBS containing 10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ of thiamine. When using 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaNO₃, the peak current is the highest; hence, this is the best supporting electrolyte. This can be seen in Figures 5b and 6b. As a result of this, all subsequent thiamine working solutions were prepared using 0.1 mol L⁻¹ of NaNO₃ as a supporting electrolyte.

3.4. Response Characteristics of the 3D Sensors in Differential Pulse Voltammetry Mode

The response characteristics of the presented 3D electrochemical sensors were identified using differential pulse voltammetry (at the optimal pH level of 2.0), and they can be found in Table 1. Due to the optimal working conditions and electrocatalytic capacity of the porphyrins utilized in their design, the proposed 3D sensors are able to achieve a broad concentration range, high sensitivities, and low quantification and detection limits. Figure 7b shows the calibration graph for thiamine, along with the peaks that are produced during the calibration of the PIX/AuNPsnGr sensor. With a correlation coefficient of 0.9916, the linear concentration range is from 1.0×10^{-12} mol L⁻¹ to 1.0×10^{-5} mol L⁻¹. Both the limits of detection (LOD) and the limits of quantification (LOQ) are determined to be 3.0×10^{-13} mol L⁻¹ and 1.0×10^{-12} mol L⁻¹, respectively The following are the LOD and LOQ values: LOD = 3 s/m and LOQ = 10 s/m; where s is the standard deviation of the peak current (10 measurements) of the blank and m is the slope of the calibration curve. The PIX/AuNPsnGr sensor has a sensitivity of 1.49×10^8 A mol L⁻¹.

Table 1. Response characteristics of the 3D electrochemical sensors used for detection of thiamine.

Parameter	Three-Dimensional Electrochemical Sensors		
	PIX/AuNPsnGr	PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr	
Equation of calibration	$Ip_a = 0.32 + 1.49 \times 10^8 \times C_{thiamine}$, $R^2 = 0.9916$	$Ip_a = 0.50 + 2.02 \times 10^6 \times C_{thiamine}, R^2 = 0.9975$	
Linear concentration range (mol L^{-1})	$1.0 imes 10^{-12}$ – $1 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.0 imes 10^{-11}$ - $1.0 imes 10^{-7}$	
Sensitivity (A mol L^{-1})	$1.49 imes10^8$	$2.02 imes 10^6$	
Limit of detection (mol L^{-1})	$3.0 imes10^{-13}$	$3.0 imes10^{-12}$	
Limit of quantification (mol L^{-1})	$1.0 imes10^{-12}$	$1.0 imes10^{-11}$	
Repeatability of peak current (%, RSD)	4.31	3.21	



Figure 7. (a) Differential pulse voltammograms recorded with PIX/AuNPsnGr sensor in PBS pH 2.0 and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaNO₃, containing different concentrations of thiamine from 1.0×10^{-12} mol L⁻¹ to 1.0×10^{-5} mol L⁻¹. (b) Calibration curve obtained with PIX/AuNPsnGr sensor from 1.0×10^{-12} mol L⁻¹ to 1.0×10^{-5} mol L⁻¹. Working conditions: step potential 0.025 V, amplitude: 0.1 V.

In relation to the PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr sensor, Figure 8 depicts the peaks acquired (Figure 8a) following calibration measurements, as well as the calibration graph (Figure 8b). For calculating LOD and LOQ, the aforementioned formulas were utilized. Therefore, excellent findings are obtained: linear concentration range from 1.0×10^{-11} mol L⁻¹ to 1.0×10^{-7} mol L⁻¹, LOD and LOQ values determined to be 3.0×10^{-12} mol L⁻¹ and 1.0×10^{-11} mol L⁻¹, respectively, correlation coefficient of 0.9975, and sensitivity of 2.02×10^{6} A mol L⁻¹.



Figure 8. (a) Differential pulse voltammograms recorded with PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr sensor in PBS pH 2.0 and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaNO₃, containing different concentrations of thiamine from 1.0×10^{-11} mol L⁻¹ to 1.0×10^{-7} mol L⁻¹. (b) Calibration curve obtained with PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr sensor from 1.0×10^{-11} mol L⁻¹ to 1.0×10^{-7} mol L⁻¹. Working conditions: step potential 0.025 V, amplitude: 0.1 V.

3.5. Studies of the Interference That Occurs with the 3D Electrochemical Sensors

Vitamin B12, ascorbic acid, maltodextrin, fructose, glucose, FeSO₄, CH₃COONa, and NH₄Cl were subjected to a series of tests in an effort to identify whether or not they interfered with the detection of thiamine. The molecules frequently identified with thiamine in blueberry syrup, multivitamin tablets, water, and urine samples were chosen as possible interfering substances. The tolerance limit was defined as the highest interference concentration that caused a change in current intensity in terms of relative error (5% acceptance level), bias (%), and signal change (%). All measurements were conducted with pH 2.0 thiamine solutions that were buffered with PBS at a concentration of 1.0×10^{-9} mol L⁻¹ and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaNO₃. Experiments demonstrate that the employment of the PIX/AuNPsnGr sensor has no influence on the detection of thiamine, despite the addition of 10-fold CH₃COONa, 25-fold NH₄Cl, 50-fold FeSO₄, fructose, and 100-fold glucose, maltodextrin, ascorbic acid, and vitamin B12 (Table 2). This demonstrates that the suggested sensor has a good selectivity for thiamine determination.

Table 2. The influence of possible interfering species on the detection of 1.0×10^{-9} thiamine (*n* = 6) using the PIX/AuNPsnGr sensor.

Possible Interfering Species	Tolerance Limit	Signal Changed (%)	Relative Error (%)	Bias (%)
Ascorbic acid	100	0.37	-0.37	0.93
CH ₃ COONa	10	-0.95	0.96	-1.70
FeSO ₄	50	-4.25	4.44	-4.88
Fructose	50	0.13	-0.13	0.81
Glucose	100	4.88	-4.65	6.42
Maltodextrin	100	-3.28	3.39	-6.87
NH ₄ Cl	25	0.81	-0.80	3.61
Vitamin B12	100	-1.14	1.15	-5.16

Experiments reveal that the addition of 25-fold NH_4Cl , 50-fold $FeSO_4$, 100-fold ascorbic acid, fructose, glucose, CH_3COONa , maltodextrin, and vitamin B12 has no influence on the detection of thiamine using the PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr sensor (Table 3). This demonstrates that the suggested sensor has a good selectivity for thiamine determination.

Table 3. The influence of possible interfering species on the detection of 1.0×10^{-9} thiamine (*n* = 6) using the PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr sensor.

Possible Interfering Species	Tolerance Limit	Signal Changed (%)	Relative Error (%)	Bias (%)
Ascorbic acid	100	1.00	-0.99	1.00
CH ₃ COONa	100	-2.91	3.00	-0.84
FeSO ₄	100	0.84	-0.83	2.44
Fructose	100	2.56	-2.50	1.15
Glucose	25	-1.62	1.65	1.43
Maltodextrin	50	-2.32	2.38	-2.32
NH ₄ Cl	100	-0.30	0.30	-2.56
Vitamin B12	100	-1.93	1.96	5.63

3.6. Reproducibility, Repeatability, and Stability

The repeatability, reproducibility, and stability of the designed 3D sensors (PIX/AuNPsnGr and PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr) were studied by DPV using a solution of thiamine $(1.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ in PBS pH 2.0 and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaNO₃, under the ideal experimental conditions (Figure 9). Using three identically made new sensors of each type, the reproducibility was studied. It is determined that the relative standard deviation (RSD%) for the PIX/AuNPsnGr sensor is 0.15% (n = 3), whereas the PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr sensor has an RSD% of 0.16% (n = 3).



Figure 9. Reproducibility of the (a) PIX/AuNPsnGr and (b) PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr.

Repeatability within a single day is determined to be 4.31% (n = 10) for the PIX/AuNPsnGr sensor and 3.21% (n = 10) for the PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr sensor. Ten days were used to test the sensors' stability (Figure 10). During the whole stability study, the modified electrodes were stored at 2–8 °C. After 10 days, for the PIX/AuNPsnGr sensor, the current intensity of thiamine (1.0×10^{-9} mol L⁻¹) in PBS pH 2.0 and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaNO₃ decreases to 91.38% of the initial value from the first day of analysis; and for the PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr sensor, the peak current also decreases to 92.82% of the initial value from the first day of analysis. The number of sensors used to determine reproducibility is n = 3. In contrast, n = 10 denotes the number of determinations in the case of repeatability and stability.



Figure 10. Stability of the (**a**) PIX/AuNPsnGr and (**b**) PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr sensors for a period of 10 days.

3.7. Determination of Thiamine in Food, Water and Biological Samples

Prior to their widespread use in the monitoring of food quality and control of food security, the suggested 3D sensors must be validated. Standard addition was used to test the 3D sensors' capability to reliably assess thiamine in blueberry syrup, multivitamin tablets, water, and urine samples. This method was utilized to present the precision of 3D sensors. As shown in Tables 4 and 5, the reproducibility of the thiamine analysis in blueberry syrup, multivitamin tablets, water, and urine samples.

Samples	Amount Added (mol L ⁻¹)	Amount Found (mol L ⁻¹)	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)	Bias (%)
	-	$4.80 imes10^{-10}$	-	-	-
Water 1	$1.0 imes10^{-8}$	$1.04 imes10^{-8}$	100.03	1.03	-3.47
	$1.0 imes10^{-9}$	$9.90 imes10^{-10}$	99.02	0.07	0.99
	-	$5.55 imes10^{-10}$	-	-	-
Water 2	$1.0 imes10^{-8}$	$1.01 imes10^{-8}$	99.97	0.61	-1.44
	$1.0 imes10^{-9}$	$1.01 imes 10^{-8}$	100.84	0.01	-0.83
	-	$5.44 imes 10^{-10}$	-	-	-
Water 3	$1.0 imes10^{-8}$	$9.81 imes10^{-9}$	98.07	0.40	1.97
	$1.0 imes 10^{-9}$	$9.94 imes10^{-10}$	99.39	0.16	0.61
	-	$1.02 imes10^{-9}$	-	-	-
Multivitamin tablet	$1.0 imes10^{-8}$	$1.01 imes10^{-8}$	101.20	0.15	-1.19
	$1.0 imes 10^{-9}$	$9.93 imes10^{-10}$	99.26	3.04	0.75
	-	$1.38 imes 10^{-9}$	-	-	-
Blueberry syrup	$1.0 imes10^{-8}$	$9.58 imes 10^{-9}$	95.79	1.49	4.39
	$1.0 imes10^{-9}$	$9.73 imes 10^{-9}$	97.33	1.38	2.74
Urine	-	$-2.01 imes 10^{-9}$	-	-	-
	$1.0 imes10^{-8}$	$1.02 imes10^{-8}$	99.96	2.18	-1.83
	$1.0 imes10^{-9}$	$1.02 imes 10^{-9}$	99.98	0.36	-2.37

Table 4. Determination of thiamine in food and biological samples using the PIX/AuNPsnGr sensor.

 Table 5. Determination of thiamine in food and biological samples using the PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr sensor.

Samples	Amount Added (mol L ⁻¹)	Amount Found (mol L ⁻¹)	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)	Bias (%)
	-	$2.32 imes 10^{-8}$	-	-	-
Water 1	$1.0 imes10^{-8}$	$1.02 imes 10^{-8}$	101.85	2.01	-1.82
	$1.0 imes10^{-9}$	$9.80 imes 10^{-10}$	97.99	0.99	2.05
	-	$1.38 imes10^{-8}$	-	-	-
Water 2	$1.0 imes10^{-8}$	$1.02 imes10^{-8}$	100.18	0.69	-2.28
	$1.0 imes10^{-9}$	$1.01 imes 10^{-9}$	99.95	1.51	-1.10
	-	$2.36 imes10^{-9}$	-	-	-
Water 3	$1.0 imes10^{-8}$	$9.60 imes10^{-9}$	95.98	0.19	4.19
	$1.0 imes10^{-9}$	$9.96 imes10^{-10}$	99.62	0.45	0.38
	-	$1.02 imes10^{-8}$	-	-	-
Multivitamin tablet	$1.0 imes10^{-8}$	$1.01 imes 10^{-8}$	100.60	0.03	-0.59
	$1.0 imes10^{-9}$	$1.03 imes10^{-9}$	99.93	1.42	-3.00
Blueberry syrup	-	$9.05 imes10^{-9}$	-	-	-
	$1.0 imes10^{-8}$	$1.01 imes 10^{-8}$	99.98	0.19	-1.24
	$1.0 imes10^{-9}$	$1.03 imes10^{-9}$	99.93	0.98	-2.53
Urine	-	$3.89 imes 10^{-9}$	-	-	-
	$1.0 imes10^{-8}$	$1.03 imes10^{-8}$	100.97	1.24	-2.80
	$1.0 imes10^{-9}$	$9.75 imes 10^{-10}$	97.47	1.25	2.60

After inserting the 3D sensors into the samples, the peak current was measured. The aforementioned calibration equation was used to calculate the thiamine concentrations. Recovery, RSD, and bias (%) results are displayed in Tables 4 and 5.

The employment of the PIX/AuNPsnGr sensor results in the outcomes of excellent recovery values, as shown in Table 4. The recoveries for both the water and food samples are above 95%. In addition, the urine sample has a recovery value greater than 100%. RSD values for the samples range from 0.07 to 3.04. In the instance of the PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr sensor, Table 5 reveals that the recoveries in water, food, and urine samples are greater than 95%, with RSD values ranging between 0.19 and 2.01. Consequently, based on the fact that Tables 4 and 5 contain very good values, it can be stated that both sensors, PIX/AuNPsnGr and PIXCoCl/AuNPsnGr, demonstrate that they are able to provide better outcomes for sensitivity and selectivity of the assay for thiamine in food, water, and biological sample.

Table 6 shows that compared with other electrochemical methods proposed in the literature for the assay of thiamine, the 3D sensors present wider linear concentration ranges, as well as lower limits of detection.

Method	Linear Concentration Range	Limit of Detection	Reference
SWV	15–110 μg m L^{-1}	$3.5~\mu\mathrm{g~mL^{-1}}$	[22]
DPAdSV	$0.0025-0.80 \text{ g mL}^{-1}$	$1.1 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$	[23]
CV	10^{-5} - 10^{-3} mol L ⁻¹	$5.34 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1}$	[24]
CV	$0.256-10 \text{ m mol } \text{L}^{-1}$	$6.9 imes10^{-5}~\mathrm{mol}~\mathrm{L}^{-1}$	[4]
CV	1.0×10^{-12} – $1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1}$	$3.0 imes 10^{-13} ext{ mol } ext{L}^{-1}$	This work
CV	$1.0 imes 10^{-11}$ – $1.0 imes 10^{-7} ext{ mol } ext{L}^{-1}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-12} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1}$	This work

Table 6. Comparison of the new developed method of analysis of thiamine (using 3D sensors) with other methods presented in the literature.

4. Conclusions

The technological application of nanographenes will undoubtedly pave the way for the development of progressively more sensitive electrochemical sensors. Nanographenes are fascinating new instruments that, perhaps, have the potential to enhance food safety and quality control monitoring. In the perspective of such, the present study offers a miniplatform comprising two 3D electrochemical sensors that were developed, characterized, tested, and validated for the assessment of thiamine in blueberry syrup, multivitamin tablets, water, and a biological sample. Based on AuNPs and nGr paste, these 3D sensors were modified with PIX and PIXCoCl. Both sensors display extraordinarily high levels of stability, selectivity, sensitivity, and reproducibility in their respective studies. The suggested 3D sensors have the advantage of being able to be employed in the analysis of food, pharmaceutical, water, and biological samples in relation to the detection of the amount of thiamine contained by those samples.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.-C.G., J.F.v.S. and R.-I.S.-v.S.; methodology, D.-C.G., J.F.v.S. and R.-I.S.-v.S.; validation, D.-C.G.; formal analysis, D.-C.G. and P.S.; investigation, D.-C.G. and P.S.; writing—original draft preparation, D.-C.G. and P.S.; writing—review and editing, D.-C.G., J.F.v.S. and R.-I.S.-v.S.; supervision, J.F.v.S. and R.-I.S.-v.S.; funding acquisition, J.F.v.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by a grant from the Ministry of Research, Innovation, and Digitization, CNCS/CCCDI-UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2020-0059, within PNCDI III.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by Ethics Committee approval number 75/2015 awarded by the University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Carol Davila" from Bucharest.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Suh, J.H.; Kim, J.; Jung, J.; Kim, K.; Lee, S.G.; Cho, H.D.; Jung, Y.; Han, S.B. Determination of Thiamine in Pharmaceutical Preparations by Reverse Phase Liquid Chromatography without Use of Organic Solvent. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 2013, 34, 1745–1750. [CrossRef]
- Jain, A.; Mehta, R.; Al-Ani, M.; Hill, J.A.; Winchester, D.E. Determining the Role of Thiamine Deficiency in Systolic Heart Failure: A Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. J. Card. Fail. 2015, 21, 1000–1007. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sharma, V.; Jayaprakash, G.K. Fabrications of Electrochemical Sensors Based on Carbon Paste Electrode for Vitamin Detection in Real Samples. J. ElectroChem. Sci. Eng. 2022, 12, 421–430. [CrossRef]
- 4. Muppariqoh, N.M.; Wahyuni, W.T.; Putra, B.R. Detection of Vitamin B1 (Thiamine) Using Modified Carbon Paste Electrodes with Polypyrrole. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2017, *58*, 012050. [CrossRef]
- 5. Purbia, R.; Paria, S. A Simple Turn on Fluorescent Sensor for the Selective Detection of Thiamine Using Coconut Water Derived Luminescent Carbon Dots. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2016**, *79*, 467–475. [CrossRef]
- 6. Oni, J.; Westbroek, P.; Nyokong, T. Voltammetric Detection of Vitamin B 1 at Carbon Paste Electrodes and Its Determination in Tablets. *J. Electroanal.* 2002, 14, 1165–1168. [CrossRef]

- Labib, M.; Sargent, E.H.; Kelley, S.O. Electrochemical Methods for the Analysis of Clinically Relevant Biomolecules. *Chem. Rev.* 2016, 116, 9001–9090. [CrossRef]
- Huang, L.; Tian, S.; Zhao, W.; Liu, K.; Guo, J. Electrochemical Vitamin Sensors: A Critical Review. *Talanta* 2021, 222, 121645. [CrossRef]
- Liu, R.; Li, S.; Yu, X.; Zhang, G.; Zhang, S.; Yao, J.; Keita, B.; Nadjo, L.; Zhi, L. Facile Synthesis of Au-Nanoparticle/Polyoxometalate /Graphene Tricomponent Nanohybrids: An Enzyme-Free Electrochemical Biosensor for Hydrogen Peroxide. *Small* 2012, *8*, 1398–1406. [CrossRef]
- 10. Chazalviel, J.-N.; Allongue, P. On the Origin of the Efficient Nanoparticle Mediated Electron Transfer across a Self-Assembled Monolayer. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 762–764. [CrossRef]
- 11. Goncalves, G.; Marques, P.A.A.P.; Granadeiro, C.M.; Nogueira, H.I.S.; Singh, M.K.; Grácio, J. Surface Modification of Graphene Nanosheets with Gold Nanoparticles: The Role of Oxygen Moieties at Graphene Surface on Gold Nucleation and Growth. *Chem. Mater* **2009**, *21*, 4796–4802. [CrossRef]
- Cincotto, F.H.; Canevari, T.C.; Campos, A.M.; Landers, R.; Machado, S.A.S. Simultaneous Determination of Epinephrine and Dopamine by Electrochemical Reduction on the Hybrid Material SiO₂/Graphene Oxide Decorated with Ag Nanoparticles. *Analyst* 2014, 139, 4634–4640. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Huang, M.; Xu, X.; Yang, H.; Liu, S. Electrochemically-Driven and Dynamic Enhancement of Drug Metabolism via Cytochrome P450 Microsomes on Colloidal Gold/Graphene Nanocomposites. *RSC Adv.* **2012**, *2*, 12844–12850. [CrossRef]
- 14. Liu, Z.M.; Yang, Y.; Wang, H.; Liu, Y.L.; Shen, G.L.; Yu, R.Q. A Hydrogen Peroxide Biosensor Based on Nano-Au/PAMAM Dendrimer/Cystamine Modified Gold Electrode. *Sens. Actuators* **2005**, *106*, 394–400. [CrossRef]
- 15. Gole, A.; Dash, C.; Soman, C.; Sainkar, S.R.; Rao, M.; Sastry, M. On the Preparation, Characterization, and Enzymatic Activity of Fungal Protease-Gold Colloid Bioconjugates. *Bioconjugate Chem.* **2001**, *12*, 684–690. [CrossRef]
- 16. Huang, K.J.; Niu, D.J.; Sun, J.Y.; Zhu, X.L.; Zhu, J.J. Label-Free Amperometric Immunobiosensor Based on a Gold Colloid and Prussian Blue Nanocomposite Film Modified Carbon Ionic Liquid Electrode. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2010**, 397, 3553–3561. [CrossRef]
- Comnea-Stancu, I.R.; van Staden, J.F.; Stefan-van Staden, R.-I. Facile Detection of Naphthalene with a 5,10,15,20-Tetrakis(4-Methoxyphenyl)-21H,23H-Porphine Nickel (II)/N-(1-Naphthyl) Ethylenediamine Dihydrochloride Renewable Graphene Oxide Paste Electrode. J. ElectroChem. Soc. 2022, 169, 037527. [CrossRef]
- 18. Mishra, G.K.; Barfidokht, A.; Tehrani, F.; Mishra, R.K. Food Safety Analysis Using Electrochemical Biosensors. *Foods* **2018**, *7*, 141. [CrossRef]
- 19. Wahyuni, W.T.; Putra, B.R.; Marken, F. Voltammetric detection of vitamin B1 (thiamine) in neutral solution at a glassy carbon electrode via in situ pH modulation. *Analyst* **2020**, *145*, 1903–1909. [CrossRef]
- Tuchiu, B.-M.; Staden, R.-I.S.; van Staden, J.F.; Aboul-Enein, H.Y. N-Methylfulleropyrrolidine-Based Multimode Sensor for Determination of Butoconazole Nitrate. ACS Omega 2022, 7, 42537–42544. [CrossRef]
- 21. State, R.G.; van Staden, J.F.; State, R.N.; Papa, F. Rapid and Sensitive Electrochemical Determination of Tartrazine in Commercial Food Samples Using IL/AuTiO₂/GO Composite Modified Carbon Paste Electrode. *Food Chem.* **2022**, *385*, 132616. [CrossRef]
- 22. Westmacott, K.L.; Crew, A.; Doran, O.; Hart, J.P. A novel electroanalytical approach to the measurement of B vitamins in food supplements based on screen-printed carbon sensors. *Talanta* **2018**, *181*, 13–18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Brahman, P.K.; Dar, R.A.; Pitre, K.S. DNA-functionalized electrochemical biosensor for detection of vitamin B1 using electrochemically treated multiwalled carbon nanotube paste electrode by voltammetric methods. *Sens. Actuators B* 2013, 177, 807–812. [CrossRef]
- 24. David, I.G.; Florea, M.A.; Cracea, O.G.; Popa, D.E.; Buleandra, M.; Iorgulescu, E.E.; David, V.; Badea, I.A.; Ciucu, A.A. Voltammetric determination of B1 and B6 vitamins using a pencil graphite electrode. *Chem. Pap.* **2015**, *69*, 901–910. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.