

## Supplementary Material

**Table S1.** ANOSIM results of global and pairwise comparison tests from the matrix of Jaccard's similarity for the Hydrozoa taxocene on the Espíritu Santo Archipelago.

Test	Diversity groups	R statistic	Significance level	Permutations
Global	NA	0.748	0.001	999
	Low, Medium	0.657	0.029	35
Pairwise	Medium, High	0.708	0.029	35
	Low, High	0.815	0.029	35

**Table S2.** Multiple regression results of supplementary environmental variables into the ordination of the Hydrozoa taxocene on the Espíritu Santo Archipelago.

Data origin	Environmental factor	NMDS1	NMDS2	R2	Pr(>r)
In situ	Salinity	0.31426	0.94934	0.2998	0.2458
	Sea surface temperature (°C)	-0.1003	0.99496	0.0811	0.7236
Satellite	Chlorophyll-a ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ )	-0.77003	0.63801	0.1188	0.5985
	Dissolved oxygen (mg $\text{L}^{-1}$ )	-0.74798	-0.66372	0.3531	0.1753
	pH	-0.95927	0.28248	0.3289	0.2022
	Salinity	-0.64939	0.76046	0.1137	0.6386
	Sea surface temperature (°C)	0.80244	0.59673	0.358	0.1718

**Table S3.** Similarity analysis (SIMPER) between low, medium and high diversity groups of hydrozoans on the Espírito Santo Archipelago. Av.Sim: average similarity, Sim/SD: similarity to standard deviation ratio, Contr.%: percentage of contribution, in which (-) indicates species with no significant contribution. Bolded items denote the species that made a significant contribution.

Species	Low			Medium			High		
	Av.Sim	Sim/SD	Contri.%	Av.Sim	Sim/SD	Contri.%	Av.Sim	Sim/SD	Contri.%
<i>Abylopsis eschscholtzii</i> (Huxley, 1859)	-	-	-	<b>18.2</b>	<b>2.93</b>	<b>42.52</b>	-	-	-
<i>Aglaophenia pinguis</i> Fraser, 1938	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.2	0.89	6.09
<i>Aglaura hemistoma</i> Péron & Lesueur, 1810	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Bougainvillia muscus</i> (Allman, 1863)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Clytia hemisphaerica</i> (Linnaeus, 1767)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Clytia linearis</i> (Thorneley, 1900)	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>7.4</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>14.07</b>
<i>Clytia simplex</i> (Browne, 1902)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Corydendrium parasiticum</i> (Linnaeus, 1767)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Dynamena disticha</i> (Bosc, 1802)	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.2	0.89	6.09
<i>Dynamena quadridentata</i> (Ellis & Solander, 1786)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Eucheilota paradoxica</i> Mayer, 1900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Eudoxoides mitra</i> (Huxley, 1859)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Halopteris violae</i> Calder, Mallinson, Collins & Hickman, 2003	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.11	0.41	2.11
<i>Hydrodendron mirabile</i> (Hincks, 1866)	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>4.07</b>	0.86	7.75
<i>Liriope tetraphylla</i> (Chamisso & Eysenhardt, 1821)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Macrorhynchia philippina</i> Kirchenpauer, 1872	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>7.4</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>14.07</b>
<i>Muggiaea atlantica</i> Cunningham, 1892	9.52	0.58	<b>29.41</b>	-	-	-	3.2	0.89	6.09
<i>Nanomia bijuga</i> (Delle Chiaje, 1844)	13.33	0.58	<b>41.18</b>	2.22	0.41	5.19	-	-	-
<i>Obelia dichotoma</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>7.4</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>14.07</b>
<i>Pennaria disticha</i> Goldfuss, 1820	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>4.07</b>	0.86	7.75
<i>Plumularia floridana</i> Nutting, 1900	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.2	0.89	6.09
<i>Rhopalonema velatum</i> Gegenbaur, 1857	9.52	0.58	<b>29.41</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Solmundella bitentaculata</i> (Quoy & Gaimard, 1833)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Stauridiosarsia ophiogaster</i> (Haeckel, 1879)	-	-	-	<b>18.2</b>	<b>2.93</b>	<b>42.52</b>	-	-	-
<i>Ventromma halecioides</i> (Alder, 1859)	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.2	0.89	6.09

**Table S4.** Similarity analysis (SIMPER) with pairwise comparison within diversity groups of hydrozoans on the Espíritu Santo Archipelago. Av.Diss: average dissimilarity, Diss/SD: dissimilarity to standard deviation ratio, Contr.%: percentage of contribution and (-) for species with no significant contribution. Bolded items denote the species that made a significant contribution.

Species	Low x Medium			Low x High			Medium x High		
	Av.Diss	Diss/SD	Contri.%	Av.Diss	Diss/SD	Contri.%	Av.Diss	Diss/SD	Contri.%
<i>Abylopsis eschscholtzii</i> (Huxley, 1859)	<b>13.82</b>	<b>1.89</b>	<b>16.49</b>	1.21	0.55	1.4	<b>4.41</b>	<b>1.41</b>	<b>5.45</b>
<i>Aglaophenia pinguis</i> Fraser, 1938	2.63	0.55	3.14	<b>4.44</b>	<b>1.36</b>	<b>5.11</b>	<b>3.26</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>4.03</b>
<i>Aglaura hemistoma</i> Péron & Lesueur, 1810	3.67	0.63	4.38	1.99	0.64	2.29	-	-	-
<i>Bougainvillia muscus</i> (Allman, 1863)	2.63	0.55	3.14	2.27	0.95	2.61	2.3	0.92	2.85
<i>Clytia hemisphaerica</i> (Linnaeus, 1767)	-	-	-	2.27	0.95	2.61	1.99	0.95	2.47
<i>Clytia linearis</i> (Thorneley, 1900)	2.63	0.55	3.14	<b>6.61</b>	<b>2.88</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>4.21</b>	<b>1.38</b>	<b>5.21</b>
<i>Clytia simplex</i> (Browne, 1902)	3.36	0.54	4.01	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Corydendrium parasiticum</i> (Linnaeus, 1767)	-	-	-	2.17	0.55	2.49	1.73	0.54	2.15
<i>Dynamena disticha</i> (Bosc, 1802)	-	-	-	<b>4.44</b>	<b>1.36</b>	<b>5.11</b>	<b>3.73</b>	<b>1.39</b>	<b>4.62</b>
<i>Dynamena quadridentata</i> (Ellis & Solander, 1786)	-	-	-	2.17	0.55	2.49	1.73	0.54	2.15
<i>Eucheilota paradoxica</i> Mayer, 1900	-	-	-	2.27	0.95	2.61	2.23	0.95	2.76
<i>Eudoxoides mitra</i> (Huxley, 1859)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.62	0.73	2
<i>Halopteris violae</i> Calder, Mallinson, Collins & Hickman, 2003	-	-	-	3.23	0.85	3.71	2.67	0.86	3.31
<i>Hydrodendron mirabile</i> (Hincks, 1866)	-	-	-	<b>5.4</b>	<b>1.41</b>	<b>6.21</b>	<b>4.41</b>	<b>1.41</b>	<b>5.45</b>
<i>Liriope tetraphylla</i> (Chamisso & Eysenhardt, 1821)	4.18	0.62	4.99	2.92	0.88	3.36	1.99	0.95	2.47
<i>Macrorhynchia philippina</i> Kirchenpauer, 1872	-	-	-	<b>6.61</b>	<b>2.88</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>5.46</b>	<b>2.91</b>	<b>6.76</b>
<i>Muggiae atlantica</i> Cunningham, 1892	<b>7.37</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>8.8</b>	2.99	0.76	3.43	<b>3.3</b>	<b>1.11</b>	<b>4.09</b>
<i>Nanomia bijuga</i> (Delle Chiaje, 1844)	7.65	0.75	9.13	3.69	0.88	4.25	2.61	0.92	3.23
<i>Obelia dichotoma</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	-	-	-	<b>6.61</b>	<b>2.88</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>5.46</b>	<b>2.91</b>	<b>6.76</b>
<i>Pennaria disticha</i> Goldfuss, 1820	-	-	-	<b>5.4</b>	<b>1.41</b>	<b>6.21</b>	<b>4.41</b>	<b>1.41</b>	<b>5.45</b>
<i>Plumularia floridana</i> Nutting, 1900	2.63	0.55	3.14	<b>4.44</b>	<b>1.36</b>	<b>5.11</b>	<b>3.26</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>4.03</b>
<i>Rhopalonema velatum</i> Gegenbaur, 1857	6.79	0.87	8.11	3.49	0.92	4.01	2.61	0.92	3.23
<i>Solmundella bitentaculata</i> (Quoy & Gaimard, 1833)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.69	0.73	2.1
<i>Stauridiosarsia ophiogaster</i> (Haeckel, 1879)	<b>13.82</b>	<b>1.89</b>	<b>16.49</b>	-	-	-	<b>5.46</b>	<b>2.91</b>	<b>6.76</b>
<i>Ventromma halecioides</i> (Alder, 1859)	4.63	0.93	5.52	<b>4.44</b>	<b>1.36</b>	<b>5.11</b>	2.83	0.9	3.5