

Range expansion of treefrog species after previous declines in Costa Rica

Héctor Zumbado-Ulate^{1,*}, Catherine L. Searle¹, Gerardo Chaves², Víctor Acosta-Chaves^{3,4}, Alex Shepack⁵, Stanley Salazar⁶, Adrián García-Rodríguez⁷

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University; West Lafayette, Indiana, 47907

²CIBET (Museo de Zoología), Universidad de Costa Rica, San Pedro, 11501–2060 San José, Costa Rica

³Sede del Atlántico, Universidad de Costa Rica. Recinto de Paraíso, Cartago, Costa Rica.

⁴School for Field Studies, Center for Sustainable Development Studies, Atenas, Alajuela, Costa Rica.

⁵Department of Biological Sciences, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA

⁶Dosel S.A Rain Forest Adventures. La Esperanza, Horquetas de Sarapiquí, Heredia, Costa Rica.

⁷BioInvasions, Global Change, Macroecology-Group, Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research, University of Vienna, Rennweg 14, 1030, Vienna, Austria

*Correspondence: hzumbado@purdue.edu

Table S1. Best-fitted candidate models selected to predict the range of eight species of treefrogs in Costa Rica. For each species we showed the total number of occurrences in raw and cleaned datasets, the candidate model selected, including feature classes (L, H, Q, and LHQ), regularization of multiplier values = 1-4 with increments of 1; the value of average test of the area under the receiver characteristic operator curve (AUC Mean), the lowest omission rate at minimum training presence (orMTP), and the equal training sensitivity and specificity threshold (ETSS) selected to generate our suitable habitat polygons.

Species	Occurrence points		Best-fitted model (AUC; orMTP)	ETSS
	Raw dataset	Cleaned dataset		
<i>Agalychnis annae</i>	332	54	L = 1 (0.82; 0.06)	0.24
<i>Agalychnis lemur</i>	274	26	H = 1 (0.73; 0.15)	0.6
<i>Duellmanohyla uranochroa</i>	253	29	H = 1 (0.56; 0.09)	0.6
<i>Ecnomiohyla sukia</i>	32	26	H = 1 (0.92; 0.07)	0.45
<i>Isthmohyla angustilineata</i>	91	11*	L = 1 (0.80; 0.30)	0.6
<i>Isthmohyla pictipes</i>	230	12*	Q = 2 (0.78; 0.08)	0.6
<i>Isthmohyla pseudopuma</i>	568	80	L = 4 (0.61; 0.12)	0.6
<i>Isthmohyla rivularis</i>	412	30	L = 4 (0.78; 0.09)	0.6

*For datasets with number of occurrences < 25 we used the 'N-1 Jackknife' as method of data partition instead of the 'block' method.

Table S2. Permutation importance (%) of the environmental predictors used to model the range of eight species of treefrogs in Costa Rica. The climatic predictors were BIO 02 = Mean Diurnal Range; BIO 03 = Isothermality; BIO 07 = Temperature Annual Range; BIO 08 = Mean Temperature of Wettest Quarter; BIO13 = Precipitation of Wettest Month; BIO 14 = Precipitation of Driest Month; BIO 18 = Precipitation of Warmest Quarter; BIO 19 = Precipitation of Coldest Quarter; CONT= Continentality; eQ = Emberger's pluviothermic quotient; PETWQ = Mean Monthly Potential Evapotranspiration of Warmest Quarter.

Predictor	Permutation importance (%)							
	<i>Agalychnis annae</i>	<i>Agalychnis lemur</i>	<i>Duellmanohyla uranochroa</i>	<i>Ecnomiohyla sukia</i>	<i>Isthmohyla angustilineata</i>	<i>Isthmohyla pictipes</i>	<i>Isthmohyla pseudopuma</i>	<i>Isthmohyla rivularis</i>
BIO 02	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
BIO 03	52.0	15.5	27.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.1	0.0
BIO 07	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BIO 08	0.0	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BIO 13	7.5	5.9	6.1	14.2	5.7	0.0	3.4	0.0
BIO 14	0.1	11.1	17.5	0.0	0.0	75.6	4.6	0.0
BIO 18	6.1	11.7	34.3	26.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BIO 19	5.0	5.3	2.1	29.1	64.0	0.0	14.8	6.1
CONT	8.5	14.0	13.1	0.1	26.4	24.4	32.2	92.8
eQ	21.0	2.1	0.0	0	3.2	0.0	16.9	1.1
PETWQ	0.0	9.6	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0