

The Role of Organic Cation Transporters in the Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics and Drug–Drug Interactions of Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors

Fangrui Xiu^{1,2,3}, Magdalena Rausch³, Zhibo Gai⁴, Shanshan Su², Shijun Wang^{1,5,*} and Michele Visentin^{3,*}

- ¹ College of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan 250355, China
- ² Department of Nephrology, Affiliated Hospital of Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan 250014, China
- ³ Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, 8006 Zurich, Switzerland
- ⁴ Experimental Center, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan 250355, China
- ⁵ Shandong Co-Innovation Center of Classic TCM Formula, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan 250355, China
- * Correspondence: pathologywsj@hotmail.com (S.W.); michele.visentin@usz.ch (M.V.); Tel.: +41-432-533-148 (M.V.)

Abstract: Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) decisively contributed in revolutionizing the therapeutic approach to cancer, offering non-invasive, tolerable therapies for a better quality of life. Nonetheless, degree and duration of the response to TKI therapy vary depending on cancer molecular features, the ability of developing resistance to the drug, on pharmacokinetic alterations caused by germline variants and unwanted drug–drug interactions at the level of membrane transporters and metaboliz-ing enzymes. A great deal of approved TKIs are inhibitors of the organic cation transporters (OCTs). A handful are also substrates of them. These transporters are polyspecific and highly expressed in normal epithelia, particularly the intestine, liver and kidney, and are, hence, arguably relevant sites of TKI interactions with other OCT substrates. Moreover, OCTs are often repressed in cancer cells and might contribute to the resistance of cancer cells to TKIs. This article reviews the OCT interactions with approved and in-development TKIs reported in vitro and in vivo and critically discusses the potential clinical ramifications thereof.

Keywords: drug–drug interaction; organic cation transporter; pharmacokinetics; SLC; tyrosine kinase; TKI

1. Introduction

Standard chemotherapy represented the only pharmacological approach in the treatment of cancer until the beginning of the XXI century, when the shift to targeted therapies began with the development of the small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) imatinib, which was effective in the treatment of chronic myeloid leukemia and Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia [1,2]. Since the approval of imatinib, more than 50 TKIs, heterogeneous in their structure and the targeted kinase, have been approved for clinical use, mainly for the treatment of solid tumors, and many others are in preclinical or clinical development [3]. The majority of TKIs have a common mechanism of inhibition, namely the competition with ATP at the nucleotide binding site of the kinase, which is located on the intracellular domain. However, other modes of inhibiting the signal transduction mediated by the tyrosine kinase include the competition of the TKI with the substrate for binding to the receptor, the competition with the phosphorylating entity or an allosteric negative cooperativity, in which TKI and substrate bind at two different non-overlapping sites, yet the binding of the TKI reduces the affinity of the receptor for



Citation: Xiu, F.; Rausch, M.; Gai, Z.; Su, S.; Wang, S.; Visentin, M. The Role of Organic Cation Transporters in the Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics and Drug–Drug Interactions of Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, 24,

2101. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms24032101

Academic Editor: Mauro Salvi

Received: 19 December 2022 Revised: 13 January 2023 Accepted: 16 January 2023 Published: 20 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). its substrate. TKIs display substantial differences with respect to the spectrum of kinase inhibition, clinical application, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics as well as the spectrum of possible drug–drug interactions (DDIs) [4]. Reversibility of the binding is one of the parameters used for categorizing targeted small-molecule TKIs. However, TKIs may further be grouped based on the target or the generation of belonging (e.g., first generation). In a recent review article, Roskoski described a thorough classification separating TKIs acknowledging the type (covalent or non-covalent) and site (e.g., front or back cleft) of the binding [5]. Most TKIs have been designed against membrane-bound receptor tyrosine kinases, which play an important role in a variety of cellular processes, including growth, motility, differentiation and metabolism, and are often aberrantly activated in cancer cells because of gain-of-function mutations, genomic amplification, chromosomal rearrangements and/or autocrine activation [6].

Growing evidence indicates that the expression and function of the polyspecific organic cation transporters belonging to the solute carrier (SLC) 22A family are critical to achieve therapeutic plasma and intracellular concentrations of some TKIs and are a hotspot of DDIs where TKIs are the causative drugs [4]. Organic cation transporters facilitate the movement across the plasma membrane of endogenous quaternary amines, such as tetraethyammonium (TEA), choline and L-carnitine, as well as several widely prescribed drugs, including platinum derivatives, metformin and dolutegravir [7–9]. To date, seven organic cation transporters have been characterized concerning their localization and substrate specificity. Conversely, the lack of obvious phenotypes associated with loss of, or gain of function of any of these transporters has hindered the comprehension of their physiological role. The human organic cation transporters 1, 2 and 3 (OCT1-3) are considered the canonical organic cation transporters and are encoded by the genes SLC22A1, SLC22A2 and SLC22A3, clustering on chromosome 6 (6q25.3). OCT1-3 are Na⁺- and H⁺-independent transporters, whose activity is driven by the membrane potential and the electrochemical gradient of the substrate across the plasma membrane [10,11]. The human novel organic cation transporter 1 (OCTN1), encoded by the SLC22A4 gene located on chromosome 5 (5q31.1), has been characterized as a carnitine/cation transporter driven by membrane potential [12]. However, there have been suggestions to rename it as ergothioneine transporter (ETT), to date, the substrate with the highest affinity for OCTN1 [13,14]. The gene *SLC22A5*, encoding for the human novel organic cation transporter 2 (OCTN2), clusters with the SLC22A4 gene on 5q31.1. OCTN2 is a high-affinity Na⁺-dependent transporter of the quaternary amine L-carnitine and its precursor γ -butyrobetaine [15]. OCTN2 also transports several organic cations and drugs with low affinity and in a Na⁺-independent manner, including TEA, the antibiotic colistin, and oxaliplatin [11,16]. Among the organic cation transporters, OCTN2 is the only one with an indisputable physiological function. OCTN2 represents the main carnitine uptake system across epithelia and the loss of OCTN2 transport activity causes systemic carnitine deficiency (OMIM212149) [17]. Organic cation 6 (OCT6) is currently the preferred name of the transporter encoded by the *SLC22A16* gene on chromosome 6 (6q21-q22.1), initially named novel carnitine transporter 2 (CT2) [18]. Recently, the transporter encoded by the SLC22A15 gene that was cloned alongside OCT6 was deorphanized but no functional name has yet been assigned to it. SLC22A15 transports zwitterions and cations, in most cases in a Na⁺-dependent manner [19].

As part of the Special Issue "Overcoming Biological Barriers: Importance of Membrane Transporters in Homeostasis, Disease, and Disease Treatment", this review provides a detailed summary of the in vitro characterization of OCT-TKI interactions (Table 1) and describes the impact of the localization, expression level and function of OCTs on the pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and toxicity of TKIs, as well as on the potential occurrence of DDIs, whereby TKIs inhibit the OCT-mediated transport of victim drugs (Table 2). Substrate specificity, molecular mechanism of transport and physiological role of organic cation transporters are marginally described and only as far as relevant to this review topic. For more details on the above-mentioned aspects, we refer to other review articles written by Koepsell [20,21], Tamai [22], Pochini et al. [23] and Samodelov et al. [11].

Com	pound	OCT1	OCT2	OCT3	OCTN1	OCTN2
			Src-family			
Imatinib	Inhibitor	$IC_{50} = 1.47 \ \mu M^{1} \ [24]$ $IC_{50} \ (rat) = 4.1 \ \mu M^{5} \ [25]$ $Yes^{1} \ [26]$	$\begin{array}{l} Yes\ ^{4}\ [27] \\ IC_{50}\ =\ 5.81\ \mu M\ ^{1}\ [24] \\ IC_{50}\ =\ 6.70\ \mu M\ ^{5}\ [25] \\ IC_{50}\ (rat)\ =\ 1.3\ \mu M\ ^{5}\ [25] \\ Yes\ ^{4}\ [28] \\ Yes\ ^{1}\ [26] \end{array}$	IC ₅₀ = 4.36 μ M ¹ [24] IC ₅₀ (mouse) = 23.50 μ M ⁴ [29] Yes ¹ [26]	Not reported	Not reported
	Substrate	Yes [26,30–37] No [38]	No [26,32,38]	No [26,32,38]	No [32]	Yes [26,32]
Dasatinib	Inhibitor	$\begin{split} IC_{50} &= 1.07 \; \mu M^{\; 1} \; [24] \\ IC_{50} &= 0.20 \; \mu M^{\; 1} \; [39] \\ IC_{50} &= 0.56 \; \mu M^{\; 4} \; [39] \\ Yes^{\; 1} \; [26] \end{split}$	$\begin{array}{l} IC_{50} = 15.9 \text{ nM} \ ^3 \ [27] \\ Yes \ ^4 \ [27] \\ IC_{50} = 2.11 \ \mu M \ ^1 \ [24] \\ Yes \ ^4 \ [28,39] \\ Yes \ ^1 \ [26] \end{array}$	$IC_{50} = 4.50 \ \mu M^{1} \ [24]$ Yes ⁴ [39] $IC_{50} \ (mouse) = 17.30 \ \mu M^{4} \ [29]$ Yes ¹ [26]	Not reported	Not reported
	Substrate	Yes [37] No [26]	No [26]	No [26]	-	
Saracatinib *	Inhibitor	$IC_{50} = 27.10 \ \mu M^{2} \ [40]$ $IC_{50} = 57.00 \ \mu M^{2} \ [41]$	$\begin{array}{l} IC_{50} = 0.46 \ \mu M^{\ 2} \ [40] \\ IC_{50} = 0.90 \ \mu M^{\ 2} \ [41] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} IC_{50} = 10.30 \ \mu M^{2} \ [40] \\ IC_{50} = 50.00 \ \mu M^{2} \ [41] \end{array}$	$IC_{50} = 72.00 \text{ nM}^2 [41]$	Not reported
	Substrate	Yes [41]	Yes [41]	Yes [41]	$K_{\rm m} = 42.00 \ \mu M \ [41]$	_
Nilotinib	Inhibitor	$IC_{50} = 2.92 \ \mu M^{1} \ [24]$ Yes ¹ [26]	Yes ⁴ [27] IC ₅₀ > 30 μ M ¹ [24] Yes ⁴ [28]	$\begin{array}{l} IC_{50} = 0.35 \ \mu M^{\ 1} \ [24] \\ IC_{50} \ (mouse) = 0.88 \ \mu M^{\ 4} \ [29] \\ Yes^{\ 1} \ [26] \end{array}$	Not reported	Not reported
	Substrate	No [26,34]	No [26]	No [26]	-	
Ponatinih	Inhibitor	Not reported	Yes ⁴ [27,28]	Yes ² [42]	Not reported	Not reported
i onumbo	Substrate	No [26]	Not reported	Not reported	r	- top of total

Table 1. OCT–TKI interaction in vitro.

Compound		OCT1	OCT2	OCT3	OCTN1	OCTN2		
	Src-family							
Vemurafenib *	Inhibitor	Not reported	No ⁴ [28]	No ² [42] IC ₅₀ (mouse) > 100 μM ⁴ [29]	Not reported	Not reported		
	Substrate		Not reported	Not reported	_			
Bosutinib	Inhibitor	Yes ⁴ [39]	Yes ⁴ [27,28,39]	No ⁴ [39] Yes (mouse) ⁴ [29]	Not reported	Not reported		
	Substrate	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported				
Sorafenib	Inhibitor	$IC_{50} > 30 \ \mu M^{1}$ [24]	No ⁴ [28] IC ₅₀ > 30 μM ¹ [24]	$IC_{50} = 20.10 \ \mu M^{1} [24]$ Yes (mouse) ⁴ [29] Yes ¹ [26]	Not reported	Not reported		
	Substrate	Yes [43–47] Km = 3.80 μM [48] No [26,49,50]	Not reported	Not reported	No [26,50]	No [26,50]		
Dabrafenib *	Inhibitor	Not reported	No ⁴ [28]	— Not reported	Not reported	Nistana da l		
	Substrate		Not reported			Not reported		
Trametinih *	Inhibitor		No ⁴ [28]	NT-t-mon-ort-1	Not reported	Nistan stal		
franceunit	Substrate	INOT reported	Not reported	- Not reported		Not reported		
			Epidermal growth factor recep	otor (EGFR)				
Gefitinib	Inhibitor	IC ₅₀ = 1.07 μM ¹ [24] Yes ⁶ [51] Yes ¹ [26]	Yes ⁴ [27,28] IC ₅₀ = 24.40 μ M ¹ [24] Ye s ⁶ [51] Yes ¹ [26]	$IC_{50} = 5.47 \ \mu M^{1} [24]$ Yes (mouse) ⁴ [29] Yes ¹ [26]	Not reported	Not reported		
	Substrate	No [51] No [26]	Yes [51] No [26]	Not reported	_			
Erlotinib	Inhibitor	$IC_{50} = 0.36 \ \mu M^{1} \ [24]$ Yes ¹ [26]	Yes ⁴ [27,28] IC ₅₀ = 5.24 μ M ¹ [24] Yes ²⁺⁴ [52] Yes ¹ [26]	$IC_{50} = 4.21 \ \mu M^{1} [24]$ Yes (mouse) ⁴ [29] Yes ¹ [26]	Not reported	Not reported		
	Substrate	No [26]	Yes [26,53]	Not reported	—			

	Table 1.	Cont.							
C	ompound	OCT1	OCT2	OCT3	OCTN1	OCTN2			
	Src-family								
Afatinib	Inhibitor		No ⁴ [28]	Yes ² [42]		Not reported			
Alatilit	Substrate	— Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	 Not reported 				
Decomitinih	Inhibitor	Yes [54]		Yes ² [42]					
Dacomitinib Ir Sunitinib Ir Lapatinib Ir Osimertinib Ir Sunitinib Ir Sunitinib Ir Sunitinib Ir Sunitinib Ir	Substrate		- Not reported	Not reported	 Not reported 	Not reported			
Lapatinib	Inhibitor	IC ₅₀ > 30 μM ¹ [24] No ⁴ [39]	IC ₅₀ > 30 μM ¹ [24] No ⁴ [28,39]	No ² [42] IC ₅₀ > 30 μ M ¹ [24] No ⁴ [39] No (mouse) ⁴ [29]	Not reported	Not reported			
	Substrate	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	_				
Osimertinib	Inhibitor	Not reported	Not reported	Yes ² [42] Yes (mouse) ⁴ [29]	Not reported	Not reported			
	Substrate			Not reported					
		Platel	let-derived growth factor recept	or (PDGFR)					
Sunitinib	Inhibitor	IC ₅₀ = 0.33 μM ¹ [24] Yes ⁴ [39] Yes ¹ [26]	Yes ⁴ [27,28,39] IC ₅₀ = 1.73 µM ¹ [24] Yes ¹ [26]	$IC_{50} = 5.22 \ \mu M^{1} [24]$ Yes ⁴ [39] $IC_{50} (mouse) = 8.43 \ \mu M^{4} [29]$ Yes ¹ [26]	Not reported	Not reported			
	Substrate	No [26,50]	Not reported	Not reported	No [26,50]	No [26,50]			
Masitinih *	Inhibitor	IC ₅₀ (mouse) = 14 μ M ² [55] IC ₅₀ = 24 μ M ² [55]	IC ₅₀ (mouse) = 19 μ M ² [55] IC ₅₀ = 7 μ M ² [55]	$IC_{50} = 14 \ \mu M^2 \ [55]$	_ Not reported	Not reported			
Wastund	Substrate	Km = 16.30 μM [55] Yes (mouse) [55]	Yes [55]	Yes [55]	- 10010p0100	Tiorreported			
		Vascul	ar epithelial growth factor recep	otor (VEGFR)					
Pazopanib	Inhibitor	$IC_{50} = 0.25 \ \mu M^{1}$ [56]	Yes ⁴ [27] IC ₅₀ = 3.5 μM ² [57]	Yes (mouse) ⁴ [29]	_ Not reported	Not reported			
	Substrate	K _m = 3.47 μM [56]	Not reported	Not reported					

Con	npound	OCT1	OCT2	OCT3	OCTN1	OCTN2	
	Src-family						
Cabozantinib	Inhibitor	Not reported	Yes ⁴ [27,28]	No ² [42] IC ₅₀ (mouse) = 5.93 μM ⁴ [29]	Not reported	Not reported	
	Substrate		Not reported	Not reported		-	
Nintedanib	Inhibitor	Not reported	Not reported	Yes ² [42]	- Not reported	Not reported	
	Substrate		Not reported	Not reported	- Not reported	Not reported	
Regorafenib	Inhibitor	Not reported	No ⁴ [28]	Yes ² [42] Yes (mouse) ⁴ [29]	_ Not reported	Not reported	
	Substrate	No [46]	Not reported	Not reported			
Axitinib	Inhibitor	Not reported	Yes ⁴ [27,28]	Yes (mouse) ⁴ [29]	 Not reported 	Not reported	
	Substrate	Worteported	Not reported	Not reported	- Not reported		
Vandetanib	Inhibitor	$\begin{array}{l} IC_{50} = 1.35 \ \mu M \ ^4 \ [39] \\ IC_{50} = 1.25 \ \mu M \ ^1 \ [39] \end{array}$	Yes ⁴ [27,28,39] Yes [54] Yes ¹ [26]	Yes ⁴ [39] Yes (mouse) ⁴ [29]	Not reported	Not reported	
	Substrate	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	_		
Nindetanih *	Inhibitor	Not reported	Not reported	Yes (mouse) ⁴ [29]	Not reported	Not reported	
	Substrate	Not reported		Not reported	- Not reported	i voi reported	
		C	yclin-dependent kinases 4 and	1 6 (CDK4/6)			
Abemaciclib *	Inhibitor	Not reported	Vec [54]	Yes ² [42]	 Not reported 	Not reported	
	Substrate	Worteported		Not reported	- Not reported		
Ribociclib *	Inhibitor	Not reported	Not reported	Yes ² [42]	_ Not reported	Not reported	
	Substrate	Worteponed	Notreponeu	Not reported	- Not reported	inor reported	
			Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK)			
Acalabrutinib	Inhibitor	Not reported	Not reported	$IC_{50} = 1.84 \ \mu M^2 \ [42]$	Not reported	Not reported	
- icanorumito	Substrate	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	i voi reporteu	Not reported	1.00 reported	ivorieportea	

Compound		OCT1	OCT2	OCT3	OCTN1	OCTN2
			Src-family			
Ibrutinib	Inhibitor	$\begin{array}{l} IC_{50} = 0.89 \ \mu M \ ^4 \ [39] \\ IC_{50} = 0.74 \ \mu M \ ^1 \ [39] \end{array}$	Yes ⁴ [39]	Yes ² [42] No ⁴ [39] IC ₅₀ (mouse) = 2.37 μ M ⁴ [29]	Not reported	Not reported
	Substrate	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported		
Zanubrutinib	Inhibitor	Not reported	Yes [54]	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Zanubrutinib	Substrate	Not reported	Not reported		Not reported	Not reported
			Anaplastic lymphoma kinas	e (ALK)		
Alectinib	Inhibitor	Not reported	Not reported	No ² [42] No (mouse) ⁴ [29]	Not reported	Not reported
	Substrate			Not reported		
Brigatinib	Inhibitor		Not reported	$IC_{50} = 41.00 \text{ nM}^2$ [42]	 Not reported 	Not reported
	Substrate	Yes [54]		Not reported		
	Inhibitor	Nistan set al	Yes [54]	$IC_{50} = 28.20 \text{ nM}^2$ [42]	NT-1 manual 1	Not reported
Ceritinib	Substrate	Not reported		Not reported	- Not reported	
Crizotinib	Inhibitor	Not reported	Yes ⁴ [27,28] IC ₅₀ = 1.58 μ M ⁵ [58] IC ₅₀ = 16.20 μ M ⁶ [58]	$IC_{50} = 0.11 \ \mu M^2 \ [42]$	Not reported	Not reported
	Substrate		K _m = 1.16 μM [59]	Not reported		
	Inhibitor	Nature arts d	Nature estad	Yes ² [42]	Not non onto d	Not reported
Entrectinib	Substrate	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	- Not reported	
	Inhibitor	Not successful	Nature estal	Yes ² [42]	NT-1 manual 1	NI-1 and the 1
Lorlatinib	Substrate	INOT reported	Not reported	Not reported	 Not reported 	Not reported
			Janus kinase (JAK)			
Itacitinib *	Inhibitor	Not reported	$IC_{50} = 5.8 \ \mu M^{5} \ [60]$	No ² [42] IC ₅₀ = 80 μM ⁵ [60]	Not reported	Not reported
nachtilit	Substrate		Not reported	Not reported		1

Compound		OCT1	OCT2	OCT3	OCTN1	OCTN2
			Src-family			
	Inhibitor	Nature entral	Nature estad	$IC_{50} = 0.85 \ \mu M^2 \ [42]$	Not non-onto d	Nature auto d
Pacritinib *	Substrate	INOT reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Ruxolitinib	Inhibitor	Not reported	Yes ⁴ [27,28]	Yes ² [42] No (mouse) ⁴ [29]	Not reported	Not reported
	Substrate		Not reported	Not reported		
Tofacitinib	Inhibitor	Not reported	No ⁴ [28] Yes [54]	Yes ² [42] No (mouse) ⁴ [29]	Not reported	Not reported
	Substrate		Not reported	Not reported		
Fedratinib	Inhibitor	Not reported	Yes [54,61]	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
	Substrate	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported		
T''	Inhibitor	No ⁴ [62]	$IC_{50} = 0.85 \ \mu M^{1} \ [62]$	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Filgotilito	Substrate	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Dafinitinih *	Inhibitor	Yes [61]	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
	Substrate	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported		Not reported
		Hum	an epidermal growth factor rece	eptor (HER) family		
The second sector	Inhibitor	Not reported	Not reported	Yes ² [42]	—— Not reported	NT-1 manual al
Lenvatinib	Substrate	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported		Not reported
NT and the 'l	Inhibitor	Vec [54]	Not reported	Yes ² [42]	Not reported	Not reported
Neratinib	Substrate	1es [54]	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
			fms-like tyrosine kinase 3	6 (FLT3)		
	Inhibitor	$IC_{50} = 0.02 \ \mu M^4 \ [39]$	Yes ⁴ [39]	No ⁴ [39]	Nativenented	Not reported
Gilteritinib	Substrate	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	— Not reported	not reported

¹ Inhibition of metformin uptake, ² Inhibition of ASP⁺ uptake, ³ Inhibition of oxaliplatin uptake, ⁴ Inhibition of TEA uptake, ⁵ Inhibition of creatinine uptake, ⁶ Inhibition of MPP⁺ uptake. * Not approved [5].

Compound	Type of Study	Dosage	Pharmacokinetics	Pharmacodynamics	Reference
	$Oct1^{-/-}$ and wild-type mice	Sorafenib (p.o. 10 mg/kg)	C _{max} sorafenib: unchanged AUC sorafenib: unchanged	Not reported	[49]
Sorafenib	Wild-type rats	Metformin (p.o. 100 mg/kg) ± Sorafenib (p.o. 100 mg/kg)	C _{max} metformin: unchanged AUC metformin: unchanged T _{max} metformin: increased by sorafenib	Not reported	[63]
	Patients with advanced HCC	Sorafenib (p.o. 800 mg, 600 mg, 400 mg, 200 mg) + Metformin (p.o. 500 mg bid)	C _{max} sorafenib: unchanged	Risk of sorafenib-toxicity: positive correlation with sorafenib dose	[64]
	$\operatorname{Oct} 1^{-/-}$ and wild-type mice	Imatinib (p.o. 50 mg/kg)	C _{max} : unchanged AUC: unchanged Hepatic accumulation: unchanged	Not reported	[65]
	$Oct1/2^{-/-}$ and wild-type mice	Imatinib (i.v. 50 mg/kg)	C _{max} : unchanged Hepatic accumulation: unchanged	Not reported	[65]
	Patients with CML	Imatinib (p.o. 300–600 mg)	Lower clearance and a higher trough concentration in patients with <i>SLC22A1</i> rs3798168, rs628031 and IVS7+850C>T haplotype	Not reported	[33]
	Patients with c-KIT ⁺ GIST	Imatinib (p.o. 100–1000 mg)	Apparent oral clearance: no association with <i>SLC22A1</i> genotype.	Not reported	[32]
Imatinib	Patients with CML	Not reported	Not reported	Therapeutic response: no association with <i>SLC22A1</i> genotype.	[66]
	Patients with CML	Not reported	Not reported	PFS and OS: positive association with OCT1 mRNA level.	[30]
	Patients with GIST	Imatinib (p.o. 400 mg)	Not reported	TTP: Association with <i>SLC22A4</i> AND <i>SLC22A5</i> genotype.	[67]
	Patients with CML	Imatinib (p.o. 400 mg or 800 mg)	Not reported	Therapeutic response: Association with <i>SLC22A1</i> and <i>SLC22A4</i> genotype.	[68]
	Patients with CML	Not reported	Not reported	Therapeutic response: Positive association with <i>SLC22A4</i> genotype and negative association with <i>SLC22A5</i> genotype	[69]

Table 2. OCT-TKI interaction in vivo.

Compound	Type of Study	Dosage	Pharmacokinetics	Pharmacodynamics	Reference
Dasatinib	Oct $1/2^{-/-}$ and wild-type mice	TEA (i.v. 0.2 mg/kg) ± dasatinib (p.o. 15 mg/kg) Oxaliplatin (i.v. 10–40 mg/kg) ± dasatinib (p.o. 15 mg/kg)	Dasatinib increased plasma concentration and decreased excretion of TEA in wild-type but not in Oct $1/2^{-/-}$ mice. Dasatinib did not alter the systemic disposition of oxaliplatin neither in wild-type or Oct $1/2^{-/-}$ mice.	Risk of oxaliplatin-induced acute sensory neuropathy was reduced by dasatinib.	[27]
Erlotinib	Patients with locally advanced SCCHN	Cisplatin (i.v. 100 mg/m ² ± Erlotinib (p.o. 150 mg daily)	Not reported	PFS: unchanged Risk of cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity was lowered by erlotinib	[70]
Nilotinib	Wild-type mice	Doxorubicin (i.p. 3 or 5 mg/kg) ± Nilotinib (p.o. 15 mg/kg)	C _{max} doxorubicin: unchanged AUC doxorubicin: unchanged	Risk of doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity was reduced by nilotinib	[29]
Lenvatinib	Wild-type rats	Lenvatinib (p.o. 0.2–2mg/kg)	Lower L-carnitine content in the skeletal muscle but not in the plasma and urine.	Not reported	[71]

AUC = area under the plasma concentration-time curve; C_{max} = maximal plasma concentration; CML = chronic myeloid leukemia; GIST = gastrointestinal stromal tumor; HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma; OS = overall survival; PFS = progression-free survival; SCCHN = squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck; TEA = tetraethylammonium T_{max} = time to C_{max} ; TTP = time to progression.

2. Organic Cation Transporter 1 (OCT1)

2.1. Expression in Normal and Tumor Tissues

The main site of expression of OCT1 in the human body is the sinusoidal membrane of hepatocytes. OCT1 is also expressed in the human intestine, but with uncertain subcellular localization [10,72–74]. Notably, in rodents, Oct1 is also highly expressed in the kidney, complicating the clinical translatability of the findings gathered from mouse studies [8]. Quantitative DNA methylation analysis showed that the promoter of the *SLC22A1* gene is often hypermethylated in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), as compared to the matched normal adjacent liver tissues of Caucasian patients, resulting in a significantly lower OCT1 protein level, assessed by immunohistochemistry, in HCC than in the normal liver [75]. Moreover, in the same study, it was found that the expression level of OCT1 inversely correlated with that of the proliferation marker Ki-67 [75]. The downregulation of OCT1 in liver cancer was confirmed in another study on 53 Caucasian patients diagnosed with primary HCC, in which it was found that the lower the expression level of OCT1, the worse the patient outcome, and that the degree of downregulation of OCT1 was associated with an advanced stage of the disease [76]. OCT1 protein level was found to be reduced also in an HCC Japanese cohort [77], suggesting that the downregulation of OCT1 is a hallmark of HCC, irrespective of ethnicity. In another study on Caucasian patients, OCT1 expression level was found to be significantly reduced in HCC but not in benign lesions, such as hepatocellular adenoma (HCA) and focal nodular hyperplasia (FNH) [78]. In the same study, the authors found that the expression level of OCT1 in the tumor relative to that in the surrounding normal liver tissue was associated with the pattern of accumulation and signal of the positron emission tomography (PET) tracer [¹⁸F]fluoromethylcholine, a substrate of OCT1 [78]. A clinical study on liver biopsies from 39 Caucasian patients with advanced HCC showed that OCT1 staining intensity was moderate to strong in 50% of the HCC samples analyzed without association with tumor stage. Notably, in the majority of the samples, staining was at the intracellular level. The authors hypothesized that nonsense mutations and aberrant splicing might generate truncated proteins with impaired trafficking to the plasma membrane [43]. There are conflicting data on the expression of OCT1 in leukemia cells. One research group could detect the mRNA of OCT1 in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) human cell lines, in primary cultured cells from patients with CML and in blood cells from patients with CML [30,31]. Another research group reported that neither OCT1 mRNA nor the protein was detectable in CML cell lines and leukemic cells isolated from patients [65].

2.2. TKI Interactions In Vitro

Several TKIs have been shown to inhibit human OCT1-mediated transport in vitro, including imatinib, dasatinib, nilotinib, gefitinib, erlotinib, sunitinib, lapatinib, sorafenib [24], pazopanib [56] and saracatinib [40,41], indicating a relatively high drug-drug interaction (DDI) potential of TKIs at the level of OCT1. Based on the half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC_{50}) of the uptake of the model substrate and the maximum unbound plasma concentration of the perpetrator TKI, only imatinib, nilotinib, gefitinib, erlotinib and pazopanib were considered clinically relevant inhibitors of OCT1 [24,56]. To notice that erlotinib IC_{50} of the uptake of the model substrate metformin was significantly lower for the M420del OCT1 in comparison with the wild-type OCT1 (IC₅₀, 0.16 μ M vs. 0.28 μ M), suggesting that the loss of the methionine in position 420, which is associated with a lower metformin uptake rate, does not alter the binding to erlotinib [24]. Most TKIs inhibiting OCT1 transport activity in vitro were not transported by OCT1. It has been hypothesized that TKIs inhibit OCT1 by repressing a conserved phosphotyrosine switch. Experiments in HEK293 cells stably transfected with human OCT1 revealed a common indirect inhibitory mechanism by several TKIs that involves the inhibition of OCT1 phosphorylation by the Src-family kinase YES1 kinase, which phosphorylates OCT1 in positions Y240, Y361 and Y376. Because the incubation with TKIs did not affect OCT plasma membrane level, the authors hypothesized that the prevention of the phosphorylation might reduce binding

site electronegativity and, thus, the interaction with cationic molecules [39]. However, structure–function and influx kinetic experiments are required to understand the role of these tyrosine residues in substrate binding to the transporter and the conformational changes thereof.

Several TKIs are potent inhibitors of OCT1, yet only a handful have been found to be substrates of OCT1. Experiments in HEK293 cells overexpressing human OCT1 demonstrated that pazopanib and saracatinib are weak substrates of OCT1 [41,51,56,65]. Conflicting results are available for sorafenib and imatinib. In one study, *Xenopus laevis* oocytes injected with human OCT1 cRNA were shown to incorporate 30% more sorafenib than water-injected oocytes [44]. Another study in HEK293 cells stably transfected with the murine or the human OCT1 showed that neither sorafenib nor the main metabolite sorafenib-N-oxide is transported by OCT1 [49]. With respect to imatinib, OCT1-transfected KCL22 cells incubated for 30 min with imatinib at an extracellular concentration of 5 μ M showed a higher intracellular level of imatinib than that in mock-transfected cells [30]. In other studies, transport experiments performed in OCT1-expressing *Xenopus laevis* oocytes and in OCT1-HEK293 cells could not confirm imatinib as an OCT1 substrate [32,38,65].

2.3. TKI Interactions In Vivo

 $Oct1^{-/-}$ and wild-type mice treated with a single oral dose of 10 mg/kg sorafenib displayed comparable pharmacokinetic parameters of sorafenib and the main metabolite sorafenib-N-oxide [49]. A study in rats, singly dosed by gavage with metformin (100 mg/kg), sorafenib (100 mg/kg) or both, showed that sorafenib did not affect either the total exposure to metform no its C_{max} . However, sorafenib prolonged the time for metformin to reach the C_{max} (T_{max}) [63]. Although individuals carrying the reduced-function variants of OCT1 displayed higher C_{max} and area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) of metformin than those carrying the wild-type OCT1, with no changes in the T_{max} [79], it is possible that sorafenib inhibited OCT1-mediated intestinal absorption of metformin. With OCT1 being expressed on the brush-border membrane of enterocytes, sorafenib would inhibit the uptake of metformin from the intestinal lumen. In a scenario where OCT1 localizes on the basolateral membrane of enterocytes, OCT1 would mediate the exit step of the intestinal vectorial transport of metformin, namely the transport of metformin from the enterocyte cytosol to the portal venous system. In fact, although OCTs are often described as an uptake system, when the intracellular concentration of substrate is far greater than the extracellular one, OCTs can serve as an efflux system. Conversely, all pharmacokinetic parameters of sorafenib were comparable between the sorafenib and the sorafenib/metformin groups, confirming the in vitro findings, indicating that sorafenib is, at best, a weak substrate of OCT1 [63]. The lack of effect of metformin on sorafenib pharmacokinetics was confirmed in a phase I dose de-escalation study where patients were treated orally with 500 mg metformin twice a day and with increasing doses of sorafenib. Sorafenib steady-state plasma concentration was proportional to the dose from 200 up to 600 mg per day, with comparable risks of toxicity, suggesting that metformin is unlikely to alter the pharmacokinetics and the toxicologic profile of sorafenib [64].

 $Oct1/2^{-/-}$ mice injected with i.v. 50 mg/kg imatinib displayed plasma and hepatic level of drug comparable to that measured in wild-type animals. Similarly, plasma and hepatic levels of imatinib after oral gavage (50 mg/kg) were comparable between $Oct1^{-/-}$ and wild-type mice, suggesting that OCT1 is not relevant in imatinib pharmacokinetics [65]. However, patients carrying an *SLC22A1* sub-haplotypic region encompassing three polymorphisms (rs3798168, rs628031 and IVS7+850C>T) were characterized by a significantly lower clearance and a higher trough concentration of imatinib than patients carrying other haplotype combinations [33]. In a study with 74 patients with c-KIT-positive gastrointestinal stromal tumors and treated with p.o. imatinib, the oral clearance of imatinib was comparable between patients expressing wild-type OCT1 and those carrying the reduced-function p.R61C (rs12208357) or p.G465R (rs34059508) variants [32]. In a small cohort of patients diagnosed with CML, the response to imatinib in R61C (rs12208357)

heterozygote individuals was comparable to that achieved by patients carrying wild-type OCT1 [66]. In another study, OCT1 mRNA was detected in the blood of 70 imatinib-naïve patients diagnosed with chronic myeloid leukemia. Moreover, the patients with the highest pretreatment expression of OCT1 had a significantly better prognosis than those with low pretreatment expression of OCT1 [30]. Taken together, the data suggest that OCT1 is not relevant in imatinib pharmacokinetics, yet several clinical studies have found that OCT1 mRNA level is a good predictor of imatinib response [30,32,34]. A gene expression study in nine acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cell lines found a number of associations between the expression level of OCT1 and other transporters potentially involved in the uptake and efflux of imatinib. It is possible that OCT1 expression level is not causative of imatinib activity but rather a bystander that well correlates with the expression level of one or more transporters that transport imatinib in vitro (e.g., organic anion transporting polypeptide 1A2, P-glycoprotein) [32].

3. Organic Cation Transporter 2 (OCT2)

3.1. Expression in Normal and Tumor Tissues

OCT2 is predominantly expressed on the basolateral membrane of the renal tubule of humans and rodents [7,80–85]. Rigorous studies in rats indicated that Oct2 is mainly expressed on the basolateral membrane of proximal tubular cells of S1 and S2 segments, whereas the expression level fades in the S3 segment [86]. Other sites of OCT2 expression might be the human and murine dorsal root ganglia (DRG) [87], the rat choroid plexus [88], the inner- and outer-hair cells and stria vascularis of mouse cochlea [89]. The presence of OCT2 in the human ear can only be inferred from two pharmacogenetic studies that associated the rs316019 single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) (p.S270A), causing a reduced function in the transporter, with a lower risk of cisplatin-induced hearing loss (ototoxicity) [90,91]. A recent study showed expression of OCT2 in mouse pancreatic islet and in human INS-1 832-13 pancreatic β cells [92].

OCT2 expression is lost in both clear cell and papillary renal cell carcinoma (RCC), the two most frequent renal cell cancers [93]. In one retrospective study on 46 pairs of RCC tumors and adjacent normal tissues, it was found that OCT2 mRNA expression level was significantly decreased in RCC tumor tissues compared with that in adjacent normal controls [94]. A larger retrospective study on a tissue microarray containing 216 RCCs showed that OCT2 protein was practically absent in all RCC samples [85]. The repression of OCT2 in RCC seems to be of epigenetic nature. OCT2 promoter in RCC was characterized by hypermethylated CpG islands, the absence of H3K4 methylation and aberrant histone acetylation. Treatment of RCC cell lines with the hypomethylating agent decitabine and the histone deacetylase inhibitor vorinostat increased the expression of OCT2 and sensitized RCC cells to the OCT2 substrate oxaliplatin, both in vitro and in xenografts [94,95]. Another study demonstrated that OCT2 epigenetic activation by decitabine occurred in normoxic but not in hypoxic conditions [96]. Although OCT2 is not expressed in the intestine, in one study, the mRNA of OCT2 was detected in 11 out of 20 colon cancer samples [97].

3.2. TKI Interactions In Vitro

The OCT2-mediated uptake of model substrates, such as cisplatin, 4-Di-1-ASP(4-(4-(dimethylamino)styryl)-*N*-methylpyridinium (ASP⁺) and creatinine, was inhibited by clinically relevant extracellular concentrations (low micromolar range) of several FDA-approved TKIs, with dasatinib being one of the strongest inhibitors (IC₅₀, 15.9 nM) [25,27,40,41,52]. Co-incubation with imatinib at an extracellular concentration of 10 μ M significantly reduced the accumulation and cytotoxicity of the OCT2 substrate cisplatin used at an extracellular concentration of 50 μ M [25]. Notably, most TKIs inhibiting OCT2 transport activity were not substrates of OCT2 [25,27,40,41]. Very much like for OCT1, the inhibition of OCT2 by TKIs is considered the result of the repression of YES1-mediated phosphorylation in positions Y241, Y362 and Y377 [27]. Moreover, the inhibitory effect of dasatinib on OCT2 transport activity was enhanced when cells were pre-incubated for 15 min with dasa-

tinib [27], suggesting an atypical mechanism of inhibition that occurs only upon achieving inhibitory intracellular concentrations of dasatinib. In the same article, the authors found that OCTs have a proline-rich sequence that physically interacts with an Src Homology 3 (SH3) domain in YES1. Experiments of site-directed mutagenesis targeting the proline-rich SH3-binding domain of OCT2 showed reduced tyrosine phosphorylation and OCT2 transport activity [27]. Saracatinib and erlotinib are two exceptions and have been shown to be transported by OCT2. HEK293 cells stably transfected with the human OCT2 showed, respectively, 300% and 50% higher uptake of saracatinib and erlotinib than in wild-type cells [41,53].

3.3. TKI Interactions In Vivo

Wild-type mice treated with dasatinib displayed a higher plasma level and a reduced renal clearance of the OCT model substrate tetraethylammonium (TEA) in comparison with the vehicle-treated animals. Such a difference was not observed in mice lacking Oct2. The effect of Oct2 expression on dasatinib pharmacokinetics was not reported [27]. Similarly, Yes $1^{-/-}$ mice showed an increased plasmatic TEA level in comparison with wild-type mice. Ex vivo experiments in DRG cells isolated from mice injected with vehicle or dasatinib showed that the cells exposed to dasatinib were more resistant to oxaliplatin-induced toxicity than those only treated with oxaliplatin [27]. In a randomized phase II clinical trial, erlotinib added to cisplatin and radiotherapy failed to significantly increase response rates or progression-free survival of patients diagnosed with locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Conversely, the combination appeared to be significantly safer than the cisplatin-alone treatment, concerning nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity. In the cisplatin arm (n = 96), there were six events of grade 3 or 4 nephrotoxicity or ototoxicity, whereas none were recorded in the cisplatin + erlotinib arm (n = 99) [70]. Mice lacking Oct2 have been previously shown to carry a lower risk of cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity and otoxicity [89,98], and patients carrying the rs316019 SNP (p.S270A) showed a lower risk of nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity upon cisplatin therapy [90,91,99]. Thus, it is possible that the co-administration of erlotinib reduced cisplatin accumulation in proximal tubular cells and cochlear hair cells, without reducing the response to cisplatin, as OCT2 is probably not expressed in head and neck carcinoma.

4. Organic Cation Transporter 3 (OCT3)

4.1. Expression in Normal and Tumor Tissues

OCT3 has been identified and characterized as a high-capacity, low-affinity transporter of monoamines, thus, initially named extraneuronal monoamine transporter (EMT) [100]. OCT3 shows a wider expression throughout the body than OCT1 and OCT2. OCT3 mRNA and/or protein has been detected on the basolateral membrane of hepatocytes, proximal tubular cells, trophoblasts, brush-border membrane of enterocytes, plasma membrane of cardiomyocytes, brain and skeletal muscle cells [74,82,101–105]. The evidence that OCT3 plays a role in mood disorders and stress-related behaviors in animals [106] and in the handling of amphetamine [107,108] has led to further mapping the expression pattern of OCT3 in various areas of rodents' amygdala, the area of the brain that drives emotional responses. OCT3 protein was found in both glial and neuronal perikaryon [109]. Retrospective clinical studies reported that OCT3 expression level was reduced in HCC [76] and in cholangiocarcinoma [110]. Noteworthily, OCT3 expression level in HCC was inversely correlated with that of OCT1 [76]. A recent retrospective study in patients with glioblastoma multiforme found that OCT3 protein level was significantly lower in tumor tissue than in the tumor-adjacent normal brain tissue and that patients with low OCT3 expression had a worse prognosis and outcome [111]. The mRNA of OCT3 was measured in normal and cancerous tissues from 16 patients diagnosed with colon or rectal cancer. In colon cancer, OCT3 mRNA level was significantly higher than that of the normal mucosa. No changes were found in rectal cancer [112].

4.2. TKI Interactions In Vitro

Imatinib, erlotinib, nilotinib and saracatinib have been shown to inhibit the uptake of ASP⁺, metformin, TEA or oxaliplatin in HEK293 cells stably transfected with human OCT3 [24,29,40,41]. The intracellular level of saracatinib in OCT3-HEK293 cells was threetimes higher compared to the wild-type cells, suggesting that saracatinib is a substrate of human OCT3 [41]. A recent study systematically assessed the inhibitory effect of 25 TKIs at an extracellular concentration of 10 μ M on the uptake of [³H]MPP⁺ in HEK293 cells overexpressing OCT3. Most TKIs exerted a significant inhibition of MPP⁺. Those with the strongest inhibitory effect were further investigated as an OCT3 substrate via trans-stimulation assay [42]. A transport cycle includes (i) the binding of the substrate to the transporter in the outward conformation, (ii) the inward conformational change and substrate translocation, (iii) the release of the substrate and (iv) the re-orientation of the carrier to its outward-facing conformation. The latter is the rate-limiting step when the reaction is carried in zero-trans conditions (no accompanying substrate) [113–115] and can be enhanced by performing the transport experiment in infinite-trans conditions, in which a substrate is present at both sides of the membrane. OCT3-HEK293 cells were pre-loaded with MPP⁺ or the TKI, washed and then the uptake of [³H]MPP⁺ was assessed. Unlike intracellular MPP⁺, which stimulated the uptake of extracellular [³H]MPP⁺ (homoexchange), intracellular TKIs did not alter the uptake of extracellular [³H]MPP⁺ (heteroexchange). The authors concluded that TKIs are strong inhibitors but not substrates of OCT3 [42]. Because the tyrosine residues and the proline-rich sequence responsible for the inhibition of OCT1 and OCT2 function by TKIs is also conserved in OCT3, it is possible that the molecular mechanism underlying OCT3 inhibition by TKIs is also related to the inhibition of YES1 activity.

4.3. TKI Interactions In Vivo

The anthracycline doxorubicin is an anticancer chemotherapeutic agent associated with irreversible cardiac injury that predisposes patients to an increased risk for congestive heart failure [116]. It has been recently shown that doxorubicin is a substrate of OCT3 and that it is expressed in patient-derived-induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) cardiomyocytes. The relevance of OCT3 in doxorubicin intracardiac accumulation is underscored by the finding that the level of doxorubicin in the heart of mice lacking Oct3 was significantly lower than that in the wild-type animals. Moreover, when wild-type animals were co-injected with nilotinib, a strong inhibitor of OCT3 transport activity, the doxorubicin level in the heart was significantly lower than that in the mice treated with doxorubicin only. In fact, in a doxorubicin-induced acute cardiac injury model, it was found that the loss of ejection fraction (measured by echocardiogram) and the increase in serum cardiac troponin I were less pronounced in the animals co-treated with nilotinib. Notably, nilotinib co-incubation did not reduce the cytotoxic effect of doxorubicin in a panel of leukemia and breast cancer cell lines [29].

5. Novel Organic Cation Transporters

5.1. Expression in Normal and Tumor Tissues

OCTN1 is widely expressed throughout the human body, with relatively high expression on the brush-border membrane of enterocytes, proximal tubular cells, plasma membrane of neural stem cells, neurons and microglia cells [117]. The mRNA and protein of OCTN1 were also found in human air epithelia [118]. A screening of the mRNA expression level of solute carriers in the NCI-60 cancer cell line panel (60 cancer cell lines) showed that OCTN1 was highly expressed in renal carcinoma cell lines and weakly expressed in colorectal and melanoma cancer cell lines [119]. Not much is known about the expression of OCTN1 in tumor tissues. Recently, a retrospective immunohistochemical analysis on specimens from 20 Chinese patients diagnosed with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) showed that OCTN1 was not expressed in either the esophageal normal mucosa or in ESCC [120].

Human OCTN2 is highly expressed on the brush-border membrane of enterocytes and proximal tubular cells and on the plasma membrane of skeletal muscle cells, brain capillary endothelial cells and brain cells [11,121,122]. OCTN2 mRNA and protein were found to be markedly increased in both squamous cell carcinoma and the adenocarcinoma of the esophagus. Moreover, patients treated with oxaliplatin who had high OCTN2 levels in the tumor tissue had a reduced risk of recurrence and a significantly longer survival time than those with low expression of OCTN2 in tumor tissue [120]. Another recent study showed that OCTN2 expression level was higher in more aggressive glioblastomas, hence, a negative prognostic marker in these patients [122], likely because of a higher accumulation of L-carnitine and, thereby, a higher rate of fatty acid β oxidation [123]. In fact, OCTN2 is the main L-carnitine cellular uptake system at physiological concentrations. Loss-of-function mutations in OCTN2 cause systemic carnitine deficiency in mice and in humans (OMIM212149) [17,124–129]. OCTN2 was also found to be expressed in a number of breast cancer cell lines and significantly higher in estrogen receptor (ER)-positive than in ER-negative tumor tissue specimens [130]. In the same study, the authors demonstrated that the presence of an intronic enhancer containing an estrogen-responsive element confers estrogen sensitivity to OCTN2 transcription [130].

OCT6 was cloned by Endou's group from human testis cDNA libraries and characterized as a carnitine transporter localized on the luminal membrane of the epididymal epithelium and within the Sertoli cells of the testis [18]. At the same time, Moscow's group cloned OCT6 using sequences from three fetal liver IMAGE clones. mRNA of OCT6 was measured, not only in the testis and fetal liver but also in bone marrow and peripheral blood leukocytes [131]. The mRNA of OCT6 was found in a number of cancer cell lines of different origins and in clinical samples from patients diagnosed with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) or acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) [131–133]. OCT6 protein was found in the parental lung adenocarcinoma cell line PC-14 and in the human small-cell lung cancer cell line SBC-3 [134]. SLC22A15 was cloned from the total RNA of the human brain and human kidney. Data on tissue distribution and expression level in cancer can be found only in the Human Protein Atlas database. SLC22A15 mRNA was found in most normal tissues, with the highest level in the bone marrow and the brain. However, the SLC22A15 mRNA level was low or non-detectable in most cancers and cancer cell lines. For the less characterized OCT6 and SLC22A15, no data are yet available on the interaction with TKIs.

5.2. TKI Interactions In Vitro

The uptake of ASP⁺ mediated by the human OCTN1 is inhibited by saracatinib with an IC₅₀ of 72 nM. Further transport experiments coupled to HPLC-UV detection showed that saracatinib was also a substrate of the human OCTN1 and transported with an influx K_m of 42 \pm 11 μ M and a maximal capacity (V_{max}) of 79.7 \pm 5.2 nmol/mg protein, and that the transport was significantly augmented in the presence of an outward proton gradient [41]. It should be pointed out that saracatinib, initially in the oncology pipeline of Astrazeneca, has been included in a drug repositioning program to test its efficacy for the treatment of other diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis, where several TK-dependent pathways are aberrantly activated [135]. It has been shown that OCTN1 is expressed in synovial fibroblasts isolated from tissues of patients with rheumatoid arthritis and that the uptake of saracatinib in these cells was reduced by 80% upon OCTN1 silencing [41].

Xenopus laevis oocytes injected with human OCTN1 or OCTN2 cRNA have been shown to incorporate more imatinib than water-injected oocytes [32]. The depletion of the Son of Sevenless 1 (SOS1), a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Ras protein, significantly increases the expression of OCTN1 and the sensitivity of chronic myeloid leukemia cells to imatinib [136]. OCTN2-mediated transport of L-carnitine at an extracellular concentration of 10 nM was reduced by 50% in the presence of sunitinib at an extracellular concentration of 100 μ M. Conversely, dasatinib, sorafenib, gefitinib and imatinib had no significant effect on L-carnitine OCTN2-mediated uptake. HEK293 cells overexpressing the human OCTN2 exposed to sunitinib at an extracellular concentration of 10 µM did not incorporate more sunitinib than wild-type HEK293 cells, suggesting that sunitinib is not a substrate of OCTN2 [137]. OCTN2 expression level in C2C12 myocytes was significantly reduced by treatment with lenvatinib [71]. *Xenopus laevis* oocytes injected with human OCTN2 cRNA have been shown to incorporate significantly more imatinib than water-injected oocytes [32].

5.3. TKI Interactions In Vivo

In a study on 54 Caucasian patients with unresectable/metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) in treatment with imatinib 400 mg daily as first-line therapy, it was found that the time to progression was significantly longer for patients carrying the OCTN1 rs1050152 variant (p.L503F) or the OCTN2 rs2631367 (g.-207G>C) and rs2631372 polymorphisms [67]. The OCTN1 p.Leu503Phe mutant has been shown to transport the substrate metformin more efficiently [138]. The g.-207G>C substitution was associated with a higher transcriptional activity of the promoter of the SLC22A5 gene (encoding OCTN2) [139]. Conversely, patients diagnosed with chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) carrying the OCTN1 rs1050152 variant (p.L503F) had a lower probability of achieving a stable major molecular response to imatinib than the patients expressing wild-type OCTN1 [68]. Another pharmacogenetic study on patients diagnosed with CML found that patients carrying the OCTN1 rs460089 allele (g.813G>C) had a significantly higher probability of achieving a stable major molecular response to imatinib than the patients expressing wild-type OCTN1 [69]. Rats treated once a day for 14 days with lenvatinib administered by gavage showed a significant reduction in L-carnitine content in the skeletal muscle in comparison with the vehicle-treated animals, whereas plasma and urine levels were comparable between the treatment groups. Treatment with lenvatinib reduced the protein expression of OCTN2 in the skeletal muscle and the intestine of the rats but not in the kidneys [71].

6. Conclusions

The data gathered in this review article, mostly on OCT1 and OCT2, indicate that the majority of TKIs are poor substrates, if transported at all, by OCTs; hence, these transporters arguably play a marginal role in the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of TKIs. Conversely, several TKIs, irrespective of the targeted kinase and the clinical application, are potent inhibitors of OCT transport activity and, hence, clinically relevant perpetrators of DDIs, altering the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of other OCT substrates. While DDIs usually have a negative impact on the activity and tolerability of the victim drug, rationally designed DDIs might be beneficial for the treatment outcome. Whether DDIs with a TKI should be avoided or facilitated depends on the target organs of the victim drug. For instance, the inhibition of OCT1 is likely to reduce the anti-diabetic effect of metformin, whose accumulation in the liver, its site of action, is known to be primarily mediated by OCT1 [140]. Conversely, the inhibition of OCT2 might protect patients from nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity induced by platinum derivatives and the inhibition of OCT3 from doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity without reducing their antitumor properties, as both OCT1 and OCT3 are poorly expressed in cancer cells [29,52]. It was also revealed in this review article that the reduced expression level of OCT1 and OCT2 in hepatic and renal cancer, respectively, has been extensively associated with the pharmacological ramifications, namely drug resistance, but rather neglected with respect to the biological meaning of such a repression, yet this is an interesting aspect to elucidate, especially in light of the emerging role of OCTs in cell energetics [141–143].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.X. and M.V.; resources, S.W.; writing—original draft preparation, F.X., M.R. and M.V.; writing—review and editing, Z.G., S.S. and S.W.; visualization, F.X.; supervision, M.V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (#ZR2019ZD23). F.X. is the recipient of a scholarship from the Chinese Scholarship Council (#202108370215).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Druker, B.J.; Talpaz, M.; Resta, D.J.; Peng, B.; Buchdunger, E.; Ford, J.M.; Lydon, N.B.; Kantarjian, H.; Capdeville, R.; Ohno-Jones, S.; et al. Efficacy and safety of a specific inhibitor of the BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase in chronic myeloid leukemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2001, 344, 1031–1037. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Druker, B.J.; Sawyers, C.L.; Kantarjian, H.; Resta, D.J.; Reese, S.F.; Ford, J.M.; Capdeville, R.; Talpaz, M. Activity of a specific inhibitor of the BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase in the blast crisis of chronic myeloid leukemia and acute lymphoblastic leukemia with the Philadelphia chromosome. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2001, 344, 1038–1042. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Zhong, L.; Li, Y.; Xiong, L.; Wang, W.; Wu, M.; Yuan, T.; Yang, W.; Tian, C.; Miao, Z.; Wang, T.; et al. Small molecules in targeted cancer therapy: Advances, challenges, and future perspectives. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **2021**, *6*, 201. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Neul, C.; Schaeffeler, E.; Sparreboom, A.; Laufer, S.; Schwab, M.; Nies, A.T. Impact of Membrane Drug Transporters on Resistance to Small-Molecule Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **2016**, *37*, 904–932. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Roskoski, R., Jr. Properties of FDA-approved small molecule protein kinase inhibitors: A 2022 update. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2022, 175, 106037. [CrossRef]
- 6. Du, Z.; Lovly, C.M. Mechanisms of receptor tyrosine kinase activation in cancer. Mol. Cancer 2018, 17, 58. [CrossRef]
- Gorboulev, V.; Ulzheimer, J.C.; Akhoundova, A.; Ulzheimer-Teuber, I.; Karbach, U.; Quester, S.; Baumann, C.; Lang, F.; Busch, A.E.; Koepsell, H. Cloning and characterization of two human polyspecific organic cation transporters. *DNA Cell Biol.* 1997, 16, 871–881. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Grundemann, D.; Gorboulev, V.; Gambaryan, S.; Veyhl, M.; Koepsell, H. Drug excretion mediated by a new prototype of polyspecific transporter. *Nature* **1994**, *372*, 549–552. [CrossRef]
- 9. Breidert, T.; Spitzenberger, F.; Grundemann, D.; Schomig, E. Catecholamine transport by the organic cation transporter type 1 (OCT1). *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **1998**, 125, 218–224. [CrossRef]
- 10. Koepsell, H.; Lips, K.; Volk, C. Polyspecific organic cation transporters: Structure, function, physiological roles, and biopharmaceutical implications. *Pharm. Res.* 2007, 24, 1227–1251. [CrossRef]
- 11. Samodelov, S.L.; Kullak-Ublick, G.A.; Gai, Z.; Visentin, M. Organic Cation Transporters in Human Physiology, Pharmacology, and Toxicology. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 7890. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Tamai, I.; Nakanishi, T.; Kobayashi, D.; China, K.; Kosugi, Y.; Nezu, J.; Sai, Y.; Tsuji, A. Involvement of OCTN1 (SLC22A4) in pH-dependent transport of organic cations. *Mol. Pharm.* **2004**, *1*, 57–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Grundemann, D.; Hartmann, L.; Flogel, S. The ergothioneine transporter (ETT): Substrates and locations, an inventory. *FEBS Lett.* **2022**, *596*, 1252–1269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Grundemann, D.; Harlfinger, S.; Golz, S.; Geerts, A.; Lazar, A.; Berkels, R.; Jung, N.; Rubbert, A.; Schomig, E. Discovery of the ergothioneine transporter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2005**, *102*, 5256–5261. [CrossRef]
- 15. Tamai, I.; Ohashi, R.; Nezu, J.; Yabuuchi, H.; Oku, A.; Shimane, M.; Sai, Y.; Tsuji, A. Molecular and functional identification of sodium ion-dependent, high affinity human carnitine transporter OCTN2. J. Biol. Chem. **1998**, 273, 20378–20382. [CrossRef]
- 16. Visentin, M.; Gai, Z.; Torozi, A.; Hiller, C.; Kullak-Ublick, G.A. Colistin is substrate of the carnitine/organic cation transporter 2 (OCTN2, SLC22A5). *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2017**, *45*, 1240–1244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nezu, J.; Tamai, I.; Oku, A.; Ohashi, R.; Yabuuchi, H.; Hashimoto, N.; Nikaido, H.; Sai, Y.; Koizumi, A.; Shoji, Y.; et al. Primary systemic carnitine deficiency is caused by mutations in a gene encoding sodium ion-dependent carnitine transporter. *Nat. Genet.* 1999, 21, 91–94. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Enomoto, A.; Wempe, M.F.; Tsuchida, H.; Shin, H.J.; Cha, S.H.; Anzai, N.; Goto, A.; Sakamoto, A.; Niwa, T.; Kanai, Y.; et al. Molecular identification of a novel carnitine transporter specific to human testis. Insights into the mechanism of carnitine recognition. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002, 277, 36262–36271. [CrossRef]
- 19. Yee, S.W.; Buitrago, D.; Stecula, A.; Ngo, H.X.; Chien, H.C.; Zou, L.; Koleske, M.L.; Giacomini, K.M. Deorphaning a solute carrier 22 family member, SLC22A15, through functional genomic studies. *FASEB J.* **2020**, *34*, 15734–15752. [CrossRef]
- Koepsell, H. Multiple binding sites in organic cation transporters require sophisticated procedures to identify interactions of novel drugs. *Biol. Chem.* 2019, 400, 195–207. [CrossRef]
- Koepsell, H. The SLC22 family with transporters of organic cations, anions and zwitterions. *Mol. Aspects Med.* 2013, 34, 413–435. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Tamai, I. Pharmacological and pathophysiological roles of carnitine/organic cation transporters (OCTNs: SLC22A4, SLC22A5 and Slc22a21). *Biopharm. Drug Dispos.* **2013**, *34*, 29–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Pochini, L.; Galluccio, M.; Scalise, M.; Console, L.; Indiveri, C. OCTN: A Small Transporter Subfamily with Great Relevance to Human Pathophysiology, Drug Discovery, and Diagnostics. *SLAS Discov.* **2019**, *24*, 89–110. [CrossRef]
- 24. Minematsu, T.; Giacomini, K.M. Interactions of tyrosine kinase inhibitors with organic cation transporters and multidrug and toxic compound extrusion proteins. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **2011**, *10*, 531–539. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 25. Tanihara, Y.; Masuda, S.; Katsura, T.; Inui, K. Protective effect of concomitant administration of imatinib on cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity focusing on renal organic cation transporter OCT2. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2009**, *78*, 1263–1271. [CrossRef]
- Da Silva, C.G.; Honeywell, R.J.; Dekker, H.; Peters, G.J. Physicochemical properties of novel protein kinase inhibitors in relation to their substrate specificity for drug transporters. *Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol.* 2015, 11, 703–717. [CrossRef]
- 27. Sprowl, J.A.; Ong, S.S.; Gibson, A.A.; Hu, S.; Du, G.; Lin, W.; Li, L.; Bharill, S.; Ness, R.A.; Stecula, A.; et al. A phosphotyrosine switch regulates organic cation transporters. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 10880. [CrossRef]
- 28. Uddin, M.E.; Talebi, Z.; Chen, S.; Jin, Y.; Gibson, A.A.; Noonan, A.M.; Cheng, X.; Hu, S.; Sparreboom, A. In Vitro and In Vivo Inhibition of MATE1 by Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors. *Pharmaceutics* **2021**, *13*, 2004. [CrossRef]
- Huang, K.M.; Zavorka Thomas, M.; Magdy, T.; Eisenmann, E.D.; Uddin, M.E.; DiGiacomo, D.F.; Pan, A.; Keiser, M.; Otter, M.; Xia, S.H.; et al. Targeting OCT3 attenuates doxorubicin-induced cardiac injury. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2021, *118*, e2020168118. [CrossRef]
- 30. Wang, L.; Giannoudis, A.; Lane, S.; Williamson, P.; Pirmohamed, M.; Clark, R.E. Expression of the uptake drug transporter hOCT1 is an important clinical determinant of the response to imatinib in chronic myeloid leukemia. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2008**, *83*, 258–264. [CrossRef]
- Thomas, J.; Wang, L.; Clark, R.E.; Pirmohamed, M. Active transport of imatinib into and out of cells: Implications for drug resistance. *Blood* 2004, 104, 3739–3745. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Hu, S.; Franke, R.M.; Filipski, K.K.; Hu, C.; Orwick, S.J.; de Bruijn, E.A.; Burger, H.; Baker, S.D.; Sparreboom, A. Interaction of imatinib with human organic ion carriers. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2008**, *14*, 3141–3148. [CrossRef]
- Singh, O.; Chan, J.Y.; Lin, K.; Heng, C.C.; Chowbay, B. SLC22A1-ABCB1 haplotype profiles predict imatinib pharmacokinetics in Asian patients with chronic myeloid leukemia. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e51771. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. White, D.L.; Saunders, V.A.; Dang, P.; Engler, J.; Zannettino, A.C.; Cambareri, A.C.; Quinn, S.R.; Manley, P.W.; Hughes, T.P. OCT-1-mediated influx is a key determinant of the intracellular uptake of imatinib but not nilotinib (AMN107): Reduced OCT-1 activity is the cause of low in vitro sensitivity to imatinib. *Blood* **2006**, *108*, 697–704. [CrossRef]
- Sreenivasan Tantuan, S.; Viljoen, C.D. Imatinib Affects the Expression of *SLC22A1* in a Non-Linear Concentration-Dependent Manner Within 24 Hours. *Med. Sci. Monit. Basic Res.* 2018, 24, 59–62. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- White, D.L.; Dang, P.; Engler, J.; Frede, A.; Zrim, S.; Osborn, M.; Saunders, V.A.; Manley, P.W.; Hughes, T.P. Functional activity of the OCT-1 protein is predictive of long-term outcome in patients with chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia treated with imatinib. J. Clin. Oncol. 2010, 28, 2761–2767. [CrossRef]
- Giannoudis, A.; Davies, A.; Lucas, C.M.; Harris, R.J.; Pirmohamed, M.; Clark, R.E. Effective dasatinib uptake may occur without human organic cation transporter 1 (hOCT1): Implications for the treatment of imatinib-resistant chronic myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2008, 112, 3348–3354. [CrossRef]
- Blanc Mettral, J.; Faller, N.; Cruchon, S.; Sottas, L.; Buclin, T.; Schild, L.; Choong, E.; Nahimana, A.; Decosterd, L.A. Imatinib Uptake into Cells is Not Mediated by Organic Cation Transporters OCT1, OCT2, or OCT3, But is Influenced by Extracellular pH. Drug Metab. Lett. 2019, 13, 102–110. [CrossRef]
- 39. Uddin, M.E.; Garrison, D.A.; Kim, K.; Jin, Y.; Eisenmann, E.D.; Huang, K.M.; Gibson, A.A.; Hu, Z.; Sparreboom, A.; Hu, S. Influence of YES1 Kinase and Tyrosine Phosphorylation on the Activity of OCT1. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2021**, *12*, 644342. [CrossRef]
- Morrow, C.J.; Ghattas, M.; Smith, C.; Bonisch, H.; Bryce, R.A.; Hickinson, D.M.; Green, T.P.; Dive, C. Src family kinase inhibitor Saracatinib (AZD0530) impairs oxaliplatin uptake in colorectal cancer cells and blocks organic cation transporters. *Cancer Res.* 2010, 70, 5931–5941. [CrossRef]
- Harrach, S.; Edemir, B.; Schmidt-Lauber, C.; Pap, T.; Bertrand, J.; Ciarimboli, G. Importance of the novel organic cation transporter 1 for tyrosine kinase inhibition by saracatinib in rheumatoid arthritis synovial fibroblasts. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 1258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Alim, K.; Moreau, A.; Bruyere, A.; Jouan, E.; Denizot, C.; Nies, A.T.; Parmentier, Y.; Fardel, O. Inhibition of organic cation transporter 3 activity by tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Fundam. Clin. Pharmacol.* **2021**, *35*, 919–929. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Geier, A.; Macias, R.I.; Bettinger, D.; Weiss, J.; Bantel, H.; Jahn, D.; Al-Abdulla, R.; Marin, J.J. The lack of the organic cation transporter OCT1 at the plasma membrane of tumor cells precludes a positive response to sorafenib in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 15846–15857. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Herraez, E.; Lozano, E.; Macias, R.I.; Vaquero, J.; Bujanda, L.; Banales, J.M.; Marin, J.J.; Briz, O. Expression of *SLC22A1* variants may affect the response of hepatocellular carcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma to sorafenib. *Hepatology* 2013, *58*, 1065–1073. [CrossRef]
- Lozano, E.; Macias, R.I.R.; Monte, M.J.; Asensio, M.; Del Carmen, S.; Sanchez-Vicente, L.; Alonso-Pena, M.; Al-Abdulla, R.; Munoz-Garrido, P.; Satriano, L.; et al. Causes of hOCT1-Dependent Cholangiocarcinoma Resistance to Sorafenib and Sensitization by Tumor-Selective Gene Therapy. *Hepatology* 2019, 70, 1246–1261. [CrossRef]
- Al-Abdulla, R.; Lozano, E.; Macias, R.I.R.; Monte, M.J.; Briz, O.; O'Rourke, C.J.; Serrano, M.A.; Banales, J.M.; Avila, M.A.; Martinez-Chantar, M.L.; et al. Epigenetic events involved in organic cation transporter 1-dependent impaired response of hepatocellular carcinoma to sorafenib. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2019, *176*, 787–800. [CrossRef]
- Grimm, D.; Lieb, J.; Weyer, V.; Vollmar, J.; Darstein, F.; Lautem, A.; Hoppe-Lotichius, M.; Koch, S.; Schad, A.; Schattenberg, J.M.; et al. Organic Cation Transporter 1 (OCT1) mRNA expression in hepatocellular carcinoma as a biomarker for sorafenib treatment. BMC Cancer 2016, 16, 94. [CrossRef]

- Swift, B.; Nebot, N.; Lee, J.K.; Han, T.; Proctor, W.R.; Thakker, D.R.; Lang, D.; Radtke, M.; Gnoth, M.J.; Brouwer, K.L. Sorafenib hepatobiliary disposition: Mechanisms of hepatic uptake and disposition of generated metabolites. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 2013, 41, 1179–1186. [CrossRef]
- Chen, M.; Neul, C.; Schaeffeler, E.; Frisch, F.; Winter, S.; Schwab, M.; Koepsell, H.; Hu, S.; Laufer, S.; Baker, S.D.; et al. Sorafenib Activity and Disposition in Liver Cancer Does Not Depend on Organic Cation Transporter 1. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2020, 107, 227–237. [CrossRef]
- 50. Hu, S.; Chen, Z.; Franke, R.; Orwick, S.; Zhao, M.; Rudek, M.A.; Sparreboom, A.; Baker, S.D. Interaction of the multikinase inhibitors sorafenib and sunitinib with solute carriers and ATP-binding cassette transporters. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2009**, *15*, 6062–6069. [CrossRef]
- Galetti, M.; Alfieri, R.R.; Cavazzoni, A.; La Monica, S.; Bonelli, M.; Fumarola, C.; Mozzoni, P.; De Palma, G.; Andreoli, R.; Mutti, A.; et al. Functional characterization of gefitinib uptake in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2010, *80*, 179–187. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Sprowl, J.A.; Mathijssen, R.H.; Sparreboom, A. Can erlotinib ameliorate cisplatin-induced toxicities? *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2013, *31*, 3442–3443. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Elmeliegy, M.A.; Carcaboso, A.M.; Tagen, M.; Bai, F.; Stewart, C.F. Role of ATP-binding cassette and solute carrier transporters in erlotinib CNS penetration and intracellular accumulation. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2011**, *17*, 89–99. [CrossRef]
- Malnoe, D.; Fardel, O.; Le Corre, P. Involvement of Transporters in Intestinal Drug-Drug Interactions of Oral Targeted Anticancer Drugs Assessed by Changes in Drug Absorption Time. *Pharmaceutics* 2022, 14, 2493. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Harrach, S.; Haag, J.; Steinbuchel, M.; Schroter, R.; Neugebauer, U.; Bertrand, J.; Ciarimboli, G. Interaction of Masitinib with Organic Cation Transporters. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 14189. [CrossRef]
- Ellawatty, W.E.A.; Masuo, Y.; Fujita, K.I.; Yamazaki, E.; Ishida, H.; Arakawa, H.; Nakamichi, N.; Abdelwahed, R.; Sasaki, Y.; Kato, Y. Organic Cation Transporter 1 Is Responsible for Hepatocellular Uptake of the Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor Pazopanib. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 2018, 46, 33–40. [CrossRef]
- Sauzay, C.; White-Koning, M.; Hennebelle, I.; Deluche, T.; Delmas, C.; Imbs, D.C.; Chatelut, E.; Thomas, F. Inhibition of OCT2, MATE1 and MATE2-K as a possible mechanism of drug interaction between pazopanib and cisplatin. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2016, 110, 89–95. [CrossRef]
- 58. Arakawa, H.; Omote, S.; Tamai, I. Inhibitory Effect of Crizotinib on Creatinine Uptake by Renal Secretory Transporter OCT2. *J. Pharm. Sci.* **2017**, *106*, 2899–2903. [CrossRef]
- 59. Shu, W.; Ma, L.; Hu, X.; Zhang, M.; Chen, W.; Ma, W.; Huang, J.; Li, J. Drug-drug interaction between crizotinib and entecavir via renal secretory transporter OCT2. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* **2020**, *142*, 105153. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Y.; Warren, M.S.; Zhang, X.; Diamond, S.; Williams, B.; Punwani, N.; Huang, J.; Huang, Y.; Yeleswaram, S. Impact on creatinine renal clearance by the interplay of multiple renal transporters: A case study with INCB039110. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 2015, 43, 485–489. [CrossRef]
- Alim, K.; Bruyere, A.; Lescoat, A.; Jouan, E.; Lecureur, V.; Le Vee, M.; Fardel, O. Interactions of janus kinase inhibitors with drug transporters and consequences for pharmacokinetics and toxicity. *Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol.* 2021, 17, 259–271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Namour, F.; Desrivot, J.; Van der Aa, A.; Harrison, P.; Tasset, C.; van't Klooster, G. Clinical Confirmation that the Selective JAK1 Inhibitor Filgotinib (GLPG0634) has a Low Liability for Drug-drug Interactions. *Drug Metab. Lett.* 2016, 10, 38–48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Karbownik, A.; Szkutnik-Fiedler, D.; Czyrski, A.; Kostewicz, N.; Kaczmarska, P.; Bekier, M.; Stanislawiak-Rudowicz, J.; Karazniewicz-Lada, M.; Wolc, A.; Glowka, F.; et al. Pharmacokinetic Interaction between Sorafenib and Atorvastatin, and Sorafenib and Metformin in Rats. *Pharmaceutics* **2020**, *12*, 600. [CrossRef]
- 64. Ostwal, V.; Ramaswamy, A.; Gota, V.; Bhargava, P.G.; Srinivas, S.; Shriyan, B.; Jadhav, S.; Goel, M.; Patkar, S.; Mandavkar, S.; et al. Phase I Study Evaluating Dose De-escalation of Sorafenib with Metformin and Atorvastatin in Hepatocellular Carcinoma (SMASH). *Oncologist* **2022**, *27*, 165-e222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nies, A.T.; Schaeffeler, E.; van der Kuip, H.; Cascorbi, I.; Bruhn, O.; Kneba, M.; Pott, C.; Hofmann, U.; Volk, C.; Hu, S.; et al. Cellular uptake of imatinib into leukemic cells is independent of human organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1). *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2014, 20, 985–994. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Zach, O.; Krieger, O.; Foedermayr, M.; Zellhofer, B.; Lutz, D. OCT1 (SLC22A1) R61C polymorphism and response to imatinib treatment in chronic myeloid leukemia patients. *Leuk. Lymphoma* **2008**, *49*, 2222–2223. [CrossRef]
- 67. Angelini, S.; Pantaleo, M.A.; Ravegnini, G.; Zenesini, C.; Cavrini, G.; Nannini, M.; Fumagalli, E.; Palassini, E.; Saponara, M.; Di Battista, M.; et al. Polymorphisms in OCTN1 and OCTN2 transporters genes are associated with prolonged time to progression in unresectable gastrointestinal stromal tumours treated with imatinib therapy. *Pharmacol. Res.* **2013**, *68*, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 68. Angelini, S.; Soverini, S.; Ravegnini, G.; Barnett, M.; Turrini, E.; Thornquist, M.; Pane, F.; Hughes, T.P.; White, D.L.; Radich, J.; et al. Association between imatinib transporters and metabolizing enzymes genotype and response in newly diagnosed chronic myeloid leukemia patients receiving imatinib therapy. *Haematologica* **2013**, *98*, 193–200. [CrossRef]
- Jaruskova, M.; Curik, N.; Hercog, R.; Polivkova, V.; Motlova, E.; Benes, V.; Klamova, H.; Pecherkova, P.; Belohlavkova, P.; Vrbacky, F.; et al. Genotypes of SLC22A4 and SLC22A5 regulatory loci are predictive of the response of chronic myeloid leukemia patients to imatinib treatment. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* 2017, *36*, 55. [CrossRef]

- Martins, R.G.; Parvathaneni, U.; Bauman, J.E.; Sharma, A.K.; Raez, L.E.; Papagikos, M.A.; Yunus, F.; Kurland, B.F.; Eaton, K.D.; Liao, J.J.; et al. Cisplatin and radiotherapy with or without erlotinib in locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: A randomized phase II trial. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2013, *31*, 1415–1421. [CrossRef]
- Jing, Z.; Okubo, H.; Morishige, J.I.; Xu, P.; Hasan, N.; Nagata, N.; Ando, H. Lenvatinib causes reduced expression of carnitine/organic cation transporter 2 and carnitine deficiency in the skeletal muscle of rats. *Toxicol. Lett.* 2022, 366, 17–25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nies, A.T.; Koepsell, H.; Winter, S.; Burk, O.; Klein, K.; Kerb, R.; Zanger, U.M.; Keppler, D.; Schwab, M.; Schaeffeler, E. Expression of organic cation transporters OCT1 (SLC22A1) and OCT3 (SLC22A3) is affected by genetic factors and cholestasis in human liver. *Hepatology* 2009, 50, 1227–1240. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, L.; Dresser, M.J.; Gray, A.T.; Yost, S.C.; Terashita, S.; Giacomini, K.M. Cloning and functional expression of a human liver organic cation transporter. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 1997, 51, 913–921. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Muller, J.; Lips, K.S.; Metzner, L.; Neubert, R.H.; Koepsell, H.; Brandsch, M. Drug specificity and intestinal membrane localization of human organic cation transporters (OCT). *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2005**, *70*, 1851–1860. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Schaeffeler, E.; Hellerbrand, C.; Nies, A.T.; Winter, S.; Kruck, S.; Hofmann, U.; van der Kuip, H.; Zanger, U.M.; Koepsell, H.; Schwab, M. DNA methylation is associated with downregulation of the organic cation transporter OCT1 (SLC22A1) in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Genome. Med.* **2011**, *3*, 82. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Heise, M.; Lautem, A.; Knapstein, J.; Schattenberg, J.M.; Hoppe-Lotichius, M.; Foltys, D.; Weiler, N.; Zimmermann, A.; Schad, A.; Grundemann, D.; et al. Downregulation of organic cation transporters OCT1 (*SLC22A1*) and OCT3 (*SLC22A3*) in human hepatocellular carcinoma and their prognostic significance. *BMC Cancer* 2012, *12*, 109. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Namisaki, T.; Schaeffeler, E.; Fukui, H.; Yoshiji, H.; Nakajima, Y.; Fritz, P.; Schwab, M.; Nies, A.T. Differential expression of drug uptake and efflux transporters in Japanese patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 2014, 42, 2033–2040. [CrossRef]
- Visentin, M.; van Rosmalen, B.V.; Hiller, C.; Bieze, M.; Hofstetter, L.; Verheij, J.; Kullak-Ublick, G.A.; Koepsell, H.; Phoa, S.S.; Tamai, I.; et al. Impact of Organic Cation Transporters (OCT-SLC22A) on Differential Diagnosis of Intrahepatic Lesions. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 2017, 45, 166–173. [CrossRef]
- Shu, Y.; Brown, C.; Castro, R.A.; Shi, R.J.; Lin, E.T.; Owen, R.P.; Sheardown, S.A.; Yue, L.; Burchard, E.G.; Brett, C.M.; et al. Effect of genetic variation in the organic cation transporter 1, OCT1, on metformin pharmacokinetics. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2008, *83*, 273–280. [CrossRef]
- 80. Motohashi, H.; Sakurai, Y.; Saito, H.; Masuda, S.; Urakami, Y.; Goto, M.; Fukatsu, A.; Ogawa, O.; Inui, K. Gene expression levels and immunolocalization of organic ion transporters in the human kidney. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **2002**, *13*, 866–874. [CrossRef]
- 81. Kimura, H.; Takeda, M.; Narikawa, S.; Enomoto, A.; Ichida, K.; Endou, H. Human organic anion transporters and human organic cation transporters mediate renal transport of prostaglandins. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **2002**, *301*, 293–298. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Koepsell, H.; Schmitt, B.M.; Gorboulev, V. Organic cation transporters. *Rev. Physiol. Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2003, 150, 36–90. [CrossRef]
- 83. Saito, H. Pathophysiological regulation of renal SLC22A organic ion transporters in acute kidney injury: Pharmacological and toxicological implications. *Pharmacol. Ther.* **2010**, *125*, 79–91. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yonezawa, A.; Inui, K. Organic cation transporter OCT/SLC22A and H⁺/organic cation antiporter MATE/SLC47A are key molecules for nephrotoxicity of platinum agents. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2011, *81*, 563–568. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Visentin, M.; Torozi, A.; Gai, Z.; Hausler, S.; Li, C.; Hiller, C.; Schraml, P.H.; Moch, H.; Kullak-Ublick, G.A. Fluorocholine Transport Mediated by the Organic Cation Transporter 2 (OCT2, SLC22A2): Implication for Imaging of Kidney Tumors. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 2018, 46, 1129–1136. [CrossRef]
- Karbach, U.; Kricke, J.; Meyer-Wentrup, F.; Gorboulev, V.; Volk, C.; Loffing-Cueni, D.; Kaissling, B.; Bachmann, S.; Koepsell, H. Localization of organic cation transporters OCT1 and OCT2 in rat kidney. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol.* 2000, 279, F679–F687. [CrossRef]
- Sprowl, J.A.; Ciarimboli, G.; Lancaster, C.S.; Giovinazzo, H.; Gibson, A.A.; Du, G.; Janke, L.J.; Cavaletti, G.; Shields, A.F.; Sparreboom, A. Oxaliplatin-induced neurotoxicity is dependent on the organic cation transporter OCT2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 2013, 110, 11199–11204. [CrossRef]
- 88. Sweet, D.H.; Miller, D.S.; Pritchard, J.B. Ventricular choline transport: A role for organic cation transporter 2 expressed in choroid plexus. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001, 276, 41611–41619. [CrossRef]
- Ciarimboli, G.; Deuster, D.; Knief, A.; Sperling, M.; Holtkamp, M.; Edemir, B.; Pavenstadt, H.; Lanvers-Kaminsky, C.; am Zehnhoff-Dinnesen, A.; Schinkel, A.H.; et al. Organic cation transporter 2 mediates cisplatin-induced oto- and nephrotoxicity and is a target for protective interventions. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2010, *176*, 1169–1180. [CrossRef]
- Lanvers-Kaminsky, C.; Sprowl, J.A.; Malath, I.; Deuster, D.; Eveslage, M.; Schlatter, E.; Mathijssen, R.H.; Boos, J.; Jurgens, H.; Am Zehnhoff-Dinnesen, A.G.; et al. Human OCT2 variant c.808G>T confers protection effect against cisplatin-induced ototoxicity. *Pharmacogenomics* 2015, 16, 323–332. [CrossRef]
- Langer, T.; Clemens, E.; Broer, L.; Maier, L.; Uitterlinden, A.G.; de Vries, A.C.H.; van Grotel, M.; Pluijm, S.F.M.; Binder, H.; Mayer, B.; et al. Usefulness of current candidate genetic markers to identify childhood cancer patients at risk for platinum-induced ototoxicity: Results of the European PanCareLIFE cohort study. *Eur. J. Cancer* 2020, *138*, 212–224. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Schorn, S.; Dicke, A.K.; Neugebauer, U.; Schroter, R.; Friedrich, M.; Reuter, S.; Ciarimboli, G. Expression and Function of Organic Cation Transporter 2 in Pancreas. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2021, 9, 688885. [CrossRef]
- Padala, S.A.; Barsouk, A.; Thandra, K.C.; Saginala, K.; Mohammed, A.; Vakiti, A.; Rawla, P.; Barsouk, A. Epidemiology of Renal Cell Carcinoma. World J. Oncol. 2020, 11, 79–87. [CrossRef]
- 94. Liu, Y.; Zheng, X.; Yu, Q.; Wang, H.; Tan, F.; Zhu, Q.; Yuan, L.; Jiang, H.; Yu, L.; Zeng, S. Epigenetic activation of the drug transporter OCT2 sensitizes renal cell carcinoma to oxaliplatin. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2016**, *8*, 348ra397. [CrossRef]
- 95. Zhu, Q.; Yu, L.; Qin, Z.; Chen, L.; Hu, H.; Zheng, X.; Zeng, S. Regulation of OCT2 transcriptional repression by histone acetylation in renal cell carcinoma. *Epigenetics* **2019**, *14*, 791–803. [CrossRef]
- Chen, L.; Wang, Z.; Xu, Q.; Liu, Y.; Chen, L.; Guo, S.; Wang, H.; Zeng, K.; Liu, J.; Zeng, S.; et al. The failure of DAC to induce OCT2 expression and its remission by hemoglobin-based nanocarriers under hypoxia in renal cell carcinoma. *Theranostics* 2020, 10, 3562–3578. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, S.; Lovejoy, K.S.; Shima, J.E.; Lagpacan, L.L.; Shu, Y.; Lapuk, A.; Chen, Y.; Komori, T.; Gray, J.W.; Chen, X.; et al. Organic cation transporters are determinants of oxaliplatin cytotoxicity. *Cancer Res.* 2006, 66, 8847–8857. [CrossRef]
- Filipski, K.K.; Mathijssen, R.H.; Mikkelsen, T.S.; Schinkel, A.H.; Sparreboom, A. Contribution of organic cation transporter 2 (OCT2) to cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2009, *86*, 396–402. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, J.; Zhou, W. Ameliorative effects of SLC22A2 gene polymorphism 808 G/T and cimetidine on cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity in Chinese cancer patients. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2012, 50, 2289–2293. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 100. Grundemann, D.; Schechinger, B.; Rappold, G.A.; Schomig, E. Molecular identification of the corticosterone-sensitive extraneuronal catecholamine transporter. *Nat. Neurosci.* **1998**, *1*, 349–351. [CrossRef]
- Shang, T.; Uihlein, A.V.; Van Asten, J.; Kalyanaraman, B.; Hillard, C.J. 1-Methyl-4-phenylpyridinium accumulates in cerebellar granule neurons via organic cation transporter 3. J. Neurochem. 2003, 85, 358–367. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wu, X.; Huang, W.; Ganapathy, M.E.; Wang, H.; Kekuda, R.; Conway, S.J.; Leibach, F.H.; Ganapathy, V. Structure, function, and regional distribution of the organic cation transporter OCT3 in the kidney. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol.* 2000, 279, F449–F458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kekuda, R.; Prasad, P.D.; Wu, X.; Wang, H.; Fei, Y.J.; Leibach, F.H.; Ganapathy, V. Cloning and functional characterization of a potential-sensitive, polyspecific organic cation transporter (OCT3) most abundantly expressed in placenta. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1998, 273, 15971–15979. [CrossRef]
- 104. Cutler, M.J.; Choo, E.F. Overview of SLC22A and SLCO families of drug uptake transporters in the context of cancer treatments. *Curr. Drug Metab.* 2011, 12, 793–807. [CrossRef]
- 105. Sata, R.; Ohtani, H.; Tsujimoto, M.; Murakami, H.; Koyabu, N.; Nakamura, T.; Uchiumi, T.; Kuwano, M.; Nagata, H.; Tsukimori, K.; et al. Functional analysis of organic cation transporter 3 expressed in human placenta. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 2005, 315, 888–895. [CrossRef]
- 106. Baganz, N.L.; Horton, R.E.; Calderon, A.S.; Owens, W.A.; Munn, J.L.; Watts, L.T.; Koldzic-Zivanovic, N.; Jeske, N.A.; Koek, W.; Toney, G.M.; et al. Organic cation transporter 3: Keeping the brake on extracellular serotonin in serotonin-transporter-deficient mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2008, 105, 18976–18981. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Mayer, F.P.; Schmid, D.; Owens, W.A.; Gould, G.G.; Apuschkin, M.; Kudlacek, O.; Salzer, I.; Boehm, S.; Chiba, P.; Williams, P.H.; et al. An unsuspected role for organic cation transporter 3 in the actions of amphetamine. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2018, 43, 2408–2417. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Clauss, N.J.; Koek, W.; Daws, L.C. Role of Organic Cation Transporter 3 and Plasma Membrane Monoamine Transporter in the Rewarding Properties and Locomotor Sensitizing Effects of Amphetamine in Male and Female Mice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 13420. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gasser, P.J.; Hurley, M.M.; Chan, J.; Pickel, V.M. Organic cation transporter 3 (OCT3) is localized to intracellular and surface membranes in select glial and neuronal cells within the basolateral amygdaloid complex of both rats and mice. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 2017, 222, 1913–1928. [CrossRef]
- 110. Lautem, A.; Heise, M.; Grasel, A.; Hoppe-Lotichius, M.; Weiler, N.; Foltys, D.; Knapstein, J.; Schattenberg, J.M.; Schad, A.; Zimmermann, A.; et al. Downregulation of organic cation transporter 1 (SLC22A1) is associated with tumor progression and reduced patient survival in human cholangiocellular carcinoma. *Int. J. Oncol.* **2013**, *42*, 1297–1304. [CrossRef]
- 111. Lian, Q.; Xiao, S.; Wang, Y.; Wang, H.; Xie, D. Expression and clinical significance of organic cation transporter family in glioblastoma multiforme. *Ir. J. Med. Sci.* 2022, *191*, 1115–1121. [CrossRef]
- 112. Yokoo, S.; Masuda, S.; Yonezawa, A.; Terada, T.; Katsura, T.; Inui, K. Significance of organic cation transporter 3 (SLC22A3) expression for the cytotoxic effect of oxaliplatin in colorectal cancer. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2008**, *36*, 2299–2306. [CrossRef]
- Visentin, M.; Zhao, R.; Goldman, I.D. Augmentation of reduced folate carrier-mediated folate/antifolate transport through an antiport mechanism with 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide riboside monophosphate. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2012, *82*, 209–216. [CrossRef]
- 114. Visentin, M.; Unal, E.S.; Najmi, M.; Fiser, A.; Zhao, R.; Goldman, I.D. Identification of Tyr residues that enhance folate substrate binding and constrain oscillation of the proton-coupled folate transporter (PCFT-SLC46A1). Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 2015, 308, C631–C641. [CrossRef]
- 115. Visentin, M.; Stieger, B.; Merz, M.; Kullak-Ublick, G.A. Octreotide inhibits the bilirubin carriers organic anion transporting polypeptides 1B1 and 1B3 and the multidrug resistance-associated protein 2. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2015, 355, 145–151. [CrossRef]

- 116. Swain, S.M.; Whaley, F.S.; Ewer, M.S. Congestive heart failure in patients treated with doxorubicin: A retrospective analysis of three trials. *Cancer* **2003**, *97*, 2869–2879. [CrossRef]
- 117. Pochini, L.; Galluccio, M.; Scalise, M.; Console, L.; Pappacoda, G.; Indiveri, C. OCTN1: A Widely Studied but Still Enigmatic Organic Cation Transporter Linked to Human Pathology and Drug Interactions. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, 23, 914. [CrossRef]
- 118. Horvath, G.; Schmid, N.; Fragoso, M.A.; Schmid, A.; Conner, G.E.; Salathe, M.; Wanner, A. Epithelial organic cation transporters ensure pH-dependent drug absorption in the airway. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **2007**, *36*, 53–60. [CrossRef]
- 119. Okabe, M.; Szakacs, G.; Reimers, M.A.; Suzuki, T.; Hall, M.D.; Abe, T.; Weinstein, J.N.; Gottesman, M.M. Profiling SLCO and SLC22 genes in the NCI-60 cancer cell lines to identify drug uptake transporters. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2008, 7, 3081–3091. [CrossRef]
- 120. Sun, D.; Chen, Q.; Gai, Z.; Zhang, F.; Yang, X.; Hu, W.; Chen, C.; Yang, G.; Hormann, S.; Kullak-Ublick, G.A.; et al. The Role of the Carnitine/Organic Cation Transporter Novel 2 in the Clinical Outcome of Patients With Locally Advanced Esophageal Carcinoma Treated With Oxaliplatin. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2021, 12, 684545. [CrossRef]
- Kido, Y.; Tamai, I.; Ohnari, A.; Sai, Y.; Kagami, T.; Nezu, J.; Nikaido, H.; Hashimoto, N.; Asano, M.; Tsuji, A. Functional relevance of carnitine transporter OCTN2 to brain distribution of L-carnitine and acetyl-L-carnitine across the blood-brain barrier. *J. Neurochem.* 2001, 79, 959–969. [CrossRef]
- 122. Fink, M.A.; Paland, H.; Herzog, S.; Grube, M.; Vogelgesang, S.; Weitmann, K.; Bialke, A.; Hoffmann, W.; Rauch, B.H.; Schroeder, H.W.S.; et al. L-Carnitine-Mediated Tumor Cell Protection and Poor Patient Survival Associated with OCTN2 Overexpression in Glioblastoma Multiforme. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2019, 25, 2874–2886. [CrossRef]
- 123. Juraszek, B.; Czarnecka-Herok, J.; Nalecz, K.A. Glioma cells survival depends both on fatty acid oxidation and on functional carnitine transport by SLC22A5. J. Neurochem. 2021, 156, 642–657. [CrossRef]
- 124. Yokogawa, K.; Higashi, Y.; Tamai, I.; Nomura, M.; Hashimoto, N.; Nikaido, H.; Hayakawa, J.; Miyamoto, K.; Tsuji, A. Decreased tissue distribution of L-carnitine in juvenile visceral steatosis mice. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1999**, *289*, 224–230.
- 125. Tamai, I.; China, K.; Sai, Y.; Kobayashi, D.; Nezu, J.; Kawahara, E.; Tsuji, A. Na⁺-coupled transport of L-carnitine via high-affinity carnitine transporter OCTN2 and its subcellular localization in kidney. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2001, 1512, 273–284. [CrossRef]
- Hashimoto, N.; Suzuki, F.; Tamai, I.; Nikaido, H.; Kuwajima, M.; Hayakawa, J.; Tsuji, A. Gene-dose effect on carnitine transport activity in embryonic fibroblasts of JVS mice as a model of human carnitine transporter deficiency. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 1998, 55, 1729–1732. [CrossRef]
- 127. Horiuchi, M.; Yoshida, H.; Kobayashi, K.; Kuriwaki, K.; Yoshimine, K.; Tomomura, M.; Koizumi, T.; Nikaido, H.; Hayakawa, J.; Kuwajima, M.; et al. Cardiac hypertrophy in juvenile visceral steatosis (jvs) mice with systemic carnitine deficiency. *FEBS Lett.* 1993, 326, 267–271. [CrossRef]
- Koizumi, T.; Nikaido, H.; Hayakawa, J.; Nonomura, A.; Yoneda, T. Infantile disease with microvesicular fatty infiltration of viscera spontaneously occurring in the C3H-H-2(0) strain of mouse with similarities to Reye's syndrome. *Lab. Anim.* 1988, 22, 83–87. [CrossRef]
- Kato, Y.; Sugiura, M.; Sugiura, T.; Wakayama, T.; Kubo, Y.; Kobayashi, D.; Sai, Y.; Tamai, I.; Iseki, S.; Tsuji, A. Organic cation/carnitine transporter OCTN2 (Slc22a5) is responsible for carnitine transport across apical membranes of small intestinal epithelial cells in mouse. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2006, 70, 829–837. [CrossRef]
- 130. Wang, C.; Uray, I.P.; Mazumdar, A.; Mayer, J.A.; Brown, P.H. SLC22A5/OCTN2 expression in breast cancer is induced by estrogen via a novel intronic estrogen-response element (ERE). *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **2012**, *134*, 101–115. [CrossRef]
- 131. Gong, S.; Lu, X.; Xu, Y.; Swiderski, C.F.; Jordan, C.T.; Moscow, J.A. Identification of OCT6 as a novel organic cation transporter preferentially expressed in hematopoietic cells and leukemias. *Exp. Hematol.* **2002**, *30*, 1162–1169. [CrossRef]
- Okabe, M.; Unno, M.; Harigae, H.; Kaku, M.; Okitsu, Y.; Sasaki, T.; Mizoi, T.; Shiiba, K.; Takanaga, H.; Terasaki, T.; et al. Characterization of the organic cation transporter SLC22A16: A doxorubicin importer. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2005, 333, 754–762. [CrossRef]
- 133. Aouida, M.; Poulin, R.; Ramotar, D. The human carnitine transporter SLC22A16 mediates high affinity uptake of the anticancer polyamine analogue bleomycin-A5. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2010**, *285*, 6275–6284. [CrossRef]
- 134. Oguri, T.; Kunii, E.; Fukuda, S.; Sone, K.; Uemura, T.; Takakuwa, O.; Kanemitsu, Y.; Ohkubo, H.; Takemura, M.; Maeno, K.; et al. Organic cation transporter 6 directly confers resistance to anticancer platinum drugs. *Biomed. Rep.* 2016, *5*, 639–643. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 135. Frail, D.E.; Brady, M.; Escott, K.J.; Holt, A.; Sanganee, H.J.; Pangalos, M.N.; Watkins, C.; Wegner, C.D. Pioneering governmentsponsored drug repositioning collaborations: Progress and learning. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2015**, *14*, 833–841. [CrossRef]
- 136. Liu, Y.; Li, C.; Su, R.; Yin, Z.; Huang, G.; Yang, J.; Li, Z.; Zhang, K.; Fei, J. Targeting SOS1 overcomes imatinib resistance with BCR-ABL independence through uptake transporter SLC22A4 in CML. *Mol. Ther. Oncolytics* **2021**, *23*, 560–570. [CrossRef]
- 137. Hu, C.; Lancaster, C.S.; Zuo, Z.; Hu, S.; Chen, Z.; Rubnitz, J.E.; Baker, S.D.; Sparreboom, A. Inhibition of OCTN2-mediated transport of carnitine by etoposide. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **2012**, *11*, 921–929. [CrossRef]
- 138. Futatsugi, A.; Masuo, Y.; Kawabata, S.; Nakamichi, N.; Kato, Y. L503F variant of carnitine/organic cation transporter 1 efficiently transports metformin and other biguanides. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* **2016**, *68*, 1160–1169. [CrossRef]
- Tahara, H.; Yee, S.W.; Urban, T.J.; Hesselson, S.; Castro, R.A.; Kawamoto, M.; Stryke, D.; Johns, S.J.; Ferrin, T.E.; Kwok, P.Y.; et al. Functional genetic variation in the basal promoter of the organic cation/carnitine transporters OCTN1 (SLC22A4) and OCTN2 (SLC22A5). J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2009, 329, 262–271. [CrossRef]

- 140. Shu, Y.; Sheardown, S.A.; Brown, C.; Owen, R.P.; Zhang, S.; Castro, R.A.; Ianculescu, A.G.; Yue, L.; Lo, J.C.; Burchard, E.G.; et al. Effect of genetic variation in the organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) on metformin action. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2007, 117, 1422–1431. [CrossRef]
- 141. Chen, L.; Yee, S.W.; Giacomini, K.M. OCT1 in hepatic steatosis and thiamine disposition. *Cell Cycle* **2015**, *14*, 283–284. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 142. Chen, L.; Shu, Y.; Liang, X.; Chen, E.C.; Yee, S.W.; Zur, A.A.; Li, S.; Xu, L.; Keshari, K.R.; Lin, M.J.; et al. OCT1 is a high-capacity thiamine transporter that regulates hepatic steatosis and is a target of metformin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2014**, *111*, 9983–9988. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 143. Liang, X.; Yee, S.W.; Chien, H.C.; Chen, E.C.; Luo, Q.; Zou, L.; Piao, M.; Mifune, A.; Chen, L.; Calvert, M.E.; et al. Organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) modulates multiple cardiometabolic traits through effects on hepatic thiamine content. *PLoS Biol.* 2018, 16, e2002907. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.