

**Table S1.** TTP RV (Figure 4A)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.8215	4	36.1461	<b>2.7E-07</b>
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan's all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
Length, $L/L_{max}$	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0.95</b>
0.8	1	1.5E-1	<b>3.8E-3</b>	<b>3.1E-5</b>
0.85	1.5E-1	1	1.5E-1	<b>7.5E-3</b>
0.9	<b>3.8E-3</b>	1.5E-1	1	2.0E-1
0.95	<b>3.1E-5</b>	<b>7.5E-3</b>	2.0E-1	1
1.0	<b>2.1E-6</b>	<b>1.2E-3</b>	7.2E-2	5.0E-1
<i>p</i> < 0.05 are highlighted in red.				

**Table S2.** TTP RA (Figure 4A)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.9003	4	21.6068	<b>2.4E-04</b>
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan's all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
Length, $L/L_{max}$	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0.95</b>
0.8	1	4.0E-01	<b>2.6E-02</b>	<b>8.6E-03</b>
0.85	4.0E-01	1	1.7E-01	7.2E-02
0.9	<b>2.6E-02</b>	1.7E-01	1	6.5E-01
0.95	<b>8.6E-03</b>	7.2E-02	6.5E-01	1
1.0	<b>5.9E-04</b>	<b>8.6E-03</b>	2.1E-01	3.9E-01
<i>p</i> < 0.05 are highlighted in red.				

**Table S3.** T50 RV (Figure 4B)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.6398	4	28.1517	<b>1.2E-05</b>
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan's all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
Length, $L/L_{max}$	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0.95</b>
0.8	1	2.5E-01	5.2E-02	<b>6.4E-03</b>
0.85	2.5E-01	1	3.8E-01	<b>1.1E-01</b>
0.9	5.2E-02	3.8E-01	1	<b>3.8E-01</b>
0.95	<b>6.4E-03</b>	1.1E-01	3.8E-01	<b>1.1E-01</b>
1.0	<b>1.2E-05</b>	<b>1.8E-03</b>	<b>2.1E-02</b>	1.1E-01
<i>p</i> < 0.05 are highlighted in red.				

**Table S4.** T50 RA (Figure 4B)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	1	4	24	8.0E-05
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan's all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.8	0.85	0.9	0.95
0.8	1	2.7E-01	4.7E-02	3.4E-03
0.85	2.7E-01	1	2.7E-01	4.7E-02
0.9	4.7E-02	2.7E-01	1	2.7E-01
0.95	3.4E-03	4.7E-02	2.7E-01	1
1.0	1.2E-04	3.4E-03	4.7E-02	2.7E-01

*p* < 0.05 are highlighted in red.**Table S5.** Normalised values of maximal rates of tension development RV (Figure 4C)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.7174	4	31.5636	2.3E-06
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan's all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.8	0.85	0.9	0.95
0.8	1	3.1E-01	6.0E-03	2.6E-04
0.85	3.1E-01	1	9.8E-02	6.0E-03
0.9	6.0E-03	9.8E-02	1	3.1E-01
0.95	2.6E-04	6.0E-03	3.1E-01	1
1.0	2.4E-05	9.1E-04	1.1E-01	5.0E-01

*p* < 0.05 are highlighted in red.**Table S6.** Normalised values of maximal rates of tension development RA (Figure 4C)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.9722	4	23.3333	1.1E-04
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan's all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.8	0.85	0.9	0.95
0.8	1	3.0E-01	4.4E-02	6.4E-03
0.85	3.0E-01	1	2.5E-01	7.4E-02
0.9	4.4E-02	2.5E-01	1	4.7E-01
0.95	6.4E-03	7.4E-02	4.7E-01	1
1.0	1.2E-04	5.1E-03	7.4E-02	2.5E-01

*p* < 0.05 are highlighted in red.

**Table S7.** Normalised values of maximal rates of tension relaxation RV (Figure 4D)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.8017	4	35.2727	<b>4.1E-07</b>
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan's all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
Length, $L/L_{max}$	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0.95</b>
<b>0.8</b>	1	3.8E-01	1.0E-01	<b>1.1E-03</b>
<b>0.85</b>	3.8E-01	1	4.2E-01	<b>2.1E-02</b>
<b>0.9</b>	1.0E-01	4.2E-01	1	<b>1.0E-01</b>
<b>0.95</b>	<b>1.1E-03</b>	<b>2.1E-02</b>	1.0E-01	1
<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.5E-06</b>	<b>8.0E-05</b>	<b>1.1E-03</b>	1.0E-01

*p* < 0.05 are highlighted in red.**Table S8.** Normalised values of maximal rates of tension relaxation RA (Figure 4D)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	1	4	24	<b>8.0E-05</b>
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan's all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
<b>0.8</b>	1	2.7E-01	<b>4.7E-02</b>	<b>3.4E-03</b>
<b>0.85</b>	2.7E-01	1	2.7E-01	<b>4.7E-02</b>
<b>0.9</b>	<b>4.7E-02</b>	2.7E-01	1	<b>2.7E-01</b>
<b>0.95</b>	<b>3.4E-03</b>	<b>4.7E-02</b>	2.7E-01	2.7E-01
<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.2E-04</b>	<b>3.4E-03</b>	<b>4.7E-02</b>	2.7E-01
<b>0.8</b>	1	2.7E-01	<b>4.7E-02</b>	<b>3.4E-03</b>

*p* < 0.05 are highlighted in red.**Table S9.** APD90 RV (Figure 5B)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.0477	4	2.4839	0.65

**Table S10.** APD90 RA (Figure 5B)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.1139	4	5.4679	0.24

**Table S11.** T70Ca RV (**Figure 6B**)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.2564	4	11.2811	<b>2.4E-02</b>
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan's all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
Length, $L/L_{max}$	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0.95</b>
<b>0.8</b>	1	8.9E-01	8.6E-02	8.6E-02
<b>0.85</b>	8.9E-01	1	9.8E-02	8.6E-02
<b>0.9</b>	8.6E-02	9.8E-02	1	8.9E-01
<b>0.95</b>	8.6E-02	8.6E-02	8.9E-01	1
<b>1.0</b>	8.6E-02	8.6E-02	8.9E-01	1

*p* < 0.05 are highlighted in red.**Table S12.** T70Ca RA (**Figure 6B**)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.6918	6	24.9036	<b>3.6E-04</b>
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan's all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
Length, $L/L_{max}$	<b>0.7</b>	<b>0.75</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0.85</b>
<b>0.7</b>	1	7.8E-01	3.7E-01	1.9E-01
<b>0.75</b>	7.8E-01	1	2.6E-01	1.4E-01
<b>0.8</b>	3.7E-01	2.6E-01	1	6.9E-01
<b>0.85</b>	1.9E-01	1.4E-01	6.9E-01	1
<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.7E-02</b>	<b>9.4E-03</b>	1.7E-01	3.1E-01
<b>0.95</b>	<b>2.2E-02</b>	<b>1.1E-02</b>	1.8E-01	8.9E-01
<b>1.0</b>	<b>9.4E-03</b>	<b>6.5E-03</b>	8.2E-02	7.6E-01

*p* < 0.05 are highlighted in red.**Table S13.** Amplitude of the difference curve in the III phase of the "CaT difference curve" RV (**Figure 7C**)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.32	3	9.6	<b>2.2E-02</b>
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan's all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
Length, $L/L_{max}$	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>0.85</b>	1	5.9E-01	8.4E-02	<b>2.6E-02</b>
<b>0.9</b>	5.9E-01	1	2.1E-01	6.2E-02
<b>0.95</b>	8.4E-02	2.1E-01	1	4.9E-01
<b>1.0</b>	<b>2.6E-02</b>	6.2E-02	4.9E-01	1

*p* < 0.05 are highlighted in red.

**Table S14.** Amplitude of the difference curve in the III phase of the “CaT difference curve” RA (Figure 7C)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.9444	3	17	<b>7.07E-04</b>
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan’s all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
Length, $L/L_{max}$	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>0.85</b>	1	3.7E-01	<b>2.8E-02</b>	<b>8.6E-04</b>
<b>0.9</b>	3.7E-01	1	1.8E-01	<b>1.1E-02</b>
<b>0.95</b>	<b>2.8E-02</b>	1.8E-01	1	2.2E-01
<b>1.0</b>	<b>8.6E-04</b>	<b>1.1E-02</b>	2.2E-01	1

*p* < 0.05 are highlighted in red.**Table S15.** Relative area (in %) calculated under the difference curve in the III phase of the “CaT difference curve” RV (Figure 7D)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.416	3	12.48	<b>5.9E-03</b>
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan’s all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
Length, $L/L_{max}$	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>0.85</b>	1	2.6E-01	7.8E-02	<b>2.3E-03</b>
<b>0.9</b>	2.6E-01	1	4.1E-01	6.2E-02
<b>0.95</b>	7.8E-02	4.1E-01	1	2.1E-01
<b>1.0</b>	<b>2.3E-03</b>	6.2E-02	2.1E-01	1

*p* < 0.05 are highlighted in red.**Table S16.** Relative area (in %) calculated under the difference curve in the III phase of the “CaT difference curve” RA (Figure 7D)

ANOVA Friedman results

factor	Kendall's coefficient of concordance	degrees of freedom	$\chi^2$	p-value
Length, $L/L_{max}$	0.8778	3	15.8	<b>1.2E-03</b>
<i>p</i> -values - results of Siegel and Castellan’s all-pairs comparisons post-hoc test with closed method based on Simes tests (non-negative) to adjust <i>p</i> -values				
Length, $L/L_{max}$	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>0.85</b>	1	2.6E-01	5.1E-02	<b>8.6E-04</b>
<b>0.9</b>	2.6E-01	1	2.6E-01	<b>2.2E-02</b>
<b>0.95</b>	5.1E-02	2.6E-01	1	1.8E-01
<b>1.0</b>	<b>8.6E-04</b>	<b>2.2E-02</b>	1.8E-01	1

*p* < 0.05 are highlighted in red.