# ATM Activation Induced by Chromatin Relaxation 

- Supplemantary Material


## 1 Reacting Species

In the following, we will introduce some new notations, listed in the Table S1, to represent all the species in the ATM activation. We will explain in details during the modeling how these species come into the play in the ATM activation.

| Notation | Species |
| :---: | :---: |
| DSB | DNA double Strand Break |
| DM | DSB/MRN complex |
| ROS | reactive oxygen species |
| r | relaxation rate of chromatin |
| TA | Tip60/ATM |
| ATA | ATF2-Tip60/ATM |
| TAP | Tip60/ATM-PP2A |
| ATAP | ATF2-Tip60/ATM-PP2A |
| dATAP | dimer of ATF2-Tip60/ATM-PP2A |
| aTA | active Tip60/ATM |
| paTA | partially active Tip60/ATM |
| KAP1 | KAP-1 |
| KAP1 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | phosphorylated KAP-1 |
| ATF2 | ATF2 |
| ATF2 | phosphorylated ATF2 |
| HBK9me3 $^{\text {HKmHP1 }}$ | H3K9me3 |
| HP1 | H3K9me3/HP-1 |
| HP1 | HP-1 |
| PP2A | phosphorylated HP-1 |
| Cav | PP2A |
| CPP2A | Cavelion-1 |

Table S1. Notations of Reacting Species in the ATM Activation.
Except DSB and DSB/MRN complex, denoted by DM, we assume that all the species can be either in the DNA damaged site or undamaged site. Consequently
if we treat the same proteins located in the different sites to be different species, then the number of reacting species will be doubled. Moreover, we divide all the reacting species into several groups, denote by

```
control: \(\quad u=\left(\mathrm{DSB}, \mathrm{DM}, \mathrm{MRN}_{0}, \mathrm{MRN}_{1}, \mathrm{ROS}_{0}, \mathrm{ROS}_{1}\right)^{\top}\)
relaxation rate: \(\quad X_{0}=\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right)^{\top}\)
pure monomers: \(\quad X_{1}^{i}=\left(T A, T A^{a}, T A^{P}, T^{2 P}\right)_{i}^{\top}\)
bound monomers: \(\quad X_{2}^{i}=(\text { ATA }, \text { ATAP, TAP, TAPP; ATAP })_{i}^{\top}\)
pure dimers: \(\quad X_{3}^{i}=\left(\mathrm{dTA}, \mathrm{वTA}^{2}, \mathrm{dTP}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)_{i}^{\top}\)
bound dimers: \(\quad X_{4}^{i}=(\mathrm{dATA}, \mathrm{dATA}, ~ \text { dTAP, dTAP; } \mathrm{dATAP})_{i}^{\top}\)
other proteins: \(\quad X_{5}^{i}=\left(\mathrm{KAP1}, \mathrm{KAP1}^{\mathrm{P}}, \mathrm{HP1}, \mathrm{HP1}^{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{ATF}^{2}, \mathrm{ATF}^{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{PP} 2 \mathrm{~A}\right)_{i}^{\top}\)
other proteins: \(\quad X_{6}^{i}=(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~K} 9 \mathrm{me} 3, \mathrm{HKmHP1} \text {, Cav, CPP2A })^{\top}\),
```

where subscript $i=0$ indicates all the species on the damaged site, and $i=1$ those in the undamaged site. Let

$$
\mathbb{X}_{i}=\left(X_{1}^{i}, X_{2}^{i}, X_{3}^{i}, X_{4}^{i}, X_{5}^{i}\right), \quad i=0,1
$$

Then $\left(u, X_{0}\right)$ and $\mathbb{X}=\left(\mathbb{X}_{0}, \mathbb{X}_{1}\right)$ give a compact form of all the reacting species in the ATM activation.

## 2 Modeling the Triggers of ATM Activation

It has been suggested that ATM may be activated by DNA damage and oxidative stress, both of which are the IR effects and directly connect IR with ATM activation in a chromatin-structure dependent manner. In this section, we will model how IR induces DSB and ROS and subsequent chromatin relaxation. They are key components of the initial stage proceeding the ATM activation and play the role of triggers of ATM activation.

### 2.1 IR Induced DNA Damage and Oxidative Stress

Let $D_{R}$ be the radiation dose rate and the total dose is given by $\int_{0}^{\infty} D_{R}(t) d t$. Suppose that IR induced production rates of DSB and ROS are proportional to dose rate $D_{R}$, by rates $b_{D S B}$ and $b_{R O S}$, respectively. Once DSBs are generated, MRN quickly recognizes the damage and binds to the DSB at rate $k_{a}^{0}$ and form a complex denoted by DM. On the other hand, we assume that ROS is induced in the damaged site, and either removed at rate $r_{\text {ROS }}$ or diffuses to the undamaged site at rate $d_{\text {Ros }}$. Then the dynamics of the species discussed above can be governed by the following system of differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \mathrm{DSB}}{d t} & =\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{DSB}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0} \mathrm{DSBMRN}_{0} \\
\frac{d \mathrm{ROS}_{0}}{d t} & =\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ROS}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ROS}}\left(\mathrm{ROS}_{0}-\operatorname{ROS}_{1}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ROS}} \mathrm{ROS}_{0}}{1+\mathrm{ROS}_{0}}  \tag{1}\\
\frac{d \mathrm{ROS}_{1}}{d t} & =\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ROS}}\left(\operatorname{ROS}_{0}-\operatorname{ROS}_{1}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ROS}} \mathrm{ROS}_{1}}{1+\mathrm{ROS}_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

where Hill's type function $\frac{x}{1+x}$ is used to indicate the saturation in the removal of ROS. Indeed, ROS can also generate DSB and sustained unrepaired DSB can induce the production of ROS, leading to a positive feedback loop between ROS and DSB. In the scenario, the corresponding equations become

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\frac{d \mathrm{DSB}}{d t} & =\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{DSB}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0} \mathrm{DSBMRN}_{0}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{DR}} \mathrm{ROS}  \tag{1r}\\
\frac{d \mathrm{ROS}_{0}}{d t} & =\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ROS}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ROS}}\left(\mathrm{ROS}_{0}-\mathrm{ROS}_{1}\right)-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ROS}} \mathrm{ROS}_{0}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{RD}} \mathrm{DSB}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $b_{D R}$ is the production rate of DSB by ROS, and $b_{R D}$ is the production rate of ROS by DSB. In either case, $D_{R}$ is the external control input and the resulting DSB and ROS are the output.

### 2.2 DNA Damage Induced Chromatin Relaxation

It has been revealed in the experiments that once exposed to the radiation, heterochromatin is relaxed very rapidly around the damaged site in the ATMindependent manner, followed by slow relaxation. ATM is activated during the chromatin relaxation, which will be discussed shortly in Section 3.1. In addition, active ATM phosphorylates KAP-1, denoted by KAP1, exclusively in the damage site and phosphorylated KAP1, denoted by $\mathrm{KAP1}^{\mathrm{p}}$, spreads out throughout the nucleus and causes global chromatin relaxation, indicating the slow kinetics in the relaxation process. Thus we assume that chromatin relaxation is enhanced by KAP1 ${ }^{\text {p }}$ but inhibited by KAP1, or chromatin condensation is enhanced by KAP1. Accordingly we have the following model for the chromatin relaxation,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \mathrm{r}_{0}}{d t} & =\dot{\mathrm{r}}_{0}=\left[f(\mathrm{DSB}+\mathrm{DM})+g\left(\mathrm{KAP1}_{0}\right)\right]\left(1-\mathrm{r}_{0}\right)-h\left(\mathrm{KAP1}_{0}\right) \mathrm{r}_{0}  \tag{2}\\
\frac{d \mathrm{r}_{1}}{d t} & =\dot{\mathrm{r}}_{1}=g\left(\mathrm{KAP1}_{1}\right)\left(1-\mathrm{r}_{1}\right)-h\left(\mathrm{KAP1}_{1}\right) \mathrm{r}_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where functions $f, g$ and $h$ are non-negative increasing functions such as Hill's function $\frac{x}{K+x}$, implying that the more DSB or more KAP1p ${ }^{\text {p }}$, the more rapidly the chromatin is relaxed. The missing term $f$ in the second equation shows that DNA damage induced relaxation is exclusively in the damage site.

### 2.3 R0: Recruitment, Release and Shuttling of MRN

As discussed in Section 2.1, MRN first binds with DSB to form a complex, called DM (R0-1). Moreover, we assume that MRN will be released for reuse after the damage is repaired with rate $r_{\text {DSB }}(R 0-2)$. Besides, MRN shuttles between the damaged and undamaged sites (R0-3).
(1) $\mathrm{DSB}+\mathrm{MRN}_{0} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}} \mathrm{DM}$,
(2) $\mathrm{DM} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{r}_{\text {DSB }}} \mathrm{MRN}_{0}$,
(3) $\mathrm{MRN}_{0} \underset{k_{\mathrm{im}}^{0}}{\stackrel{k_{e x}^{0}}{0}} \mathrm{MRN}_{1}$

Here $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{im}}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{0}$ are the import rate (from undamaged site to damaged site) and export rate of MRN, respectively. By the law of mass action, the reaction rate equations of reaction R 0 is given in equation (3).

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \mathrm{DM}}{d t} & =\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0} \mathrm{DSBMRN}_{0}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{DSB}} \mathrm{DM} \\
\frac{d \mathrm{MRN}_{0}}{d t} & =\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{DSB}} \mathrm{aTA}_{0} \mathrm{DM}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0} \mathrm{DSBMRN}_{0}-\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{0} \mathrm{MRN}_{0}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{im}}^{0} \mathrm{MRN}_{1}\right)  \tag{3}\\
\frac{d \mathrm{MRN}_{1}}{d t} & =\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{0} \mathrm{MRN}_{0}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{im}}^{0} \mathrm{MRN}_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 Modeling ATM Activation

We have introduced mechanistic models for the IR induced DSB and ROS production and chromatin relaxation, and chemical reaction model for the kinetics of MRN in the previous sections. As shown in Section 2.3, once a biological model is converted into a chemical reaction model, a mathematical model of the chemical reaction can be readily written in terms of differential equations by applying well known reaction laws such as law of mass action and MichealisMenten kinetics. In the following, therefore, we will consider only how to build chemical reaction models in ATM activation. Their associated mathematical models will be provided at the end of the modeling session.

### 3.1 R1: Initiative ATM Activation and Signaling Chromatin Relaxation

In the model, we assume that ATM and Tip60 form a complex to be treated as an entity, denoted by TA, because they are always stably associated with each other. This complex is a monomer and may form a dimer dTA with another copy of itself. Usually ATM is bound with PP2A that inhibits ATM autophosphorylation, while Tip60 bound with ATF2 that inhibits the HAT activity of Tip60. Thus a TA dimer (dTA) bound with PP2A and ATF2 is the inactive form of ATM, denoted by dATAP.

It was proposed that ATM is activated due to the chromatin structure change [1], which hence is exclusively in the damaged site. Therefore, we assume that the rapid chromatin relaxation triggers the ATM activation by breaking inactive ATM dimer into active monomers and releasing ATF2 and PP2A simultaneously. This may be caused by the instant collapse of compact structure of the heterochromatin and the release of the potential energy deposited during the DNA folding. Thus we think of the initiative ATM activation as a physical reaction. Such ATM activation may be in small amount because the relaxation is locally around the damage site, but this small amount of active ATM triggers a positive feedback loop to enhance ATM activation, as discussed in the main context and will be recalled shortly in the following sections. As mentioned in Section 2.2, ATM phosphorylates KAP1 to have KAP1 ${ }^{\text {p }}$ that carries the signal of chromatin
relaxation. And KAP1 ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ may be dephosphorylated by some phosphatase to be KAP1 that is assumed to carry the signal of chromatin condensation. Then the R1 reactions can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (1) } \mathrm{dATAP}_{i} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{kact} \max \left\{\mathfrak{r}_{i}, 0\right\}]{\underset{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{dp}}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1} \mathrm{AA}_{i}}} 2 \mathrm{TA}_{i}+2 \mathrm{PPA}_{i}+2 \mathrm{ATF}_{i} . \\
& \text { (2) } \mathrm{KAP1}_{i} \underset{\mathrm{KAP}_{i}^{1}}{\rightleftharpoons} \tag{R1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{\text {act }}$ is the efficiency rate of activation to the chromatin structure change, captured by $\dot{r}, k_{p}^{1}$ the phosphorylation rate of KAP1 by ATM and $k_{d p}^{1}$ its dephosphorylation rate. Obviously $\dot{r}>0$ means relaxation and $\dot{r}<$ means condensation. Note that reaction R1-1 is irreversible and $\dot{r}<0$ has no contribution to ATM activation, thus $\dot{r}$ is replaced by $\max \left\{\dot{r}_{i}, 0\right\}$.

In addition, as discussed in the main context, ATM is fully active in the form of monomer regardless of the presence of phosphorylation or acetylation, denoted by aTA; but only partially active if it is phosphorylated but remains a dimer, denoted by patA. Then one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{aTA}_{i} & =\left(\mathrm{TA}+\mathrm{TA}^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{TAP}^{P}+\mathrm{TA}^{2 \mathrm{P}}\right)_{i}+\left(\mathrm{ATA}+\mathrm{ATAP}^{2}+\mathrm{TAP}+\mathrm{TAP}^{2}\right)_{i}+\mathrm{ATAP}_{i} \\
\mathrm{paTA}_{i} & =(\mathrm{dTAP}+\mathrm{dATAP})_{i}, \quad i=0,1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Please refer to Table S1 for the interpretation of the notations. Because there is no evidence to show that KAP1 is a substrate of partially active ATM, only aTA, but not paTA, is used in reaction R1-2.

### 3.2 R2: Tip60 Activation and ATM Acetylation

Reactions about the activation of Tip60 and acetylation of ATM are listed below.


ATF2 is phosphorylated by active ATM and released from Tip60 at rate $k_{p}^{2}$ (R2 (1-6)). On the other hand, in the relaxed chromatin ( $r$ dependent), CK2 phosphorylates $\mathrm{HP1}$ at rate $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}^{3}$ so that $\mathrm{HP1}$ is released from $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{KGme3}$ and consequently

H3K9me3 becomes exposed and accessible (R2-8). Without ATF2, Tip60 can bind to $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{KGme3}$ in the relaxed chromatin to activate its HAT activity and acetylate ATM at rate $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ace }}(\mathrm{R} 2(11-16))$. Different from reaction $\mathrm{R} 2-14$, reactions R2$12 \& 16$ show that acetylation on its own is not sufficient for initiating the dimermonomer transition of the ATM protein, suggesting that additional events, such as autophosphorylation of ATM, may also be required [2]. Besides, we assume that ATF2p (R2-7) and HP1 ${ }^{\text {p }}$ (R2-9) are dephosphorylated by phosphatase, and free HP1 may bind to H3K9me3 (R2-10).

### 3.3 R3: Inhibition of PP2A by Oxidative Stress

It has been reported in [3], that under the IR-induced oxidative stress, PP2A dissociates from ATM (R3(1-6)) at rate $k_{d}^{7}$ and translocates into caveolar membranes and interacts with caveolin-1 (R3-7).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (1) } \operatorname{TAP}_{i} \xrightarrow{k_{d}^{7} \mathrm{ROS}} \mathrm{TA}_{i}+\text { PP2A, (2) } \mathrm{dTAP}_{i} \xrightarrow{k_{d}^{7} \mathrm{ROS}} d T A_{i}+2 \mathrm{PP} 2 \mathrm{~A} \text {, } \\
& \text { (3) } \mathrm{TAPP}_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}^{7} \mathrm{ROS}} \mathrm{TA}_{i}+\mathrm{PP} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \quad \text { (4) } \quad \mathrm{dTAP}_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}^{7} \mathrm{ROS}} \mathrm{dTA}_{i}{ }_{i}+2 \mathrm{PP} 2 \mathrm{~A} \text {, } \\
& \text { (5) ATAP } i \xrightarrow{k_{d}^{7} \mathrm{ROS}} \mathrm{ATA}_{i}+\text { PP2A } \text {, } \\
& \text { (6) } \mathrm{dATAP}_{i} \xrightarrow{k_{d}^{7} \mathrm{ROS}} \mathrm{dATA}_{i}+2 \mathrm{PP} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \text {. }  \tag{R3}\\
& \text { (7) PP2A }+ \text { Cav } \underset{k_{d}^{8}}{\stackrel{k_{d}^{8} \mathrm{ROS}}{\rightleftharpoons}} \text { CPP2A. }
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.4 R4: Autophosphorylation of ATM

Once PP2A is released, ATM undergoes in trans autophosphorylation. ATM can be phosphorylated by either fully active ATM at rate $k_{\text {ap }}^{1}$ or partially active ATM at rate $k_{a p}^{2}$ ( $\mathrm{R} 4-1,3,5$ ). If ATM is in the form of dimer, the autophosphorylation may be done between the associated binding pair at higher rate $k_{a p}^{3}$ ( $R 4-2,4,6$ ). Furthermore, we assume Michaelis-Menten kinetics for the autophosphorylation of ATM monomers, and mass action kinetics for the autophosphorylation of ATM dimers without PP2A because of the presence of two ATM monomers close to each other.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (1) } \mathrm{TA}_{i} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{ap}_{1}^{1} \mathrm{aA}_{i}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ap}}^{2} \mathrm{paA}_{i}]{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{TA}_{i}, \quad \text { (2) } \mathrm{dTA}_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ap}}^{3}} \mathrm{dTA}_{i} \text {, } \\
& \text { (3) } \mathrm{TA}_{i}^{a} \xrightarrow{k_{\mathrm{ap}}^{1} \mathrm{TA}_{i}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ap}}^{2} \mathrm{paA}_{i}} \mathrm{TA}^{2 \mathrm{p}} \text {, }  \tag{R4}\\
& \text { (4) } \mathrm{dTA}^{a}{ }_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ap}}^{3}} 2 \mathrm{TA}^{\mathrm{ap}}{ }_{i} \text {, } \\
& \text { (5) } \mathrm{ATA}_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ap}}^{1} \mathrm{at}_{i}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ap}}^{2} \mathrm{paA}_{i}} \mathrm{ATA}_{i} \text {, } \\
& \text { (6) } \mathrm{dATA}_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ap}}^{3}} \mathrm{dATA}_{i} \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.5 R5: Formation of High Order Complexes

Inactive ATM dimer dATAP can be formed through different pathways by changing the recruitment order of PP2A and ATF2 and formation of dimer. With all the possibilities of combination, the detailed reactions have been listed in R5.

| $\begin{aligned} & (1) \\ & (3) \\ & (5) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TA}_{i}+\mathrm{PP} 2 \mathrm{~A} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{a}^{1}} \mathrm{TAP}_{i}, \\ & \mathrm{TA}_{i}+\mathrm{PP} 2 \mathrm{~A} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{a}^{1}} \mathrm{TAP}_{i}, \\ & \text { ATF2 }+\mathrm{TAP}_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{a}^{2}} \mathrm{ATAP}_{i}, \end{aligned}$ | (2) <br> (4) <br> (6) | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{TAP}_{i}+\text { PP2A } \xrightarrow{\stackrel{k_{a}^{1}}{\longrightarrow}} \mathrm{TAP}_{i}, \\ & \mathrm{TA}^{2 \mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{PP} 2 \mathrm{~A} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{a}^{1}} \mathrm{TAP}_{i}, \\ & \mathrm{ATF}_{2}+\mathrm{TAP}_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{a}^{2}} \mathrm{ATAP}_{i}, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ATF2 }+ \text { TA }_{i} \xrightarrow{k_{a}^{2}} \text { ATA }_{i}, \\ & \text { ATF2 }+ \text { TA }_{i} \xrightarrow{k_{a}^{2}} \text { ATA }_{i}, \\ & \text { ATA }_{i}+\text { PP2A }^{\mathrm{k}_{a}^{1}} \text { ATAP }_{i}, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (8) \\ (10) \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ATF2 }+ \text { TA }_{i}{ }_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}} \text { ATA }_{i}, \\ & \text { ATF2 }+ \text { TAP }_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}} \text { ATAP }_{i}, \\ & \text { ATAP }_{i}+\text { PPAA }^{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{1}} \text { ATAP }_{i}, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{align*} & (13) \\ & (15)  \tag{R5}\\ & (17) \\ & (19) \end{align*}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & (14) \\ & (16) \\ & (18) \\ & (20) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { TA }_{i}{ }_{i}^{\mathrm{k}_{a}^{3}} \mathrm{dTA}^{a} \\ & 2 \text { ATA }_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{a}^{3}} \mathrm{dATA}, \\ & 2 \text { TAP }_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{a}^{3}} d T A P \\ & 2 \text { ATAP }_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{3}} \mathrm{dATAP}_{i}, \end{aligned}$ |
| (21) <br> (23) <br> (25) <br> (27) <br> (29) |  | $\begin{aligned} & (22) \\ & (24) \\ & (26) \\ & (28) \\ & (30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dTA }_{i}+2 \text { PP2A } \xrightarrow{k_{a}^{5}} \text { dTAP }, \\ & \text { dTA }_{i}+2 \text { PP2A } \xrightarrow{k_{a}^{5}} d^{2} \text { dTAP }, \\ & \text { dTA }_{i}+2 \text { PP2A } \xrightarrow{k_{a}^{5}} \text { dTAP }, \\ & \text { dATA }_{i}+2 \text { PP2A } \xrightarrow{k_{a}^{5}} \text { dATAP } \\ & \text { dATA }_{i}+2 \text { PP2A } \xrightarrow{k_{a}^{5}} \text { dATAP } . \end{aligned}$ |

### 3.6 R6: Shuttling between Damaged and Undamaged Sites

Finally, we consider most of the proteins that commute between the damaged site and undamaged site. The shuttling of any such protein can be written as a first order reversible chemical reaction with import rate $k_{i m}$ and export rate $k_{\text {ex }}$, see reaction R6. By taking into account the role of MRN to promote the recruitment of ATM to the DNA damage site, we assume the import and export
rates of ATM satisfy $k_{i m}^{1}=k_{e x}^{1}+k_{r e} D M$, where $k_{r e}$ is the recruitment rate.
(1) $T A_{0} \underset{k_{i m}^{1}}{\stackrel{k_{e x}^{1}}{\rightleftharpoons}} T A_{l}$,
(2) $\mathrm{TA}^{A_{0}} \underset{\mathrm{k}^{1}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{1}} \mathrm{TA}_{1}{ }_{1}$,
(3) $\mathrm{TP}_{0} \underset{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{im}}^{1}}{\stackrel{k_{\mathrm{ex}}^{1}}{\rightleftharpoons}} \mathrm{TP}_{1}$,
(4) $T A^{a p}{ }_{0} \underset{k_{i m}^{1}}{k_{\text {ex }}^{1}} T A^{a p}{ }_{1}$,
(5) $\mathrm{ATA}_{0} \underset{k_{i m}^{1}}{\stackrel{k_{e x}^{1}}{k_{e x}^{1}}}$ ATA $_{1}$,
(6) $\mathrm{ATP}_{0} \xlongequal[k_{\text {im }}^{l}]{\stackrel{k_{\text {im }}^{1}}{k_{\mathrm{ex}}^{1}}}$ ATA $_{1}$,
(7) $T A P_{0} \underset{k_{\mathrm{im}}^{1}}{\stackrel{k_{\text {ex }}^{1}}{\rightleftharpoons}} \mathrm{AP}_{1}$,
(8) $\operatorname{TAPP}_{0} \xlongequal[k_{\text {im }}^{1}]{\stackrel{k_{\text {ex }}^{1}}{k_{2}^{1}}} \mathrm{TA}^{A} P_{1}$,
(9) $\mathrm{ATAP}_{0} \underset{k_{\mathrm{i} \text { m }}^{1}}{\stackrel{k_{e x}^{1}}{\gtrless}} \operatorname{ATAP}_{1}$,
(10) dTA $A_{0} \underset{k_{i m}^{1}}{k_{\text {ex }}^{1}} d T A_{1}$,
(11) dTA ${ }_{0}{ }_{0} \xlongequal[k_{\text {im }}^{k_{k x}^{1}}]{k_{\text {ex }}^{1}} d T A_{1}$,
(12) dTAP $P_{0} \xlongequal[k_{i m}^{1}]{\stackrel{k_{e x}^{1}}{k_{k}^{1}}} \mathrm{dTP}_{1}$,
(13) $\mathrm{dATA}_{0} \underset{k_{\mathrm{im}}^{1}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{1}} \mathrm{AATA}_{1}$,
(14) dATAP $P_{0} \xlongequal[k_{i m}^{1}]{k_{\text {ex }}^{1}}$ AATA $_{1}$,
(15) dTAP $0 \underset{\substack{k_{i m}^{1} \\ k_{2}^{2}}}{\substack{k_{i m}^{1} \\ k_{e x}^{1}}} \operatorname{dTAP}_{1}$,
(16) dTAPP ${ }_{0} \underset{k_{i m}^{1}}{\stackrel{k_{e x}^{1}}{k_{k}^{1}}} d T A^{2} P_{1}$,
(17) dATAP $0 \underset{k_{i m}^{1}}{\stackrel{k_{e x}^{1}}{1}} d A T A P_{1}$,
(18) $\mathrm{KAP1}_{0} \underset{k_{\mathrm{im}}^{2}}{\stackrel{\substack{\text { im }}}{\stackrel{k_{e x}^{2}}{\gtrless}} \mathrm{KAP1}_{1} \text {, }, \text {, }}$
(19) $\mathrm{KAP1}_{0}^{\mathrm{p}} \underset{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{im}}^{2}}{\stackrel{k_{\text {ex }}^{2}}{\rightleftharpoons}} \mathrm{KAP1}_{1}^{\mathrm{p}}$,
(20) $\mathrm{HP}_{0} \underset{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{im}}^{3}}{\stackrel{k_{\text {ex }}^{3}}{\rightleftharpoons}} H \mathrm{I}_{1}$,
(21) $\mathrm{HP1}^{\mathrm{p}}{ }_{0} \underset{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i} m}^{3}}{\stackrel{k_{\mathrm{ex}}^{3}}{\gtrless}} \mathrm{HP1}_{1}^{\mathrm{p}}$,
(22) ATF $20 \underset{k_{i m}^{4}}{\stackrel{k_{\text {ex }}^{4}}{\rightleftharpoons}}$ ATF $_{1}$,
(23) ATF2 ${ }_{0} \underset{k_{i m}^{4}}{\stackrel{k_{e x}^{4}}{4}}$ ATF2 $_{1}$,
(24) $\mathrm{PP}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{0} \underset{k_{\mathrm{im}}^{5}}{\substack{k_{\mathrm{e}}^{5}}} \mathrm{PP}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{k}_{1}$,

## 4 Mathematical Model

### 4.1 Stoichiometric Matrix $\mathbb{S}$

The stoichiometric matrix of this reaction system is given by

$$
\mathbb{S}=\left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} 
& \mathbb{R}_{0} & \mathbb{R}_{1} & \mathbb{R}_{0-1}  \tag{4}\\
\hline \mathbb{X}_{0} & A & 0 & -B \\
\hline \mathbb{X}_{1} & 0 & A & B
\end{array}\right],
$$

where

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c}
\hline & R_{1} & R_{2} & R_{3} & R_{4} & R_{5} \\
\hline X_{1} & A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} & A_{15} \\
\hline X_{2} & A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} & A_{25} \\
\hline X_{3} & A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} & A_{35} \\
\hline X_{4} & A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} & A_{45} \\
\hline X_{5} & A_{51} & A_{52} & A_{53} & A_{54} & A_{55} \\
\hline X_{6} & A_{61} & A_{62} & A_{63} & A_{64} & A_{65}
\end{array}\right], \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{c|c} 
& R_{6} \\
\hline X_{1} & B_{1} \\
\hline X_{2} & B_{2} \\
\hline X_{3} & B_{3} \\
\hline X_{4} & B_{4} \\
\hline X_{5} & B_{5} \\
\hline X_{6} & B_{6} \\
\hline
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Precisely

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{21}=0_{5 \times 2}, \quad A_{31}=0_{3 \times 2}, \quad A_{61}=0_{4 \times 2} \\
A_{11}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad A_{41}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad A_{51}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
2 & 0
\end{array}\right],
\end{gathered}
$$



In addtion,

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{c}
I_{24 \times 24} \\
0_{4 \times 24}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore
$A=\left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} & R_{1} & R_{2} & R_{3} & R_{4} & R_{5} \\ \hline X_{1} & A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} & A_{15} \\ \hline X_{2} & 0 & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} & A_{25} \\ \hline X_{3} & 0 & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} & A_{35} \\ \hline X_{4} & A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} & A_{45} \\ \hline X_{5} & A_{51} & A_{52} & A_{53} & 0 & A_{55} \\ \hline X_{6} & 0 & A_{62} & A_{63} & 0 & 0 \\ \hline\end{array}\right], \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{c|c} & R_{6} \\ \hline X_{1} & B_{1} \\ \hline X_{2} & B_{2} \\ \hline X_{3} & B_{3} \\ \hline X_{4} & B_{4} \\ \hline X_{5} & B_{5} \\ \hline X_{6} & B_{6} \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$

$A_{65}=0_{4 \times 30}$

### 4.2 Reaction Fluxes $\mathbb{R}$



### 4.3 Reaction Rate Equation

The reaction rate equation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbb{X}}=\mathbb{S} \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{X}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Along with equations (1-3), equation (5) forms a complete mathematical model for the ATM activation, that describes the dynamical change of the participating species.

## 5 Parameters

In the model, we assume acute dose that is given by

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}(t)= \begin{cases}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{D}}, & t \in\left[0, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}\right] \\ 0, & t>\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}\end{cases}
$$

where $r_{D}$ is the radiation dose rate and $t_{D}$ is the time duration in which the radiation dose is given. In addition, we assume that the relaxation rate changes induced by DSB and by $\mathrm{KAP1}^{\mathrm{p}}$ are given by

$$
f(x)=\frac{x}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{r}}^{1}+x}, \quad g(x)=\frac{x}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+x} .
$$

respectively. The condensation rate change related to KAP1 is given by

$$
h(x)=\frac{x}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{r}}^{3}+x} .
$$

The values of the parameters are hard to meansure experimentally. In the following, we assume that all the parameters are dimensionless. The numerical simulation is conducted with the following estimates of all the involving parameters.

| Parameter | Value | Parameter | Value | Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | 0.5 | $r_{\text {D }}$ | 1 | CK2 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{b}_{\text {DSB }}$ | 0.5 | $r_{\text {DSB }}$ | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{b}_{\text {ROS }}$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {ROS }}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {ROS }}$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{b}_{\text {DR }}$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{RD}}$ | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}}^{1}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}}^{3}$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {act }}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{re}}$ | 5 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}$ | 1 | $k_{\text {dp }}^{1}$ | 2 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}$ | 1 | $k_{\text {dp }}^{2}$ | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}^{3}$ | 1 | $k_{\text {dp }}^{3}$ | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 0.2 | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 0.2 | $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ | 0.3 | $\mathrm{K}_{5}$ | 0.5 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ap }}^{1}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ap }}^{2}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ap }}^{3}$ | 100 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ace }}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $k_{a}^{0}$ | 1 | $k_{a}^{1}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}$ | 1 |
| $k_{\text {a }}^{3}$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{4}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{5}$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{6}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{8}$ | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}^{1}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}$ | 0 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}^{4}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}^{5}$ | 0 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}^{7}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}^{8}$ | 0.0001 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ex }}^{0}$ | 5 | $k_{\text {ex }}^{1}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ex }}^{2}$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ex }}^{3}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ex }}^{4}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ex }}^{5}$ | 1 |
| $k_{\text {im }}^{0}$ | 5 | $k_{\text {im }}^{1}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {im }}^{2}$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{im}}^{3}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{im}}^{4}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {im }}^{5}$ | 1 |

Then $D_{R}$, or DSB and ROS, will be treated as external control variables for the system of ATM activation. MRN enhances the recruitment of Tip60/ATM to DNA damage site for Tip60 activation and subsequent ATM activation, and stimulates the the substrate recruitment.
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