



Physical Grinding of Prefabricated Co₃O₄ and MCM-22 Zeolite for Fischer–Tropsch Synthesis: Impact of Pretreatment Procedure on the Dispersion and Catalytic Performance

Hua-Ping Ren¹, Zhi-Xia Xie², Shao-Peng Tian¹, Si-Yi Ding¹, Qiang Ma¹, Yu-Zhen Zhao¹, Zhe Zhang¹, Jiao-Jiao Fu¹ and Qing-Qing Hao^{2,*}

- ¹ Technological Institute of Materials & Energy Science (TIMES), Xi'an Key Laboratory of Advanced Photo-Electronics Materials and Energy Conversion Device, School of Electronic Information, Xijing University, Xi'an 710123, China; renhuaping@xijing.edu.cn (H.-P.R.); tianshaopeng@xijing.edu.cn (S.-P.T.); dingsiyi@xijing.edu.cn (S.-Y.D.); maqiang@xijing.edu.cn (Q.M.); zhaoyuzhen@xijing.edu.cn (Y.-Z.Z.); zhangzhe@xijing.edu.cn (Z.Z.); fujiaojiao@xijing.edu.cn (J.-J.F.)
- ² School of Chemical Engineering, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, China; xiezx@nwu.edu.cn
- * Correspondence: haoqq@nwu.edu.cn

Abstract: To improve the mess-specific activity of Co supported on zeolite catalysts in Fischer-Tropsch (FT) synthesis, the Co-MCM-22 catalyst was prepared by simply grinding the MCM-22 with nanosized Co_3O_4 prefabricated by the thermal decomposition of the Co(II)-glycine complex. It is found that this novel strategy is effective for improving the mess-specific activity of Co catalysts in FT synthesis compared to the impregnation method. Moreover, the ion exchange and calcination sequence of MCM-22 has a significant influence on the dispersion, particle size distribution, and reduction degree of Co. The Co-MCM-22 prepared by the physical grinding of prefabricated Co_3O_4 and H⁺-type MCM-22 without a further calcination process exhibits a moderate interaction between Co_3O_4 and MCM-22, which results in the higher reduction degree, higher dispersion, and higher mess-specific activity of Co. Thus, the newly developed method is more controllable and promising for the synthesis of metal-supported catalysts.

Keywords: Fischer-Tropsch; MCM-22; cobalt; physical grinding; dispersion

1. Introduction

Fischer–Tropsch (FT) synthesis is an efficient process for converting syngas (CO + H_2) to super clean fuels and high-value-added fine chemicals [1–3]. However, the product distribution of FT synthesis is very broad because of the Anderson–Schulz–Flory (ASF) polymerization mechanism [4,5]. Thus, controlling the product distribution is crucial for the direct synthesis of target products (diesel, gasoline, et al.) with high selectivity through the FT process [6,7]. Cobalt has been widely used as an effective catalyst for FT synthesis due to its high activity, high resistance to deactivation, low water–gas shift activity, and lower price compared with noble metals [1,5]. Consequently, bifunctional catalysts, that is, combinations of cobalt with various solid acids for cracking and isomerizing the FT hydrocarbons, have been extensively studied to circumvent the ASF distribution and produce gasoline or diesel-range hydrocarbons with high selectivity [4,8,9].

Cobalt supported on zeolites has proven to be very effective, and the traditional FT product distribution can be significantly changed with much-increased selectivity to liquid fuels [10–12]. A cobalt nitrate precursor is often used owing to its high solubility, which allows for high metal loading in a single impregnation step. However, due to the infiltration of cobalt species into the micropores of zeolite and the strong interaction between Co and zeolite, a poor reduction degree of cobalt is frequently obtained, which results in lower mass-specific activity [13–17]. Moreover, inhomogeneous size distributions of



Citation: Ren, H.-P.; Xie, Z.-X.; Tian, S.-P.; Ding, S.-Y.; Ma, Q.; Zhao, Y.-Z.; Zhang, Z.; Fu, J.-J.; Hao, Q.-Q. Physical Grinding of Prefabricated Co_3O_4 and MCM-22 Zeolite for Fischer–Tropsch Synthesis: Impact of Pretreatment Procedure on the Dispersion and Catalytic Performance. *Molecules* **2024**, *29*, 1283. https:// doi.org/10.3390/molecules29061283

Academic Editor: Jerzy Datka

Received: 18 February 2024 Revised: 10 March 2024 Accepted: 12 March 2024 Published: 14 March 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).



cobalt are frequently obtained because the Co is simultaneously located on the internal surface and external surface of the microporous channel, which results in a higher deactivation rate [18–20]. Thus, developing a novel method for the preparation of an efficient Co/zeolite catalyst with highly dispersed and reducible Co is crucial for the development of a bifunctional FT catalyst with higher mass-specific activity of Co.

In essence, bifunctional Co-zeolite-based catalysts are combinations of FT-active metal Co with zeolite for cracking and isomerizing the FT hydrocarbons. In fact, except for the direct deposition of Co on or in zeolite, the physical mixing of FT catalysts (Co/SiO₂, Co/Al₂O₃) and zeolite has been extensively studied [21–23]. However, the distance between the two kinds of active sites, i.e., FT-active sites (Co/SiO₂, Co/Al₂O₃) and acid sites, in zeolite is relatively far, which results in a weaker synergistic effect. Therefore, the physical mixing of prefabricated nanosized Co₃O₄ and zeolite is a prospective strategy for obtaining efficient bifunctional FT catalysts with higher mass-specific activity.

As reported in the literature [24–33], the chelate-assisted method has been widely used to improve the dispersion of metal for supported catalysts. In our previous work, Co/SiO₂ catalysts with nanosized and homogenous size distributions of Co can be prepared by the thermal decomposition of Co(II)-glycine complexes prepared by the reaction of glycine with cobalt hydroxide [34]. Based on this work, nanosized Co₃O₄ should be prefabricated by the thermal decomposition of Co(II)-glycine complexes in the absence of support. Consequently, the interaction between Co and zeolite over the physical mixing of prefabricated nanosized Co₃O₄ and zeolite may be decreased in comparison with Co/zeolite prepared by the incipient impregnation method. MCM-22 zeolite with MWW topology is one of the most investigated 2D zeolites due to its modifiable arrangement of thin layers (ca. 2.5 nm thick) and unique pore systems, which consist of two different pores [35,36], i.e., intralayer 10member ring (MR) sinusoidal channels and interlayer 12MR supercages interconnected through 10MR windows. It has been reported that Co/MCM-22 is a promising catalyst for FT synthesis with narrowed product distributions [17,37].

In this work, using a newly developed method, the Co_3O_4 was prepared by the thermal decomposition of Co(II)-glycine complexes prepared by the reaction of glycine with cobalt hydroxide. Then, the FT bifunctional catalyst was obtained by the direct physical mixing of prefabricated nanosized Co_3O_4 and MCM-22 zeolite. Moreover, the impacts of the template removal order of MCM-22 on its structure, acidity, and particle size of Co_3O_4 were comparatively investigated. For comparison, the Co-supported catalyst was also prepared by co-impregnation with the impregnation solution containing cobalt nitrate. Significantly, the Co-MCM-22 catalyst prepared by the physical mixing of prefabricated nanosized Co_3O_4 and MCM-22 exhibited smaller Co particle size and narrower size distribution, which resulted in higher activity and stability in the FT reaction.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. The Effect of Ion Exchange and Calcination Sequence on the Structural and Acidic Properties of MCM-22

Generally, the as-synthesized zeolites have a structure-directing agent (SDA) in their framework. Thus, to convert the as-synthesized sample to H-type zeolite with acid sites, two-step calcination is needed. Typically, the first step of calcination is to remove the SDA and convert the as-synthesized sample to Na-type zeolite. Then, the Na-type zeolite is ion-exchanged with NH_4^+ to obtain the NH_4^+ -type zeolite. Finally, after the second step of calcination, the H-type zeolite can be obtained. In this work, we attempt to eliminate the first calcination to remove the SDA, that is, the as-synthesized MCM-22 (including SDA) directly ion-exchanged with NH_4^+ to obtain the NH_4^+ -type MCM-22 (including SDA). Then, removing SDA and converting NH_4^+ to H⁺ was achieved through only a one-step calcination procedure. As mentioned in the introduction, the infiltration of cobalt species into the micropores of zeolite will result in a strong interaction between Co and zeolite. Thus, it is expected that the interaction between Co species and NH_4^+ -type MCM-22 without removing the SDA could be decreased because of the existence of HMI in the

micropores of MCM-22. However, whether the ion exchange and calcination sequence have an effect on the physical and chemical properties of MCM-22 zeolites is unknown. Thus, the influence of the ion exchange and calcination sequence on the structure and acidic properties of MCM-22 was investigated first.

The XRD patterns of the MCM-22(P) and H-MCM-22 samples prepared by different ion exchange sequences are shown in Figure 1. The XRD pattern of MCM-22(P) shows clear (001) and (002) diffraction peaks at 2 theta of 3.2 and 6.5° corresponding to d-spacings of 2.70 and 1.35 nm, indicating the ordered layered structure of MCM-22(P) with the vertically aligned layers along the *c*-axis [16,34]. Before template removal, the diffraction peaks in the 2 theta range of 12–30° are broad and some of them overlap. Significantly, the diffraction peaks of two H-MCM-22 prepared by different ion exchange sequences are very similar, indicating that the ion exchange and calcination sequence method has less effect on the crystal structure of H-MCM-22.



Figure 1. XRD patterns of the MCM-22 (P) (a), H-MCM-22(1) (b), and H-MCM-22(2) (c).

Commonly, the acidic properties of zeolites can be characterized by using the NH₃-TPD technique to estimate the amount and distribution of weak and strong acid sites and using traditional pyridine-IR or novel Diffuse Reflectance Infrared Fourier Transform Spectroscopy (DRIFTS) without molar extinction coefficients [38] to estimate the Lewis and Brønsted sites. In this work, the acidic properties of H-MCM-22 prepared by different ion exchange sequences are estimated by the NH₃-TPD technique. As shown in Figure 2, two clear NH₃ desorption peaks can be seen for both H-MCM-22, which correspond to the weak and strong acidic sites. Significantly, the NH3-TPD profiles of the two samples are almost identical with each other. This observation indicates that the ion exchange sequence and the calcination method have less effect on the acidic properties of H-MCM-22. These results may be related to the unique SDA of hexamethyleneimine (HMI). The presence of HMI in the framework of MCM-22 does not have a steric hindrance effect on the ion exchange of NH_4^+ to Na^+ due to the smaller molecular size of HMI. Moreover, the thermal stability of MCM-22 was indirectly proved by the slight change in structure and acidity after calcining twice. Therefore, for the preparation of H⁺-type MCM-22 zeolite, the first calcination procedure for removing the SDA can be eliminated, which can lower the cost of the synthesis of H-MCM-22 zeolite.



Figure 2. NH₃-TPD profiles of H-MCM-22(1) (a) and H-MCM-22(2) (b).

2.2. The Crystal Structure of Co₃O₄ and Co-MCM-22 Catalyst

As described in Section 3, the Co(II)-glycine complex is prepared by the reaction of glycine and Co(OH)₂. As shown in Figure 3, the diffraction peaks assigned to Co(OH)₂ species cannot be seen over the Co(II)-glycine complex, indicating that the Co(OH)₂ is fully coordinated by the glycine and giving the homogenous Co complex with stable and definite structure, namely, Co(glycine)₂(H₂O)₂. After the calcination of the Co(II)-glycine complex and Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O at 400 °C, the diffraction peaks of cobalt species are assigned to Co₃O₄ without other crystalline phases. Significantly, the peak width at half the height of Co₃O₄ prepared by the thermal decomposition of the Co complex is bigger than that of Co₃O₄ prepared by the thermal decomposition of Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O. This result indicated that the particle size of Co₃O₄ derived from the Co(II)-glycine complex is smaller than that of Co₃O₄ derived from Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O. Therefore, the thermal decomposition of the Co(II)-glycine complex is an effective method for the preparation of nanosized and homogeneous Co₃O₄ crystals.



Figure 3. XRD patterns of the $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O(a)$, Co(II)-glycine complex (b), Co_3O_4 prepared using $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ as precursor (c), and Co_3O_4 prepared using Co(II)-glycine as precursor (d).

The Co-based catalysts were prepared by three different methods, i.e., Co/MCM-22 prepared by the incipient impregnation method, Co-MCM-22(1) prepared by the physical mixing of Co_3O_4 and H-MCM-22, and Co-MCM-22(2) prepared by the physical mixing of Co_3O_4 and NH_4^+ -MCM-22 (including HMI in the micropores) followed by calcination at

550 °C to remove HMI and obtain the H-MCM-22. As shown in Figure 4, the preparation methods have influenced the particle size of Co_3O_4 over MCM-22 zeolite. Significantly, the Co-MCM-22(1) shows the smallest particle size of Co_3O_4 , in which the Co_3O_4 particle size is similar to that of prefabricated Co_3O_4 , indicating that the grinding process has a limited effect on the particle size of Co₃O₄. It should be noted that the diffraction peak intensity of MCM-22 in the Co/MCM-22 prepared by the impregnation method is lower than that of Co_3O_4 -MCM-22. This observation can be reasonably attributed to the entrance of Co_3O_4 into the micropores of MCM-22, which results in crystal imperfection and a decrease in peak intensity. Because the Co_3O_4 is located on the internal and external micropores of MCM-22 for Co/MCM-22 simultaneously, the Co₃O₄ particle size estimated from the XRD is an average value, which is slightly larger than that of the Co-MCM-22(1). For the Co-MCM-22(2) prepared using a tentative strategy, the particle size of Co_3O_4 is larger than those of Co/MCM-22 and Co-MCM-22(1). Thus, the expected result was not achieved. The larger particle size of Co₃O₄ over Co-MCM-22(2) can be attributed to the calcination procedure for removing the SDA of MCM-22, which results in the sintering of Co_3O_4 at high temperatures of 550 $^{\circ}$ C [1,19]. This explanation can be certified by the increased Co₃O₄ particle size of Co-MCM-22(1)-500 prepared by treating the Co-MCM-22(1) at 550 °C for 2 h.



Figure 4. XRD patterns of the Co/MCM-22 (a), Co-MCM-22(1) (b), Co-MCM-22(2) (c), and Co-MCM-22(1)-500 (d).

2.3. Reduction Behavior and the Dispersion of Co Catalysts

Our H₂-TPR measurement was used to evaluate the reduction behavior of the catalysts (Figure 5). The Co supported on MCM-22 catalysts shows two discrete peaks in the range of 250–650 °C, which are assigned to the two-step reduction of Co_3O_4 to CoO and CoO to metallic Co, respectively. As shown in Figure 5, the temperature for the reduction of Co_3O_4 to CoO (the first reduction peak) over Co/MCM-22 is clearly lower than those of Co_3O_4 -MCM-22 prepared by the physical mixing method, indicating the existence of external Co_3O_4 with a bigger particle size over Co/MCM-22 [17]. Significantly, the reduction peak of CoO to metallic Co over Co-MCM-22(1) is in the broader range of 350–600 °C, which can be attributed to the smaller and moderate interaction between the Co species and MCM-22 zeolite. In contrast, the sharp reduction peak at about 400 °C over Co-MCM-22(2) and Co-MCM-22(1)-500 can be reasonably attributed to the bigger Co_3O_4 particle size induced by the higher temperature treating procedure.

It should be noted that there are clear reduction peaks in the range of 650-850 °C, which are attributed to the reduction in Co_2SiO_4 -like species [16,17]. However, it must be pointed out that the Co_2SiO_4 -like species can be formed in the temperature-programmed process above 400 °C for the H₂-TPR measurement, while the reduction process before the FT reaction used in this work is at 400 °C for 4 h. Therefore, to demonstrate the formation

reasons and estimate the reduction degree of different catalysts, the H₂-TPR measurements were tested after the in situ reduction at 400 °C for 4 h. As shown in Figure 6, the Co/MCM-22 exhibits a clear reduction peak in the range of 650–850 °C, while the reduction peak in this temperature range for those three Co_3O_4 -MCM-22 catalysts is not very obvious. These observations indicate that the irreducible Co_2SiO_4 -like species at 400 °C can be avoided to a certain extent by using the physical mixing method to prevent Co species from moving into the micropores of MCM-22 zeolite. Moreover, the reduction degrees of the four catalysts are summarized in Table 1. The reduction degree of Co_3O_4 -MCM-22 is clearly higher than that of Co/MCM-22.



Temperature (°C)

Figure 5. H₂-TPR profiles of the Co/MCM-22 (**a**), Co-MCM-22(1) (**b**), Co-MCM-22(2) (**c**), and Co-MCM-22(1)-500 (**d**).



Figure 6. H₂-TPR profiles of the Co/MCM-22 (**a**), Co-MCM-22(1) (**b**), Co-MCM-22(2) (**c**), and Co-MCM-22(1)-500 (**d**) after reduction at 400 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h.

Commonly, the surface Co^0 density (Co dispersion) of the catalyst is determined by the particle size and the reduction degree of Co. H₂-chemisorption is an effective technique to obtain the actual surface Co^0 density and the dispersion (normalized by the total moles of Co over the catalyst). As shown in Table 1, the Co/MCM-22 exhibits the lowest Co dispersion (about 3.0%) in the four catalysts in this work, which can be attributed to the lower reduction degree and large particle size of Co. Moreover, the Co-MCM-22(1) shows

the highest Co dispersion in the three catalysts prepared by the physical mixing method. This result can be attributed to the moderate interaction between Co and MCM-22, which results in a higher reduction degree and smaller Co particle size.

Catalysts -	Co Size (nm)		Reduction Degree ^b	Co Dispersion ^c
	d(Co ₃ O ₄) ^a	d(Co) _H ^d	(RD, %)	(D, %)
Co ₃ O ₄ (G)	12.1			
$Co_3O_4(N)$	34.5			
Co/MCM-22	20.0	21.4	67.0	3.0
Co-MCM-22(1)	13.4	14.9	82.1	5.3
Co-MCM-22(2)	18.5	20.4	87.3	4.1
Co-MCM-22(1)- 500	19.5	19.7	86.2	4.2

Table 1. Crystal sizes and reduction degree of cobalt over different catalysts.

^a Estimation derived from Scherrer's equation using (311) diffraction lines (2 θ at about 37.0°). ^b The reduction degree (RD, %) was estimated based on the consumption of oxygen. ^c Co dispersion (D, %) was calculated using H₂ uptakes in H₂-chemisorption. ^d The particle sizes of Co⁰ were calculated using d(Co)_H = 96 × RD/D formula.

2.4. FT Performance

The catalyst was evaluated for FT synthesis under the conditions of 250 $^{\circ}$ C, 1 MPa, $H_2/CO = 2$, and W/F = 5.0 g h mol⁻¹. As shown in Figure 7, the CO conversions at a steady state (at TOS = 10 h) over the catalysts are increased in the order of Co-MCM-22(1) > Co-MCM-22(1)-500 = Co-MCM-22(2) > Co/MCM-22, which is consistent with the changing trend of Co⁰ dispersion in Table 1. Moreover, the catalytic stability of Co-MCM-22(1) within the reaction time is obviously higher than that of others. In contrast, the deactivation rate of Co/MCM-22 is clearly higher than those of Co-MCM-22 catalysts prepared by the physical mixing method. Based on the theoretical calculation, the Ostwald ripening rate could be suppressed further by preparing the homogeneously distributed metal particles with identical sizes. [19] Therefore, the higher stability of Co-MCM-22(1) can be reasonably explained by the homogeneous size distribution of Co particles and moderate interaction between Co and MCM-22 zeolites. In addition, the Co/MCM-22 is prepared by the incipient impregnation method, which makes a major part of the Co species infiltrate into the micropores of zeolite. The coke deposition will be formed over acid sites in the micropores due to the second cracking reaction of FT products, which will result in the coverage of Co^0 active sites and the deactivation of the Co/MCM-22 [11,12,39].



Figure 7. Time-on-stream CO conversion over Co/MCM-22 (**a**), Co-MCM-22(1) (**b**), Co-MCM-22(2) (**c**), and Co-MCM-22(1)-500 (**d**).

Figure 8 shows the product distribution of the FT synthesis at TOS of 5 h and 10 h over these catalysts. The product distribution at TOS of 5 h and 10 h are very similar due to the slight change in CO conversion in this period. The selectivity of CH₄ and C₂-C₄ over the four catalysts is higher than in the previous report [16] due to the higher reaction temperature in this work. However, the product distribution over the four catalysts in this work is very similar. It must be pointed out that the particle size of Co⁰ in the range of 10–30 nm exhibits a slight influence on the product distribution [13,14,40]. As shown in Table 1, the particle size of Co⁰ in this work is in the range of 14–21 nm, which results in the selectivity of the FT primary product being very similar. The selectivity of C₂₁+ is obviously restrained due to the second cracking reaction of the FT product over the acidic sites of MCM-22, while the selectivity of C₅–C₂₀ is significantly increased compared with that of Co/SiO₂ catalysts without acidic sites. It should be noted that the selectivity of C₂₁+ over Co-MCM-22(1) is slightly higher than that of the other three catalysts. This result can be reasonably attributed to the higher CO conversion and the not-covered acidic site in the micropores of MCM-22.





3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

Hexamethyleneimine (HMI, 99%) and colloidal silica (Ludox, AS-40, 40%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Shanghai, China. Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (98.5%), glycine (99%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 96%), sodium, aluminate (NaAlO₂, 41% Al₂O₃), and ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃, 99%) were provided by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China. All reagents were used directly without further treatment.

3.2. Preparation of Nanosized Co₃O₄

The nanosized Co₃O₄ was prepared by the thermal decomposition of Co(II)-glycine complexes. Typically, the Co(II)-glycine precursor was prepared by the reaction of glycine with Co(OH)₂ (mole ratio of glycine/Co(OH)₂ = 3). The Co(OH)₂ was prepared by the reaction of Co(NO₃)₂ with NaOH using the molar ratio of OH⁻/Co²⁺ = 2. After the formation of Co(OH)₂ precipitate, the Co(OH)₂ was recovered by filtration and washing with deionized water thoroughly, and then the wet Co(OH)₂ powder was slowly added to the 0.2 mol/L glycine aqueous solution (in which the molar ratio of glycine to Co(OH)₂ is 3) at 80 °C. After the addition of Co(OH)₂ powder, the solution was still stirred for 2 h at 80 °C, and then most of the water was removed through rotary evaporation. Finally, the Co(II)-glycine complex was obtained after drying the samples overnight at 90 °C.

3.3. Preparation of MCM-22 Zeolite

MCM-22(P) was synthesized based on the reported method in the literature [35]. Typically, 0.15 g of NaAlO₂ and 0.18 g of NaOH were dissolved in 25.2 mL of deionized water, and then 4.7 g of colloidal silica was added. The mixture was stirred for 0.5 h, and then 1.1 g of HMI was added. The final molar composition of the mixture was $0.07 \text{ Na}_2\text{O}/$ $1 \operatorname{SiO}_2/0.02 \operatorname{Al}_2\operatorname{O}_3/0.35 \operatorname{HMI}/45 \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O}$. The reaction mixture was transferred into 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclaves. The autoclaves were tumbled at 60 rpm in an oven at 150 $^{\circ}$ C. After 7 days, the autoclaves were fast-cooled in water, and the products were centrifuged and washed with deionized water until the pH = 8. MCM-22(P) was obtained after drying the samples overnight at 90 °C. A part of the MCM-22(P) was calcined at 550 °C for 6 h to obtain the Na-type MCM-22 zeolite. Consequently, the Na-type MCM-22 was ion-exchanged 3 times in a 1 mol/L NH₄NO₃ solution at 80 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h. Finally, the NH₄⁺type MCM-22 was calcined at 500 °C for 2 h to obtain the H-type MCM-22 (H-MCM-22(1)). Another part of MCM-22(P) was directly ion-exchanged 3 times in a $1 \text{ mol/L NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ solution at 80 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h to obtain the NH₄⁺-type MCM-22 without removing the structure direct agent (HMI). Finally, the NH₄⁺-type MCM-22 was calcined at 500 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h to obtain the H-type MCM-22 (H-MCM-22(2)).

3.4. Preparation of Co-Based Catalyst

The Co-MCM-22 catalyst was prepared by the direct physical mixing of prefabricated nanosized Co_3O_4 and MCM-22. Typically, the nanosized Co_3O_4 and H-MCM-22 were mixed in an agate mortar for 10 min, which is denoted as Co-MCM-22(1). The nanosized Co_3O_4 and NH₄⁺-type MCM-22 were mixed in an agate mortar for 10 min, and then the catalyst was calcined at 500 °C for 4 h to remove the structure direct agent (HMI) and convert the NH₄⁺ to H⁺ of MCM-22, which is denoted as Co-MCM-22(2). The metallic Co loading for all the catalysts was 10 wt.%.

For comparison, the Co/MCM-22 was prepared by the incipient impregnation method. The cobalt nitrate (Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 99.0%) was used as the cobalt precursor. The catalysts were dried at 120 °C for 12 h, and then calcined at 200 °C for 2 h in air by programmed heating with a rate of 2 °C min⁻¹.

3.5. Characterizations

The NH₃-TPD measurements were performed with a BELCAT II (Microtrac BEL, Osaka, Japan) instrument. Typically, 0.05 g of the sample was preheated with flowing He at 550 °C for 1 h and then cooled to 120 °C. Subsequently, the sample was exposed to an NH₃-He mixture (5 vol% NH₃) for 0.5 h. After this, the system was purged for 1 h under a flow of He at the same temperature. After this, NH₃-TPD was performed

by raising the temperature to 600 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min under a He flow of 30 cm³/min. XRD measurement was performed on an X-ray diffractometer (D8 Advance) with Cu K α radiation operated at 40 kV and 40 mA. The speed of scanning is 4°/min with a step size of 0.02° . The average crystallite size of Co_3O_4 over the catalysts was estimated using (311) diffraction lines (2θ at about 37.0°) according to Scherrer's equation. The H₂-TPR was carried out on a BELCAT II (MicrotracBEL) instrument. Notably, 0.05 g of the catalysts was first purged in a flow of argon at 200 °C for 30 min. After the temperature decreased to 35 °C, the catalysts were heated to 900 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min under 10 vol.% hydrogen–argon mixtures with a flow rate of 30 cm³/min. The reduction degree of cobalt was determined by the O_2 pulse titration method. Firstly, about 0.1 g of catalyst was reduced in situ for 6 h at 500 °C using pure hydrogen. Afterwards, the temperature of the sample was decreased to 400 $^\circ$ C, and it was flushed with pure Ar for 1 h. At the same temperature, 3 vol. % O₂ was injected with a pulse mode to oxidize the reduced catalyst. The reduction degree (RD, %) of the catalyst was estimated based on the consumption of oxygen assuming that metallic Co was converted to Co₃O₄. H₂chemisorption measurements were performed on a Micromeretics ASAP 2020C instrument to evaluate the Co dispersion. Before measurement, the sample was reduced on the analysis station in situ in flowing H₂ at 500 $^{\circ}$ C for 6 h. Afterwards, the temperature was decreased to 100 $^\circ$ C and the H₂-chemisorption was measured at this temperature. The H₂ uptakes and Co dispersion (D, %) were determined using the method reported in the literature. Assuming the hemispherical geometry of the metallic Co, with a surface atomic density of 14.6 atoms/nm², the particle sizes of Co⁰ were calculated using the $d(Co)_{H} = 96 \times RD/D$ formula.

3.6. Catalytic Reactions

The catalytic performance of the catalysts in FT synthesis was tested in a fixed-bed reactor. Typically, 0.5 g of catalyst (40–60 mesh diluted with quartz sands) was firstly reduced in situ in a flow of pure H₂ (50 cm³/min) at 400 °C for 6 h. And then, the temperature was decreased to 190 °C and the syngas (H₂/CO = 2, 4% Ar as an internal standard) was fed into the reactor. The reaction conditions are at 250 °C, 1.0 MPa, and W/F = 5.0 g·h·mol⁻¹. To prevent condensation of the products, the pipeline from the outlet of the reactor to the inlet of the gas chromatography (GC) was heated at 180 °C. The hydrocarbons in the effluent were online and were analyzed by a GC with an HP-PONA capillary column (0.20 mm × 50 m, 0.5 µm) and a flame ionization detector (FID) (SP-3420A, Beifen-Ruili Analytical Instrument (Group) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). The CO, CH₄, Ar, and CO₂ in the effluent were online and were analyzed by a GC with a packed activated carbon column and a TCD detector (SP-3420A). The selectivity for hydrocarbons was calculated based on carbon number.

4. Conclusions

In summary, nanosized Co_3O_4 was prefabricated by the thermal decomposition of the Co(II)-glycine complex prepared by the reaction of glycine and Co(OH)₂. MCM-22supported Co catalysts were prepared by the physical mixing of prefabricated Co_3O_4 with H-MCM-22 and NH₄⁺-MCM-22. This is an effective strategy for improving the dispersion of Co and the mess-specific activity of Co catalysts in FT synthesis compared to the impregnation method. It is found that the dispersion, particle size distribution, and reduction degree of Co were significantly influenced by the ion exchange sequence and calcination conditions, although the ion exchange sequence and calcination conditions have a limited impact on the structure and acidic properties of MCM-22 zeolite. In comparison to the Co/MCM-22 prepared by the traditional impregnation method, the Co-MCM-22(1) prepared by the newly developed method, i.e., simply mixing the nanosized Co₃O₄ and H-MCM-22, exhibited higher mess-specific activity of Co. Thus, the newly developed method is more controllable and promising for the synthesis of Co-based catalysts for FT synthesis. **Author Contributions:** H.-P.R. conceived and designed the experiment; Z.-X.X., Z.Z. and J.-J.F. performed the experiments; S.-P.T., Q.M. and S.-Y.D. analyzed the data; Y.-Z.Z. contributed reagents/materials/analysis; H.-P.R. and Q.-Q.H. wrote the paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21908182), the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Science and Technology Department (2024JC-YBMS-089 and 2020JM-643), the Scientific Research Foundation for the Youth Innovation Team of the Education Department of Shaanxi Provincial Government (21JP139 and 21JP136), and the Youth Innovation Team of Shaanxi Universities.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are included in the article.

Acknowledgments: All individuals included in this section have consented to the acknowledgment.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Wolf, M.; Fischer, N.; Claeys, M. Formation of metal-support compounds in cobalt-based Fischer-Tropsch synthesis: A review. *Chem Catal.* **2021**, *1*, 1014–1041. [CrossRef]
- 2. Rommens, K.T.; Saeys, M. Molecular views on Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. Chem. Rev. 2023, 123, 5798–5858. [CrossRef]
- Platero, F.; Caballero, A.; Colón, G. Catalytic performance of cobalt supported onto APTES functionalized TiO₂ for Fischer-Tropsch reaction. *Fuel* 2023, 340, 127528. [CrossRef]
- 4. Lin, T.; An, Y.; Yu, F.; Gong, K.; Yu, H.; Wang, C.; Sun, Y.; Zhong, L. Advances in Selectivity Control for Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis to Fuels and Chemicals with High Carbon Efficiency. *ACS Catal.* **2022**, *12*, 12092–12112. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Q.P.; Tian, Y.; Lyu, S.S.; Zhao, N.; Ma, K.; Ding, T.; Jiang, Z.; Wang, L.H.; Zhang, J.; Zheng, L.R.; et al. Confined small-sized cobalt catalysts stimulate carbon-chain growth reversely by modifying ASF law of Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, *9*, 3250–3258. [CrossRef]
- 6. Kang, J.; Zhang, S.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, Y. Ruthenium nanoparticles supported on carbon nanotubes as efficient catalysts for selective conversion of synthesis gas to diesel fuel. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 2565–2568. [CrossRef]
- Li, X.; He, J.; Meng, M.; Yoneyama, Y.; Tsubaki, N. One-step synthesis of H-β zeolite-enwrapped Co/Al₂O₃ Fischer-Tropsch catalyst with high spatial selectivity. J. Catal. 2009, 265, 26–34. [CrossRef]
- 8. Bao, J.; He, J.; Zhang, Y.; Yoneyama, Y.; Tsubaki, N. A core/shell catalyst produces a spatially confined effect and shape selectivity in a consecutive reaction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 353–356. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Yao, M.; Yao, N.; Shao, Y.; Han, Q.; Ma, C.; Yuan, C.; Li, C.; Li, X. New insight into the activity of ZSM-5 supported Co and Co/Ru bifunctional Fischer-Tropsch synthesis catalyst. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2014**, *239*, 408–415. [CrossRef]
- Pereira, A.L.C.; González-Carballo, J.M.; Pérez-Alonso, F.J.; Rojas, S.; Fierro, J.L.G.; do Carmo Rangel, M. Effect of the mesostructuration of the beta zeolite support on the properties of cobalt catalysts for Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. *Top Catal.* 2011, 54, 179–189. [CrossRef]
- 11. Sartipi, S.; Alberts, M.; Meijerink, M.J.; Keller, T.C.; Pérez-Ramírez, J.; Gascon, J.; Kapteijn, F. Towards liquid fuels from biosyngas: Effect of zeolite structure in hierarchical-zeolite-supported cobalt catalysts. *ChemSusChem* **2013**, *6*, 1646–1650. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sartipi, S.; Parashar, K.; Valero-Romero, M.J.; Santos, V.P.; van der Linden, B.; Makkee, M.; Kapteijn, F.; Gascon, J. Hierarchical H-ZSM-5-supported cobalt for the direct synthesis of gasoline-range hydrocarbons from syngas: Advantages, limitations, and mechanistic insight. *J. Catal.* 2013, 305, 179–190. [CrossRef]
- 13. Martínez, A.; Prieto, G. Breaking the dispersion-reducibility dependence in oxide-supported cobalt nanoparticles. *J. Catal.* 2007, 245, 470–476. [CrossRef]
- 14. Prieto, G.; Martínez, A.; Concepción, P.; Moreno-Tost, R. Cobalt particle size effects in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis: Structural and in situ spectroscopic characterisation on reverse micelle-synthesised Co/ITQ-2 model catalysts. *J. Catal.* **2009**, *266*, 129–144. [CrossRef]
- 15. Kim, J.; Kim, S.; Ban, M.; Lee, D.; Kim, S.; Lee, J.; Ha, K.-S. Unravelling the effects of short pore on Fischer-Tropsch synthesis and its role as selectivity controller. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2023**, *475*, 146039. [CrossRef]
- 16. Hao, Q.-Q.; Liu, Z.-W.; Zhang, B.; Wang, G.-W.; Ma, C.; Frandsen, W.; Li, J.; Liu, Z.-T.; Hao, Z.; Su, D. Porous montmorillonite heterostructures directed by a single alkyl ammonium template for controlling the product distribution of Fischer-Tropsch synthesis over cobalt. *Chem. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 972–974. [CrossRef]
- 17. Hao, Q.-Q.; Lei, C.-Y.; Song, Y.-H.; Liu, Z.-T.; Liu, Z.-W. The delaminating and pillaring of MCM-22 for Fischer-Tropsch synthesis over cobalt. *Catal. Today* **2016**, *274*, 109–115. [CrossRef]
- Valero-Romero, M.J.; García-Mateos, F.J.; Kapteijn, F.; Rodríguez-Mirasol, J.; Cordero, T. Fischer-Tropsch synthesis over ligninderived cobalt-containing porous carbon fiber catalysts. *Appl. Catal. B-Environ. Energy* 2023, 321, 122078. [CrossRef]

- 19. Ouyang, R.H.; Liu, J.X.; Li, W.X. Atomistic theory of ostwald ripening and disintegration of supported metal particles under reaction conditions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, 135, 1760–1771. [CrossRef]
- Kistamurthy, D.; Saib, A.M.; Moodley, D.J.; Niemantsverdriet, J.W.; Weststrate, C.J. Ostwald ripening on a planar Co/SiO₂ catalyst exposed to model Fischer-Tropsch synthesis conditions. J. Catal. 2015, 328, 123–129. [CrossRef]
- 21. Liu, Z.-W.; Li, X.; Asami, K.; Fujimoto, K. High performance Pd/beta catalyst for the production of gasoline-range iso-paraffins via a modified Fischer-Tropsch reaction. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2006**, *300*, 162–169. [CrossRef]
- 22. Li, X.; Luo, M.; Asami, K. Direct synthesis of middle iso-paraffins from synthesis gas on hybrid catalysts. *Catal. Today* **2004**, *89*, 439–446. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Z.-W.; Li, X.; Asami, K.; Fujimoto, K. Iso-paraffins Synthesis from Modified Fischer-Tropsch Reactions Insights into Pd/beta and Pt/ beta Catalysts. *Catal. Today* 2005, 104, 41–47. [CrossRef]
- Sun, Z.; Sun, B.; Qiao, M.; Wei, J.; Yue, Q.; Wang, C.; Deng, Y.; Kaliaguine, S.; Zhao, D. A general chelate-assisted co-assembly to metallic nanoparticles-incorporated ordered mesoporous carbon catalysts for Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, 134, 17653–17660. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Koizumi, N.; Ibi, Y.; Hongo, D.; Hamabe, Y.; Suzuki, S.; Hayasaka, Y.; Shindo, T.; Yamada, M. Mechanistic aspects of the role of chelating agents in enhancing Fischer-Tropsch synthesis activity of Co/SiO₂ catalyst: Importance of specific interaction of Co with chelate complex during calcination. *J. Catal.* 2012, 289, 151–163. [CrossRef]
- Shi, L.; Zeng, C.Y.; Lin, Q.H.; Lu, P.; Niu, W.Q.; Tsubaki, N. Citric acid assisted one-step synthesis of highly dispersed metallic Co/SiO₂ without further reduction: As-prepared Co/SiO₂ catalysts for Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. *Catal. Today* 2014, 228, 206–211. [CrossRef]
- Mochizuki, T.; Hara, T.; Koizumi, N.; Yamada, M. Surface structure and Fischer-Tropsch synthesis activity of highly active Co/SiO₂ catalysts prepared from the impregnating solution modified with some chelating agents. *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* 2007, 317, 97–104. [CrossRef]
- 28. Mochizuki, T.; Hara, T.; Koizumi, N.; Yamada, M. Novel preparation method of highly active Co/SiO₂ catalyst for Fischer-Tropsch synthesis with chelating agents. *Catal. Lett.* **2007**, *113*, 165–169. [CrossRef]
- 29. Jos van Dillen, A.; Terörde, R.J.A.M.; Lensveld, D.J.; Geus, J.W.; de Jong, K.P. Synthesis of supported catalysts by impregnation and drying using aqueous chelated metal complexes. *J. Catal.* **2003**, *216*, 257–264. [CrossRef]
- 30. Villagran-Olivares, A.C.; Barroso, M.N.; López, C.A.; Llorca, J.; Abello, M.C. Chelating agent effects in the synthesis of supported Ni nanoparticles as catalysts for hydrogen production. *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* **2021**, *622*, 118219. [CrossRef]
- Bambal, A.S.; Guggilla, V.S.; Kugler, E.L.; Gardner, T.H.; Dadyburjor, D.B. Poisoning of a silica-supported cobalt catalyst due to presence of sulfur impurities in syngas during Fischer-Tropsch synthesis: Effects of chelating agent. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2014, 53, 5846–5857. [CrossRef]
- Shi, L.; Tao, K.; Kawabata, T.; Shimamura, T.; Zhang, X.J.; Tsubaki, N. Surface impregnation combustion method to prepare nanostructured metallic catalysts without further reduction: As-burnt Co/SiO₂ catalysts for Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. *ACS Catal.* 2011, 1, 1225–1233. [CrossRef]
- 33. Gorboletova, G.G.; Metlin, A.A. Standard Thermodynamic functions of Co²⁺ complexation with glycine and L-histidine in aqueous solution. *J. Phys. Chem.* **2016**, *90*, 334–338. [CrossRef]
- 34. Hao, Q.-Q.; Hu, M.; Xie, Z.-X.; Ma, X.; Wang, W.; Ren, H.-P. Impact of coordination features of Co(II)-glycine complex on the surface sites of Co/SiO₂ for Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. *Catalysts* **2020**, *10*, 1295. [CrossRef]
- Chen, J.-Q.; Li, Y.-Z.; Hao, Q.-Q.; Chen, H.; Liu, Z.-T.; Dai, C.; Zhang, J.; Ma, X.; Liu, Z.-W. Controlled direct synthesis of single- to multiple-layer MWW zeolite. *Natl. Sci. Rev.* 2021, *8*, nwaa236. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yuan, M.-T.; Zhao, D.-Y.; Hao, Q.-Q.; Luo, Q.-X.; Zhang, J.; Chen, H.; Sun, M.; Xu, L.; Ma, X. Gemini surfactant-directed facile pillaring of two-dimensional zeolites with enhanced catalytic activity in Friedel-Crafts alkylation. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2020, 59, 16312–16320. [CrossRef]
- Ravishankar, R.; Li, M.M.; Borgna, A. Novel utilization of MCM-22 molecular sieves as supports of cobalt catalysts in the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. *Catal. Today* 2005, 106, 149–153. [CrossRef]
- Bräuer, P.; Situmorang, O.; Ng, P.L.; D'Agostino, C. Effect of Al content on the strength of terminal silanol species in ZSM-5 zeolite catalysts: A quantitative DRIFTS study without the use of molar extinction coefficients. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2018, 20, 4250–4262. [CrossRef]
- Al-Khattaf, S.; D'Agostino, C.; Akhtar, M.N.; Al-Yassir, N.; Tan, N.Y.; Gladden, L.F. The effect of coke deposition on the activity and selectivity of the HZSM-5 zeolite during ethylbenzene alkylation reaction in the presence of ethanol. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2014, 4, 1017–1027. [CrossRef]
- 40. Luo, Q.-X.; Guo, L.-P.; Yao, S.-Y.; Bao, J.; Liu, Z.-T.; Liu, Z.-W. Cobalt nanoparticles confined in carbon matrix for probing the size dependence in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. *J. Catal.* **2019**, *369*, 143–156. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.