



# Article Palladium-Catalyzed Stereoselective Construction of 1,3-Stereocenters Displaying Axial and Central Chirality via Asymmetric Alkylations

Aiqi Xue, Xingfu Wei, Yue Huang, Jingping Qu and Baomin Wang \*

State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Chemical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, 2 Linggong Road, Dalian 116024, China \* Correspondence: bmwang@dlut.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-411-84986186

Abstract: The concurrent construction of 1,3-stereocenters remains a challenge. Herein, we report the development of stereoselective union of a point chiral center with allenyl axial chirality in 1,3-position by Pd-catalyzed asymmetric allenylic alkylation between racemic allenyl carbonates and indanone-derived  $\beta$ -ketoesters. Various target products bearing a broad range of functional groups were afforded in high yield (up to 99%) with excellent enantioselectivities (up to 98% ee) and good diastereoselectivities (up to 13:1 dr).

Keywords: Pd-catalysis; 1,3-stereocenters; axial and central chirality; asymmetric alkylation

# 1. Introduction

Over the past decades, extensive demands for chiral non-racemic compounds from various fields have significantly motivated the development of asymmetric catalysis [1–5]. Hence numerous catalytic asymmetric methodologies have been developed for enantioselective construction of chiral structures [6–9]. Whereas many methods are available for the synthesis of chiral molecules containing one single stereocenter or even two adjacent stereocenters (Scheme 1a), much less efforts have been paid to the concurrent creation of nonadjacent stereocenters in an enantio- and diastereoselective manner, due in part to the difficulties in high levels of simultaneous stereocontrol posed by increased distance between the two chiral centers [10–14]. Of note, the limited reports on enantio- and diastereoselective construction of 1,3-stereocenters focused mostly on molecules bearing two point chiral centers, while those containing different types of chirality, for example, central and axial chiral motifs, have been rarely explored.

As a prominent example of axial chiral molecules, chiral allenes constitute an important structural motif widely present in a variety of organic molecules including natural products, pharmaceutical agents, chiral catalysts, and ligands for coordination chemistry etc., as represented by the selected compounds in Scheme 1b [15–19]. Interestingly, Enprostil [20], a prostaglandin analogue used for the treatment of acute duodenal ulcer disease, shows a distinct 1,3-stereocenters consisting of a point chiral center and allenyl axial chirality.

Functionalized alkynes are most commonly employed for the synthesis of chiral allenes by classic synthetic methods such as addition, elimination, substitution, and rearrangement (Scheme 1c) [5,21–25]. Moreover, transition metal-catalyzed 1,4-addition of enynes serves as a powerful strategy for the concise and efficient synthesis of multi-substituted chiral allenes [26–30]. It is worth noting that although great progress has been achieved for the enantioselective construction of allenyl axial chirality [31–38], only two reports documented the asymmetric concurrent creation of 1,3-stereocenters bearing allenyl axial chirality and central chirality, contributed by the Trost group [11] and the Ma/Zhang group [39], respectively. Both studies exploited the strategy of Pd-catalyzed asymmetric allenylic alkylation employing racemic allenyl acetate electrophile through dynamic kinetic



Citation: Xue, A.; Wei, X.; Huang, Y.; Qu, J.; Wang, B. Palladium-Catalyzed Stereoselective Construction of 1,3-Stereocenters Displaying Axial and Central Chirality via Asymmetric Alkylations. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 2927. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules28072927

Academic Editor: Maurizio Benaglia

Received: 28 February 2023 Revised: 22 March 2023 Accepted: 22 March 2023 Published: 24 March 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). transformation. In connection with our interest in the asymmetric functionalization of indanone derivatives [40,41], herein we report Pd-catalyzed asymmetric allenylic alkylation between racemic allenylic carbonates and indanone-derived  $\beta$ -ketoesters to construct 1,3-stereocenters bearing allenyl axial and central chirality (Scheme 1d), providing alkylation products in good yields (up to 99%) with excellent enantioselectivities (up to 98% ee) and good diastereoselectivities (up to 13:1 dr).

a) Construction of stereogenic center in molecule.



d) This work: Transition metal-catalyzed alkylation of β-ketoesters with allenylic carbonates to construct 1,3-stereocenters bearing axial and central chirality.



**Scheme 1.** Representative allenes with different functions, different methods for the synthesis of allenes and construction of 1,3-stereocenters bearing allenyl axial and central chirality.

# 2. Results and Discussion

#### 2.1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions

In our preliminary investigation, allenylic carbonate 2a was selected as the model substrate for the asymmetric alkylation with  $\beta$ -ketoester **1a** in the presence of Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> using a palladium catalyst (Table 1, entries 1–26). Initially, several classic biphosphine ligands were screened to explore the effect of the chiral ligands on the Pd-catalyzed allenylic alkylation reaction. With L1 or L3 as the ligand, product 3a could be afforded in high yields (84% and 89%) with good enantioselectivities (-71% ee and -67% ee) (entries 1 and 3). In the reaction with Trost ligand L2, no product was observed (entry 2), while L4 afforded product **3a** in 55% yield with -73% ee and 15:1 dr (entry 4). Further screening led to the observation that ligands L5-L7 were sluggish for this allenylation, whereas ligand L6 afforded the product 3a with high diastereoselectivity (11:1) but low yield and enantioselectivity (20%, -7% ee) (entry 6). Then, the ligand (*R*)- and (*S*)-SegPhos were applied in this reaction. Interestingly, using ligand (S)-SegPhos (L9) the product 3a was obtained in 90% yield with 4:1 dr and 91% ee (entry 9). Subsequently, increasing the amount of 2a further increased the yield of 3a to 97%, and the enantioselectivity of 3a to 93%, respectively (entry 10). With L9 as the ligand, subsequent investigation on the solvent effect showed that THF was the best one, affording 97% yield **3a** with 6:1 dr and 92% ee (entry 15). Next, we investigated the effect of base, and observed that the product 3a was formed in 98% yield, with 7:1 dr and 93% ee (entry 20), when the base of the reaction was NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. Further optimization was performed and found that the reaction at 0.05 M could give product **3a** in 98% yield with 8:1 dr and 96% ee at -10 °C.

### 2.2. Substrate Scope for the Asymmetric Alkylations of $\beta$ -Ketoester **1**

Having established the optimized reaction conditions (Table 1, entry 26), the scope of  $\beta$ -ketoester **1** was then examined with allenylic carbonate **2a** (Scheme 2). We evaluated the electronic nature and position of the substituents on the 1H-indanone backbone of the substrates. The products **3b**, **3c**, and **3g** bearing electron-donating substituents were obtained in high yields (93–96% yield) with excellent enantioselectivities (95–96% ee) and good diastereoselectivities (6:1–9:1 dr). Furthermore, the disubstituted substrate **1d** was also successfully transformed into **3d** in 99% yield, with 97% ee and 9:1 dr. Meanwhile, substrates (**1e**, **1f**, and **1h–1l**) bearing halogen groups also engaged in the asymmetric alkylation reaction well and afforded products (**3e**, **3f**, and **3h–3l**) in 96–99% yields, with high enantioselectivities (95–97% ee) and diastereoselectivities (7:1–12:1 dr). Notably, the six-membered cyclic  $\beta$ -ketoester (**1m**) underwent the alkylation reaction smoothly to afford product **3m** in high yield and excellent enantioselectivity (99%, 97% ee), though with a moderate dr (2:1).

#### 2.3. Substrate Scope for the Asymmetric Alkylations of Allenylic Carbonates 2

Next, the substrate scope with respect to allenylic carbonates **2** were investigated (Scheme 3). The electronic nature and position of the substituents on the arylallenyl carbonates backbone of the substrates were also examined. When a chloro group was present at the C2 position in the aryl, high yield and enantioselectivity were observed for the formation of the alkylation product **3n** (80% yield, 97% ee). The products (**3o** and **3p**) bearing electron-donating substituents were obtained in 99% yield with 93–97% ee. Meanwhile, halogenation in the aryl C4 position of the substrates (**2f** and **2g**) were well-tolerated, giving the corresponding products (**3r** and **3s**) with 91–97% ee and a slight decrease in yield (84–87%). Moreover, 2-naphthyl-substituted allenylic carbonate also worked smoothly (73% yield, 97% ee). Compared to model substrate **2a**, various substituted arylallenyl carbonates (**2b–2h**) could afford the target products (**3n–3t**) with relatively higher diastere-oselectivities as expected. In addition, substrates **2i** with an n-propyl group and **2j** with isopropyl afforded products **3u** and **3v** with slightly decreased enantioselectivities and diastereoselectivities. The asymmetric alkylation of allenylic carbonate with symmetri-

cal cyclohexyl substituent delivered the product **3w** in moderate enantioselectivity and diastereoselectivity, albeit with a lower yield.

Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions.



Entry <sup>a</sup>	Ligand	Base	Sol.	T [°C]	t [h]	Yield [%] <sup>b</sup>	dr <sup>c</sup>	ee [%] <sup>d</sup>
1	L1	$Cs_2CO_3$	DCM	25	0.5	84	10:1	-71/-39
2	L2	$Cs_2CO_3$	DCM	25	12	trace	-	-
3	L3	$Cs_2CO_3$	DCM	25	3	89	3:1	-67/-69
4	L4	$Cs_2CO_3$	DCM	25	12	55	15:1	-73/-17
5	L5	$Cs_2CO_3$	DCM	25	48	21	4:1	-23/-33
6	L6	$Cs_2CO_3$	DCM	25	24	20	11:1	-7/-5
7	L7	$Cs_2CO_3$	DCM	25	7.5	59	5:1	-69/-69
8	L8	$Cs_2CO_3$	DCM	25	24	29	5:1	-89/-51
9	L9	$Cs_2CO_3$	DCM	25	24	90	4:1	91/81
10 <sup>e</sup>	L9	$Cs_2CO_3$	DCM	25	6	97	4:1	93/77
11 <sup>e</sup>	L9	$Cs_2CO_3$	CHCl <sub>3</sub>	25	9	92	5:1	92/61
12 <sup>e</sup>	L9	$Cs_2CO_3$	DCE	25	12	92	4:1	91/75
13 <sup>e</sup>	L9	$Cs_2CO_3$	MeCN	25	12	96	5:1	92/81
$14^{e}$	L9	$Cs_2CO_3$	Tol.	25	9	98	5:1	92/73
15 <sup>e</sup>	L9	$Cs_2CO_3$	THF	25	6	97	6:1	92/65
16 <sup>e</sup>	L9	$Cs_2CO_3$	Dioxane	25	6	98	4:1	93/61
17 <sup>e</sup>	L9	Et <sub>3</sub> N	THF	25	10	70	6:1	94/71
18 <sup>e</sup>	L9	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> OK	THF	25	4	90	7:1	92/71
19 <sup>e</sup>	L9	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ONa	THF	25	6	98	3:1	90/73
20 <sup>e</sup>	L9	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	THF	25	6	98	7:1	93/67
21 <sup>e</sup>	L9	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	THF	25	10	61	5:1	92/51
22 <sup>e</sup>	L9	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	THF	25	6	98	2:1	93/79
23 <sup>e</sup>	L9	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	THF	0	24	98	6:1	95/79
24 <sup>e</sup>	L9	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	THF	-10	42	98	7:1	95/70
25 <sup>e,f</sup>	L9	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	THF	-10	12	98	7:1	96/71
26 <sup>e,g</sup>	L9	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	THF	-10	48	98	8:1	96/77

<sup>*a*</sup> The reaction was conducted with **1a** (0.1 mmol), **2a** (0.11 mmol), base (0.1 mmol), Pd<sub>2</sub>dba<sub>3</sub> (2.5 mol%) and ligand (5 mol%) in solvent (1.0 mL). <sup>*b*</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>*c*</sup> Detected by <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the crude product. <sup>*d*</sup> Detected by chiral HPLC analysis. <sup>*e*</sup> **2a** (0.12 mmol). <sup>*f*</sup> THF (0.5 mL) was used. <sup>*g*</sup> THF (2.0 mL) was used.



**Scheme 2.** Substrate scope for the reactions of  $\beta$ -ketoesters **1** with arylallenyl carbonate **2a**. The reaction was carried out on a 0.2 mmol scale with Pd<sub>2</sub>dba<sub>3</sub> (2.5 mol%) and **L9** (5 mol%) in 4.0 mL THF with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (0.2 mmol); the ratio of **1/2a** is 1.0/1.2; isolated yields are given; the dr was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR of crude product; the ee was determined by chiral HPLC.



**Scheme 3.** Substrate scope for the reactions of  $\beta$ -ketoester **1a** with allenylic carbonates **2**. The reaction was carried out on a 0.2 mmol scale with Pd<sub>2</sub>dba<sub>3</sub> (2.5 mol%) and **L9** (5 mol%) in 4.0 mL THF with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (0.2 mmol); the ratio of **1a**/**2** is 1.0/1.2; isolated yields are given; the dr was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR of crude product; the ee was determined by chiral HPLC.

#### 2.4. Gram-Scale Reaction and Product Derivatization

To demonstrate the practicality of the transformation, a gram-scale reaction was conducted and found that the reaction of  $\beta$ -ketoester **1j** (2.5 mmol) with allenylic carbonate **2a** (3.0 mmol) gave product **3j** (1.01 g) in 98% yield, with 97% ee and 12:1 dr (Scheme 4). Target product **3x** was found to undergo Suzuki coupling smoothly with phenyl boronic acid, affording compound **4a** (Scheme 4) with a biphenyl motif (70% yield, 92% ee, 9:1 dr) [42].



Scheme 4. Gram-scale reaction and product derivatization.

#### 2.5. Plausible Mechanism of the Palladium-Catalyzed Alkylation of $\beta$ -Ketoester 1

A plausible mechanism for the palladium-catalyzed allenylic alkylation of indanone  $\beta$ -ketoester is depicted in Scheme 5 [43,44]. Oxidative addition of **2a** with Pd(0) would immediately undergo delocalization to yield intermediate **A**. In the presence of NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, the nucleophile **1a** attacks the terminal carbon atom of intermediate **A** to afford the target product **3a** and regenerate Pd(0).



Scheme 5. Plausible mechanism of the palladium-catalyzed alkylation of  $\beta$ -ketoester 1.

#### 3. Materials and Methods

## 3.1. General Information

Unless otherwise noted, materials were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (200~300 mesh). Enantiomeric excesses (ee) were determined by HPLC (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA) using corresponding commercial chiral columns as stated at 30 °C with UV detector at 254 nm. Optical rotations (JiaHang Instruments, Shanghai, China) were

reported as follows:  $[\alpha]_D^T$  (*c* g/100 mL, solvent). All <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance II 400 MHz (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) and Bruker Avance III 600 MHz (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany), respectively, <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance II 101 MHz or Bruker Avance III 151 MHz with chemical shifts reported as ppm (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS as an internal standard). Data for <sup>1</sup>H NMR are recorded as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta$ , ppm), multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet, br = broad singlet, dd = double doublet, coupling constants in Hz, integration). HRMS (ESI) was obtained with a HRMS/MS instrument (LTQ Orbitrap XL TM, Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The characterization data is available in Supplementary Material.

# 3.2. Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 3

(S)-SegPhos (L9) (5 mol%) and  $Pd_2dba_3$  (2.5 mol%) were stirred in THF (4 mL) in a Schlenk tube under a nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature for 10 min. To this Schlenk tube were added 1 (0.20 mmol, 1.0 equiv), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (0.20 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and 2 (0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv), then the reaction mixture was stirred at -10 °C. When compound 1 was consumed as checked by TLC, the reaction was stopped and purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 30:1) on silica gel directly to give product 3.

# Ethyl 1-oxo-2-(4-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (**3a**)

Prepared according to the procedure within 48 h as light yellow liquid (65.8 mg, 99% yield, dr = 8:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{17}$  = 84.324 (*c* 0.37, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.77 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.06–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.51–7.33 (m, 3H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.21–7.16 (m, 3H), 6.08 (dt, *J* = 5.9, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.48 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.75 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 7.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 7.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.17 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.5, 201.8, 170.4, 153.2, 135.3, 135.3, 134.1, 128.5, 127.7, 127.0, 126.8, 126.4, 124.7, 95.5, 90.0, 61.8, 60.3, 36.5, 34.2, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 335.1305, found 335.1298. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 97% (determined by HPLC using chiral AD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 26.2 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 24.5 min).

*Ethyl 6-methyl-1-oxo-2-(4-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate* (**3b**)

Prepared according to the procedure within 60 h as light yellow liquid (64.4 mg, 93% yield, dr = 9:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{17}$  = 77.698 (*c* 0.56, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.58 (s, 1H), 7.45–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.36–7.26 (m, 3H), 7.24–7.18 (m, 3H), 6.10 (dt, *J* = 6.1, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.49 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (d, *J* = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (ddd, *J* = 14.6, 7.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (ddd, *J* = 14.5, 7.2, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.5, 202.0, 170.6, 150.7, 137.7, 136.7, 135.4, 134.1, 128.5, 127.0, 126.8, 126.1, 124.6, 95.4, 90.1, 61.7, 60.6, 36.2, 34.2, 21.1, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 369.1461, found 369.1456. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 95% (determined by HPLC using chiral AD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 27.9 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 22.4 min).

Ethyl 6-methoxy-1-oxo-2-(4-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3c)

Prepared according to the procedure within 48 h as light yellow liquid (68.8 mg, 95% yield, dr = 8:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{17}$  = 71.161 (*c* 0.27, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.40–7.32 (m, 4H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 2H), 7.25–7.15 (m, 2H), 6.16 (dt, *J* = 5.8, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.55 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.72 (d, *J* = 17.0 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (d, *J* = 17.0 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (ddd, *J* = 14.6, 7.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (ddd, *J* = 14.6, 7.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.5, 201.9, 170.5, 159.7, 146.2, 136.5, 134.1, 128.5, 127.1, 127.0, 126.8, 124.9, 105.7, 95.4, 90.0, 61.7, 61.0, 55.6, 35.8, 34.2, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 385.1410, found 385.1404. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 95% (determined by HPLC using chiral OD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 31.3 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 35.8 min).

# Ethyl 5,6-dimethoxy-1-oxo-2-(4-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3d)

Prepared according to the procedure within 72 h as light yellow liquid (77.6 mg, 99% yield, dr = 9:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{17}$  = 100.27 (*c* 0.74, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.32–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.10 (m, 4H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 6.05 (dt, *J* = 6.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.48 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.63 (d, *J* = 17.1 Hz, 1H), 3.20–3.09 (m, 1H), 2.97 (ddd, *J* = 14.8, 7.1, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 2.71 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 7.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.3, 200.3, 170.7, 156.0, 149.7, 148.8, 134.1, 128.5, 128.0, 126.9, 126.8, 107.3, 104.9, 95.4, 90.1, 61.7, 60.6, 56.2, 56.1, 36.1, 34.0, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NaO<sub>5</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 415.1516, found 415.1507. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 97% (determined by HPLC using chiral OD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 7/3,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 25.3 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 33.9 min).

# Ethyl 6-fluoro-1-oxo-2-(4-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3e)

Prepared according to the procedure within 72 h as light yellow liquid (69.3 mg, 99% yield, dr = 7:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{18}$  = 76.074 (*c* 0.65, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.37 (dd, *J* = 7.4, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.29–7.22 (m, 3H), 7.20–7.13 (m, 3H), 6.05 (dt, *J* = 6.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (q, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (qd, *J* = 7.1, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (d, *J* = 17.1 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (d, *J* = 17.1 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 7.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 7.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.16 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.3, 201.1, 170.1, 162.4 (d, *J* = 166.7 Hz), 148.6, 137.0, 133.9, 128.6, 127.9 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz), 127.1, 126.8, 122.9, 110.3 (d, *J* = 15.2 Hz), 95.8, 89.9, 61.9, 61.2, 35.9, 34.0, 14.0; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  –104.2––123.6 (m). HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>FNaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 373.1210, found 373.1202. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 96% (determined by HPLC using chiral IC-IB-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 9/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.6 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 33.4 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 32.0 min).

# Ethyl 6-chloro-1-oxo-2-(4-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3f)

Prepared according to the procedure within 96 h as light yellow liquid (72.5 mg, 99% yield, dr = 8:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{16}$  = 104.13 (*c* 0.70, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.69 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.16 (m, 1H), 7.15–7.12 (m, 2H), 6.04 (dt, *J* = 6.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (q, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (ddd, *J* = 15.0, 7.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 6.9, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.15 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.2, 200.7, 170.1, 151.3, 136.9, 135.2, 134.1, 133.8, 128.5, 127.6, 127.1, 126.8, 124.3, 95.9, 89.8, 62.0, 60.8, 36.0, 34.0, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>ClNaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 389.0915, found 389.0911. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 97% (determined by HPLC using chiral AD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 37.9 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 30.4 min).

Ethyl 5-methyl-1-oxo-2-(4-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3g)

Prepared according to the procedure within 72 h as light yellow liquid (66.5 mg, 96% yield, dr = 6:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{16}$  = 69.372 (*c* 0.38, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.65 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.30–7.23 (m, 2H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 5H), 6.06 (dt, *J* = 5.9, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 7.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (ddd, *J* = 14.6, 7.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.16 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.4, 201.3, 170.6, 153.8, 146.8, 143.3, 134.1, 133.0, 129.1, 128.5, 127.0, 126.8, 124.5, 95.4, 90.1, 61.7, 60.4, 36.3, 34.2, 22.1, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 369.1461, found 369.1455. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 96% (determined by HPLC using chiral AD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 33.3 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 30.3 min).

Ethyl 5-fluoro-1-oxo-2-(4-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3h)

Prepared according to the procedure within 96 h as light yellow liquid (67.2 mg, 96% yield, dr = 10:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{17}$  = 84.648 (*c* 0.47, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.75 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.25 (m, 2H), 7.22–7.15 (m, 3H), 7.08–7.01 (m, 2H), 6.06

(dt, *J* = 6.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (d, *J* = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (d, *J* = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 7.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 6.9, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.17 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.3, 199.9, 170.1, 167.5 (d, *J* = 257.6 Hz), 156.2 (d, *J* = 9.9 Hz), 133.9, 131.7, 128.6, 127.1, 127.0 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz), 126.8, 116.2 (d, *J* = 23.9 Hz), 113.2 (d, *J* = 22.7 Hz), 95.7, 89.9, 61.9, 60.6, 36.2, 34.0, 14.0; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (377 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  -101.5 (t, *J* = 9.4 Hz). HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>FNaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 373.1210, found 373.1202. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 96% (determined by HPLC using chiral AD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 26.1 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 24.0 min).

Ethyl 5-chloro-1-oxo-2-(4-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3i)

Prepared according to the procedure within 48 h as light yellow liquid (72.5 mg, 99% yield, dr = 11:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{18}$  = 69.762 (*c* 0.51, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.70 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.35 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.15 (m, 2H), 6.07 (dt, *J* = 6.0, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 5.49 (q, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (d, *J* = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (d, *J* = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.99 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 7.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 6.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.19 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.3, 200.4, 170.1, 154.6, 141.9, 133.9, 133.8, 128.6, 128.6, 127.2, 126.7, 126.7, 125.7, 95.9, 89.8, 61.9, 60.5, 36.1, 33.9, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>ClNaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 389.0915, found 389.0908. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 95% (determined by HPLC using chiral AD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 25.3 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 22.8 min).

## Ethyl 5-bromo-1-oxo-2-(4-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3j)

Prepared according to the procedure within 48 h as light yellow liquid (81.2 mg, 99% yield, dr = 12:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{16}$  = 48.041 (*c* 0.69, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.59 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.22–7.12 (m, 3H), 6.03 (dt, *J* = 6.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (q, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (d, *J* = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (d, *J* = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 6.9, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 6.8, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 1.16 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.2, 200.7, 170.0, 154.7, 134.3, 133.8, 131.4, 130.8, 129.8, 128.6, 127.2, 126.7, 125.7, 95.9, 89.8, 62.0, 60.4, 36.0, 33.9, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>BrNaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 433.0410, found 433.0403. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 97% (determined by HPLC using chiral AD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 27.2min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 24.8 min).

## Ethyl 4-chloro-1-oxo-2-(4-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3k)

Prepared according to the procedure within 60 h as light yellow liquid (72.5 mg, 99% yield, dr = 9:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{15}$  = 227.30 (*c* 0.67, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.65 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.30 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.23 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.13 (m, 3H), 6.06 (dt, *J* = 6.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.48 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (qd, *J* = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 3.69 (d, *J* = 17.8 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (d, *J* = 17.8 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (ddd, *J* = 14.8, 6.7, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 7.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.17 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.4, 201.1, 170.0, 150.7, 137.3, 134.8, 133.8, 132.8, 129.3, 128.5, 127.1, 126.8, 122.8, 95.9, 89.8, 62.0, 60.2, 35.6, 34.1, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>ClNaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 389.0915, found 389.0908. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 95% (determined by HPLC using chiral AD-OD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.6 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 47.7 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 51.0 min).

## Ethyl 4-bromo-1-oxo-2-(4-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (31)

Prepared according to the procedure within 72 h as light yellow liquid (81.2 mg, 99% yield, dr = 8:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{16}$  = 76.255 (*c* 0.74, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.69 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.22 (m, 3H), 7.21–7.14 (m, 3H), 6.06 (dt, *J* = 6.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.48 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (qd, *J* = 7.1, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 3.64 (d, *J* = 17.9 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (d, *J* = 17.9 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (ddd, *J* = 14.8, 6.7, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (ddd, *J* = 14.8, 7.3, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.4, 201.2, 170.0, 152.8, 138.0, 137.3, 133.8, 129.5, 128.6, 127.1, 126.8, 123.5, 122.0, 95.9, 89.8, 62.0, 60.3, 37.6, 34.2,

14.0. HRMS (ESI) m/z Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>BrNaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 433.0410, found 433.0404. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 97% (determined by HPLC using chiral AD-OD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.6 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 48.8 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 52.0 min).

### Ethyl 1-oxo-2-(4-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (**3m**)

Prepared according to the procedure within 48 h as light yellow liquid (68.5 mg, 99% yield, dr = 2:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{16}$  = 9.667 (*c* 0.30, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.05 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.35–7.21 (m, 5H), 7.21–7.17 (m, 2H), 6.12 (dt, *J* = 6.5, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 5.60 (q, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (qt, *J* = 7.1, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 3.11–2.86 (m, 2H), 2.79 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 5.5, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 2.62 (dt, *J* = 13.9, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (ddd, *J* = 14.1, 9.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 1.17 (t, *J* = 7.1Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  207.0, 195.0, 171.5, 143.2, 134.3, 133.6, 131.9, 128.8, 128.6, 128.4, 128.1, 127.0, 126.8, 94.7, 90.2, 61.5, 57.5, 33.8, 30.4, 25.7, 14.1. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 369.1461, found 369.1454. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 97%/75% (determined by HPLC using chiral OJ-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 76.9/68.2 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 57.7/82.0 min).

Ethyl 2-(4-(2-chlorophenyl) buta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3n)

Prepared according to the procedure within 72 h as light yellow liquid (58.6 mg, 80% yield, dr = 10:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{15}$  = 300.81 (*c* 0.25, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.78–7.76 (m, 1H), 7.61–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.33 (m, 3H), 7.29 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.21–7.16 (m, 1H), 7.13–7.08 (m, 1H), 6.54 (dt, *J* = 6.5, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.50 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (qd, *J* = 7.1, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 2.99 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 7.2, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (ddd, *J* = 14.6, 7.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.16 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  207.3, 201.8, 170.4, 153.2, 135.4, 135.3, 132.1, 131.8, 129.7, 128.3, 128.0, 127.8, 126.7, 126.4, 124.8, 91.8, 90.2, 61.8, 60.2, 36.5, 33.9, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>ClNaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 389.0915, found 389.0912. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 97% (determined by HPLC using chiral OJ-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 48.5 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 38.6 min). *Ethyl 2-(4-(3-methoxyphenyl) buta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate* (**30**)

Prepared according to the procedure within 48 h as light yellow liquid (71.6 mg, 99% yield, dr = 9:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{21}$  = 64.833 (*c* 0.51, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.76 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.59–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.45–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.16 (m, 1H), 6.86–6.68 (m, 3H), 6.05 (dt, *J* = 6.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.48 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (qd, *J* = 7.2, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.74 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 6.9, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 7.2, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 1.16 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.5, 201.9, 170.4, 159.9, 153.2, 135.5, 135.4, 135.2, 129.5, 127.8, 126.5, 124.8, 119.5, 113.0, 111.8, 95.5, 90.2, 61.8, 60.3, 55.2, 36.5, 34.2, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 385.1410, found 385.1407. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 97% (determined by HPLC using chiral IB-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 30.8 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 26.8 min).

# Ethyl 1-oxo-2-(4-(p-tolyl) buta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3p)

Prepared according to the procedure within 72 h as light yellow liquid (68.5 mg, 99% yield, dr = 13:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{13} = 64.270$  (*c* 0.45, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.77 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.65–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.33 (m, 3H), 7.09 (s, 3H), 6.05 (dt, *J* = 6.4, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.74 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (ddd, *J* = 14.6, 7.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (ddd, *J* = 14.5, 7.2, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.17 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.3, 201.9, 170.4, 153.3, 136.8, 135.4, 135.2, 131.0, 129.3, 127.7, 126.7, 126.5, 124.8, 95.3, 89.9, 61.8, 60.4, 36.5, 34.3, 21.2, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 369.1461, found 369.1455. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 93% (determined by HPLC using chiral AD-OD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.6 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 59.1 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 54.5 min).

# Ethyl 2-(4-(4-cyanophenyl) buta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3q)

Prepared according to the procedure within 72 h as light yellow liquid (57.9 mg, 81% yield, dr = 12:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{15}$  = 88.378 (*c* 0.37, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.69 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.39–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.23–7.14 (m, 2H), 6.01 (dt, *J* = 6.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.50 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.65 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (ddd, *J* = 14.8, 7.0, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (ddd, *J* = 14.8, 7.3, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.08 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  207.6, 201.6, 170.3, 153.0, 139.3, 135.5, 135.3, 132.3, 127.9, 127.2, 126.4, 124.8, 119.0, 110.2, 94.8, 91.0, 61.9, 60.0, 36.5, 33.6, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NNaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 380.1257, found 380.1248. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 97% (determined by HPLC using chiral OD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 95/5,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 41.0 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 36.8 min).

Ethyl 2-(4-(4-fluorophenyl) buta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3r)

Prepared according to the procedure within 48 h as light yellow liquid (60.9 mg, 87% yield, dr = 10:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{17}$  = 79.750 (*c* 0.40, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.76 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.60–7.56 (m, 1H), 7.44–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.17–7.10 (m, 2H), 7.01–6.93 (m, 2H), 6.04 (dt, *J* = 6.3, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (ddd, *J* = 14.8, 6.9, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 7.1, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.16 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.2, 201.8, 170.4, 162.0 (d, *J* = 247.5 Hz), 153.2, 135.3 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz), 130.0, 128.3, 128.2, 127.8, 126.4, 124.7, 115.5 (d, *J* = 21.2 Hz), 94.6, 90.3, 61.8, 60.2, 36.5, 34.1, 14.0. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  -102.3 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz); HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>FNaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 373.1210, found 373.1203. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 97% (determined by HPLC using chiral AD-OD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.6 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 64.5 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 61.0 min).

#### Ethyl 2-(4-(4-chlorophenyl) buta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3s)

Prepared according to the procedure within 48 h as light yellow liquid (61.5 mg, 84% yield, dr = 11:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{17}$  = 72.922 (*c* 0.42, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.76 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.60–7.56 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.19 (m, 2H), 7.15–7.06 (m, 2H), 6.03 (dt, *J* = 6.2, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.49 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 6.9, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 7.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.16 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.5, 201.8, 170.4, 153.1, 135.4, 135.3, 132.6, 132.6, 128.7, 128.0, 127.8, 126.4, 124.7, 94.7, 90.5, 61.8, 60.2, 36.5, 34.0, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>ClNaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 389.0915, found 389.0907. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 91% (determined by HPLC using chiral IC-IB-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 9/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.6 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 41.9 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 37.0 min).

Ethyl 2-(4-(naphthalen-2-yl) buta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3t)

Prepared according to the procedure within 72 h as light yellow liquid (55.8 mg, 73% yield, dr = 9:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{16}$  = 94.309 (*c* 0.25, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.84–7.70 (m, 4H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.35 (m, 3H), 6.24 (dd, *J* = 6.7, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 5.55 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (q, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 3.76 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 3.04 (dd, *J* = 15.3, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (dd, *J* = 15.3, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.16 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  207.0, 201.8, 170.4, 153.2, 135.4, 135.3, 133.7, 132.7, 131.6, 128.5, 128.2, 127.7, 126.4, 126.2, 125.7, 125.7, 125.5, 124.7, 124.7, 95.9, 90.3, 61.8, 60.4, 36.5, 34.2, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 405.1461, found 405.1455. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 97% (determined by HPLC using chiral AD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.8 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 49.1 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 45.2 min).

Ethyl 2-(hepta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3u)

Prepared according to the procedure within 84 h as light yellow liquid (50.74 mg, 85% yield, dr = 2:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{16}$  = 49.275 (*c* 0.14, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.76 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.65–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.37 (m, 1H),

5.14–4.83 (m, 2H), 4.24–4.07 (m, 2H), 3.68 (dd, *J* = 17.3, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (dtd, *J* = 15.1, 7.6, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (dddd, *J* = 14.5, 7.4, 5.2, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 1.87 (qd, *J* = 7.1, 3.6 Hz, 2H), 1.36 (qd, *J* = 7.4, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 1.21 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.4, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 205.7, 202.1, 170.6, 153.4, 135.4, 135.3, 127.6, 126.4, 124.7, 91.4, 85.6, 61.6, 60.6, 36.2, 34.6, 30.9, 22.3, 14.1, 13.6. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 321.1461, found 321.1453. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 79%/71% (determined by HPLC using chiral AS-AD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.6 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 30.5/26.7 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 25.6/28.2 min).

## Ethyl 2-(5-methylhexa-2,3-dien-1-yl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3v)

Prepared according to the procedure within 84 h as light yellow liquid (49.5 mg, 83% yield, dr = 2:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{16}$  = 67.677 (*c* 0.20, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.76 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 7.65–7.59 (m, 1H), 7.48 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 7.44–7.35 (m, 1H), 5.15–4.89 (m, 2H), 4.32–4.08 (m, 2H), 3.68 (d, *J* = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (dd, *J* = 17.3, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 2.94–2.77 (m, 1H), 2.55 (ddt, *J* = 14.2, 7.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (dp, *J* = 9.7, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.20 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (ddd, *J* = 6.5, 4.6, 1.6 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  204.2, 202.2, 170.7, 153.4, 135.3, 129.0, 127.7, 126.4, 124.8, 98.9, 86.8, 61.7, 60.6, 36.2, 34.8, 27.9, 22.4, 14.1. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 321.1461, found 321.1453. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 77%/55% (determined by HPLC using chiral AS-AD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.6 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 27.5/24.7 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 23.8/26.2 min).

#### Ethyl 2-(4-cyclohexylbuta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3w)

Prepared according to the procedure within 84 h as light yellow liquid (52.8 mg, 78% yield, dr = 2:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{16}$  = 43.017 (*c* 0.18, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.80–7.72 (m, 1H), 7.64–7.6 (m, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.44–7.34 (m, 1H), 4.99 (dtt, *J* = 23.8, 6.8, 3.1 Hz, 2H), 4.15 (qd, *J* = 7.1, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (dddd, *J* = 14.1, 6.9, 4.1, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (dddd, *J* = 14.9, 7.9, 5.5, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.86 (dddd, *J* = 13.6, 7.7, 3.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  204.5, 202.2, 170.7, 153.4, 135.4, 129.0, 128.4, 127.7, 126.4, 124.8, 97.5, 86.4, 61.7, 60.6, 37.2, 36.2, 34.8, 32.9, 26.1, 14.1. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 361.1774, found 361.1765. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 73%/55% (determined by HPLC using chiral AS-AD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.6 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 29.9/26.9 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 26.3/27.9 min).

Ethyl4-bromo-2-(4-(4-chlorophenyl) buta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (3x)

Prepared according to the procedure within 48 h as light yellow liquid (87.9 mg, 99% yield, dr = 10:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{16}$  = 101.589 (*c* 1.20, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.72–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.21 (m, 3H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.01 (dt, *J* = 6.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.50 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (qd, *J* = 7.1, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 3.62 (d, *J* = 17.8 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (d, *J* = 17.8 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 6.7, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 7.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.4, 201.2, 170.0, 152.7, 138.0, 137.3, 132.7, 132.4, 129.6, 128.7, 127.9, 123.4, 122.0, 95.1, 90.2, 62.0, 60.2, 37.6, 33.9, 14.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>18</sub>BrClNaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 467.0020, found 467.0022. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 96% (determined by HPLC using chiral AD-OJ-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 50/1,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.6 mL/min, t<sub>major</sub> = 92.3 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 83.9 min).

# 3.3. Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 4a

A Schlenk flask under a nitrogen atmosphere was charged with compound **3x** (222 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 eq), phenylboronic acid (73.2 mg, 0.60 mmol, 1.2 eq),  $Cs_2CO_3$  (244 mg, 0.75 mmol, 1.5 eq), and Pd(PPh\_3)\_4 (28.9 mg, 25.0 µmol, 5 mol%). THF (5 mL) was added and the mixture was heated to 80 °C for 18 h, when compound **3x** was consumed as checked by TLC, the mixture was cooled to rt and diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (15 mL). The mixture was washed with water

(15 mL). The aq. layer was extracted with  $Et_2O$  (2 × 25 mL) and the combined org. layers were dried, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 20:1) yielding the title compound **4a** as a slightly yellow oil. *Ethyl2-(4-(4-chlorophenyl)buta-2,3-dien-1-yl)-1-oxo-4-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate* (**4a**)

Prepared according to the procedure within 18 h as slightly yellow oil (154 mg, 70% yield, dr = 9:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{16}$  = 23.014 (*c* 0.37, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.79 (dd, *J* = 18.7, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (dd, *J* = 16.9, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.55–7.42 (m, 5H), 7.38 (dd, *J* = 6.6, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.16 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 7.07–7.03 (m, 1H), 6.10–5.91 (m, 1H), 5.61–5.48 (m, 1H), 4.30–4.12 (m, 2H), 3.81 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 11.5 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 9.8 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (tdd, *J* = 11.7, 7.0, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dddd, *J* = 30.5, 14.6, 7.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.23 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  206.5, 201.8, 170.4, 150.6, 140.2, 138.7, 135.6, 132.6, 128.8, 128.7, 128.4, 128.4, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 123.8, 115.3, 94.5, 90.5, 61.9, 60.5, 36.5, 34.2, 14.1. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* Calcd. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>23</sub>ClNaO<sub>3</sub> ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) 465.1228, found 465.1225. Enantiomeric excess was determined to be 92% (determined by HPLC using chiral IF-OD-H column, hexane/2-propanol = 95/5,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm, 30 °C, 0.6 mL/min, t<sub>maior</sub> = 41.3 min, t<sub>minor</sub> = 44.3 min).

## 4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed Pd(0)-catalyzed asymmetric allenylic alkylation of indanone-derived  $\beta$ -ketoesters by allenyl carbonates. This reaction provides a contribution toward the construction of 1,3-stereocenters bearing allenyl axial and central chirality with high levels of stereocontrol. This work features a broad substrate scope, mild reaction conditions, high efficiency, excellent enantioselectivities, and good diastereoselectivities.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules28072927/s1, materials and methods, experimental procedures [33,43,45], characterization data, <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra, HRMS spectrometry data, and HPLC chromatogram.

**Author Contributions:** A.X. performed the experiments, acquired and analyzed the original data, and wrote the preliminary manuscript. B.W. and J.Q. conceived and designed the experiments, revised all figures and schemes, analyzed the data, and reviewed and edited the manuscript. X.W. and Y.H. proofread and analyzed the data. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was funded by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. DUT21LAB134).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available in Supplementary Material.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds are available from the authors.

#### References

- Liu, H.; Leow, D.; Huang, K.-W.; Tan, C.-H. Enantioselective Synthesis of Chiral Allenoates by Guanidine-Catalyzed Isomerization of 3-Alkynoates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7212–7213. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, W.; Zheng, S.; Liu, N.; Werness, J.B.; Guzei, I.A.; Tang, W. Enantioselective Bromolactonization of Conjugated (Z)-Enynes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3664–3665. [CrossRef]
- Yang, J.; Wang, Z.; He, Z.; Li, G.; Hong, L.; Sun, W.; Wang, R. Organocatalytic Enantioselective Synthesis of Tetrasubstituted α-Amino Allenoates by Dearomative γ-Addition of 2,3-Disubstituted Indoles to β,γ-Alkynyl-α-imino Esters. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, 59, 642–647. [CrossRef]
- Tap, A.; Blond, A.; Wakchaure, V.N.; List, B. Chiral Allenes via Alkynylogous Mukaiyama Aldol Reaction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 8962–8965. [CrossRef]

- Zheng, Y.; Miao, B.; Qin, A.; Xiao, J.; Liu, Q.; Li, G.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, F.; Guo, Y.; Ma, S. Negishi Coupling for Highly Selective Syntheses of Allenes via Ligand Effect and Mechanistic Study via SAESI-MS/MS. *Chin. J. Chem.* 2019, 37, 1003–1008. [CrossRef]
- Neff, R.K.; Frantz, D.E. Recent Advances in the Catalytic Syntheses of Allenes: A Critical Assessment. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 519–528. [CrossRef]
- 7. Ye, J.; Ma, S. Conquering three-carbon axial chirality of allenes. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 1210–1224. [CrossRef]
- Chu, W.-D.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Recent advances in catalytic asymmetric synthesis of allenes. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2017, 7, 4570–4579. [CrossRef]
- 9. Huang, X.; Ma, S. Allenation of Terminal Alkynes with Aldehydes and Ketones. Acc. Chem. Res. 2019, 52, 1301–1312. [CrossRef]
- 10. Davis, C.R.; Luvaga, I.K.; Ready, J.M. Enantioselective Allylation of Alkenyl Boronates Promotes a 1,2-Metalate Rearrangement with 1,3-Diastereocontrol. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 4921–4927. [CrossRef]
- 11. Trost, B.M.; Schultz, J.E.; Chang, T.; Maduabum, M.R. Chemo-, Regio-, Diastereo-, and Enantioselective Palladium Allylic Alkylation of 1,3-Dioxaboroles as Synthetic Equivalents of α-Hydroxyketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 9521–9526. [CrossRef]
- 12. Trost, B.M.; Zell, D.; Hohn, C.; Mata, G.; Maruniak, A. Enantio-and Diastereoselective Synthesis of Chiral Allenes by Palladium-Catalyzed Asymmetric [3 + 2] Cycloaddition Reactions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *130*, 13098–13102. [CrossRef]
- 13. Li, Z.; Hu, B.; Wu, Y.; Fei, C.; Deng, L. Control of chemoselectivity in asymmetric tandem reactions: Direct synthesis of chiral amines bearing nonadjacent stereocenters. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2018**, *115*, 1730–1735. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Zhu, B.; Lee, R.; Li, J.; Ye, X.; Hong, S.N.; Qiu, S.; Coote, M.L.; Jiang, Z. Chemoselective Switch in the Asymmetric Organocatalysis of 5H-Oxazol-4-ones and N-Itaconimides: Addition–Protonation or [4 + 2] Cycloaddition. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, 128, 1321–1325. [CrossRef]
- 15. Suzuki, M.; Kurosawa, E. Okamurallene, a novel halogenated C15 metabolite from the red alga laurencia okamuhai yamada. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, *22*, 3853–3856. [CrossRef]
- 16. Zhu, Y.L.; Pai, S.B.; Liu, S.H.; Grove, K.L.; Jones, B.C.; Simons, C.; Zemlicka, J.; Cheng, Y.C. Inhibition of replication of hepatitis B virus by cytallene in vitro. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1997**, *41*, 1755–1760. [CrossRef]
- 17. Cai, F.; Pu, X.; Qi, X.; Lynch, V.; Radha, A.; Ready, J.M. Chiral Allene-Containing Phosphines in Asymmetric Catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 18066–18069. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pu, X.; Qi, X.; Ready, J.M. Allenes in Asymmetric Catalysis: Asymmetric Ring Opening of meso-Epoxides Catalyzed by Allene-Containing Phosphine Oxides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10364–10365. [CrossRef]
- 19. Hasegawa, M.; Sone, Y.; Iwata, S.; Matsuzawa, H.; Mazaki, Y. Tetrathiafulvalenylallene: A New Class of Donor Molecules Having Strong Chiroptical Properties in Neutral and Doped States. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 4688–4691. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Ching, C.K.; Lam, S.K. A comparison of two prostaglandin analogues (enprostil vs misoprostol) in the treatment of acute duodenal ulcer disease. *J. Gastroenterol.* **1995**, *30*, 607–614. [CrossRef]
- 21. Watanabe, Y.; Yamazaki, T. Facile Preparation of CF3-Containing 1-Bromoallenes. Synlett 2009, 20, 3352–3354.
- Lü, B.; Jiang, X.; Fu, C.; Ma, S. Highly Regio- and Stereoselective Cyclic Iodoetherification of 4,5-Alkadienols. An Efficient Preparation of 2-(1'(Z)-Iodoalkenyl)tetrahydrofurans. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 438–441. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yokota, M.; Fuchibe, K.; Ueda, M.; Mayumi, Y.; Ichikawa, J. Facile Synthesis of 1,1-Difluoroallenes via the Difluorovinylidenation of Aldehydes and Ketones. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3994–3997. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Li, C.-Y.; Sun, X.-L.; Jing, Q.; Tang, Y. Enantioselective synthesis of allenic esters via an ylide route. *Chem. Commun.* 2006, 28, 2980–2982. [CrossRef]
- Skattebøfl, L. Chemistry of gem-dihalocyclopropanes—VI: A novel synthesis of cyclopentadienes and fulvenes. *Tetrahedron* 1967, 23, 1107–1117. [CrossRef]
- Woerly, E.M.; Cherney, A.H.; Davis, E.K.; Burke, M.D. Stereoretentive Suzuki–Miyaura Coupling of Haloallenes Enables Fully Stereocontrolled Access to (–)-Peridinin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6941–6943. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- He, G.; Xue, C.; Fu, C.; Ma, S. An Efficient Synthesis of Allenyl Perfluoroalkyl Ketones from Mono-1, 2-Addition-Elimination Reaction of Allenoates with RfMgX. *Synlett* 2010, 2, 281–285. [CrossRef]
- Deska, J.; del Pozo Ochoa, C.; Bäckvall, J.-E. Chemoenzymatic Dynamic Kinetic Resolution of Axially Chiral Allenes. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, 16, 4447–4451. [CrossRef]
- Qian, H.; Yu, X.; Zhang, J.; Sun, J. Organocatalytic Enantioselective Synthesis of 2,3-Allenoates by Intermolecular Addition of Nitroalkanes to Activated Enynes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 18020–18023. [CrossRef]
- Poulsen, P.H.; Li, Y.; Lauridsen, V.H.; Jørgensen, D.K.B.; Palazzo, T.A.; Meazza, M.; Jørgensen, K.A. Organocatalytic Formation of Chiral Trisubstituted Allenes and Chiral Furan Derivatives. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, 57, 10661–10665. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, H.; Luo, H.; Zhang, Z.-M.; Zheng, W.-F.; Yin, Y.; Qian, H.; Zhang, J.; Ma, S. Pd-Catalyzed Enantioselective Syntheses of Trisubstituted Allenes via Coupling of Propargylic Benzoates with Organoboronic Acids. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 9763–9771. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fu, L.; Greßies, S.; Chen, P.; Liu, G. Recent Advances and Perspectives in Transition Metal-Catalyzed 1,4-Functionalizations of Unactivated 1,3-Enynes for the Synthesis of Allenes. *Chin. J. Chem.* 2020, 38, 91–100. [CrossRef]
- Song, S.; Zhou, J.; Fu, C.; Ma, S. Catalytic enantioselective construction of axial chirality in 1,3-disubstituted allenes. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 507. [CrossRef]

- Liu, H.-C.; Hu, Y.-Z.; Wang, Z.-F.; Tao, H.-Y.; Wang, C.-J. Synergistic Cu/Pd-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allenylic Alkylation of Azomethine Ylides for the Construction of α-Allene-Substituted Nonproteinogenic α-Amino Acids. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2019, 25, 8681–8685. [CrossRef]
- 35. Xiao, J.; Xu, H.; Huo, X.; Zhang, W.; Ma, S. One Stone Two Birds—Enantioselective Bimetallic Catalysis for α-Amino Acid Derivatives with an Allene Unit. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2021**, *39*, 1958–1964. [CrossRef]
- 36. Wan, B.; Ma, S. Enantioselective decarboxylative amination: Synthesis of axially chiral allenyl amines. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, 125, 459–463. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, C.; Chu, H.; Li, G.; Ma, S.; Zhang, J. Pd-Catalyzed Enantioselective Heck Reaction of Aryl Triflates and Alkynes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 19246–19251. [CrossRef]
- 38. Chu, W.-D.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Z.; Zhou, Q.; Mo, F.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Enantioselective Synthesis of Trisubstituted Allenes via Cu(I)-Catalyzed Coupling of Diazoalkanes with Terminal Alkynes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 14558–14561. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, J.; Huo, X.; Xiao, J.; Zhao, L.; Ma, S.; Zhang, W. Enantio- and Diastereodivergent Construction of 1,3-Nonadjacent Stereocenters Bearing Axial and Central Chirality through Synergistic Pd/Cu Catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 12622–12632. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zou, L.; Wang, B.; Mu, H.; Zhang, H.; Song, Y.; Qu, J. Development of Tartaric Acid Derived Chiral Guanidines and Their Application to Catalytic Enantioselective α-Hydroxylation of β-Dicarbonyl Compounds. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3106–3109. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zou, L.; Bao, X.; Zhang, H.; Song, Y.; Qu, J.; Wang, B. Novel Tartrate-Based Guanidines for Enantioselective Fluorination of 1,3-Dicarbonyl and α-Cyano Carbonyl Compounds. *Aust. J. Chem.* 2014, 67, 1115–1118. [CrossRef]
- 42. Witzig, R.M.; Fäseke, V.C.; Häussinger, D.; Sparr, C. Atroposelective synthesis of tetra-ortho-substituted biaryls by catalystcontrolled non-canonical polyketide cyclizations. *Nat. Catal.* **2019**, *2*, 925–930. [CrossRef]
- Song, S.; Ma, S. Highly Selective Nucleophilic 4-Aryl-2,3-allenylation of Malonates<sup>†</sup>. *Chin. J. Chem.* 2020, *38*, 1233–1238. [CrossRef]
   Zhang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Ma, S. Stretchable chiral pockets for palladium-catalyzed highly chemo- and enantioselective allenylation.
- Nat. Commun. 2021, 12, 2416. [CrossRef]
  45. Fernández, M. Studies on the Chemistry of 2-[3-(2-Nitrophenyl)-2-oxopropyl] benzaldehydes: Novel Syntheses of 5H-Benzo [b] carbazole-6, 11-diones and Indolo [1, 2-b] isoquinoline-6, 11-diones. *Synthesis* 2009, 2009, 3051–3060. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.