



# Article Chemical Constituents of *Thesium chinense* Turcz and Their In Vitro Antioxidant, Anti-Inflammatory and Cytotoxic Activities

Zhen-Zhen Liu, Jun-Cheng Ma, Peng Deng, Fu-Cai Ren \* D and Ning Li \*

Inflammation and Immune Mediated Diseases Laboratory of Anhui Province, School of Pharmacy, Anhui Medical University, Hefei 230032, China

\* Correspondence: renfucai@ahmu.edu.cn (F.-C.R.); 1993500019@ahmu.edu.cn (N.L.); Tel.: +86-5516-516-1115 (N.L.)

**Abstract:** Three novel compounds (1–3) along with twenty-six known compounds, two known steroids (4–5) and twenty-four known phenylpropanoids (6–29) were isolated from the whole plant of *Thesium chinense* Turcz. The structures of the three new compounds were elucidated on the basis of ESI-MS, HR-ESIMS, 1D and 2D NMR, IR, UV spectroscopic data. The absolute stereochemistry of compound **1** was determined by the Gauge-Including Atomic Orbitals (GIAO) method. The in vitro antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and cytotoxic activities of the isolated compounds were evaluated by DPPH radical-scavenging assay, LPS-activated RAW 264.7 cells model and CCK-8 kit, respectively. Compound **11** showed high antioxidant activity with an SC<sub>50</sub> value of 16.2 ± 1.6  $\mu$ M. Compound **21** showed considerable anti-inflammatory activity against human NCI-H292, SiHa, A549, and MKN45 cell lines, with the compound **4** having IC<sub>50</sub> values of 17.4 ± 2.4, 22.2 ± 1.1, 9.7 ± 0.9, 9.5 ±0.7  $\mu$ M, and the compound **5** having all IC<sub>50</sub> values less than 0.1  $\mu$ M in vitro.

**Keywords:** *Thesium chinense* Turcz; chemical constituents; antioxidant; anti-inflammatory activity; cytotoxicity



Citation: Liu, Z.-Z.; Ma, J.-C.; Deng, P.; Ren, F.-C.; Li, N. Chemical Constituents of *Thesium chinense* Turcz and Their In Vitro Antioxidant, Anti-Inflammatory and Cytotoxic Activities. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 2685. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules28062685

Academic Editor: René Csuk

Received: 8 February 2023 Revised: 7 March 2023 Accepted: 14 March 2023 Published: 16 March 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

There are approximately 350 species of the genus *Thesium* (Santalaceae) occurring worldwide in Africa, Europe, Asia, South America and North America [1]. *Thesium chinense* Turcz, a small perennial and hemi-parasitic plant belonging to the family Santalaceae, distributes in East Asia (China, Japan, Korea, and Mongolia) [2]. The whole plant of *T. chinense*, commonly called "Bai-Rui-Cao" in China, was first recorded in the ancient medicinal monograph "Tu Jing Ben Cao" in the North Song Dynasty 1000 years ago. In traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), Bai-Rui-Cao is entitled "Botanical Antibiotics" and is mainly used to treat different diseases including mastitis, pulmonitis, tonsillitis, laryngopharyngitis and upper respiratory tract infections. [3]. Previous studies have reported the presence of polysaccharides, flavonoids, alkaloids, terpenoids, D-mannitol, aromatic compounds and aliphatic acids in the plant of *T. chinense* [4,5]. Modern pharmacological studies have found that *T. chinense* has diverse activities including anti-inflammation [6], antimicrobial effect [7], analgesic activity [8], antioxidant activity [9] and anti-nephropathy [10].

The chemical and pharmacological investigations into *T. chinense* are carried out as part of our ongoing work on the discovery of the bioactive compounds from Chinese medicinal herbs. From EtOAc and n-BuOH extracts of *T. chinense*, three novel compounds (1–3), along with twenty-six known compounds, two known steroids (4–5) and twenty-four known phenylpropanoids (6–29) (Figure 1), are isolated. The structures of the new compounds (1–3) are identified on the basis of ESI-MS, HR-ESI-MS, 1D and 2D NMR, IR, UV spectroscopic evidence. To determine the absolute stereochemistry, compound 1 was subjected to the Gauge-Including Atomic Orbitals (GIAO) method. The

isolated compounds are evaluated for their antioxidant, anti-inflammatory activities and in vitro cytotoxic activities against four human NCI-H292, SiHa, A549, and MKN45 cancer cell lines by using DPPH radical-scavenging assay, LPS-activated RAW 264.7 cells model and CCK-8 kit, respectively. Herein, we report the isolation, structure determination and activity evaluation, and we preliminarily discuss the structure–activity relationship of the isolates.





# 2. Results and Discussion

# 2.1. Structure Identification

Compound 1 was obtained as a white amorphous powder, and the molecular formula was confirmed as  $C_{25}H_{44}O_{14}$  by HR-ESI-MS (negative) (m/z 567.2654 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>43</sub>O<sub>14</sub>, 567.2658). The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (Table 1) spectrum showed a secondary methyl signal at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.26 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, H-10), three tertiary methyl signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.87 (3H, s, H-12),  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.11 (3H, s, H-13) and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.21 (3H, s, H-11), two methylene signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.59  $(1H, ddd, J = 12.3, 4.3, 2.1 Hz, H-2), \delta_H 1.75 (1H, tt, J = 12.2, H-2) and \delta_H 1.87 (1H, m, H-4),$  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.96 (1H, ddd, J = 13.3, 4.3, 2.2 Hz, H-4), two secondary carbinyl proton signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.04 (1H, dd, J = 15.8, 1.3 Hz, H-7) and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.78 (1H, dd, J = 15.8, 6.4 Hz, H-8), two set glucosyl anomeric protons [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.54 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, H-1') and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.54 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, H-1'']. In addition to the signals due to the above functional groups, the spectrum showed signals due to a quaternary carbon atom at 40.8 and two quaternary carbon atoms with an oxygen atom at  $\delta_C$  77.8, 79.1. The side chain structure was confirmed by the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlations of H-10/H-9, H-9/H-8, and H-8/H-7. Furthermore, according to the HMBC correlations of H-11/C-1, C-2, H-12/C-1, C-6, H-2/C-4, C-6, H-4/C-5, H-13/C-4, and C-6, the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlations of H-2/H-3, H3/H-4 and the NOESY correlations of H-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.59)/H-3 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.21), H-11 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.21)/H-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.59), H-3 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.21), H-7 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.04), H-12 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.87)/H-8 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.78) and H-13 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.11)/H-7 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.04), compound 1 was presumed to have a structure with a megastigm-7-ene carbon skeleton to which four hydroxyl groups are introduced on C-3, 5, 6 and 9. Further in HMBC (Figure 2), the correlation between H-1' [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.54 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz)] with C-3 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  74.2) suggested that one  $\beta$ -glucosyl moiety was located at the C-3 position of the cycle. Correlations of HMBC from H-1" [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.54 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz)] with C-2' ( $\delta_C$  83.7) and H-2' [ $\delta_H$  3.36 (1H, m)] with C-1" ( $\delta_C$  105.6) indicated that another  $\beta$ -glucosyl moiety was attached at the C-2' position. To determine the absolute stereochemistry, compound 1 was subjected to the Gauge-Including Atomic Orbitals (GIAO) method [11–13]. Compound 1 was represented at the mPW1PW91/6-31G(d) level two isomers 1a (3R, 5S, 6S, 9R) and 1b (3S, 5R, 6R, 9S) using Gaussian quantum chemistry NMR calculations and DP4+ probabilistic analysis. The results show that the configuration of 1a (3R, 5S, 6S, 9R) is more consistent with the experimental value of 1 (Figure 3) and has 99.96% DP4+ probability (Show in Supplementary Materials). Thus, the absolute configuration of compound 1 is confirmed and named as thesiumin A.



Figure 2. Selected HMBC, <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY and NOSY correlations of 1.

| Position | $\delta_{ m H}$  | $\delta_{\rm C}$ | Position | $\delta_{ m H}$                                | $\delta_{\rm C}$ |
|----------|--|------------------|----------|--|------------------|
| 1        | -  | 40.8             | 1'       | 4.54 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz)                    | 101.0            |
| 2        | 1.59 (ddd, <i>J</i> = 12.3, 4.3, 2.1 Hz)<br>1.75 (t, <i>J</i> = 12.2 Hz) | 44.4             | 2′       | 3.36 (m)                                       | 83.7             |
| 3        | 4.21 (tt, <i>J</i> = 11.6, 4.3 Hz)                                       | 74.2             | 3′       | 3.54 (m)                                       | 77.8             |
| 4        | 1.87 (m)<br>1.96 (ddd, <i>J</i> = 13.3, 4.3, 2.2 Hz)                     | 42.3             | 4′       | 3.33 (m)                                       | 71.4             |
| 5        | -  | 77.8             | 5'       | 3.28 (m)                                       | 78.6             |
| 6        | -  | 79.1             | 6′       | 3.68 (m)<br>3.85 (dd, <i>J</i> = 12.0, 2.3 Hz) | 62.6             |
| 7        | 6.04 (dd, <i>J</i> = 15.8, 1.3 Hz)                                       | 130.9            | 1″       | 4.54 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz)                    | 105.6            |
| 8        | 5.78 (dd, <i>J</i> = 15.8, 6.4 Hz)                                       | 136.2            | 2″       | 3.24 (m)                                       | 76.2             |
| 9        | 4.33 (d, <i>J</i> = 6.4 Hz)  | 69.6             | 3″       | 3.27 (m)                                       | 77.9             |
| 10       | 1.26 (d, <i>J</i> = 6.4 Hz)  | 24.1             | 4″       | 3.28 (m)                                       | 71.5             |
| 11       | 1.21 (s)   | 26.3             | 5″       | 3.37 (m)                                       | 77.7             |
| 12       | 0.87 (s)   | 27.5             | 6″       | 3.68 (m)<br>3.92 (dd, J = 12.1, 1.6 Hz)        | 62.6             |
| 13       | 1.11 (s)   | 27.1             |          |  |                  |

**Table 1.** <sup>1</sup>H (800 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C (200 MHz) NMR data of compound **1** in CD<sub>3</sub>OD, ( $\delta$  in ppm, *J* in Hz).



Figure 3. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR calculations of **1a** and **1b**.

Compound 2 was obtained as a white amorphous powder, and the molecular formula was confirmed as  $C_{20}H_{30}O_{13}$  by HR-ESI-MS (negative) (m/z 477.1610 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>, calcd. for  $C_{20}H_{29}O_{13}$ , 477.1614). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound **2** showed the signals of two sets of methylene protons [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.71(2H, t, J = 7.1 Hz, H-7) and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.69 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, H-8)], an ABX aromatic system proton [ $\delta_H$  7.15(1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-2),  $\delta_H$  6.76 (1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, H-5) and  $\delta_{\rm H} 6.80$  (1H, d, J = 2.0, 8.1 Hz, H-6)], and two sets of glucosyl anomeric protons [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.78 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H-1') and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.74 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H-1")], which indicated the presence of 3,4- dihydroxyphenyl alcohol and two glucose units. Further comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound **2** with 3, 4-dihydroxyphenyl alcohol 3-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (6) [14] suggested that compound 2 contained one more glucose unit than 6. In HMBC (Figure 4), the correlation between H-1' [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.78 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz)] with C-3 ( $\delta_{C}$  146.7) suggested one  $\beta$ -glucosyl moiety was located at the C-3 position of the aromatic cycle. Correlations of HMBC from H-1<sup>''</sup> [ $\delta_H$  4.74 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz)] with C-2' ( $\delta_{C}$  83.3) and H-2" [ $\delta_{H}$  3.75(1H, m)] with C-1"( $\delta_{C}$  105.7) indicated that another  $\beta$ -glucosyl moiety was attached at the C-2' position. On the basis of the above evidence, a new phenylethanoid glucoside was structurally determined as 3, 4-dihydroxyphenethyl alcohol 3-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl (1 $\rightarrow$ 2)- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (2), named thesiumin B.



Figure 4. Selected HMBC and <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlations of 2 and 3.

Compound **3** was obtained as a white amorphous powder, and the molecular formula was confirmed as  $C_{18}H_{32}O_{13}$  by HR-ESI-MS (negative) (m/z 423.1871 [M–H]<sup>-</sup>, calcd. for  $C_{18}H_{31}O_{13}$ , 423.1874). The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (Table 2) together with the HSQC spectrum showed three sets of methylenes [ $\delta_{H}$  2.00 (2H, m, J = 7.3 Hz),  $\delta_{C}$  20.3, C-5;  $\delta_{H}$  3.44 (2H, m),  $\delta_{C}$  27.6, C-2;  $\delta_{H}$  3.74 (2H, m),  $\delta_{C}$  68.3, C-1], one methyl group [ $\delta_{H}$  0.91 (3H, t, J = 7.5 Hz),  $\delta_{C}$  14.3, C-6], one cis-configuration double bond [ $\delta_{H}$  5.34 (1H, dt, J = 11.3, 6.9 Hz),  $\delta_{C}$  125.4, C-3;  $\delta_{H}$  5.39 (1H, dt, J = 7.7 Hz),  $\delta_{C}$  101.4, C-1';  $\delta_{H}$  4.37 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz),  $\delta_{C}$  104.2, C-1"], which indicated the presence of a carbon chain and two glucose units. In HMBC (Figure 4), the correlation between H-1' [ $\delta_{H}$  4.29 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz)] with C-1 ( $\delta_{C}$  68.3) suggested that one  $\beta$ -glucosyl moiety was located at the C-1 position of the carbon chain. Correlations of HMBC from H-1" [ $\delta_{H}$  4.29 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz)] with C-2' ( $\delta_{C}$  82.5) and H-2" [ $\delta_{H}$  2.98(1H, t, J = 8.2 Hz)] with C-1"( $\delta_{C}$  104.2) indicated that another  $\beta$ -glucosyl moiety was attached at the C-2' position. Furthermore, in HMBC, there was correlation between H-1 with C-3,

and the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlations of H-2/H-3, and H-4/H-5. Thus, compound **3** was identified as shown and named as (Z)-hex-3-ene 3-O- $\beta$ -D- glucopyranosyl (1 $\rightarrow$ 2)- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside, named thesiumin C.

**Table 2.** <sup>1</sup>H (600 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C (150 MHz) NMR data of compounds **2** in CD<sub>3</sub>OD, **3** in DMSO ( $\delta$  in ppm, *J* in Hz).

|          | 2                                 |                  | 3                                  |              |
|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Position | $\delta_{ m H}$                   | $\delta_{\rm C}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$              | $\delta_{C}$ |
| 1        | -                                 | 132.1            | 3.74 (m)<br>3.44 (m)               | 68.3         |
| 2        | 7.15 (d, J = 2.0 Hz)              | 119.9            | 2.26 (m)                           | 27.6         |
| 3        | -                                 | 146.9            | 5.34 (dt, <i>J</i> = 11.3, 6.9 Hz) | 125.4        |
| 4        | -                                 | 146.7            | 5.39 (dt, <i>J</i> = 11.1, 6.9 Hz) | 132.9        |
| 5        | 6.76 (d, J = 8.1  Hz)             | 116.4            | 2.00  (m,  J = 7.3  Hz)            | 20.3         |
| 6        | 6.80 (dd, J = 2.0, 8.1 Hz)        | 125.6            | 0.91 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz)        | 14.3         |
| 7        | 2.72 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz)            | 39.5             | -                                  | -            |
| 8        | 3.69 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.0 Hz)       | 64.3             | -                                  | -            |
| 1'       | 4.78 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz)            | 103.6            | 4.29 (d, J = 7.7 Hz)               | 101.4        |
| 2'       | 3.75 (m)                          | 83.3             | 3.20 (t, J = 8.4  Hz)              | 82.5         |
| 3'       | 3.40 (m)                          | 78.2             | 3.07 (m)                           | 77.1         |
| 4'       | 3.43 (m)                          | 71.0             | 3.10 (m)                           | 69.9         |
| 5'       | 3.64 (t, <i>J</i> = 8.9 Hz)       | 77.8             | 3.35 (t, <i>J</i> = 8.5 Hz)        | 76.1         |
| 6′       | 3.77 (m)<br>3.71 (m)              | 62.3             | 3.62 (m)<br>3.50 (m)               | 60.9         |
| 1″       | 4.74 (d, J = 7.8 Hz)              | 105.7            | 4.37 (d, J = 8.1 Hz)               | 104.2        |
| 2″       | 3.28 (dd, <i>J</i> = 9.2, 7.9 Hz) | 75.8             | 2.98 (t, <i>J</i> = 8.2 Hz)        | 75.0         |
| 3″       | 3.36 (m)                          | 78.5             | 3.12 (m)                           | 76.7         |
| 4″       | 3.35 (m)                          | 71.3             | 3.10 (m)                           | 69.8         |
| 5″       | 3.74 (m)                          | 77.7             | 3.13 (d, <i>J</i> = 2.6 Hz)        | 76.2         |
| 6″       | 3.93 (m)<br>3.70 (m)              | 64.3             | 3.66 (m)<br>3.43 (m)               | 61.0         |

Beside the three novel compounds (1–3), twenty-six known compounds (4–29), including two steroids (4–5) and twenty-four phenylpropanoids (6–29), were also identified from the whole plants of *T. chinense*. Their strctures were determined as: perlplogemn [15] (4), periplocin [16] (5), 2-hydroxy-5-(2-hydroxy-ethyl) phenyl- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (6) [11], 3, 5-dihydroxyphenethyl alcohol 3-*O*- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (7) [17], phenethyl alcohol  $\beta$ -sophoroside (8) [18], samsesquinoside (9) [19], pinoresinol-4-*O*-D- glucoside (10) [20], syringaresinol-4'-*O*- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (11) [21], dihydrodehydro diconiferyl alcohol 4-*O*- $\beta$ -D-glucoside (12) [22], (7S, 8R) 9'- methoxy- dehydrodiconiferyl alcohol 4-*O*- $\beta$ -Dglucopyranoside (13) [23], picraquassioside C (14) [24], citrusin A (15) [25], citrusin B (16) [25], (erythro, erythro)-1-[4-[2-hydroxy-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-phenyl)-1-(hydroxy methyl) ethoxy]-3, 5-dimethoxy phenyl]-1, 2, 3-propanetriol (17) [26], 1-(4-hydroxy-3methoxy)-phenyl -2-[4-(1, 2, 3-trihydroxy propyl)-2-methoxy]-phenoxy-1, 3-propan-diol (18) [27], lariciresinol-4-*O*- $\beta$ -D-glucoside (19) [28], ficusal (20) [29], 3-*O*-ethyl ferulate (21) [30], *p*-coumaric acid (22) [31], feruloylquinic acid (23) [32], syringin (24) [33], coniferin (25) [34], alatusol D (26) [35], scopolin (27) [36], scopoletin (28) [36] and sinapaldehyde glucoside (29) [37]. All the known compounds (4–29) were isolated from *Thesium chinense* Turcz for the first time.

### 2.2. Bio-Activities of Compounds 2-29

#### 2.2.1. Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant activities of all the isolated compounds except compound **1** were evaluated using DPPH radical scavenging assay (Table 3). Comparing with positive control (ascorbic acid,  $SC_{50} = 15.5 \pm 0.8 \mu$ M), compound **11** showed high antioxidant activity with an  $SC_{50}$  value of  $16.2 \pm 1.6 \mu$ M. Compounds **9**, **10**, **14**, **17**, **21** and **23** exhibited moderate activities with  $SC_{50}$  values ranging from 30.5 to 75.6  $\mu$ M. Compounds **6**, **7**, **18** and **26** displayed weak antioxidant activities on radical scavenging.

Table 3. Antioxidant activities of compounds and positive control in DPPH radical scavenging assay.

| Compound | SC <sub>50</sub> (μM) | Compound      | SC <sub>50</sub> (μM) |
|----------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| 1        | nd *                  | 16            | nc **                 |
| 2        | nc **                 | 17            | $75.6\pm6.1$          |
| 3        | nc **                 | 18            | $127.9 \pm 15.4$      |
| 4        | nc **                 | 19            | $48.8\pm2.9$          |
| 5        | nc **                 | 20            | nc **                 |
| 6        | $194.9 \pm 12.6$      | 21            | $50.8 \pm 1.4$        |
| 7        | $255.2\pm12.6$        | 22            | nc **                 |
| 8        | nc **                 | 23            | $36.4\pm5.0$          |
| 9        | $47.3\pm2.3$          | 24            | $50.8 \pm 1.4$        |
| 10       | $30.5\pm 6.5$         | 25            | nc **                 |
| 11       | $16.2\pm1.6$          | 26            | $284.0\pm16.5$        |
| 12       | nc **                 | 27            | nc **                 |
| 13       | nc **                 | 28            | nc **                 |
| 14       | nc **                 | 29            | nc **                 |
| 15       | nc **                 | Ascorbic acid | $15.6\pm0.8$          |

<sup>\*</sup> nd, the weight was too small to conduct related detect. <sup>\*\*</sup> nc, SC50 cannot be calculated by GraphPad Prism 9.0 software due to weak or no related activities. SC50: radical-scavenging activity (concentration in  $\mu$ M required for 50% reduction of DPPH radicals).

### 2.2.2. Anti-Inflammatory Activity

The in vitro anti-inflammatory activities of compounds **2–29** were evaluated by their inhibitory effects on NO production in LPS-activated RAW 264.7 cell models, and the results showed that most compounds had no anti-inflammatory activities except for ethyl ferulate (**21**) (Table 4). Compared with the positive control quercetin (IC<sub>50</sub> =  $11.1 \pm 1.4 \mu$ M), compound **21** displayed considerable anti-inflammatory activity with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 28.6 ± 3.0  $\mu$ M. Recent in vivo studies reported that ethyl ferulate (**21**) also displayed obvious anti-inflammatory effects against LPS-induced acute lung injury in mice [38,39].

Table 4. NO inhibitory activities of compounds and positive control in RAW 264.7 cell line.

| Compounds | IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) | Cytotoxicity (IC <sub>50</sub> ) | Compounds   | IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) | Cytotoxicity (IC <sub>50</sub> ) |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1         | nd *                  | nd *                             | 2-20, 22-29 | >200                  | >200                             |
| 21        | $28.6\pm3.0$          | >200                             | Quercetin   | $11.1\pm1.4$          | >50                              |

\* nd, the amount of compound 1 was too small for activity detection. IC<sub>50</sub> values were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).

#### 2.2.3. Cytotoxic Activity

In the CCK-8 assay, many of the isolated compounds from *T. chinense* showed moderate or considerable cytotoxic activities against four human cancer cell lines of A549, NCI-H292, SiHa and MKN45 (Table 5). Among them, Scopoletin (**28**) showed moderate and selective cytotoxic activity against MKN45 with the IC<sub>50</sub> value of  $52.8 \pm 5.3 \mu$ M. Perlplogemn (**4**) displayed potent cytotoxic activity against NCI-H292, SiHa, A549, and MKN45 with IC<sub>50</sub> values of  $17.4 \pm 2.4$ ,  $22.2 \pm 1.1$ ,  $9.7 \pm 0.9$ , and  $9.5 \pm 0.7 \mu$ M, respectively. It is worth noting

that periplocin (5) demonstrated promising cytotoxic activity against the four human cancer cell lines with all the IC<sub>50</sub> values less than 0.1  $\mu$ M compared to that of the positive control cisplatin (IC<sub>50</sub> = 7.0  $\pm$  0.3  $\mu$ M). Both perlplogemn (4) and periplocin (5) are known for their cytotoxicity towards different cancer cells, and are now considered as potent leading compounds for several tumors with high drug resistance [40–42].

| Compounds                   | IC <sub>50</sub> (μM)                           |                    |                       |                       |  |
|-----------------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Compounds                   | A549  | NCI-H292           | SiHa                  | MKN45                 |  |
| 1                           | nd *  | nd *               | nd *                  | nd *                  |  |
| 4                           | $17.4\pm2.4$                                    | $22.2\pm1.1$       | $9.7\pm0.9$           | $9.5\pm0.7$           |  |
| 5                           | 0.1>  | 0.1>               | 0.1>                  | 0.1>                  |  |
| 28                          | >200  | >200               | >200                  | $52.8\pm5.3$          |  |
| 2, 3, 6–27, 29<br>cisplatin | $\begin{array}{c} >200\\ 19.5\pm6.7\end{array}$ | >200<br>52.8 ± 5.3 | >200<br>$7.0 \pm 0.7$ | $>200 \\ 7.0 \pm 0.3$ |  |

Table 5. Human Cancer Cell Proliferation Inhibition of Compounds and Positive Control.

\* nd, the amount of compound 1 was too small for activity detection. IC<sub>50</sub> values were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).

# 3. Materials and Methods

# 3.1. General Experimental Procedures

A Shimadzu UV-2401PC spectrophotometer was used to obtain the UV spectra. A Thermo NICOLET Is10 FT-IR spectrometer was used for IR spectra with KBr pellets. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on an Avance III-600 spectrometer with TMS as internal standard, and chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are expressed in ppm. MS and HR-MS were performed on an Agilent 1290 UPLC/6540 Q-TOF spectrometer. Column chromatography was carried out on Sephadex LH-20 gel (25–100 µm, Pharmacia Fine Chemical Co., Ltd., Stockholm, Sweden), MCI-gel (75–150 µm, Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), ODS silica gel (50 µm, YMC Ltd., Kyoto, Japan) and silica gel (200–300 mesh, Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co., Ltd., Qingdao, China). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on silica gel GF254 precoated plates (Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co., Ltd., Qingdao, China), and spots were detected by spraying with 5% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in EtOH followed by heating.

# 3.2. Plant Material

The whole dry herb of *T. chinense* was collected from Xiangyang city, Hubei Province, China in May 2019. The species was identified by Prof. Kai-Jin Wang at the School of Life Sciences, Anhui University, and a voucher specimen (No. 20190927) was deposited in the School of Pharmacy, Anhui Medical University.

#### 3.3. Extraction and Isolation

The air-dried whole plant of *T. chinense* (10 kg) was extracted with 85% EtOH ( $3 \times 100$  L, each 4 h) at 60 °C. The combined EtOH extracts were evaporated at 60 °C using a rotatory evaporator with a vacuum pump to obtain suspended water (9 L), the suspension was successively extracted with petroleum ether, EtOAc, n-BuOH (1:2, v/v, three times each).

The EtOAc fraction (369 g) was partitioned into six fractions (Fr. E1 $\rightarrow$ E6) by MCI gel column chromatography (CC) eluted with EtOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (50:50 to 100:0, v/v). Fr. E1 was separated by MCI gel CC eluted with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (10:90 to 100:0, v/v) to afford five subfractions (Fr. E1-1 $\rightarrow$ Fr. E1-5). Fr. E1-4 was fractioned over Sephadex LH-20 gel CC eluted with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (10:90 to 100:0, v/v) and further purified by silica gel CC eluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH (40:1) to obtain compounds **28** (25.0 mg) and **29** (5.0 mg). Fr. E2 was chromatographed on silica gel CC eluted with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (5:95 to 100:0, v/v) to yield compound **20** (4.0 mg). Fr. E3 was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 gel CC eluted with EtOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (50:50, v/v) and then purified by ODS gel CC to obtain compound **21**(4.3 mg).

The n-BuOH fraction (950 g) was separated by silica gel CC eluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (12.25:3:0.1, v/v) to afford four fractions (Fr.1 $\rightarrow$ 4). Fr.1 was further separated

by silica gel CC eluted with a gradient of  $CH_2Cl_2$ -MeOH (25:1 to 1:1, v/v) to produce three fractions (Fr.1-1 $\rightarrow$ Fr.1-3). Fr.1-1 was subjected to MCI gel CC eluted with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (10:90 to 100:0, v/v) to afford six subfractions (Fr.1-1-1 $\rightarrow$ Fr.1-1-6). Fr.1-1-3 was separated by Sephadex LH-20 gel CC and then purified by silica gel CC eluted with a gradient of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH (50:1 to 18:1, v/v) to give compound **3** (63 mg) and **26** (7.8 mg), and further purified by ODS gel CC eluted with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (5:95 to 100:0, v/v) to obtain compounds 17 (10.3 mg), 27 (2.2 mg) and 23 (15.0 mg). Fr.1-1-5 was chromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 gel CC eluted with MeOH (10:90, v/v) and further purified by ODS gel CC eluted with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (5:95, v/v) to yield compounds 1 (1.8 mg), 9 (10.0 mg), 10 (25.0 mg) and 11 (25.0 mg). Fr.1-2 was fractioned on MCI gel CC eluted with a gradient of MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (10:90 to 100:0, v/v) to produce eleven fractions (Fr.1-2-1 $\rightarrow$ Fr.1-2-5). Fr.1-2-2 was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 gel CC and further purified by ODS gel CC eluted with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (5:95, v/v) to obtain compounds 18 (10.0 mg), 24 (2.3 mg) and 25 (6.0 mg), respectively. Fr.1-2-5 was chromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 gel CC eluted with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (10:90, v/v) and further purified by ODS gel CC eluted with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (5:95, v/v) to give compounds 13 (77.0 mg), 19 (120.0 mg), 16 (20.0 mg), 14 (2.3 mg) and 22 (15.5 mg). Fr.1-3 was fractioned over Sephadex LH-20 gel CC eluted with MeOH- $H_2O$ (10:90, v/v) and further purified by silica gel CC eluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH (15:1, v/v) to obtain compounds 4 (86 mg), 12 (220.0 mg) and 7 (80.0 mg). Fr.2 was subjected to silica gel CC eluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH (5:1, v/v) to give three fractions (Fr.2-1 $\rightarrow$ Fr.2-3). Fr.2-3 was fractioned over Sephadex LH-20 gel CC eluted with a gradient of MeOH- $H_2O$  (10:90 to 100:0, v/v) and then purified by recrystallization to obtain compounds 15 (40.0 mg) and 8 (14.0 mg). Fr.3 was chromatographed on silica gel CC eluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$ -MeOH (16:1 to 2:1, v/v) and separated by Sephadex LH-20 gel CC eluted with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (10:90, v/v) to yield compounds 5 (96 mg) and 6 (210.0 mg), and further purified by ODS gel CC eluted with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (10:90, v/v) to obtain compound **2** (66.0 mg).

## Characterization of the Isolated Compounds 1, 2, 3:

Thesiumin A (1): White amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -26.70$  (*c* 0.10, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 204 (3.4) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$ : 3391, 2960, 2923, 2876, 2854, 1735, 1606, 1508, 1456, 1383, 1317, 1262, 1229, 1171, 1074, 1030, 938, 895, 862, 803, 774, 747, 718, 623, 579 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS (negative) *m/z*: 568 [M–H]<sup>-</sup>, HR-ESI-MS (negative) *m/z* 567.2654 [M–H]<sup>-</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>43</sub>O<sub>14</sub>, 567.2658).

Thesiumin B (2): White amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -1.20$  (*c* 0.30, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 202.5 (4.28), 217.5 (3.95), 279.0 (3.50) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3456, 3390, 2927, 2882, 1608, 1512, 1436, 1382, 1374, 1274, 1233, 1134, 1077, 885, 825, 804, 565 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS (negative) *m/z*: 477 [M–H]<sup>-</sup>, HR-ESI-MS (negative) *m/z* 477.1610 [M–H]<sup>-</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>29</sub>O<sub>13</sub>, 477.1614).

Thesiumin C (3): White amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -14.22$  (*c* 1.00, DMSO); UV (DMSO)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 252.5 (1.1), 279.5 (1.4) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$ : 3371, 3008, 2963, 2964, 2881, 1409, 1371, 1231, 1161, 1077, 895 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESI-MS (negative) *m/z*: 424 [M–H]<sup>-</sup>, HR-ESI-MS (negative) *m/z* 423.1871 [M–H]<sup>-</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>13</sub>, 423.1874).

## 3.4. DPPH Radical Scavenging Assay

The 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (Aldrich Chem. Co. Ltd, Shanghai, China) radical scavenging activity assay was performed according to the previously published method [43] with some modification. Test samples were dissolved in MeOH to six different concentrations ranging from 3.125 to 100  $\mu$ M. Then, 100  $\mu$ L of 100  $\mu$ M DPPH in MeOH were mixed with 100  $\mu$ L of samples at different concentrations in the wells of 96-well plates. The reaction mixtures were incubated in the dark at 37 °C for 30 min and then measured at 517 nm. Ascorbic acid was used as the positive control. The scavenging activity (SC) was estimated as follows: % SC =  $[1 - (A_{sample} - A_{blank})/A_{control}] \times 100$ .  $A_{sample}$  was the equivalent mixture of test sample and DPPH solution.  $A_{blank}$  was the equivalent mixture of DPPH and

MeOH solution. The SC<sub>50</sub> values were used to evaluate the antioxidant activities of isolated compounds. The experiments were performed in triplicate, and the data were expressed as the means  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments.

# 3.5. Anti-Inflammatory Activity

The macrophage RAW 264.7 cells were obtained from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). A total of 180  $\mu$ L of RAW 264.7 cells (1  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup>) were plated respectively in each well of 96-well plate and cultured for 24 h, then the supernatant of the culture was discarded and 180  $\mu$ L complete medium with 1  $\mu$ g/mL LPS and different concentrations of test samples were added into triplicate wells for 24 h. The inhibition effects on LPS-stimulated NO production was evaluated by the Griess reaction assay. The experiments were performed in triplicate, and the data were expressed as the means  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments.

The cell viability was determined by the CCK-8 assay method. The cytotoxicity was calculated from the plotted results using untreated cells at 100%.

# 3.6. Cytotoxic Assay (CCK-8 Assay)

Four human cancer cell lines, A549, NCI-H292, SiHa and MKN45, were purchased from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). All cancer cells were cultured in 1640 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sijiqing, Huzhou, China) at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Cisplatin (Abmole Bioscience, Shanghai, China) was used as positive control.

A total of 180  $\mu$ L of cancer cells (5 × 10<sup>3</sup>) were plated in each well of 96-well plates and cultured for 24 h, then the supernatant of the culture was discarded and 180  $\mu$ L complete medium with different concentrations of test samples were added into triplicate wells of each cell line, respectively. Thereafter, A549, NCI-H292, SiHa and MKN45 were incubated for 48 h, respectively. The cell viability was evaluated by Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Best Bio., Beijing, China), respectively. The experiments were performed in triplicate, and the data were expressed as the means ± SD of three independent experiments.

#### 4. Conclusions

In summary, three new compounds (1–3) along with two known steroids (4–5) and twenty-four known phenylpropanoids (6–29) were isolated from the EtOAc and n-BuOH extracts from the whole plant of *T. chinense*. All the compounds were isolated from this species for the first time. The isolates except for compound 1 are evaluated for their antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities as well as their in vitro cytotoxic activities against four human cancer cell lines. The two new biglycosides (2 and 3) have no activity. Only compound **21** displayed considerable anti-inflammatory activity with an  $IC_{50}$  value of 28.6  $\pm$  3.0  $\mu$ M by inhibited LPS-induced NO production in RAW 264.7 cells. Most phenylpropanoids with a phenolic hydroxyl group displayed significant or moderate scavenging activity on DPPH radicals (Table 3). Compared to the positive control ascorbic acid, the three furofuran lignan glycosides (9–11) and tetrahydrofuran lignan glycoside (19) displayed significant scavenging activity on DPPH radicals. The two oxyneoligan 17 and 18 showed moderate activity, and compound 17 displayed much stronger activity than that of 18, which indicated that the methoxy substitution on the benzene ring could enhance the activity. Two phenylethyl derivatives 6 and 7 exhibited weak activity. The phenylpropanoids 8, 12–16 and 29 without phenolic hydroxyl group did not show activity, which suggests that the phenolic hydroxyl group may be one of the key factors in the enhancement of the antioxidant activity.

Two steroid compounds **4** and **5** with  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated lactone ring showed extremely strong cytotoxicity against all four tested cancer cell lines, with IC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from < 0.1 to 22.2  $\pm$  1.1  $\mu$ M, relative to the positive control, cisplatin (IC<sub>50</sub> values from 7.0  $\pm$  0.3 to 52.8  $\pm$  5.3  $\mu$ M). In particular, compound **5** showed the strongest cytotoxicity, and its four IC<sub>50</sub> values were less than 0.1  $\mu$ M. Compound **5** was formed by *O*-glycosylation

at C-3 of **4**, which allowed us to reach a preliminary deduction that *O*-glycosylation at C-3 caused a more positive effect on cytotoxic activity. Compound **28** showed highly selective cytotoxic activity against MKN45 with the IC<sub>50</sub> value of  $52.8 \pm 5.3 \mu$ M among the four human cancer cell lines. Of all the compounds tested, only compounds **4**, **5** and **28**, with  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -unsaturated lactone ring, showed certain cytotoxicity, which suggested that  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -unsaturated lactone ring was an active functional group of anti-tumor, and this research result is consistent with the literature report [44].

This study not only revealed the structural diversity of the chemical components of *T. chinense*, but also provided lead compounds for the development of antioxidants, anti-inflammatory and antitumor agents, and promoted reasonable use of this herb.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules28062685/s1, Figures S1–S34 showing 1D, 2D NMR, MS-ESI, HRMS-ESI, IR, UV, rotation spectrum of **1–3** and Tables S1–S3 showing "GIAO" method results and Energies of the calculated configuration of **1a**, **1b**.

**Author Contributions:** Z.-Z.L.: conceptualization, investigation, data curation and writing—original draft preparation. J.-C.M.: investigation and formal analysis. P.D.: Research literature and relevant information collection. F.-C.R. and N.L.: supervision, project administration, writing-reviewing and editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by [the National Science Foundation of China] grant number (32270424), [Major Project of Science and Technology of Anhui Province, China] grant number (202203a07020014), [Scientific Research Platform Improvement Project of Anhui Medical University] grant number (2022xkjT045), and the APC was funded by [the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences].

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding authors.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# References

- Nickrent, D.L.; García, M.A. Lacomucinaea, a new monotypic genus in Thesiaceae (Santalales). *Phytotaxa* 2015, 224, 173–184. [CrossRef]
- 2. Editorial Board of Flora of China. Flora of China; Science Press: Beijing, China, 1988; pp. 76–80.
- 3. Li, G.H.; Fang, K.L.; Yang, K.; Cheng, X.P.; Wang, X.N.; Shen, T.; Lou, H.X. Thesium chinense Turcz.: An ethnomedical, phytochemical and pharmacological review. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2021**, *273*, 113950. [CrossRef]
- Lombard, N.; Stander, M.A.; Redelinghuys, H.; Le-Roux, M.M.; Van-Wyk, B.E. A Study of Phenolic Compounds and Their Chemophenetic Value in the Genus Thesium (Santalaceae). *Diversity* 2022, 14, 590. [CrossRef]
- Lombard, N.; Van-Wyk, B.E.; Le-Roux, M.M. A review of the ethnobotany, contemporary uses, chemistry and pharmacology of the genus Thesium (Santalaceae). J. Ethnopharmacol. 2020, 256, 112745. [CrossRef]
- Parveen, Z.; Deng, Y.; Saeed, M.K.; Dai, R.; Ahamad, W.; Yu, Y.H. Antiinflammatory and analgesic activities of Thesium chinense Turcz extracts and its major flavonoids, kaempferol and kaempferol-3-O-glucoside. *Yakugaku Zasshi* 2007, 127, 1275–1279. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yuan, Y.; Long, Z.; Xu, X.; Wang, L.; Ying, M. Comparison of wild and cultured Thesium chinense Turcz on bacteriostasis and anti-inflammation. *Chin. J. Pharm. Biotec.* 2006, 13, 219–222.
- 8. Ding, X.; Zhang, S.; Ming, L. Analgesic effect of Bairui buccal tablet on mice. J. Huaihai Med. 2001, 1, 17–18.
- Shao, L.; Sun, Y.; Liang, J.; Li, M.; Li, X. Decolorization affects the structural characteristics and antioxidant activity of polysaccharides from Thesium chinense Turcz: Comparison of activated carbon and hydrogen peroxide decolorization. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2020, 155, 1084–1091. [CrossRef]
- 10. Xuan, W.; Tang, D.; Bian, J.; Hu, S.; Hu, J.; Fan, Z. Experimental study on therapeutic effect of Thesium chinense on adriamycin induced nephropathy in rats. *J. Pharm. Pract.* **2012**, *30*, 443–446.
- 11. Frisch, M.J.; Trucks, G.W.; Schlegel, H.B.; Scuseria, G.E.; Robb, M.A.; Cheeseman, J.R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G.A.; et al. *Gaussian 16, Version C.01. Software for NMR Computation*; Gaussian Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2022.

- 12. Lodewyk, M.W.; Siebert, M.R.; Tantillo, D.J. Computational Prediction of 1H and 13C Chemical Shifts: A Useful Tool for Natural Product, Mechanistic, and Synthetic Organic Chemistry. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 1839–1862. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Grimblat, N.; Zanardi, M.M.; Sarotti, A.M. Beyond DP4: An improved probability for the stereochemical assignment of isomeric compounds using quantum chemical calculations of NMR shifts. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 12526–12534. [CrossRef]
- 14. Sugiyama, M.; Kikuchi, M. Studies on the Constituents of Osmanthus Species. X. Structures of phenolic glucosides from the leaves of Osmanthus asiaticus Nakai. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1992**, *40*, 325–326. [CrossRef]
- 15. Kiichiro, K.; Masao, H.; Tsutomu, F. Biotransformation of digitoxigenin by cell suspension cultures of Strophanthus amboensis. *Phytochemistry* **1988**, *27*, 3475–3479.
- Seo, S.; Tomita, Y.; Tori, K. Biosynthesis of oleanene- and ursene-type triterpenes from [4-13C] mevalonic acid in tissue cultures of Isodon japonicus Hara. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1875, 6, 270–271. [CrossRef]
- 17. Saracoglu, I.; Varel, M.; Harput, U.S.; Nagatsu, A. Acylated flavonoids and phenol glycosides from Veronica thymoides subsp. Pseudocinerea. *Phytochemistry* **2004**, *65*, 2379–2385. [CrossRef]
- 18. Yin, J.G.; Yuan, C.S.; Jia, Z.J. A new iridoid and other chemical constituents from Pedicularis kansuensis forma albiflora Li. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* **2007**, *30*, 431–435. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Xiao, H.H.; Dai, Y.; Wong, M.S.; Yao, X.S. New lignans from the bioactive fraction of Sambucus williamsii Hance and proliferation activities on osteoblastic-like UMR106 cells. *Fitoterapia* **2014**, *94*, 29–35. [CrossRef]
- Tsukamoto, H.; Hisada, S.; Nishide, S. Lignans from bark of Fraxinus mandshurica var. japonica and F. japonica. *Chem. Pharm.* Bull. 1984, 32, 4482–4489. [CrossRef]
- Yang, D.; Wu, W.; Gan, G.; Wang, D.; Gong, J.; Fang, K.; Lu, F. (-)-Syringaresinol-4-O-beta-D-glucopyranoside from Cortex Albizziae inhibits corticosterone-induced PC12 cell apoptosis and relieves the associated dysfunction. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2020, 141, 111394. [CrossRef]
- 22. Matsuda, N.; Sato, H.; Yaoita, Y.; Kikuchi, M. Isolation and absolute structures of the neolignan glycosides with the enantiometric aglycones from the leaves of Viburnum awabuki K Koch. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1996**, *44*, 1122–1123. [CrossRef]
- 23. Iizuka, M.; Warashina, T.; Noro, T. Bufadienolides and a new lignan from the bulbs of Urginea maritima. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2001**, 49, 282–286. [CrossRef]
- 24. Qin, Y.; Yin, C.; Cheng, Z. A new tetrahydrofuran lignan diglycoside from Viola tianshanica Maxim. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 13636–13644. [CrossRef]
- Wu, T.; He, F.; Ma, Q.L.; Chen, J.; Aisa, H.A. Chemical constituents of Artemisia rupestris. *Chem. Nat. Comp.* 2017, 53, 991–993. [CrossRef]
- Li, L.; Seeram, N.P. Further investigation into maple syrup yields 3 new lignans, a new phenylpropanoid, and 26 other phytochemicals. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 2011, 59, 7708–7716. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Greca, M.D.; Ferrara, M.; Fiorentino, A.; Monaco, P.; Previtera, L. Antialgal compounds from Zantedeschia aethiopica. *Phytochem-istry* 1998, 49, 1299–1304. [CrossRef]
- 28. Sugiyama, M.; Kikuchi, M. Characterization of lariciresinol glucosides from Osmanthus asiaticus. *Heterocycles* 1993, 36, 117–121.
- Li, Y.C.; Kuo, Y.H. Four new compounds, ficusal, ficusesquilignan A, B, and ficusolide diacetate from the heartwood of Ficus microcarpa. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 2000, 48, 1862–1865. [CrossRef]
- Suárez-Escobedo, L.; Gotor-Fernández, V. Solvent role in the lipase-catalysed esterification of cinnamic acid and derivatives, optimisation of the biotransformation conditions. *Tetrahedron* 2021, *81*, 131873. [CrossRef]
- Alavi, S.H.R.; Yassa, N.; Hajiaghaee, R.; Yekta, M.M.; Ashtiani, N.R.; Ajani, Y.; Hadjiakhondi, A. Phenolic compounds from Peucedanum ruthenicum M. Bieb, Iran. J. Pharm. Res. 2009, 8, 71–75.
- Kamto, E.L.D.; Ngono, D.S.B.; Mbing, J.N.; Atchadé, A.T.; Pegnyemb, D.E.; Westhuizen, J.H. An aromatic amide C-glycoside and a cyclitol derivative from stem barks of Piper guineense Schum and Thonn (Piperaceae). *Phytochem. Lett.* 2014, 10, 76–81. [CrossRef]
- 33. Liu, L.; Zou, M.; Yin, Q.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, X. Phenylpropanoids from Liparis nervosa and their in vitro antioxidant and α-glucosidase inhibitory activities. *Med. Chem. Res.* **2021**, *30*, 1005–1010. [CrossRef]
- 34. Sticher, O.; Lahloub, M.F. Phenolic glycosides of Paulownia tomentosa bark. Planta Med. 1982, 46, 145–148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Kim, K.H.; Ha, S.K.; Choi, S.U.; Kim, S.Y.; Lee, K.R. Phenolic Constituents from the twigs of Euonymus alatus and their cytotoxic and anti-inflammatory activity. *Planta Med.* **2013**, *79*, 361–364. [CrossRef]
- Zang, E.H.; Chen, Z.W.; Zhang, C.H.; Li, M.H. Chemical constituents of *Physochlaina physaloides* (L.) G. Don (Solanaceae). *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 2021, 98, 104332. [CrossRef]
- Wang, C.; Chao, Z.; Sun, W.; Wu, X.; Ito, Y. Isolation of glycosides from the barks of Ilex Rotunda by high-speed counter-current chromatography. J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol. 2014, 37, 2363–2376. [CrossRef]
- Wu, X.; Wang, Y.Y.; Gao, Z.Q.; Chen, D.; Liu, G.; Wan, B.B.; Jiang, F.J.; Wei, M.X.; Zuo, J.; Zhu, J.; et al. Ethyl ferulate protects against lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury by activating AMPK/Nrf2 signaling pathway. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 2021, 42, 2069–2081. [CrossRef]
- 39. Wang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Li, L.; Zhang, Z.; Wei, C.X.; Gong, G.H. Ethyl ferulate contributes to the inhibition of the inflammatory responses in murine RAW 264.7 macrophage cells and acute lung injury in mice. *PLoS ONE* **2021**, *16*, e0251578. [CrossRef]
- Sharma, K.; Kumar, H.; Priyanka. Formation of nitrogen-containing six-membered heterocycles on steroidal ring system: A review. *Steroids* 2022, 191, 109171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 41. Jayatunge, N.; Duncan, T.; Knapp, S.; Oligbo, N.; Thirunavukkarasu, N.; Mazibrada, J. Different clinocopathological presentations of steroid cell tumour—Report of three rare cases. *Int. J. Surg. Case Rep.* **2023**, *102*, 107842. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Ishii, N.; Hatakeyama, S.; Yoneyama. Humoral response after SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination in patients with prostate cancer using steroids. *Urol. Oncol.* 2022, 40, 451.e1–451.e8. [CrossRef]
- 43. Herald, T.J.; Gadgil, P.; Tilley, M. High-throughput micro plate assays for screening flavonoid content and DPPH-scavenging activity in sorghum bran and flour. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2012, 92, 2326–2331. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Ngoc, N.T.; Hanh, T.T.H.; Quang, T.H.; Cuong, N.X.; Nam, N.H.; Thao, D.T.; Thung, D.C.; Kiem, P.V.; Minh, C.V. Polyhydroxylated steroids from the Vietnamese soft coral Sarcophyton ehrenbergi. *Steroids* **2021**, *176*, 108932. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.