

# Article Emulsifying Properties of Rhamnolipids and Their In Vitro Antifungal Activity against Plant Pathogenic Fungi

Dongmei Li<sup>1</sup>, Weiyi Tao<sup>2</sup>, Dinghua Yu<sup>1</sup> and Shuang Li<sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> College of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Nanjing Tech University, Nanjing 211816, China
- <sup>2</sup> College of Food Science and Light Industry, Nanjing Tech University, Nanjing 211816, China
- \* Correspondence: lishuang@njtech.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-25-5813-9942; Fax: +86-25-5813-9942

**Simple Summary:** The components of the rhamnolipid products of various sources are usually significantly different. This may hinder the application of rhamnolipid products. The rhamnolipid products with known structural components were used to test their emulsifying properties toward solvents in pesticide and their antifungal performance against plant pathogenic fungi. The results showed that the different components of rhamnolipids had no significant effect on the emulsifying performance, however, increased amounts of di-rhamnolipids in products exhibited stronger antifungal activity. We hope this work will be helpful to promote the application of rhamnolipids as pesticide adjuvants.

**Abstract:** Rhamnolipids have significant emulsifying activity and the potential to become a component of pesticide emulsifier. Rhamnolipids are usually composed of two main components: monorhamnolipids (Rha- $C_{10}$ - $C_{10}$ ) and di-rhamnolipids (Rha<sub>2</sub>- $C_{10}$ - $C_{10}$ ). The proportion of di-rhamnolipids in the products ranged between 15% and 90%, affected by the production strains and fermentation process. In this paper, three kinds of rhamnolipid products containing di-rhamnolipids proportions, of 25.45, 46.46 and 89.52%, were used to test their emulsifying ability toward three conventional solvents used in pesticide (S-200, xylene, cyclohexanone) and antifungal activities against five strains of plant pathogenic fungi (*Phytophthora capsici, Phytophthora parasitica var.nicotianae, Colletotrichum destructivum, Colletotrichum sublineolum, Fusarium oxysporum*). The results indicated that although the CMC of the three rhamnolipids were significantly different, their emulsification properties had no remarkable differences, at a concentration of 10 g/L. However, their antifungal activities were significantly different: the more di-rhamnolipids, the stronger the antifungal activity. This work helps to promote the application of rhamnolipids as pesticides adjuvants.

Keywords: rhamnolipid; biosurfactant; emulsification; pesticide adjuvants; antifungal activity

# 1. Introduction

Rhamnolipids are one of the most widely studied biosurfactants. within comparison to chemical surfactants, rhamnolipids are highly biocompatible and biodegradable, and have potential applications in agriculture, cosmetics, food, microbial enhanced oil recovery (MEOR), environmental remediation and other industrial fields [1–5]. Rhamnolipids are metabolites of microorganisms that often exist in the form of mixtures, consisting of one or two units of rhamnose attached to one or two fatty acid chains with  $C_8-C_{14}$  carbon atoms, which may or may not be saturated, primarily including Rha- $C_{10}$ - $C_{10}$ , Rha<sub>2</sub>- $C_{10}-C_{10}$  and Rha- $C_{10}$  [6,7]. The specific composition of rhamnolipids is closely related to the production strain, fermentation substrate and process conditions, and the proportion of di-rhamnolipids are usually between 15–90% [8–10].

The composition of rhamnolipids have a great influence on their physical and chemical properties and application effects. Wu et al. [11] compared the surface/interface properties and aggregation behavior of mono-rhamnolipids and di-rhamnolipids, and



Citation: Li, D.; Tao, W.; Yu, D.; Li, S. Emulsifying Properties of Rhamnolipids and Their In Vitro Antifungal Activity against Plant Pathogenic Fungi. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 7746. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules27227746

Academic Editor: Simone Carradori

Received: 20 October 2022 Accepted: 8 November 2022 Published: 10 November 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). found that the surface activity of mono-rhamnolipids was superior that of di-rhamnolipids. Rocha et al. [8] compared mono-rhamnolipids and di-rhamnolipids in MEOR applications and found that all rhamnolipids homologues had potential for MEOR applications. However, mono-rhamnolipids stood out due to their robustness under various physical and chemical conditions, which resemble an oil production reservoir. In terms of biological inhibition, Stanghellini and Miller [12] used methods such as column chromatography to separate mono-rhamnolipids and di-rhamnolipids, and found that di-rhamnolipids were comparable or better than mono-rhamnolipids in causing cleavage. However, rhamnolipids were usually produced and used as a mixture of homologs, due to the uneconomical separation and extraction process in practical applications [13]. As the homolog ratios of rhamnolipids products may affect their performance, we found it necessary to compare the emulsification capability and antifungal activity of rhamnolipids with varying contents of mono-rhamnolipids and di-rhamnolipids.

### 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Production, Purification and Characterization of Rhamnolipid Products

Three rhamnolipid products were obtained by fermentation with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* YM4, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* HN and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, respectively. Strain *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* YM4 could efficiently produce di-rhamnolipid as the predominant component with glycerol as its carbon source [10]. Strain HN was isolated from oil contaminated soil; and strain PAO1 was kindly given by Prof. Xiaoyu Yong (@njtech).

For seed culture, all the strains were cultured in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium (10 g/L peptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, 10 g/L NaCl) at 200 rpm and 37 °C for 12 h, respectively. Next, it was transferred into the 250 mL baffled shake flask, containing 50 mL fermentation medium, at a 3% (v/v) inoculation rate. The fermentation for rhamnolipid production was continued at 37 °C and 180 rpm for 96 h. The fermentation medium comprised (g/L) NaNO<sub>3</sub> (8), K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O (4), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (4), MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (0.2), CaCl<sub>2</sub> (0.1), yeast extract (1), trace elements solution (2.5 mL/L), and carbon sources (30), respectively. The trace elements solution contained (g/L) FeCl<sub>3</sub> (0.16), CuSO<sub>4</sub> (0.15), ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (1.5), and MnSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (1.5). For strain YM4, glycerol was used as the carbon source; for the other two strains, soybean oil was used as the carbon source. After fermentation, the cell free supernatant was collected for rhamnolipids extraction.

As described previously [10], rhamnolipids were precipitated by acid and extracted by ethyl acetate extraction; finally, the rhamnolipid products were obtained by rotary evaporation. As described previously [10], rhamnolipids were detected directly, without derivatization, by HPLC using an Evaporative Light Scattering Detector (ELSD).

### 2.2. Materials and Fungi

A hydrocarbon oil (S-200), which is environmentally friendly, containing aromatic hydrocarbons with high-flash points (104 °C) and low toxicity, with a density of 0.996 g/mL, was obtained from EXXON. The analytical grade xylene and cyclohexanone were supplied by Shanghai Zhanyun Chemical Co., Ltd., Shanghai. Lingfeng Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was acquired from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Technical-grade polyoxyethylene castor oil ethers (EL-40) were supplied by Shangdong Usolf Chemical Technology Co., Ltd.

Phytophthora capsici, Phytophthora parasitica var.nicotianae, Colletotrichum destructivum, Colletotrichum sublineolum, and Fusarium oxysporum were obtained as gifts from Professor Ling Qing (College of Plant Protection, Southwest University, Chongqing, China). Fungal cultures were maintained on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) at 28 °C.

## 2.3. Determination of the Critical Micelle Concentration (CMC) of Rhamnolipids

We determined the critical micelle concentration by measuring surface tension profiles of rhamnolipids dilutions [14]. We measured the surface tension changes with different con-

centrations of rhamnolipid solutions (0–200 mg/L) using an automatic tensiometer (BZY-3B, Shanghai Automation Instrumentation Sales Center, Shanghai, China) at room temperature.

### 2.4. Determination of the Emulsification

The surfactant solution was prepared at 10 g/L. 1.5 mL surfactants and 0.5 mL solvents (S-200, xylene, cyclohexanone) were mixed in an emulsifying vial at different pH. The vials were vortexed at high speed for 5 min, and subsequently kept still at room temperature for 7 days.

### 2.5. In vitro Bioassay for Antifungal Activity

### 2.5.1. Evaluation of Suppression of Mycelial Growth

The antifungal ability of rhamnolipids was tested in vitro by following the method of Hultberg et al. [15]. Different concentrations (0, 5, 25, 50, 100, and 200 mg/L w/v) of rhamnolipids were separately mixed with PDA, and then sterilized and poured into 90 mm Petri dishes. Freshly growing mycelia (7 mm each) were transferred to the middle of the plates. The plates were then incubated at 28 °C until the control treatment (0 mg/L) covered the entire plate. The diameters of the mycelium growth were determined and compared with the control, and then the inhibition rates were calculated.

# 2.5.2. Antifungal Activity against Fungal Spores

The refrigerated fungal mycelium was activated on a PDA plate for 6 days. The PDA colony covered with mycelia was punched with a sterilized puncher. Five pieces were picked and inoculated in the potato dextrose broth (PDB; Difco), and incubated at 28 °C, 120 r/min for 4 days. The culture was filtered through four layers of gauze to obtain spore suspension and the spore's concentration was adjusted to 10<sup>7</sup> spore/mL with a hemocytometer, under optical microscopy.

The antifungal activities of various concentrations of rhamnolipid products were tested against *F. oxysporum*, as outlined by Kim et al. [16], with some modifications. The rhamnolipids concentrations were 0, 5, 25, 50, 100, and 200 mg/L(w/v) in PDB. Spores suspension (0.2 mL) was mixed with 4 mL PDB. To compare the effect of rhamnolipids on spore germination, a positive control (only PDB) and a negative control (PDB + fungal spore suspension) were kept. We set up three repeats, and all were incubated at 28 °C. The number of germinated spores was counted on a hemocytometer, under optical microscopy.

### 2.6. Data Analysis

The data represent the arithmetical averages of three replicates and the error bars indicate the standard deviations. The antifungal activities of rhamnolipids at different concentrations were analyzed using the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by least significant differences (LSD) test (p < 0.05). Statistical analysis was performed using the social science statistical package Origin 2020 with the plugin Paired Comparison Plot installed.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Proportions of Rhamnolipid Products

The HPLC profiles of the rhamnolipid products are shown in Figure 1. It is evident that there were only two main components in the products: di-rhamnolipids ( $Rha_2-C_{10}-C_{10}$ ) (10.577 min) and mono-rhamnolipids ( $Rha-C_{10}-C_{10}$ ) (13.375 min) [10]. The peak area ratio was the proportion of the rhamnolipid structure in the homologues. The detailed homology ratios of the three rhamnolipid products are shown in Table 1. The di-rhamnolipids contents of the three rhamnolipid products were approximately 25.45, 46.46 and 89.52%, and then the three products were named DR<sub>25</sub>, DR<sub>46</sub> and DR<sub>90</sub>, respectively.



Figure 1. HPLC characterization of three rhamnolipid products.

Tabl	e 1.	The	components an	d puri	ty of	rhamno	lipid	products.
------	------	-----	---------------	--------	-------	--------	-------	-----------

<u>Classica</u>	Dhammalinida	Decasiter 0/	Homolog Ratio %				
Strain	Khamholipius	runny 70	Rha <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>10</sub>	Rha-C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>10</sub>	Others		
PAO1	DR <sub>25</sub>	60.31	25.45	66.78	7.77		
HN	$DR_{46}$	65.39	46.46	43.86	9.68		
YM4	DR <sub>90</sub>	79.65	89.52	5.96	4.52		

# 3.2. The Critical Micelle Concentration (CMC)

As shown in Figure 2, all three of the rhamnolipids could significantly reduce the surface tension of water, from 72.0 to 28.5 mN/m. The CMC values of  $DR_{25}$ ,  $DR_{46}$ , and  $DR_{90}$  were 57.2, 65.6 and 75.2 mg/L, respectively.



Figure 2. The CMC determination for rhamnolipids.

# 3.3. Emulsifying Activity of Rhamnolipids on Solvents in Pesticides

S-200, xylene and cyclohexanone are three typical organic solvents commonly used in pesticides, and were selected as the organic phase to test the emulsifying activity of the three rhamnolipid products. The main component of S-200 is alkane; xylene is an aromatic hydrocarbon, which is a mixture of industrial grade *o*-xylene, *m*-xylene and *p*-xylene; cyclohexanone is one of the most polar substances in organic solvents.

### 3.3.1. Emulsification of Chemical Emulsifiers and Rhamnolipids

SDS is a chemical emulsifier commonly used in the laboratory and EL-40 is a chemical emulsifier commonly used in pesticide formulations. All of the emulsifier solutions were used at the concentration of 10 g/L, pH 7.0. The results (Table 2) showed that the preferred solvent of SDS was cyclohexanone. On the contrary, the emulsification of EL-40 to cyclohexanone was poor, and xylene and S-200 were suitable solvents for EL-40. The emulsifying activities of rhamnolipids were similar to EL-40. Rhamnolipids had a good emulsifying effect on alkanes.

Table 2. Emulsification results of rhamnolipid products and chemical emulsifiers (SDS, EL-40)<sup>a</sup>.

F 110	Solvents						
Emulsifier	S-200	Xylene	Cyclohexanone				
DR <sub>25</sub>	++	++	-				
$DR_{46}$	++	++	-				
DR <sub>90</sub>	++	++	-				
EL-40	+++	+++	-				
SDS	++	++	+++				

<sup>a</sup> The rhamnolipids solutions were used at the concentration of 10 g/L, pH 7.0. Note: Emulsification effect evaluation. - No emulsification ability; + Unstable; ++ Emulsification stable; +++ Emulsification fine and stable.

# 3.3.2. The Effect of pH on Emulsification

The emulsifying activities of the rhamnolipids solution were determined under acidic (pH 5.5), neutral (pH 7.0) and alkaline (pH 8.5) conditions, respectively. As shown in Table 3, no emulsification layer formed in the cyclohexanone solvents. For S-200 and xylene, the emulsification layer could be formed, but they were unstable and easy to demulsify under acidic conditions. With respect to the same pH, there were no significant differences in the emulsification layer between each sample. Thus, the optimal pH for rhamnolipid solution was alkaline (pH 8.5).

	S-200			Xylene			Cyclohexanone		
pri value	DR <sub>25</sub>	DR <sub>46</sub>	DR <sub>90</sub>	DR <sub>25</sub>	DR <sub>46</sub>	DR <sub>90</sub>	DR <sub>25</sub>	DR <sub>46</sub>	DR <sub>90</sub>
5.5	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
7.0	++	++	++	++	++	++	-	-	-
8.5	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	-	-	-

Table 3. Emulsifying activity of DR<sub>25</sub>, DR<sub>46</sub> and DR<sub>90</sub> at various pH<sup>a</sup>.

<sup>a</sup> The rhamnolipids solutions were used at the concentration of 10 g/L. Note: Emulsification effect evaluation. - No emulsification ability; + Unstable; ++ Emulsification stable; +++ Emulsification fine and stable.

# 3.3.3. Emulsification Layer and Emulsified Particles Formed by Rhamnolipid Products

The rhamnolipids solution was used at the concentration of 10 g/L, pH 8.5. The emulsification layer and emulsified particles formed by the three rhamnolipids are shown in Figure 3. Both S-200 and xylene could form a stable emulsification layer, both with the same height. Furthermore, the morphology of the emulsified particles was observed under the microscope. The emulsified particles of xylene were larger than those of S-200, meaning that the emulsification layer of S-200 was more stable, and S-200 was the optimal solvent for rhamnolipids. With respect to the same solvent, there were no significant differences in the sizes of the emulsified particles.



**Figure 3.** Emulsifying activity of DR<sub>25</sub>, DR<sub>46</sub> and DR<sub>90</sub> toward S-200, xylene and cyclohexanone. (a) Emulsification layer formed in solvents; (b) The morphology of emulsified particles.

# 3.4. Evaluation of Antifungal Activity of Rhamnolipid in Vitro

# 3.4.1. Suppression of Mycelial Growth

The rhamnolipid products were used to investigate their mycelium inhibitions against five strains of plant pathogenic fungi: two strains of *Phytophthora* (*P. capsici, P. nicotianae*), two strains of *Colletotrichum* (*C. sublineolum*, *C. destructivum*) and one strain of *Fusarium* (*F. oxysporum*).

The average mycelium inhibition rates of the three rhamnolipid products (200 mg/L) against the five plant pathogenic fungi are shown in Table 4: for *P. capsici*, the mycelium inhibition rate of DR<sub>90</sub> could reach 100%, while the mycelium inhibition rates of DR<sub>25</sub> and DR<sub>46</sub> were only 62.89 and 71.22%, respectively. For *P. nicotianae*, the mycelium inhibition rate of DR<sub>90</sub> could reach 66.21%, while that of DR<sub>25</sub> and DR<sub>46</sub> were only 29.10 and 34.26%, respectively. For the two strains of *Collectrichum* and one strain of *Fusarium*, the mycelial inhibition rates of DR<sub>90</sub> were the best, followed by DR<sub>46</sub>, and DR<sub>25</sub> were the worst.

The antifungal effects of rhamnolipids are shown in Figure 4. Both the concentration and the contents of rhamnolipids were key factors affecting their fungistatic activity. The more di-rhamnolipids, the stronger the antifungal activity was. For the two *Phytophthora* strains, the DR<sub>90</sub> exhibited better activity against hyphal growth than the other two samples, at all concentrations. Both the DR<sub>90</sub> and DR<sub>46</sub> samples showed better antifungal activity than DR<sub>25</sub> against the two *Colletotrichum* strains. The overall results showed that for the same strain, the mycelial inhibition rate of DR<sub>90</sub> was the highest, and that of DR<sub>25</sub> was the lowest, meaning the di-rhamnolipids component had a distinct advantage.

### 3.4.2. Suppression of Spore Germination

Spores of *F. oxysporum* were used as the subject. Acting on the spore germination, when the concentration of rhamnolipids increased, the effects of inhibition were enhanced. However, there was no significant difference between the effects of the three rhamnolipids, and the inhibition rates were all approximately 50% at the concentration of 200 mg/L (Figure 5).

<b>F</b> !		Inhibition Rate %					
Fungi	Control	DR <sub>25</sub>	DR <sub>46</sub>	DR <sub>90</sub>			
P. capsici				$\mathbf{\cdot}$			
		$62.89 \pm 4.01$	$\textbf{71.22} \pm \textbf{1.98}$	100			
P. nicotianae							
		$29.10\pm1.31$	$34.26 \pm 1.10$	$66.21 \pm 3.06$			
C.sublineolum							
		$42.59\pm2.43$	$\textbf{72.42} \pm 0.53$	$79.27 \pm 2.15$			
F. oxysporum							
		$40.07 \pm 1.54$	$70.94 \pm 2.47$	$78.03 \pm 2.40$			
C. destructivum.							
		$59.97 \pm 0.95$	$66.57\pm0.62$	$78.68 \pm 3.06$			

Table 4. The average mycelium inhibition rates (%) of rhamnolipids (200 mg/L) against five plant pathogenic fungi.



**Figure 4.** Mycelium growth inhibition rates of DR<sub>25</sub>, DR<sub>46</sub> and DR<sub>90</sub> against fungi at different concentrations (5, 25, 50, 100, 200 mg/L): (**a**) *P. capsica;* (**b**) *P. nicotianae;* (**c**) *C. destructivum;* (**d**) *C. sublineolum;* (**e**) *F. oxysporum.* Error bars represent standard deviation (SD), and letters indicate significant difference at  $\alpha = 0.05$  according to LSD.



**Figure 5.** The inhibition rate of rhamnolipids against spores of *F. oxysporum*. Error bars represent standard deviation (SD), and letters indicate significant difference at  $\alpha = 0.05$  according to LSD.

# 4. Discussion

Rhamnolipids often exist in the form of homologs, and different components have different properties [11]. Previously, the rhamnolipids were separated and purified to test which component worked best in different cases. The mixed products have to be used due to the high cost of separating homologs. Therefore, it is more valuable to explore the influence of the homolog ratios on their application.

In fact, as a highly active biosurfactant, rhamnolipids demonstrate a good emulsifying activity [17,18], and if rhamnolipids can be used to replace part of the chemical emulsifier used in pesticide, it will help to improve the environmental friendliness of the products. However, the influence of homolog ratios on emulsifying activity is still unclear. The purpose of this work was to promote the application of rhamnolipids as the formulation component of pesticide, by studying the effect of the components in rhamnolipids on their emulsifying activity toward the solvents widely used in pesticide. Rhamnolipids are an anionic surfactant. Lovaglio et al. [19] demonstrated that the emulsifying activity of rhamnolipid was affected by pH. Onaizi et al. [20] also reported that rhamnolipids had pH-responsive behavior to petroleum emulsification, with acid demulsification and alkaline re-emulsification. In this work, we reached the same conclusion that the optimum pH for emulsification activity in rhamnolipid was above seven. In addition, the HLB (hydrophile–lipophile balance) values can be used as a rough guide for surfactant selection [21]. The HLB values of the  $DR_{25}$ ,  $DR_{46}$  and  $DR_{90}$  were all around 12 (data not shown), and were close to that of EL-40 (HLB = 13.6). It is notable that the emulsification property of the rhamnolipids were similar to that of EL-40, and they all exhibited good emulsification ability toward alkane and aromatic hydrocarbon solvents; this suggests that rhamnolipids should be a potential emulsifier used in pesticide.

Previous studies have reported differences in emulsification between mono-rhamnolipids and di-rhamnolipids [17,22], but the working concentrations of rhamnolipids were very low, at approximately 100 mg/L or around CMC values. The results widely agree that homolog ratios in rhamnolipids have an important impact on performance. In pesticide formulas, emulsifiers are the key functional substance in the preparation of stable water emulsions, with an approximate dosage of 2–8% [23,24]. In this work, it has been proven that the emulsification capability had no significant difference among the rhamnolipids, with various homolog ratios at the concentration of 10 g/L. This suggests that homolog ratios of rhamnolipids have no impact on the emulsifying function in pesticide. This result helps to promote the application of diverse rhamnolipids.

Chemical drugs are the main functional substances used in the control of agricultural diseases, however, they also cause serious environmental pollution. Drug residues on crops also cause damage to the human body [25]. Subsequently, low-toxicity or non-toxic biological control methods have gradually attracted attention [26,27]. In terms of biology, rhamnolipids have a good inhibitory effect on phytopathogenic fungi, and therefore have the potential to become biological fungicides [28–30]. In 2016, Soltani et al. [31] separated mono-rhamnolipids (Rha- $C_{10}$ - $C_{10}$ ) and di-rhamnolipids (Rha<sub>2</sub>- $C_{10}$ - $C_{10}$ ) components and compared their ability to stop the zoospores among mono-rhamnolipids, di-rhamnolipids and the mixture. They found that the rhamnolipids mixture worked best, with a minimum concentration of 8–10 mg/L. Subsequently, increasing reports have suggested that the rhamnolipid mixture had an inhibitory ability on the mycelium growth and on the germination of the spores of various pathogens, however, the effects were significantly different. The inhibition rate of spores and mycelium growth on Colletotrichum capsica were 59.43 and 48.27% at 100 mg/L [28]. The inhibition rate of spores and mycelium growth on Colletotrichum falcatum were 86.63 and 67.8% at 100 mg/L [29]. For Fusarium verticillioides FS7 [32], the mycelium growth inhibition rate was 82.23% at 200 mg/L. However, previous work has only suggested that the rhamnolipids had an inhibitory effect on the pathogen and did not state which had a better inhibitory effect by comparing the rhamnolipids samples with the standards. In fact, the effect of the rhamnolipid components on their biological activity remains unclear. In the present study, rhamnolipid products with known

homolog ratios were used to act against five strains of plant pathogenic fungi, and we found that the rhamnolipids containing more di-rhamnolipids had better inhibitory activity on mycelium growth. This result helps to tailor the rhamnolipid products suitable for the inhibition of pathogenic fungi.

Overall, rhamnolipids had great potential application in pesticide formula. On one hand, the emulsifying properties of rhamnolipids can be used as emulsifiers; and on the other hand, the fungistatic activity of rhamnolipids can be used as fungicides.

### 5. Conclusions

The mono-rhamnolipids (Rha- $C_{10}$ - $C_{10}$ ) and di-rhamnolipids (Rha<sub>2</sub>- $C_{10}$ - $C_{10}$ ) components were the two main components in rhamnolipids. Rhamnolipids exhibited excellent emulsification and antifungal properties in this work. Although the physicochemical properties of di-rhamnolipids and mono-rhamnolipids were different, the emulsification capability of rhamnolipids with various homolog ratios had little difference at a high concentration (10 g/L). However, the biological activity of rhamnolipids were significantly affected by homolog ratios, and the di-rhamnolipids exhibited higher activity in inhibiting mycelium growth. Rhamnolipids with a greater di-rhamnolipids content were the best choice for fungal inhibition.

Author Contributions: D.L.: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing—Original Draft; W.T.: Investigation, Formal analysis; D.Y.: Formal analysis; S.L.: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing—Reviewing and Editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant number 51774188.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51774188) and the Jiangsu Synergetic Innovation Center for Advanced Bio-Manufacture.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- Chong, H.Q.; Li, Q.X. Microbial production of rhamnolipids: Opportunities, challenges and strategies. *Microb. Cell. Fact.* 2017, 16, 137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Santos, E.C.; Jacques, R.J.S.; Bento, F.M.; Peralba, M.D.R.; Selbach, P.A.; Sa, E.L.S.; Camargo, F.A.O. Anthracene biodegradation and surface activity by an iron-stimulated Pseudomonas sp. *Bioresource. Technol.* **2007**, *99*, 2644–2649. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mulligan, C.N. Recent advances in the environmental applications of biosurfactants. *Curr. Opin. Colloid. Interface Sci.* 2009, 14, 372–378. [CrossRef]
- Thakur, P.; Saini, N.K.; Thakur, V.K.; Gupta, V.K.; Saini, R.V.; Saini, A.K. Rhamnolipid the Glycolipid Biosurfactant: Emerging trends and promising strategies in the field of biotechnology and biomedicine. *Microb. Cell. Fact.* 2021, 20, 1. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shen, C.; Jiang, L.F.; Shao, H.W.; You, C.G.; Zhang, G.L.; Ding, S.T.; Bian, T.W.; Han, C.M.; Meng, Q. Targeted killing of myofibroblasts by biosurfactant di-rhamnolipid suggests a therapy against scar formation. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 37553. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Monteiro, S.A.; Sassaki, G.L.; de Souza, L.M.; Meira, J.A.; de Araujo, J.M.; Mitchell, D.A.; Ramos, L.P.; Krieger, N. Molecular and structural characterization of the biosurfactant produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa DAUPE 614. *Chem. Phys. Lipids.* 2007, 147, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- Wittgens, A.; Santiago-Schuebel, B.; Henkel, M.; Tiso, T.; Blank, L.M.; Hausmann, R.; Hofmann, D.; Wilhelm, S.; Jaeger, K.E.; Rosenau, F. Heterologous production of long-chain rhamnolipids from Burkholderia glumae in Pseudomonas putida-a step forward to tailor-made rhamnolipids. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2018, 102, 1229–1239. [CrossRef]
- Rocha, V.A.L.; de Castilho, L.V.A.; de Castro, R.P.V.; Teixeira, D.B.; Magalhaes, A.V.; Gomez, J.G.C.; Freire, D.M.G. Comparison of mono-rhamnolipids and di-rhamnolipids on microbial enhanced oil recovery (MEOR) applications. *Biotechnol. Prog.* 2020, 36, e2981. [CrossRef]
- 9. Henkel, M.; Geissler, M.; Weggenmann, F.; Hausmann, R. Production of microbial biosurfactants: Status quo of rhamnolipid and surfactin towards large-scale production. *Biotechnol. J.* 2017, 12, 1600561. [CrossRef]

- Li, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Lin, J.; Wang, W.; Li, S. High-Yield Di-Rhamnolipid Production by Pseudomonas aeruginosa YM4 and its Potential Application in MEOR. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 1433. [CrossRef]
- 11. Wu, L.M.; Lai, L.; Lu, Q.; Mei, P.; Wang, Y.Q.; Cheng, L.; Liu, Y. Comparative studies on the surface/interface properties and aggregation behavior of mono-rhamnolipid and di-rhamnolipid. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* **2019**, *181*, 593–601. [CrossRef]
- 12. Stanghellini, M.E.; Miller, R.M. Biosurfactants: Their Identity and Potential Efficacy in the Biological Control of Zoosporic Plant Pathogens. *Plant Dis.* **1997**, *81*, 4–12. [CrossRef]
- 13. Guo, Y.P.; Hu, Y.Y.; Gu, R.R.; Lin, H. Characterization and micellization of rhamnolipidic fractions and crude extracts produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa mutant MIG-N146. *J. Colloid. Interface Sci.* **2009**, *331*, 356–363. [CrossRef]
- 14. Silva, S.N.R.L.; Farias, C.B.B.; Rufino, R.D.; Luna, J.M.; Sarubbo, L.A. Glycerol as substrate for the production of biosurfactant by Pseudomonas aeruginosa UCP0992. *Colloid. Surf. B* **2010**, *79*, 174–183. [CrossRef]
- 15. Hultberg, M.; Bengtsson, T.; Liljeroth, E. Late blight on potato is suppressed by the biosurfactant-producing strain Pseudomonas koreensis 2.74 and its biosurfactant. *Biocontrol* **2010**, *55*, 543–550. [CrossRef]
- 16. Kim, B.S.; Lee, J.Y.; Hwang, B.K. In vivo control and in vitro antifungal activity of rhamnolipid B, a glycolipid antibiotic, against Phytophthora capsici and Colletotrichum orbiculare. *Pest. Manag. Sci.* 2000, *56*, 1029–1035. [CrossRef]
- Das, P.; Yang, X.P.; Ma, L.Z. Analysis of biosurfactants from industrially viable Pseudomonas strain isolated from crude oil suggests how rhamnolipids congeners affect emulsification property and antimicrobial activity. *Front. Microbiol.* 2014, *5*, 696. [CrossRef]
- Hajimohammadi, R.; Johari-ahar, S. Determination of optimal parameters of rhamnolipid biosurfactant production: An agent for emulsification of heavy crude oil. *Pet. Sci. Technol.* 2018, *36*, 186–192. [CrossRef]
- 19. Lovaglio, R.B.; dos Santos, F.J.; Jafelicci, M., Jr.; Contiero, J. Rhamnolipid emulsifying activity and emulsion stability: pH rules. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* **2011**, *85*, 301–305. [CrossRef]
- 20. Onaizi, S.A.; Alsulaimani, M.; Al-Sakkaf, M.K.; Bahadi, S.A.; Mahmoud, M.; Alshami, A. Crude oil/water nanoemulsions stabilized by biosurfactant: Stability and pH-Switchability. *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.* **2021**, *198*, 108173. [CrossRef]
- Park, Y.H.; Kim, H.J. Formulation and stability of horse oil-in-water emulsion by HLB system. *Food. Sci. Biotechnol.* 2021, 30, 931–938. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, J.; Xue, R.; Liu, S.; Xu, N.; Xin, F.; Zhang, W.; Jiang, M.; Dong, W. High Di-rhamnolipid Production Using Pseudomonas aeruginosa KT1115, Separation of Mono/Di-rhamnolipids, and Evaluation of Their Properties. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2019, 7, 245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Feng, J.; Chen, Q.; Wu, X.; Jafari, S.M.; McClements, D.J. Formulation of oil-in-water emulsions for pesticide applications: Impact of surfactant type and concentration on physical stability. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 2018, 25, 21742–21751. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Liu, W.; Sun, D.; Li, C.; Liu, Q.; Xu, J. Formation and stability of paraffin oil-in-water nano-emulsions prepared by the emulsion inversion point method. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2006, 303, 557–563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mansour, S.A.; Belal, M.H.; Abou-Arab, A.A.K.; Ashour, H.M.; Gad, M.F. Evaluation of some pollutant levels in conventionally and organically farmed potato tubers and their risks to human health. *Food. Chem. Toxicol.* 2009, 47, 615–624. [CrossRef]
- Togni, P.H.B.; Venzon, M.; Lagoa, A.C.G.; Sujii, E.R. Brazilian Legislation Leaning Towards Fast Registration of Biological Control Agents to Benefit Organic Agriculture. *Neotrop. Entomol.* 2019, 48, 175–185. [CrossRef]
- Karthika, S.; Varghese, S.; Jisha, M.S. Exploring the efficacy of antagonistic rhizobacteria as native biocontrol agents against tomato plant diseases. 3 *Biotech* 2020, 10, 320. [CrossRef]
- Lahkar, J.; Goswami, D.; Deka, S.; Ahmed, G. Novel approaches for application of biosurfactant produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa for biocontrol of Colletotrichum capsici responsible for anthracnose disease in chilli. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 2017, 150, 57–71. [CrossRef]
- Goswami, D.; Borah, S.N.; Lahkar, J.; Handique, P.J.; Deka, S. Antifungal properties of rhamnolipid produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa DS9 against Colletotrichum falcatum. J. Basic Microbiol. 2015, 55, 1265–1274. [CrossRef]
- Goswami, D.; Handique, P.J.; Deka, S. Rhamnolipid biosurfactant against Fusarium sacchari—The causal organism of pokkah boeng disease of sugarcane. J. Basic Microbiol. 2014, 54, 548–557. [CrossRef]
- Soltani Dashtbozorg, S.; Miao, S.; Ju, L.-K. Rhamnolipids as environmentally friendly biopesticide against plant pathogen Phytophthora sojae. Environ. Prog. Sustain. Energy 2016, 35, 169–173. [CrossRef]
- 32. Borah, S.N.; Goswami, D.; Sarma, H.K.; Cameotra, S.S.; Deka, S. Rhamnolipid Biosurfactant against Fusarium verticillioides to Control Stalk and Ear Rot Disease of Maize. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 1505. [CrossRef]