

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The Study on the hERG Blocker Prediction Using Chemical Fingerprint Analysis

Kwang-Eun Choi, Anand Balupuri, Nam Sook Kang *

Graduate School of New Drug Discovery and Development, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea

Table S1. Models built using integer type fingerprints.

Algorithm	Score	Control		PP (integer type FP)					
		(no fingerprints)		ECFP_2	FCFP_2	ECFP_4	FCFP_4	ECFP_6	FCFP_6
NB-DS	Q	0.71		0.80	0.76	0.85	0.83	0.86	0.86
	AUC	0.79		0.88	0.84	0.91	0.90	0.92	0.92
NB-R	Q	0.73		0.81	0.79	0.86	0.83	0.88	0.87
	AUC	0.75		0.87	0.82	0.91	0.89	0.92	0.92
SVM (linear)	Q	0.78		0.86	0.84	0.88	0.85	0.89	0.89
	AUC	0.80		0.88	0.88	0.92	0.87	0.93	0.93
SVM (polynomial)	Q	0.78		0.82	0.83	0.81	0.82	0.82	0.81
	AUC	0.82		0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90
SVM (radial)	Q	0.82		0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.87	0.88
	AUC	0.85		0.92	0.92	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.94
RF (100 trees)	Q	0.86		0.90	0.91	0.90	0.91	0.89	0.90
	AUC	0.90		0.95	0.95	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.94
Bagging-DS	Q	0.80		0.86	0.87	0.86	0.87	0.87	0.87

(100 trees)	AUC	0.87	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
ANN	Q	0.81	0.87	0.88	0.89	0.87	0.83	0.85
(100 size)	AUC	0.86	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.94	0.93
ANN	Q	0.73	0.88	0.88	0.86	0.90	0.88	0.89
(200 size)	AUC	0.79	0.93	0.94	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.93
ANN	Q	0.72	0.89	0.90	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.90
(400 size)	AUC	0.79	0.93	0.94	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.93

Note- Q: predictive accuracy; AUC: area under the receiver operating characteristic curve.

Table S2. Models built using binary type fingerprints.

Algorithm	Score	Control (no fingerprints)	Converted PP (binary)						CDK (binary)		
			ECFP_2	FCFP_2	ECFP_4	FCFP_4	ECFP_6	FCFP_6	Standard	Extended	Graph
NB-R	Q	0.73	0.74	0.74	0.75	0.75	0.74	0.74	0.76	0.75	0.70
	AUC	0.75	0.76	0.75	0.75	0.76	0.75	0.75	0.81	0.8	0.76
SVM (linear)	Q	0.78	0.79	0.78	0.80	0.78	0.79	0.77	0.87	0.87	0.86
	AUC	0.80	0.81	0.80	0.79	0.80	0.79	0.79	0.91	0.90	0.88
SVM (polynomial)	Q	0.78	0.76	0.71	0.75	0.67	0.76	0.73	0.84	0.84	0.81
	AUC	0.82	0.75	0.72	0.70	0.67	0.72	0.72	0.87	0.86	0.84
SVM (radial)	Q	0.82	0.75	0.76	0.75	0.75	0.76	0.75	0.84	0.83	0.81
	AUC	0.85	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.78	0.77	0.78	0.87	0.88	0.83
RF (100 trees)	Q	0.86	0.72	0.72	0.74	0.73	0.78	0.76	0.90	0.90	0.90
	AUC	0.90	0.83	0.78	0.85	0.83	0.85	0.84	0.95	0.95	0.94
ANN (100 size)	Q	0.81	0.82	0.84	0.80	0.79	0.80	0.78	0.89	0.90	0.88
	AUC	0.86	0.83	0.88	0.82	0.83	0.82	0.82	0.95	0.94	0.92
ANN (200 size)	Q	0.73	0.83	0.83	0.82	0.81	0.82	0.80	0.91	0.90	0.88
	AUC	0.79	0.86	0.88	0.84	0.85	0.81	0.83	0.95	0.94	0.92
ANN (400 size)	Q	0.72	0.83	0.83	0.82	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.89	0.89	0.89
	AUC	0.79	0.86	0.88	0.84	0.86	0.82	0.84	0.94	0.94	0.92

Note- Q: predictive accuracy; AUC: area under the receiver operating characteristic curve.

Table S3. Model prediction for external set-1 using integer type fingerprints.

(100 trees)	TN	186	194	194	193	193	192	190
	FN	27	27	25	24	24	26	25
	FP	10	2	2	3	3	4	6
	TP	40	40	42	43	43	41	42
	Q	0.80	0.83	0.86	0.83	0.86	0.83	0.87
Bagging-DS (100 trees)	TN	165	172	178	172	177	172	180
	FN	21	21	20	20	19	20	18
	FP	31	24	18	24	19	24	16
	TP	46	46	47	47	48	47	49
	Q	0.85	0.87	0.89	0.87	0.88	0.83	0.86
ANN (100 size)	TN	178	179	182	183	180	159	170
	FN	21	18	15	21	16	9	12
	FP	18	17	14	13	16	37	26
	TP	46	49	52	46	51	58	55
	Q	0.77	0.89	0.90	0.87	0.89	0.88	0.89
ANN (200 size)	TN	154	183	185	174	187	182	180
	FN	18	15	14	12	21	17	14
	FP	42	13	11	22	9	14	16
	TP	49	52	53	55	46	50	53
	Q	0.71	0.90	0.87	0.89	0.88	0.89	0.90
ANN (400 size)	TN	138	186	178	183	179	187	186
	FN	17	17	16	17	14	21	15
	FP	58	10	18	13	17	9	10
	TP	50	50	51	50	53	46	52

Note- Q: predictive accuracy; TP: true positive; TN: true negative; FP: false positive; FN: false negative.

Table S4. Model prediction for external set-1 using binary type fingerprints.

Algorithm	Parameter	Control (No fingerprints)	Converted PP (binary)						CDK (binary)		
			ECFP_2	FCFP_2	ECFP_4	FCFP_4	ECFP_6	FCFP_6	Standard	Extended	Graph
NB-R	Q	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.77	0.78	0.73
	TN	166	161	166	166	169	168	168	159	160	148
	FN	38	34	39	33	35	34	35	24	22	23
	FP	30	35	30	30	27	28	28	37	36	48
	TP	29	33	28	34	32	33	32	43	45	44
SVM (linear)	Q	0.80	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.81	0.79	0.79	0.87	0.85	0.83
	TN	184	182	182	180	182	182	181	183	179	179
	FN	40	37	38	36	37	40	40	20	23	28
	FP	12	14	14	16	14	14	15	13	17	17
	TP	27	30	29	31	30	27	27	47	44	39
SVM (polynomial)	Q	0.78	0.75	0.72	0.75	0.74	0.76	0.75	0.83	0.80	0.80
	TN	192	184	187	185	162	191	196	178	175	189
	FN	54	54	64	54	35	57	65	28	32	45
	FP	4	12	9	11	34	5	0	18	21	7
	TP	13	13	3	13	32	10	2	39	35	22
SVM (radial)	Q	0.83	0.77	0.78	0.77	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.86	0.85	0.84
	TN	181	180	181	179	181	179	181	184	182	183
	FN	30	45	43	44	42	41	41	26	25	30
	FP	15	16	15	17	15	17	15	12	14	13
	TP	37	22	24	23	25	26	26	41	42	37
RF (100 trees)	Q	0.86	0.75	0.75	0.78	0.76	0.79	0.78	0.89	0.88	0.88
	TN	186	196	196	196	196	196	196	191	189	191
	FN	27	67	67	59	63	54	59	25	24	27

	FP	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	7	5
	TP	40	0	0	8	4	13	8	42	43	40
	Q	0.85	0.83	0.87	0.83	0.77	0.84	0.80	0.90	0.87	0.85
ANN (100 size)	TN	178	178	184	180	169	186	176	187	182	174
	FN	21	27	21	30	33	31	33	16	19	18
	FP	18	18	12	16	27	10	20	9	14	22
	TP	46	40	46	37	34	36	34	51	48	49
	Q	0.77	0.83	0.82	0.82	0.79	0.82	0.82	0.90	0.90	0.86
ANN (200 size)	TN	154	182	170	179	172	186	176	191	189	183
	FN	18	30	22	31	32	38	27	20	20	24
	FP	42	14	26	17	24	10	20	5	7	13
	TP	49	37	45	36	35	29	40	47	47	43
	Q	0.71	0.85	0.81	0.80	0.79	0.82	0.82	0.89	0.89	0.85
ANN (400 size)	TN	138	181	168	172	172	181	181	184	183	180
	FN	17	25	23	28	31	32	33	18	17	23
	FP	58	15	28	24	24	15	15	12	13	16
	TP	50	42	44	39	36	35	34	49	50	44

Note- Q: predictive accuracy; TP: true positive; TN: true negative; FP: false positive; FN: false negative.

Table S5. Model prediction for external set-2 using integer type fingerprints.

Algorithm	Parameter	Control (no fingerprints)	PP (integer type FP)				
			ECFP_2	FCFP_2	ECFP_4	FCFP_4	ECFP_6
NB-DS	Q	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.79	0.81	0.77
	TN	28	29	29	29	29	29
	FN	6	7	7	10	9	11
	FP	1	0	0	0	0	0
	TP	12	11	11	8	9	7
NB-R	Q	0.81	0.83	0.83	0.77	0.81	0.77
	TN	29	29	29	29	29	29
	FN	9	8	8	11	9	11
	FP	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TP	9	10	10	7	9	7
SVM (linear)	Q	0.74	0.74	0.77	0.79	0.81	0.77
	TN	26	26	28	29	29	29
	FN	9	9	10	10	9	11
	FP	3	3	1	0	0	0
	TP	9	9	8	8	9	7
SVM (polynomial)	Q	0.77	0.74	0.68	0.72	0.72	0.77
	TN	29	28	29	29	29	29
	FN	11	11	15	13	13	11
	FP	0	1	0	0	0	0
	TP	7	7	3	5	5	7
SVM (radial)	Q	0.79	0.79	0.85	0.81	0.77	0.83
	TN	29	29	29	29	29	29
	FN	10	10	7	9	11	8
	FP	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TP	8	8	11	9	7	10
RF (100 trees)	Q	0.77	0.81	0.81	0.79	0.79	0.77
	TN	29	29	29	29	29	29
	FN	11	9	9	10	10	11

	FP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TP	7	9	9	8	8	7	7
Bagging-DS (100 trees)	Q	0.83	0.89	0.83	0.89	0.87	0.87	0.87
	TN	28	29	29	29	29	29	29
	FN	7	5	8	5	6	6	6
	FP	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TP	11	13	10	13	12	12	12
ANN (100 size)	Q	0.85	0.83	0.81	0.77	0.81	0.85	0.83
	TN	29	29	29	29	29	24	27
	FN	7	8	9	11	9	2	6
	FP	0	0	0	0	0	5	2
	TP	11	10	9	7	9	16	12
ANN (200 size)	Q	0.74	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.81	0.81	0.79
	TN	23	28	29	28	29	29	29
	FN	6	9	10	9	9	9	10
	FP	6	1	0	1	0	0	0
	TP	12	9	8	9	9	9	8
ANN (400 size)	Q	0.60	0.79	0.74	0.77	0.83	0.79	0.79
	TN	16	29	28	29	29	29	29
	FN	6	10	11	11	8	10	10
	FP	13	0	1	0	0	0	0
	TP	12	8	7	7	10	8	8

Note- Q: predictive accuracy; TP: true positive; TN: true negative; FP: false positive; FN: false negative.

Table S6. Model prediction for external set-2 using binary type fingerprints.

	FN	11	18	18	18	18	18	12	11	14
	FP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TP	7	0	0	0	0	0	6	7	4
	Q	0.85	0.74	0.55	0.79	0.64	0.72	0.53	0.79	0.53
ANN (100 size)	TN	29	25	18	28	18	26	16	28	28
	FN	7	8	10	9	6	10	9	9	6
	FP	0	4	11	1	11	3	13	1	1
	TP	11	10	8	9	12	8	9	9	12
ANN (200 size)	Q	0.74	0.83	0.64	0.81	0.62	0.74	0.66	0.83	0.81
	TN	23	27	20	28	20	27	21	29	29
	FN	6	6	8	8	9	10	8	8	9
	FP	6	2	9	1	9	2	8	0	0
ANN (400 size)	TP	12	12	10	10	9	8	10	10	9
	Q	0.60	0.83	0.66	0.77	0.64	0.74	0.62	0.81	0.83
	TN	16	26	20	27	20	24	18	29	28
	FN	6	5	7	9	8	7	7	9	7
	FP	13	3	9	2	9	5	11	0	1
	TP	12	13	11	9	10	11	11	9	11

Note- Q: predictive accuracy; TP: true positive; TN: true negative; FP: false positive; FN: false negative.