Supplementary Materials: Synthesis, Biological Evaluation and Molecular Docking of Certain Sulfones as Potential Nonazole Antifungal Agents

Mohamed Fares, Mohamed A. Said, Muhammad A. Alsherbiny, Radwa A. Eladwy, Hadia Almahli, Marwa M. Abdel-Aziz, Hazem A. Ghabbour, Wagdy M. Eldehna and Hatem A. Abdel-Aziz

X-ray crystallographic analysis: Crystal of compound **3** was obtained by slow evaporation from solution of ethanol/DMF. The measurements of the crystal were performed on a Bruker SMART APEX II D8 Venture diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Cu $K\alpha$ radiation (λ = 1.54178 Å) at 293 K. The structure was solved by direct method and refined with SHELXTL. E-maps provided the positions of all the non-H-atoms. The full-matrix least-squares refinement was carried out on $F^{2'}$ s using anisotropic temperature factors for all non-*H*-atoms. Crystallographic data for the structure reported in this paper have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center and allocated with the deposition number: CCDC 1430111.

Crystal data	
C14H11ClO3S	V = 1292.27 (8) Å ³
$M_r = 294.74$	Z = 4
Monoclinic, P21/c	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 5.7258 (2) Å	$\mu = 4.14 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 9.1203 (4) Å	<i>T</i> = 293 K
c = 25.0426 (8) Å	$0.50 \times 0.11 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 98.824 \ (3)^{\circ}$	F(000) = 608
Data collection	
CCD area detector diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.104$
7841 measured reflections	$\theta_{\rm max} = 62.5^{\circ}$
2021 independent reflections	775 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.103$	0 restraints
$wR(F^2) = 0.311$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
S = 0.92	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.1895P)^2]$ where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2021 reflections	$\Delta Q_{\text{max}} = 0.49 \text{ e} \cdot \text{\AA}^{-3}$
173 parameters	$\Delta Q_{\min} = -0.58 \text{ e} \cdot \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table S1. Crystallographic data and refinements for compound 3.

The crystallographic structure of **3** is represented in Figure 2. The single crystal X-ray study on this derivative unambiguously defines the exact structure. Crystal packing of **3** which showed the intermolecular hydrogen bond C10—H10A···O₂ is presented in Figure 3. The crystallographic data and refinement for the crystal was presented in Table S1. Selected geometric parameters of compound **3** presented in Table S2 (Supplementary Materials). <u>Hydrogen-bond geometry</u> of **3** is illustrated in Table S3.



Figure S1. Crystal packing of 3 showing intermolecular hydrogen bonds as dashed lines.

Bond distance							
Cl1-C12	1.738 (9)	S1-C6	1.753 (8)				
S1-O1	1.416 (5)	S1-C7	1.767 (8)				
S1-O2	1.446 (7)	O3-C8	1.218 (11)				
Bond angle							
O1-S1-O2	118.7 (3)	S1-C6-C5	120.5 (7)				
O1-S1-C6	109.5 (3)	S1-C7-C8	110.3 (6)				
O1-S1-C7	107.3 (3)	O3-C8-C7	117.4 (7)				
O2-S1-C6	108.7 (4)	O3-C8-C9	122.7 (7)				
O2-S1-C7	107.7 (3)	Cl1-C12-C11	119.9 (7)				
C6-S1-C7	103.9 (4)	Cl1-C12-C13	118.6 (7)				
S1-C6-C1	118.9 (6)						

Table S2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for compound 3.

Table S3. Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) of 3.

$D-H\cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
C10-H10A-02 i	0.9300	2.5000	3.403 (10)	163.00

Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y, z.